

Example M5: Testing and Code Review

1. Change History

| Change Date | Modified Sections | Rationale |
|----------------|-------------------|--|
| March 30, 2025 | 3.2 | Updated required response time to 3s to match test implemenation |

2. Back-end Test Specification: APIs

2.1. Locations of Back-end Tests and Instructions to Run Them

2.1.1. Tests

| Interface | Describe Group Location, No Mocks | Describe Group Location, With Mocks |
|--|---|--|
| POST /discounts | backend/___tests___/no_mock/NoMockDiscount.test.ts#L13 | backend/___tests___/with_mock/WithMock |
| GET /discounts/:id | backend/___tests___/no_mock/NoMockDiscount.test.ts#L175 | backend/___tests___/with_mock/WithMock |
| GET /discounts | backend/___tests___/no_mock/NoMockDiscount.test.ts#L255 | backend/___tests___/with_mock/WithMock |
| DELETE /discounts/:id | backend/___tests___/no_mock/NoMockDiscount.test.ts#L344 | backend/___tests___/with_mock/WithMock |
| POST /notifications | backend/___tests___/no_mock/NoMockNotification.test.ts#L10 | backend/___tests___/with_mock/WithMock |
| DELETE /notifications/:id | backend/___tests___/no_mock/NoMockNotification.test.ts#L107 | backend/___tests___/with_mock/WithMock |
| POST /preferences/allergies | backend/___tests___/no_mock/NoMockPreference.test.ts#L10 | backend/___tests___/with_mock/WithMock |
| GET /preferences/allergies/:id | backend/___tests___/no_mock/NoMockPreference.test.ts#L74 | backend/___tests___/with_mock/WithMock |
| DELETE /preferences/allergies/:id/:allergy | backend/___tests___/no_mock/NoMockPreference.test.ts#L114 | backend/___tests___/with_mock/WithMock |
| | | |
| POST /recipes | backend/___tests___/no_mock/NoMockRecipe.test.ts#L81 | backend/___tests___/with_mock/WithMock |
| GET /recipes/:id | backend/___tests___/no_mock/NoMockRecipe.test.ts#L329 | backend/___tests___/with_mock/WithMock |
| DELETE /recipes/:id | backend/___tests___/no_mock/NoMockRecipe.test.ts#L401 | backend/___tests___/with_mock/WithMock |
| | | |
| POST /routes | backend/___tests___/no_mock/NoMockRoute.test.ts#L52 | backend/___tests___/with_mock/WithMock |
| GET /routes/:id | backend/___tests___/no_mock/NoMockRoute.test.ts#L337 | backend/___tests___/with_mock/WithMock |
| DELETE /routes/:id | backend/___tests___/no_mock/NoMockRoute.test.ts#L398 | backend/___tests___/with_mock/WithMock |
| GET /users/:userID/routes | backend/___tests___/no_mock/NoMockUser.test.ts#L11 | backend/___tests___/with_mock/WithMock |

2.1.2. Commit Hash Where Tests Run

dec99a62494e8d9b553f9a39e6dedc8c2119fdfe

2.1.3. Explanation on How to Run the Tests

1. Clone the Repository:

- Open your terminal and run:

```
git clone https://github.com/CPEN321-FoodTrip/FoodTrip.git
```

2. Navigate to the backend directory:

- In the terminal, run:

```
cd backend/
```

3. Install the required dependencies:

- In the terminal, run:

```
npm ci
```

4. Setup a **.env** in the backend directory:

- In the terminal, run:

```
touch .env
```

- With the editor of your choice, add the following environment variables:

```
EDAMAM_APP_ID=  
EDAMAM_API_KEY=  
FIREBASE_SERVICE_ACCOUNT_KEY=  
(Optional, only for performance test) GATEWAY_BASE_URL=
```

5. Run the tests with or without coverage:

- To run the tests **with** coverage, use the following command in the terminal:

```
npm run test:coverage
```

- To run the tests **without** coverage, use the following command in the terminal:

```
npm run test
```

6. [Optional] Run only the mocked or unmocked tests:

- To run the tests **with** mocks, use the following command in the terminal:

```
npm run test __tests__/with_mock/
```

- To run the tests **without** mocks, use the following command in the terminal:

```
npm run test __tests__/no_mock/
```

7. View the full coverage repo:

- Within the backend directory navigate to the **coverage/lcov-report** directory.
- Open **index.html** in the browser.

