

regional_mom6: Automatic generation of regional configurations for the Modular Ocean Model 6 in Python

Ashley J. Barnes^{1,2}, Navid C. Constantinou^{1,2}, Angus H. Gibson¹, Chris Chapman³, Dhruv Bhagtani^{1,2}, John Reilly⁴, and Andrew E. Kiss^{1,2}

¹ Australian National University, Australia ² ARC Centre of Excellence in Climate Extremes, Australia ³ CSIRO Environment, Hobart, Tasmania, Australia ⁴ University of Tasmania, Australia

DOI: [10.xxxxxx/draft](https://doi.org/10.xxxxxx/draft)

Software

- [Review](#)
- [Repository](#)
- [Archive](#)

Editor: [Open Journals](#)

Reviewers:

- [@openjournals](#)

Submitted: 01 January 1970

Published: unpublished

License

Authors of papers retain copyright and release the work under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License ([CC BY 4.0](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/))

Summary

The Modular Ocean Model version 6 (MOM6) is a widely-used open-source general circulation ocean–sea ice model, developed mainly at the NOAA Geophysical Fluid Dynamics Laboratory (Adcroft et al., 2019). MOM6 contains several improvements over its predecessor MOM5 (Griffies, 2014), including the implementation of the Arbitrary-Lagrangian-Eulerian vertical coordinates (Griffies et al., 2020), more efficient tracer advection schemes, and state-of-the-art parameterizations of sub-grid scale physics. MOM6 provides support for open boundary conditions and thus is becoming popular for regional ocean modeling studies (see, e.g., Ross et al. (2023), Ross et al. (2024)) in addition to global configurations. However, setting up a regional configuration for MOM6 can be challenging, time consuming, and often involves using several programming languages, a few different tools, and also manually editing/tweaking some input files. The `regional_mom6` Python package overcomes these difficulties, automatically generating a regional MOM6 configuration with relatively simple domain geometry.

The `regional_mom6` package takes as input various datasets that containing the ocean initial condition, the boundary forcing (ocean and atmosphere) for the regional domain, and the bathymetry. The input datasets can be on the Arakawa A, B, or C grids (Arakawa & Lamb, 1977); the package performs the appropriate interpolation using xESMF (Zhuang et al., 2023) under the hood, to put the everything on the C grid required by MOM6. This base grid for the regional configuration can either be constructed based on the user's desired resolution preference and choice of pre-configured options, or from a user-provided horizontal and/or vertical pre-existing MOM6 grids. The user can use MOM6's Arbitrary-Lagrangian-Eulerian vertical coordinates, regardless of the native vertical coordinates of the boundary forcing input. The package automates the re-gridding of all the required forcing input, takes care of all the metadata encoding, generates the regional grid, and ensures that the final input files are in the format expected by MOM6. Additionally, the tricky case of a regional configuration that includes the 'seam' in the longitude of the raw input data is handled automatically, removing the need for any preprocessing of the input data. (For example, such a 'seam'-related issue arises for a 10°-wide regional configuration centered at Fiji (178°E) when forced by input with native longitude coordinate in the range between 180°W and 180°E.) The above-mentioned automation allows users to setup a regional MOM6 configuration using only Python and from the convenience of a single Jupyter notebook. Rules-of-thumb to guide the user in setting grid parameters such as the regional domains resolution, can be found in the paper by Herzfeld et al. (2011).

`regional_mom6` is continuously tested and comes with an extensive documentation that also

includes documented tutorials and examples for setting up regional MOM6 configurations using publicly-available forcing and bathymetry datasets (namely, the GLORYS dataset for ocean boundary forcing (Copernicus Marine Services, 2024), the ERA5 reanalysis for atmospheric forcing (Copernicus Climate Change Service, 2024), and the GEBCO dataset for bathymetry (GEBCO Bathymetric Compilation Group 2023, 2023)).

With the entire process for setting up a regional configuration streamlined to run within a Jupyter notebook, the package dramatically reduces the barrier-to-entry for first-time users, or those without a strong background in Fortran, experience in compiling and running scripts in terminals, and manipulating netCDF files. Besides making regional modelling with MOM6 more accessible, our package can automate the generation of multiple experiments (e.g., a series of perturbation experiments), saving time and effort, and improving reproducibility.

