# Programmer som Data - Assignment 12

Bastjan Rosgaard Sejberg, Søren Kastrup, Weihao Chen Nyholm-Andersen December 2023

### 13.1

0.1 What is the result value of running ex09.out?

```
[soer4769@soerthinkpad Fun]$ ./MsmlVM/src/msmlmachine ex09.out
4
Result value: 4
```

The result value is 4.

0.2 What type does the result value have?

```
begin
print(f:(int -> int) 2:int:int):int
end
Result type: int
```

The type of the result value is integer.

0.3 What application calls have been annotated as tail calls? Explain how this matches the intuition behind a tail call.

```
Program with tailcalls:
fun f x = if (x < 0) then g_tail 4 else f_tail (x - 1)
and g x = x
begin
   print(f 2)
end</pre>
```

Inside function f, the call to function g (g-tail) and f (f-tail) has been annotated as tail calls. This is because a tail call is recognised as a call to a function as the last action before a function returns hereby the naming scheme, thus since the last action of function f depends on the Boolean value of its if-statement the last action could thereby be either a call to function g or itself f.

0.4 What type has been annotated for the call sites to the functions f and g? Function f is called in two places, and g in one place.

```
Program with types:
fun f x = if (x:int < 0:int):bool then g:(int -> int)_tail 4:int:int else f:(int -> int)_tail (x:int - 1:int):int:in
t
and g x = x:int
begin
print(f:(int -> int) 2:int:int):int
end
```

Function  $f:(int \to int)$  and  $g:(int \to int)$ , has both been annotated as taking in an int and returning an int; f is called in the print statement and as a tail call within itself and g is called within function f as a tail call likewise.

0.5 What is the running time for executing the example using the evaluator, and what is the running time using the byte code ex09.out using msmlmachine?

```
Evaluating Program

4

Result value: Result (Int 4)

Used: Elapsed 6ms, CPU 10ms

[soer4769@soerthinkpad Fun]$ ./MsmlVM/src/msmlmachine ex09.out

4

Result value: 4

Used 0 cpu milli-seconds
```

The running time using the evaluator is 10 cpu-milliseconds, where for the msmlmachine it is less than 0 cpu-milliseconds.

0.6 Now compile the example ex09.sml without optimizations. How many byte code instructions did the optimization save for this small example?

```
2 LABEL LabFunc_f_L4
                                                             2 LABEL LabFunc_f_L4
       38: GETBP
                                                                   38: GETBP
       39: CSTI 1
                                                                    39: CSTI 1
4
       41: ADD
                                                                   41: ADD
5
                                                             5
       42: LDI
                                                                   42: LDI
6
       43: CSTI 0
                                                                    43: CSTI 0
7
       45: I.T
                                                                   45: I.T
8
       46: IFZERO L7
                                                                   46: IFZERO L6
       48: CSTI 2
                                                                    48: CSTI 2
                                                            10
10
       50: LDI
                                                                   50: LDI
                                                            11
       51: CSTI 4
                                                            12
                                                                   51: CSTI 4
12
       53: CLOSCALL 1
                                                                   53: TCLOSCALL 1
13
                                                            13
14
       55: GOTO L6
                                                            14
15 LABEL L7
                                                            15 LABEL L6
                                                                   55: GETBP
16
       57: GETBP
                                                            16
       58: CSTI 0
                                                                    56: LDI
17
                                                            17
       60: ADD
                                                                   57: GETBP
18
                                                            18
                                                                   58: CSTI 1
19
       61: LDI
                                                            19
20
       62:
           GETBP
                                                            20
                                                                    60: ADD
       63: CSTI 1
                                                                   61: LDI
21
                                                            21
       65: ADD
                                                                   62: CSTI 1
22
                                                            22
       66: LDI
                                                                    64: SUB
23
                                                            23
                                                                   65: TCLOSCALL 1
       67: CSTI 1
24
                                                            24
       69: SUB
       70: CLOSCALL 1
26
                                                            26
  LABEL L6
27
                                                            27
28
       72: RET 2
                                                            28
29 . . .
                                                            29 . . .
```

Listing 1: ex09.out (without opt.)