2.2. GitHub Actions Configuration Location

[~/github/workflows/test-backend.yml](#)

2.3. Jest Coverage Report Screenshots With Mocks

| File | % Stmts | % Branch | % Funcs | % Lines | Uncovered Line #s |
|---|---------|----------|---------|---------|-----------------------|
| All files | 94.83 | 84.04 | 91.35 | 94.68 | |
| backend | 71.42 | 58.82 | 54.54 | 71.42 | |
| index.ts | 70.45 | 83.33 | 44.44 | 70.45 | 43-66,71 |
| jest.config.ts | 0 | 100 | 100 | 0 | 3-22 |
| services.ts | 90 | 45.45 | 100 | 90 | 10 |
| backend/controllers | 99.5 | 100 | 100 | 99.45 | |
| DiscountController.ts | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | |
| NotificationController.ts | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | |
| PreferenceController.ts | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | |
| RecipeController.ts | 97.5 | 100 | 100 | 97.29 | 31 |
| RouteController.ts | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | |
| UserController.ts | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | |
| backend/helpers | 96.06 | 82.22 | 94.59 | 96.26 | |
| DiscountHelper.ts | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | |
| NotificationHelper.ts | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | |
| PreferenceHelper.ts | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | |
| RecipeHelper.ts | 83.33 | 63.63 | 71.42 | 84.9 | 36-38,82-84,95-97,114 |
| RouteHelpers.ts | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | |
| UserHelper.ts | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | |
| backend/routes | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | |
| DiscountRoutes.ts | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | |
| NotificationRoutes.ts | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | |
| PreferenceRoutes.ts | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | |
| RecipesRoutes.ts | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | |
| RouteRoutes.ts | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | |
| UserRoutes.ts | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | |
| Test Suites: 2 failed, 4 passed, 6 total | | | | | |
| Tests: 7 failed, 76 passed, 83 total | | | | | |
| Snapshots: 0 total | | | | | |
| Time: 30.363 s | | | | | |
| Ran all test suites matching /__tests__\/with_mock/i. | | | | | |

The `index.ts` file does not have 100% coverage because the server does not start or open a port during tests, and a different MongoDB client is used. As a result, the `startServer()` function is not executed.

```

47  async function startServer() {
48      initializeClient();
49      client
50          .connect()
51          .then(async () => {
52              console.log("Connected to MongoDB");
53
54              initializeFirebaseAdmin();
55              await initializeGeoNamesDatabase();
56
57              app.listen(process.env.PORT, () => {
58                  console.log("Server is running on port " + process.env.PORT);
59              });
60          })
61          .catch((err: Error) => {
62              console.error(err);
63              client.close();
64          });
65      }
66
67  5x  I if (process.env.NODE_ENV !== "test") {
68      void startServer();
69  }

```

The reason the `jest.config.ts` file does not have any coverage is simply because it is for configuring our test environment and does not contribute to the functionality of the app.

The `services.ts` file has no coverage because, during testing, an in-memory MongoDB client is injected. As a result, one branch of an if statement, responsible for assigning the production client, is never executed.

```

6  5x  export function initializeClient(customClient?: MongoClient) {
7  5x      if (customClient) {
8  5x          client = customClient;
9          } else {
10         client = new MongoClient(process.env.DB_URI ?? "mongodb://localhost:27017");
11     }
12 }

```

The reason for not having 100% coverage in `RecipeController` is due to a failing test for creating a valid recipe list which will be fixed in the next milestone. The reason for not having 100% coverage in `RecipeHelper` is because those functions are for database operations which are mocked in these tests and therefore not reached. Additionally, they were thoroughly tested in the unmocked tests.

