# **Sprint Review and Sprint Retrospective 2 - Team A**

* Features implemented
  + Necessary additions to SRS Document
  + Improved and revised mockups
  + Completed and Improved Code for Log-in feature
  + Draft up of sign in page to confirm sign in was complete
  + Steps added to readme with verification of functionalities
* Issues fixed
  + We had a few missing user stories that had to be rewritten and added back in
  + Made changes to our requirements that were once wordy and rewrote the specifics
  + Some of the titling of use case descriptions did not follow the proper format and had to be changed
  + Use case diagrams were revised to have more closely related use case topics tied to the User and Mentor
  + Altered layout of UI mockups to incorporate a few more features such as payment, and an elaboration of the progress tracker
* Implementation review
  + *What went well in the implementation*
    - Planning with Miro was very helpful and organized with what we need for each feature
    - The resources and source code we found were accurate and related to what we needed
    - Code implementation was smooth, and verified multiple times locally
  + *What problems occurred*
    - Nothing else that we could think of this week
      * A lot of work this week was design and video based, so it went primarily smooth
  + *How problems were solved*
    - No major solutions needed, close attention was paid to videos, rubrics, and guides
    - Utilization of Kanban and Miro board allowed us to smooth issues out before they got too big and ahead of us
* Changes made
  + Slight technical changes in UI mockups such as shaping, layout, and the addition of a payment bar which went along with our idea of incestivisaing mentors with a reasonable payment based system
  + Added a layout page to the progress bar, so that we can elaborate on progress tracking more in the future. We set it for its own page (linked from the homepage) so the idea can be improved for the user view.
* Plans for next sprint *(What will be done for the next sprint)*
  + Reading through deliverable 3 assignment, rubric, and where we move forward from
  + High level overview of how to implement the questionnaire for the user
  + High level overview as well of video conference for mentor and graduate implementation (based from calling zoom API)
* Sprint Retrospective
  + *What went well?*
    - Mutual communication from everybody when a question was asked or a concern was raised
    - Everyone had their tasks/issues completed on time and properly
    - Everyone had contributions to github, as directed by the rubric
    - When someone finished early, there was no hesitation to begin helping someone else
  + *What could be improved?*
    - Though not necessarily bad, some meetings were adjusted with short notice and delayed a few hours

* + *What will we commit to improve in the next Sprint?*
    - *What changes will be made to how we work for the next sprint?*
      * *Fill in the table below*
      * *Then enter it into the project management / task tracking system*

| *Change* | *Who will be responsible for the change* | *Who will check in on the change half-way and when its complete* |
| --- | --- | --- |
| *Application of scheduling with zoom* | *Josh and Brady* | *Brendon and Brady* |
| *Implementation of Job Questionaire* | *Josh and Brady* | *Alex and Brady* |
| *Addition of a mentor account to differentiate why a user is signing up* | *Brendon and Josh* | *Brendon and Alex* |
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# **Sprint Review 1 - Team A CareerWise**

* Features implemented
* Produced functional and non-functional requirement lists
* Produced UI mockups
* Created Kanban
* Created Product and Sprint backlogs
* Created initial code to build off of
* Issues fixed
* Revised user stories
* Revised project description
* Implementation review
  + *What went well in the implementation*
* The creation of the kanban was helpful in giving us the status of our tasks and representing where we're truly at in the process
* Our non-functional and functional requirements came in a seemingly perfect quality and quantity for the scope of what our project will have.
* Everybody had their issues and assignments complete faster than we expected and this allowed for more effective quality checking
  + *What problems occurred*
* We initially tried to count and plan out a number of functional and non functional requirements and we realized that in doing this, some became forced or redundant in trying to fill a number
* npm was being installed in the wrong place because 2 repositories were accidentally cloned within a folder in which the terminal was set into
  + *How problems were solved*
* We instead all put in our best ideas individually rather than filling in a set number, and while we didn’t have a huge number of each (func and nonfunc) we had a set list of effective and concise requirements
* Specifically separating folders and organizing where respoitories are in his local machine allowed our teammate to avoid terminal errors signifying unidentified inputs.
* Changes made
* Made changes to our user stories to have them be more direct and concise with what a user envisions
* Added mission statement to our team setup in github
* Changed the basis of discord communication to have established time frames for response time
* Plans for next sprint *(What will be done for the next sprint)*
* Review and breakdown deliverable 2
* Review any feedback or changes to be made to what we are submitting from this week
* Begin the implementation of our user stories
* Focus on refining requirements and begin implementing them.
* Scrum Review
  + *What went well?*
* We did a better job this time in breaking down the requirements for this deliverable and talking about them as a group so that everyone can be on the same page, while last time we all felt sort of in the deep end with what we had to actually get done. Also, our organization of tasks, issue completion, and tracking of our revision was a lot more clear this week, as everybody did their part and more importantly, everyone felt aware of what the other group members were in charge of.
  + *What could be improved?*

This week we had to postpone certain meetings and extend other meetings because things came up for everyone and at times we had to make sudden changes, but in the end, we made it work. We also initially struggled with how were supposed to track our changes made to the series of documents so that we can visualize the improvements over time

* + *What changes will be made to how we work for the next sprint?*
* We felt this week went very well between the split of work, our scheduling, and the quality of our meetings. I think for next week we can make more of an incentive to help each other if someone is stuck on their individual assigned issues because this week may have been slightly independent as far as people being expected to complete their part on their own.