Listing 2: ex09.out (with opt.)

By comparing the output of byte code instructions in the console for both programs, it can be seen that the following 5 byte code instructions has been saved by optimisation: 55: GOTO L6, 58: CSTI 0, 60: ADD, LABEL L6, 72: RET 2. This can be seen in the sections where changes has occurred between the output with and without the optimisation flag enabled.

#### 13.2

```
[soer4769@soerthinkpad Fun]$ mono microsmlc.exe -opt -eval -verbose pair.sml
Micro-SML compiler v 1.1 of 2018-11-18
Compiling pair.sml to pair.out
Program after alpha conversion (exercise):
val p = (1,43)
fun f p = if (fst(p) < 0) then g p else f ((fst(p) - 1),snd(p))
and g p = (fst(p),(snd(p) - 1))
print(f p)
end
Program with tailcalls:
val p = (1,43)
fun f p = if (fst(p) < 0) then g_tail p else f_tail ((fst(p) - 1),snd(p))
and g p = (fst(p),(snd(p) - 1))
print(f p)
Program with types:
val p = (1:int,43:int):(int * int)
fun f p = if (fst(p:(int * int)):int < 0:int):bool then g:((int * int) -> (int * int))_tail p:(int * int):(int * int
) else f:((int * int) -> (int * int))_tail ((fst(p:(int * int)):int - 1:int):int,snd(p:(int * int)):int):(int * int):(int * int):(int * int)
and g p = (fst(p:(int * int)):int,(snd(p:(int * int)):int - 1:int):int):(int * int)
 print(f:((int * int) -> (int * int)) p:(int * int):(int * int)):(int * int)
Result type: (int * int)
Evaluating Program
(-1,42)
Result value: Result (PairV (Int -1, Int 42))
Used: Elapsed 9ms, CPU 10ms
```

Refer to the files in the folder **Exercise-13.2**. We could sadly not get the two questions regarding the type rules for the primitives fst and snd to work nor how to write evaluation rules for them. There is also a bug in TypeInference.fs for these primitives so that pair has to take integer types. Lastly there is a bug in the msml-machine.c which means when pair out is run with it when compiled, it crashes with a segmentation dump error.

## 13.3

### Code:

```
begin
let
val y1 = 1
fun f x =
let
val z = y1 + 1
val y2 = 1
in
y z+y2+x
end
in
f y1
end
end
```

Listing 3: ex11.sml

Refer to the files in the folder Exercise-13.3.

```
begin
let val x1 = 2
in
let val x2 = 5
in
    x1 + x2
end
end
end
```

Listing 4: ex12.sml

#### Result:

```
[soer4769@soerthinkpad Fun]$ mono microsmlc.exe -verbose -eval exi1.sml
Micro-SML compiler v 1.1 of 2018-11-18
Compiling exi1.sml to exi1.out
Program after alpha conversion (exercise):

begin

let
    val y1 = 1
    fun f x =
    let
        val y2 = 1
    in
        ((z + y2) + x)
    end

in
    f y1
    end
end
Program with types:

begin

let
    val y1 = 1:int
    fun f x =
    let
    val y = 1:int
    fun f x =
    let
    val y = 1:int
    fun f x =
    let
    val y = 1:int
    in
        ((z:int + y2:int):int + x:int):int
        end
in
    f:(int -> int)_tail y1:int:int
    end
end
Result type: int
Evaluating Program
Result value: Result (Int 4)
Used: Elapsed 6ms, CPU 10ms
```

```
[soer4769@soerthinkpad Fun]$ mono microsmlc.exe -verbose -eval ex12.sml
Micro-SML compiler v 1.1 of 2018-11-18
Compiling ex12.sml to ex12.out

Program after alpha conversion (exercise):

begin

let
    val x1 = 2
    in

let
    val x2 = 5
    in
        (x1 + x2)
    end
end

Program with types:

begin

let
    val x1 = 2:int
    in

let
    val x2 = 5:int
    in
    (x1:int + x2:int):int
    end
end

Program with types:

begin
```