2.4. Jest Coverage Report Screenshots Without Mocks

| File | % Stmts | % Branch | % Funcs | % Lines | Uncovered Line #s |
|---|---------|----------|---------|---------|-------------------|
| All files | 92.84 | 84.04 | 93.82 | 92.34 | |
| backend | 71.42 | 58.82 | 54.54 | 71.42 | |
| index.ts | 70.45 | 83.33 | 44.44 | 70.45 | 43-66,71 |
| jest.config.ts | 0 | 100 | 100 | 0 | 3-22 |
| services.ts | 90 | 45.45 | 100 | 90 | 10 |
| backend/controllers | 92.53 | 100 | 100 | 91.8 | |
| DiscountController.ts | 92.15 | 100 | 100 | 91.48 | 55,80,102,128 |
| NotificationController.ts | 90.9 | 100 | 100 | 90 | 28,48 |
| PreferenceController.ts | 90 | 100 | 100 | 88.46 | 22,43,63 |
| RecipeController.ts | 92.5 | 100 | 100 | 91.89 | 57-58,81 |
| RouteController.ts | 95.74 | 100 | 100 | 95.45 | 102,128 |
| UserController.ts | 90.9 | 100 | 100 | 88.88 | 18 |
| backend/helpers | 97.81 | 82.22 | 100 | 97.66 | |
| DiscountHelper.ts | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | |
| NotificationHelper.ts | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | |
| PreferenceHelper.ts | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | |
| RecipeHelper.ts | 94.44 | 77.27 | 100 | 94.33 | 33,45-46 |
| RouteHelpers.ts | 98.19 | 84.21 | 100 | 98.09 | 113,124 |
| UserHelper.ts | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | |
| backend/routes | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | |
| DiscountRoutes.ts | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | |
| NotificationRoutes.ts | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | |
| PreferenceRoutes.ts | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | |
| RecipesRoutes.ts | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | |
| RouteRoutes.ts | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | |
| UserRoutes.ts | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | |
| Test Suites: 3 failed, 3 passed, 6 total | | | | | |
| Tests: 4 failed, 57 passed, 61 total | | | | | |
| Snapshots: 0 total | | | | | |
| Time: 35.762 s | | | | | |
| Ran all test suites matching /__tests__\/no_mock/i. | | | | | |

The reason for not having 100% coverage on files in the `backend/controllers` and `backend/helpers` directories is because of internal error handling related to database and external api failures which cannot be tested without mocks. Typically, they are handled within try/catch blocks that call `next()` to pass the error to a central error handler or the helper function which is then caught in the controller.

The `index.ts`, `jest.config.ts` and `services.ts` files do not have 100% coverage due to the exact same reasons as the mocked tests.

3. Back-end Test Specification: Tests of Non-Functional Requirements

3.1. Test Locations in Git

| Non-Functional Requirement | Location in Git |
|--------------------------------|--|
| Performance (Response Time) | FoodTrip/backend/__tests__/nonfunctional/response_time.test.js |
| Usability (Clicks to navigate) | FoodTrip/frontend/app/src/androidTest/java/com/example/FoodTripFrontend/ExampleInstrumentedTest.kt |

3.2. Test Verification and Logs

- **Performance (Response Time)**
 - **Verification:** This test suite evaluates the performance of critical API endpoints in an unmocked environment, simulating real-world user interactions. It measures execution times for creating and deleting routes, recipes, discounts, notifications, and allergy preferences, ensuring each operation completes within 3 seconds. This is important for maintaining a smooth user experience, preventing delays, and ensuring the system can handle expected traffic. By logging execution times and validating responses, the tests help identify performance issues and ensure the system is quick enough.
 - **Log Output**

```
> backend@1.0.0 test
> NODE_ENV=test jest __tests__/non_functional_requirements/performance.test.ts

console.debug
  Route Execution time: 2406ms
```

```

    at __tests__/non_functional_requirements/performance.test.ts:74:13

console.debug
  Discount Execution time: 310ms

    at __tests__/non_functional_requirements/performance.test.ts:125:15

console.debug
  10 discount Execution time: 1953ms

    at __tests__/non_functional_requirements/performance.test.ts:183:13

console.debug
  notif Execution time: 188ms

    at __tests__/non_functional_requirements/performance.test.ts:220:15

console.debug
  10 notification Execution time: 1732ms

    at __tests__/non_functional_requirements/performance.test.ts:263:15

console.debug
  allergy Execution time: 166ms

    at __tests__/non_functional_requirements/performance.test.ts:307:17

PASS __tests__/non_functional_requirements/performance.test.ts (11.031 s)
Unmocked Performance test
  ✓ Unmocked single route, 3 stops (2462 ms)
  ✓ Unmocked single discount (327 ms)
  ✓ Unmocked 10 discount (1968 ms)
  ✓ Unmocked single notification (199 ms)
  ✓ Unmocked 10 notification (1745 ms)
  ✓ Unmocked single allergy (260 ms)

Test Suites: 1 passed, 1 total
Tests:      6 passed, 6 total
Snapshots:  0 total
Time:       11.109 s, estimated 15 s
Ran all test suites matching /__tests__\/non_functional_requirements\/performance.test.ts/i

