

Evidence

1. The Rising Problem of High Electricity Bills

- **Energy Costs:** In India, residential electricity tariffs range from ₹3 to ₹10 per kWh, and many middle-class families struggle with increasing bills.
- **Inefficiency:** Many homes unknowingly consume excessive electricity due to inefficient usage, vampire loads (devices consuming power even when off), and old appliances.

2. Lack of Awareness & Monitoring

- Most people **don't track their power consumption** in real-time. They only see the final bill and have no idea which appliances consume the most.
- Manual monitoring is inconvenient. **A smart solution is needed** to analyze, alert, and provide suggestions to optimize power usage

Meter(MM) Status OK
Load : 13 KW
C Demand : 12.9 KVA
Phase : 3
Prv Rd Dt : 06/05/2023
Prs Rd Dt : 06/07/2023
Mt Rd(OMF) : 1
Readings & Cons. (MM)

Unit	Curr	Prev	Cons	Avg
KWH/A/I	11889	11074	815	570

Cons. recorded on Changes

KWH/A/I	648
---------	-----

Bill Details

Fixed Charges	450.00
Water Rent	0.00
GST	0.00
Energy Charges	12435.50
Duty	1243.55
Fuel Sur.	131.67
Monthly Fuel Sur.	131.67
Round off	-0.39

Bill Amount	14392.00
ACD/ADJ	2139.00
Surcharge	50.00
SAF	30.00

Payable : 16611.00


Key Findings

- **High Electricity Bills:** ₹2500/month (~7% of total income).
- **No Real-Time Monitoring:** Unaware of which appliances consume the most power.
- **Energy Wastage:** ACs, TVs left on; phantom loads from plugged-in devices.
- **Potential Savings:** EcoWatt can reduce bills by **20-30% (₹500-750/month)**.
- **Need for Smart Management:** Helps optimize usage, reduce costs, and promote energy conservation.

Comparison Analysis: TP-Link Kasa Smart Plug vs. Wipro Smart Energy Management System (SEMS)

Feature	TP-Link Kasa Smart Plug	Wipro Smart Energy Management System (SEMS)
Target Audience	Individual Appliance Users	Large Enterprises & Industries
Real-Time Monitoring	✔ Yes (but only for a single device)	✔ Yes
Whole-Home Power Analysis	✘ No	✔ Yes (for large setups)
Automated Alerts	✘ Limited	✘ No
Remote Control of Devices	✔ Yes (for a single device)	✘ No
IoT & Cloud Integration	✔ Yes (App-based)	✘ No
Cost-Effectiveness	✘ Expensive when used for multiple devices	✘ High Cost
Easy Installation	✔ Simple (per device)	✘ Requires professional setup
Energy Consumption Optimization	✘ No <div>↓</div>	✔ Yes (data-driven insights)

Comparison Analysis: Kenza vs. Solar Energy Solutions

Feature	Kenza (Energy Monitoring System)	Solar Energy Solutions (Solar Panels & Batteries)
Primary Function	Tracks electricity consumption	Generates electricity from sunlight
Energy Savings	Helps reduce wastage	Reduces dependency on the grid
Initial Investment	Low	High (Installation cost)
Long-term Savings	Moderate	High (Lower electricity bills over time)
Real-time Monitoring	✔ Yes	✘ No (Unless paired with a smart system)
Remote Control of Devices	✘ No	✘ No
Dependence on Grid Power	✔ Yes (Relies on electricity provider)	✘ No (Can work independently with storage)
Sustainability	✘ No direct impact	✔ 100% Renewable & eco-friendly
Maintenance	✔ Minimal	🔧 Requires periodic cleaning & maintenance
Integration with Smart Tech	✘ No 	✔ Possible with smart inverters

Shortcomings of Kenza (Energy Monitoring System)

1. **Does Not Generate Power** – Only tracks and optimizes usage; does not provide an alternative energy source.
2. **Dependent on Grid Electricity** – Cannot function without an existing power supply.
3. **Limited Cost Savings** – Helps reduce waste but does not significantly lower electricity bills like renewable sources.
4. **No Direct Environmental Benefit** – Unlike solar or wind power, it does not reduce carbon emissions.
5. **No Backup Power** – Does not help during power outages.
6. **Lack of Smart Automation** – Cannot automatically turn off high-consuming devices unless paired with additional smart tech.
7. **Initial Setup & Learning Curve** – Users must actively monitor and adjust their habits to see savings.