We designed `regional_mom6` with automation of regional configurations in mind. However, the package's code design and modularity makes more complex configurations possible since users can use their own custom-made grids with more complex boundaries and construct the boundary forcing terms one by one.




Figure 1: A snapshot of the ocean surface currents from a MOM6 regional simulation of the Tasman sea. The simulation is forced by GLORYS and ERA5 reanalysis datasets and configured with a horizontal resolution of 1/80th degree and 100 vertical levels (see Barnes (2024) for the source code).

Figure 1 shows the surface currents from a regional ocean simulation of the Tasman sea that was configured using the `regional_mom6` package.

Statement of need

The learning curve for setting up a regional ocean model can be quite steep, and it is not obvious for a new user what inputs are required, nor the appropriate format. In the case of MOM6, there are several tools scattered in Github repositories, for example those collected in Earth System Modeling Group grid tools (Cermak et al., 2021). Also, there exist several regional configuration examples (e.g., [cite here 1-2 repos?]) but they are hardcoded for particular domains, specific input files, and work only on specific high-performance computing machines.

68 Until now there has been no one-stop-shop for users to learn how to get a regional MOM6
69 configuration up and running. Users are required to use several tools in several programming
70 languages and then modify –sometimes by hand– some of the input metadata to bring everything
71 into the format that MOM6 expects. Many parts of this process are not documented, requiring
72 users to dig into the MOM6 Fortran source code. Other ocean models have packages to
73 aid in regional configuration setup, for example Pyroms (Hedstrom & contributors, 2023) for
74 the Regional Oceanic Modelling System (ROMS; Shchepetkin & McWilliams (2005)) and
75 MITgcm_python (Naughten & Jones, 2023) for the Massachusetts Institute of Technology
76 General Circulation Model (MITgcm; Marshall et al. (1997)). With MOM6's growing user
77 base for regional applications, there is a need for a platform that walks users through regional
78 domain configuration from start to finish and, ideally, automates the process on the way.
79 `regional_mom6` fills precisely this need.

80 By having a shared set of tools that the community can work with and contribute to, this
81 package also facilitates collaboration and knowledge-sharing between different research groups.
82 Using a shared framework for setting up regional models, it is easier to compare and contrast
83 examples of different experiments and allows for users to gain intuition for generating their
84 chosen domain.

85 `regional_mom6` package can also be used for educational purposes, for example as part of
86 course curricula. With the technically-challenging aspects of setting up a regional configuration
87 now being automated by the `regional_mom6` package, students can set up and run simple
88 MOM6 regional configurations and also change parameters like the model's resolution or the
89 forcing, run again, and see how these parameters affect the ocean flow.

90 Acknowledgements

91 We thank the Consortium for Ocean–Sea Ice Modeling in Australia (cosima.org.au) for useful
92 discussions during the development of this package. We acknowledge support from the
93 Australian Research Council under DECRA Fellowship DE210100749 (N.C.C.) and grant
94 LP200100406 (A.E.K.). We would also like to acknowledge the code and notes by James
95 Simkins, Andrew Ross, and Rob Cermak, which helped us to troubleshoot and improve the
96 algorithms in our package.

97 References

- 98 Adcroft, A., Anderson, W., Balaji, V., Blanton, C., Bushuk, M., Dufour, C. O., Dunne, J.
99 P., Griffies, S. M., Hallberg, R., Harrison, M. J., Held, I. M., Jansen, M. F., John, J. G.,
100 Krasting, J. P., Langenhorst, A. R., Legg, S., Liang, Z., McHugh, C., Radhakrishnan,
101 A., ... Zhang, R. (2019). The GFDL global ocean and sea ice model OM4.0: Model
102 description and simulation features. *Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems*,
103 11(10), 3167–3211. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2019MS001726>
- 104 Arakawa, A., & Lamb, V. R. (1977). Computational design of the basic dynamical processes
105 of the UCLA general circulation model. *Methods in Computational Physics: Advances*
106 *in Research and Applications*, 17(Supplement C), 173–265. [https://doi.org/10.1016/](https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-460817-7.50009-4)
107 [B978-0-12-460817-7.50009-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-460817-7.50009-4)
- 108 Barnes, A. J. (2024). Tasman-tides. In *GitHub repository*. [https://github.com/ashjbarnes/](https://github.com/ashjbarnes/tasman-tides)
109 [tasman-tides](https://github.com/ashjbarnes/tasman-tides)
- 110 Cermak, R., Simkins, J., Hedstrom, K., & Gibson, A. (2021). ESMG gridtools. In *GitHub*
111 *repository*. Github. <https://github.com/ESMG/gridtools>
- 112 Copernicus Climate Change Service. (2024). *ECMWF Reanalysis v5*. European Centre for
113 Medium-Range Weather Forecasts. <https://doi.org/10.48670/moi-00021>

