# Architectural Requirements Taxi Tap by Git It Done





# Contents

1	Architectural Design Strategy	3		
2	Architectural Strategies			
3	Architectural Quality Requirements  3.1 Quality attributes	3 3 4 5		
4	Architectural Design and Pattern			
5	Architectural Constraints	7		
6	Technology Choices	7		

### 1 Architectural Design Strategy

Strategy Chosen: Decomposition via Feature-Driven Development (FDD)

Taxi Tap is built using a modular, feature-based decomposition strategy. Each functional system (e.g., User System, Vehicle System, Trip System) is designed, tested, and deployed independently. This strategy allows for:

- Clear modularity and separation of concerns.
- Parallel development and testing per feature.
- Easy onboarding and maintainability.
- Reduced risk when scaling or introducing new features.

### 2 Architectural Strategies

Chosen Style: Event-Driven Architecture

Event-driven architecture is centered around asynchronous communication between components. Components emit and react to events, allowing for real-time responsiveness, loose coupling and scalability. Why this is the best fit for our system:

- Real-time Interaction: Location updates, ride requests, driver availability, and notifications all benefit from real-time triggers and updates. Convex supports reactive data + background functions, making it a natural fit for an event-driven model.
- Asynchronous Processing: Tasks like sending push notifications, updating seat availability, or logging analytics should not block the main user flow. EDA allows these to run in the background, improving app responsiveness.
- Loose Coupling: With EDA, components (like driver matching and notifications) can be developed and deployed independently. This aligns well with Convex's function-based model, which is modular and reactive.
- Scalability and Maintainability: New features can easily be added by listening to events without modifying core components.

### 3 Architectural Quality Requirements

### 3.1 Quality attributes

The quality attributes for Taxi Tap are prioritized and defined as follows:

#### Availability:

**Why:** Ensures continuous service. If the app is down, users cannot book or accept rides, which impacts revenue and reputation.

**Solution:** Maintain at least **99.5% uptime** under normal usage, with support for graceful degradation during failure.

#### • Scalability:

Why: Supports many users using the app simultaneously and allows the system to handle growth in demand.

Solution: The system must support at least 100 concurrent ride requests with a backend response time of under 100ms.

#### • Usability:

Why: Users must be able to complete essential tasks easily, regardless of their technical skill level. Poor usability leads to frustration and abandonment.

**Solution:** Use simple language, clear layout, and high-contrast color schemes. Employ interface metaphors such as intuitive icons to make navigation easy for users, including those who are technologically inexperienced.

#### • Security:

Why: The system manages sensitive user data, including locations and contact details. Breaches can result in legal issues and loss of trust.

Solution: Enforce role-based access control (RBAC) in all backend functions. Convex encrypts data both in transit (via HTTPS) and at rest, ensuring robust platform security.

#### • Performance:

Why: Real-time features must respond quickly to meet user expectations. Delays in booking, navigation, or messaging reduce usability during peak hours.

**Solution:** Ensure that the average response time of backend functions remains under **20ms**.

### 3.2 Architectural Strategies

#### • Availability:

Why: If the system becomes unavailable, users cannot book or accept rides, damaging both reliability and trust.

#### Solution:

- Replication: Convex provides high availability by replicating data and functions across multiple geographic zones, ensuring continuity during failures.

#### • Scalability:

Why: To support growing demand and simultaneous ride requests, the system must dynamically handle increased load.

#### Solution:

- Horizontal scale-out: Convex automatically scales infrastructure horizontally to meet demand.
- Data sharding: Data is partitioned across shards by Convex without manual configuration, improving scalability.
- Asynchronous processing: Non-critical tasks (e.g., sending notifications)
  are offloaded to background jobs using Convex async functions, freeing up
  system resources.

#### • Usability:

Why: An intuitive and responsive interface is crucial to support users with varying levels of digital literacy.

#### Solution:

- Real-time UI: Keeps location and notification data live using subscriptions or efficient polling mechanisms.
- Responsiveness: Improves user experience by minimizing UI latency and limiting the use of loading spinners to essential operations.

#### • Security:

Why: The system manages sensitive user data, including real-time locations and account details. Breaches can result in legal and reputational harm.

#### Solution:

- Secure communication: All data in transit is encrypted using TLS by default.
- Role-based access control (RBAC): Server functions enforce strict access controls based on user roles (e.g., driver vs passenger).

