# Sprint Review and Sprint Retrospective 2 - <Dingo>

* Features implemented
  + SRS document filled out
  + Architecture design diagram
  + Use case diagrams
* Issues fixed
  + Functional Requirements from D1
  + Non Functional requirements from D1
  + Added quality checking policy to Wiki on Git
* Implementation review
  + *What went well in the implementation*
    - Smoother process overall compared to prior deliverables
  + *What problems occurred*
    - Tasks should have been split up better
    - Still trying to nail down a way to showcase how AI is integrated with a users’ work to show before and after results effectively.
  + *How problems were solved*
    - Tasks were divided into smaller tasks
* Changes made
  + Moving tasks into appropriate lists to indicate level of completion
  + Adding more detail into tasks for better clarity
* Plans for next sprint *(What will be done for the next sprint)*
  + Work on polishing our UI
* Sprint Retrospective
  + *What went well?*
    - *Team morale at an all time high and quickly rising*
  + *What could be improved?*
    - Trying to move tasks to QC within the QC policy time.
    - Trying to finish higher priority tasks with dependencies sooner
  + *What will we commit to improve in the next Sprint?*
    - *What changes will be made to how we work for the next sprint?*
      * *Fill in the table below*
      * *Then enter it into the project management / task tracking system*

| *Change* | *Who will be responsible for the change* | *Who will check in on the change half-way and when* |
| --- | --- | --- |
| *Set finish by dates for tasks with dependencies 2 days earlier* | *Mason Peasley* | [*Josh VanSantvoord*](mailto:josh.vansantvoord@maine.edu) |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

# Sprint Review and Sprint Retrospective 1 - Dingo

* Features implemented
  + Codebase for web application created
  + Sprint Backlog
  + System Requirements Spec doc
  + README
  + Created KanBan
* Issues fixed
  + Revisions for D0
    - Updated user stories based on feedback
    - Clarified differentiating features of our application
    - Fixed Task Flow diagrams
* Implementation review
  + *What went well in the implementation*
    - Communication between team members and work ethic.
    - Any unexpected issues or work arise, able to get someone to resolve.
  + *What problems occurred*
    - Couldn’t have sprint review meeting due to work being scheduled during the break
  + *How problems were solved*
    - Had sprint review day later
* Changes made
  + Made plans to export sprint backlog at start of deliverable
* Plans for next sprint *(What will be done for the next sprint)*
  + Creating and assigning tasks
* Sprint Retrospective
  + Quickly adapted to adversity when some requirements were found later on in the deliverable
  + *What could be improved?*
    - Make sure quality checks are added and assigned
    - Be more thorough in assigning and describing tasks
  + *What will we commit to improve in the next Sprint?*
    - *What changes will be made to how we work for the next sprint?*
      * *Fill in the table below*
      * *Then enter it into the project management / task tracking system*

| *Change* | *Who will be responsible for the change* | *Who will check in on the change half-way and when* |
| --- | --- | --- |
| *Create and abide by the Quality checking wiki* | *Chauncy O* | *Josh V* |
| *Using the “Quality Checking” status in the kanaban* | *All team* | *Xander W* |
| *Add relevant links/details when creating tasks* | *Mason P* | *Nathanial H* |