

- 1 The answer of Q3(a) (All strings in Σ^* with no more than three a's) was wrong. The file "Solution 1" has been updated in Tutorial webpage (<https://comp3721tutorials.github.io>)

The correct answer should be: $b^*(ab^* \cup b^*)(ab^* \cup b^*)(ab^* \cup b^*)$.

- 2 About the empty set ϕ and empty word e

According to the definition in the lecture note:

ϕ is the empty language, i.e. the language contains no words.

e is the empty word containing no symbols, i.e. the word of zero length.

That is, ϕ is the language that contains nothing, which tallies with our consistent understanding about "empty set" while e is a word of zero length.

Note that since ϕ contains no element, so the concatenation of any other word or language with ϕ is ϕ (e.g. $b\phi = \phi$, $L(\Sigma)L(\phi) = \phi$) because there is no word in ϕ , resulting the whole string is empty.

That's why the original answer of Q3(a) is wrong. Recall that the original answer is $b^*(a \cup \phi)b^*(a \cup \phi)b^*(a \cup \phi)b^*$. However, $b^*\phi b^*\phi b^*\phi b^* = \phi \in b^*(a \cup \phi)b^*(a \cup \phi)b^*(a \cup \phi)b^*$. It is obviously incorrect.

$\phi^* = \{e\}$ because if we have 0 string from ϕ concatenated together, we will get the empty string.

- 3 The definition of regular expression

Thanks for the reminding of one student, the Wikipedia definition of regular expression (https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Regular_expression) is different with that in the lecture note. In Wikipedia, the empty string e is also the regular expression. There are divergences in the definition of regular expression from

different sources. **In this course, we take the definition defined in the lecture notes:**

Inductive definition of *regular expressions* for languages over an alphabet Σ . A regular expression is a string over alphabet $\Sigma_1 = \Sigma \cup \{ (,), \emptyset, \cup, * \}$.

1. \emptyset and each $\sigma \in \Sigma$ are regular expressions.
2. If α and β are regular expressions, then

$$(\alpha\beta), (\alpha \cup \beta), \alpha^*$$

are regular expressions.

3. Nothing else is a regular expression.

4 The detailed proof of the last problem:

Proof (follow the definition; **induction**):

- i. $\forall L(a), a \in \Sigma \cup \emptyset$, if $a = \emptyset$, then $L(a) = \emptyset$, $\text{Pref}(L(a)) = \text{Pref}(\emptyset) = \emptyset$;

If $a \neq \emptyset$, then $L(a) = \{a\}$, $\text{Pref}(L(a)) = \{a\}$ (because $a = ae$, e is the string with 0 length, it is also “some string”)

\emptyset and a are regular expression, so $\text{Pref}(L(a))$ is regular.

- ii. Suppose $L1$ and $L2$ are regular and have their Pref also regular. Now we need to prove the Pref of $L1 \cup L2, L1L2, (L1)^*$ are regular.

- a) $\text{Pref}(L1 \cup L2)$

$$\forall v_1 \in L1, v_2 \in L2, \text{Pref}(v_1 \cup v_2) = \text{Pref}(v_1) \cup \text{Pref}(v_2).$$

Thus we see $\text{Pref}(L1 \cup L2) = \text{Pref}(L1) \cup \text{Pref}(L2)$

since $\text{Pref}(L1)$ and $\text{Pref}(L2)$ are regular language, $\text{Pref}(L1 \cup L2)$ is regular.

b) $\text{Pref}(L1L2)$

$\forall v_1 \in L1, v_2 \in L2$, $\text{Pref}(v_1v_2) = \{w: w \in \text{Pref}(v_1) \text{ or } w = v_1w_2, w_2 \in \text{Pref}(v_2)\}$.

Thus we see $\text{Pref}(L1L2) = \text{Pref}(L1) \cup (L1\text{Pref}(L2))$

since $\text{Pref}(L1)$, $L1$ and $\text{Pref}(L2)$ are regular language, $\text{Pref}(L1L2)$ is regular.

c) $\text{Pref}((L1)^*)$

$\forall v_1, v_2 \dots, v_k \in L1$, $\text{Pref}(v_1v_2 \dots v_k) = \{w: w = v_1 \dots v_{i-1}w_i, w_i \in \text{Pref}(v_i), \text{ for } i = 1, \dots k\}$.

Thus we see $\text{Pref}((L1)^*) = (L1)^*\text{Pref}(L1)$

since $L1$ and $\text{Pref}(L1)$ are regular, $\text{Pref}((L1)^*)$ is regular.

By induction, the statement is proved.

Acknowledgement: thank Yilei Wang for pointing out my previous mistakes.