#### • Performance:

Why: Real-time systems require fast responses. Delays in booking, tracking, or communication reduce system usability.

#### Solution:

- Database indexing: Convex uses optimized queries with withIndex(...) for fast access to common fields like driver ID or ride ID.
- Asynchronous tasks: Operations like sending notifications are processed asynchronously to reduce response time for users.

#### 3.3 Architectural Patterns

#### • Availability:

- Leader-Follower (Replication): Ensures high availability through replication across geographic zones. Convex's architecture inherently provides replication, fitting this pattern.

#### • Scalability:

- Microservices: Enables horizontal scaling and data sharding by separating features into independent services. Services can be deployed and scaled independently, supporting high scalability.
- Event-Driven: Aligns with our use of Convex async functions, background jobs, and non-blocking operations. Allows components to react to events like rideRequested and rideCompleted asynchronously.

#### • Usability:

MVVM: Helps maintain a clear separation of concerns in the frontend. Improves usability and supports a responsive real-time UI with clean state management.

#### • Security:

API Gateway Pattern: Centralizes access control, TLS encryption, RBAC, and routing for backend services. Prevents unauthorized access to ride-matching or location services. Verifies tokens or credentials and enforces Role-Based Access Control (RBAC), while hiding internal services from the public.

#### • Performance:

Microservices: Isolation of services ensures that each feature runs independently, reducing overhead and avoiding bottlenecks that can impact the entire system.

#### - Event-Driven:

- \* Asynchronous Processing: Time-consuming or non-critical tasks are handled in the background, keeping the main user flow fast.
- \* Non-blocking: The system responds quickly without waiting for every operation to complete, and processes tasks as resources become available.
- \* Decoupling: Services emit events (e.g., RideRequested), and subscribers handle them independently, improving responsiveness and modularity.

### 4 Architectural Design and Pattern

**Overview:** Taxi Tap is structured using a feature-driven and event-driven architecture. The diagram below illustrates this architecture.

[Architecture Diagram Placeholder]

#### **Components:**

- Expo Frontend: Mobile-first interface using React Native. The user intefraces are built with MVVM.
- Convex Backend: Serverless backend with modular mutations and schema.
- Convex Database: Strongly-typed database used by each module.
- Feature Modules: Each with its own schema, adapter, hook, and UI screen.

This design provides modularity, scalability, and testability with minimal DevOps complexity.

### 5 Architectural Constraints

- Client Constraints: Must remain within the AWS Free Tier; performance must be maintained under low-cost infrastructure.
- **Deployment Constraints:** Fully serverless; no Docker/Kubernetes; must deploy via CI/CD with minimal setup.
- Security Constraints: Only verified users may access trip, payment, or GPS functionality.
- Latency Constraints: Real-time location updates must occur under 1 second.
- Scalability Constraints: Design must accommodate scaling to 1,000+ users without architectural changes.

## 6 Technology Choices

#### **Backend Platform**

Option	Pros	Cons
Convex	Fully serverless, fast dev, native	New ecosystem, TypeScript only
	React support	
Firebase	Realtime syncing, easy integra-	Poor test tooling, security rule
	tion	complexity
AWS Lambda	Highly scalable, mature	Complex CI/CD, requires De-
		vOps setup
Chosen: Convex	Perfect fit for modular, testable architecture. Free tier-friendly.	

#### Frontend Platform

Option	Pros	Cons
Expo (React Native)	Fast prototyping, hot reload,	Slightly heavier bundles
	cross-platform	
Flutter	Beautiful UI, good performance	Slower iteration, Dart-only
Native iOS/Android	Highest performance	High dev effort, no code sharing
Chosen: Expo	Fastest mobile-first path with TypeScript and Convex integration.	

#### **Database**

Option	Pros	Cons
Convex DB	Type-safe, built for Convex, no	Smaller community
	config	
Firestore	Realtime, battle-tested	Complex security model
Supabase	Postgres-based, open source	Overhead for micro-systems
Chosen: Convex DB   Natively integrated with our serverless logic.		rless logic.

### Payment Processor

Option	Pros	Cons
Yoco	Local SA support, fast onboard-	Limited advanced payment flows
	ing, easy to integrate	
Paystack	Clean APIs, good reliability	Limited card support in SA
Stripe	Powerful API, subscriptions	International fees, SA limitations
Chosen: Yoco Best fit for local payments in South Africa. Simple, effective, mol		h Africa. Simple, effective, mobile-friendly.