Protein-Ligand Docking

Date: 2022-07-01 Tags: 1_Drylab 3_Protein-Ligand Docking Created by: Christoph Gertzen	1/2
(_Written by Christoph Gertzen_)	
(_Last update: 2022.08.18_)	
The proteins were aligned on their respective {binding pocket docking target}.	
The proteins were protonated with $\{Maestro Modeling\ tool\}$ at a pH of $\{7.4 pH\}$, with protonal as determined by $\{PROPKA pKa\ method\}$.	ation states
For the molecular docking, ligands {PP, 5BFA, 6MSA, 4HCA, 4HBA, CA ligand} were drawn a into a 3D structure with {Maestro Modeling tool}.	nd converted
The protonation state of the ligand was determined using {Epik ligand protonation program}.	
The ligands were made using all possible combinations of stereocenter configurations and do individually.	ocked
The ligands were placed into the {binding pocket docking target} using {Maestro Modeling to	ol}.

Protein-Ligand Docking

Date: 2022-07-01

Tags: 1_Drylab 3_Protein-Ligand Docking

Created by: Christoph Gertzen

The ligands were subsequently docked into the binding pockets of the respective sensors utilizing a combination of {AutoDock|docking engine} as a docking engine and the {DrugScore2018|docking potentials} distance-dependent pair-potentials as an objective function. The pair potentials were generated for at least {8.0 Å|map size} in each direction around the {largest ligand|map center}.

2/2

During docking, default parameters were used, except for the clustering RMSD cutoff, which was set to {2.0 Å|clustering RMSD cutoff}.

Binding modes were considered valid if they were located in the {binding pocket|docking target} and contained in the {largest cluster|success criterion}, which comprised at least {20%|valid cluster criterion} of all docking poses.

PDF generated with elabftw, a free and open source lab notebook