- 114 Copernicus Marine Services. (2024). *Global ocean physics reanalysis*. Mercator Ocean
115 International. <https://doi.org/10.48670/moi-00021>
- 116 GEBCO Bathymetric Compilation Group 2023. (2023). *The GEBCO_2023 Grid - a continuous*
117 *terrain model of the global oceans and land*. NERC EDS British Oceanographic Data
118 Centre NOC. <https://doi.org/10.5285/f98b053b-0cbc-6c23-e053-6c86abc0af7b>
- 119 Griffies, S. M. (2014). Elements of the modular ocean model (MOM). *GFDL Ocean Group*
120 *Tech. Rep.*, 7, 47. https://mom-ocean.github.io/assets/pdfs/MOM5_manual.pdf
- 121 Griffies, S. M., Adcroft, A., & Hallberg, R. W. (2020). A primer on the vertical Lagrangian-
122 remap method in ocean models based on finite volume generalized vertical coordinates.
123 *Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems*, 12(10), e2019MS001954. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2019MS001954>
- 125 Hedstrom, K., & contributors. (2023). Pyroms. In *GitHub repository*. Github. <https://github.com/ESMG/pyroms>
- 127 Herzfeld, M., Schmidt, M., Griffies, S. M., & Liang, Z. (2011). Realistic test cases for limited
128 area ocean modelling. *Ocean Modelling*, 37(1), 1–34. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ocemod.2010.12.008>
- 130 Marshall, J., Adcroft, A., Hill, C., Perelman, L., & Heisey, C. (1997). A finite-volume,
131 incompressible Navier Stokes model for studies of the ocean on parallel computers. *Journal of*
132 *Geophysical Research: Oceans*, 102(C3), 5753–5766. <https://doi.org/10.1029/96JC02775>
- 133 Naughten, K., & Jones, D. (2023). MITgcm_python. In *GitHub repository*. Github. https://github.com/knaughten/mitgcm_python
- 135 Ross, A. C., Stock, C. A., Adcroft, A., Curchitser, E., Hallberg, R., Harrison, M. J., Hedstrom,
136 K., Zadeh, N., Alexander, M., Chen, W., Drenkard, E. J., Pontavice, H. du, Dussin, R.,
137 Gomez, F., John, J. G., Kang, D., Lavoie, D., Resplandy, L., Roobaert, A., ... Simkins, J.
138 (2023). A high-resolution physical–biogeochemical model for marine resource applications in
139 the northwest Atlantic (MOM6-COBALT-NWA12 v1.0). *Geoscientific Model Development*,
140 16(23), 6943–6985. <https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-16-6943-2023>
- 141 Ross, A. C., Stock, C. A., Koul, V., Delworth, T. L., Lu, F., Wittenberg, A., & Alexander,
142 M. A. (2024). Dynamically downscaled seasonal ocean forecasts for North American East
143 Coast ecosystems. *EGUsphere*, 2024, 1–40. <https://doi.org/10.5194/egusphere-2024-394>
- 144 Shchepetkin, A. F., & McWilliams, J. C. (2005). The regional oceanic modeling system
145 (ROMS): A split-explicit, free-surface, topography-following-coordinate oceanic model.
146 *Ocean Modelling*, 9(4), 347–404. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ocemod.2004.08.002>
- 147 Zhuang, J., Dussin, R., Huard, D., Bourgault, P., Banihirwe, A., Raynaud, S., Malevich,
148 B., Schupfner, M., Filipe, Levang, S., Gauthier, C., Jüling, A., Almansi, M., Scott,
149 R., RondeauG, Rasp, S., Smith, T. J., Stachelek, J., Plough, M., & Li, X. (2023).
150 xESMF: Universal regridded for geospatial data. In *GitHub repository*. Zenodo. <https://github.com/pangeo-data/xESMF>