```

- **Usability (Clicks to Navigate)**

- **Verification:** This test suite simulates using the frontend app along with Espresso to mimic a user's behavior. The test is done by counting the number of valid click in a use case test. At the end of the test, it checks the number of clicks performed in the test. If the number of clicks is within 3 clicks, it passes, and vice versa.
- **Log Output**

```

Usability Test Passed(0 clicks): GroceryActivityTest:checkElements
Usability Test Passed(1 clicks): GroceryActivityTest:discountSuccessTest
Usability Test Passed(1 clicks): GroceryActivityTest:backButton
Usability Test Passed(1 clicks): GroceryActivityTest:discountEmptyTest
Usability Test Passed(0 clicks): GroceryStoreActivityTest:checkElements
Usability Test Passed(1 clicks): GroceryStoreActivityTest:backButtonTest
Usability Test Passed(1 clicks): GroceryStoreActivityTest:emptyIngredientTest
Usability Test Passed(3 clicks): GroceryStoreActivityTest:postAndDeleteDiscountTest
Usability Test Passed(1 clicks): GroceryStoreActivityTest:zeroPriceTest
Usability Test Passed(1 clicks): GroceryStoreActivityTest:emptyPriceTest
Usability Test Failed(7 clicks): GroceryStoreActivityTest:deleteTest
Usability Test Failed(7 clicks): GroceryStoreActivityTest:changeSelectedTest
Usability Test Passed(0 clicks): LoginActivityTest:checkElements
Usability Test Passed(1 clicks): MainActivityAdminTest:setGroceriesButton
Usability Test Passed(0 clicks): MainActivityTest:checkElements
Usability Test Passed(1 clicks): MainActivityTest:checkAccount
Usability Test Passed(1 clicks): MainActivityTest:checkViewRecipe
Usability Test Passed(1 clicks): MainActivityTest:checkManageTrip
Usability Test Passed(1 clicks): MainActivityTest:checkPastTrips
Usability Test Passed(1 clicks): MainActivityTest:signOut
Usability Test Passed(0 clicks): PastTripActivityEmptyTest:checkElements
Usability Test Passed(0 clicks): PastTripActivityEmptyTest:emptyPastTrip
Usability Test Passed(1 clicks): PastTripActivityEmptyTest:backButton
Usability Test Passed(0 clicks): PastTripActivityTestPersonTest:checkElements
Usability Test Passed(3 clicks): PastTripActivityTestPersonTest:GeneralRecipeViewPastTrip
Usability Test Passed(1 clicks): PastTripActivityTestPersonTest:backButton
Usability Test Passed(3 clicks): RecipeTests:displayRecipe
Usability Test Passed(1 clicks): TripActivityTest:wrongEnd

```

```
Usability Test Passed(1 clicks): TripActivityTest:planRegularTripShort
Usability Test Passed(1 clicks): TripActivityTest:sameStartEnd
Usability Test Passed(1 clicks): TripActivityTest:missingInputsEnd
Usability Test Passed(1 clicks): TripActivityTest:wrongStart
Usability Test Passed(1 clicks): TripActivityTest:missingInputsStart
Usability Test Passed(1 clicks): TripActivityTest:missingInputsStops
Usability Test Passed(1 clicks): TripActivityTest:wrongStopsAmount
```

4. Front-end Test Specification

4.1. Location in Git of Front-end Test Suite:

frontend/app/src/androidTest/java/com/example/FoodTripFrontend/ExampleInstrumentedTest.kt

4.2. Tests

- **Use Case: View Past Trips Main Success Scenario:**
 1. The user opens "Past Trip" screen.
 2. The app shows a list of "past trip" text view, and a "Back" button.
 3. The user clicks on any past trip.
 4. A window pops up
 5. The window shows the starting location, intermediate stops, destination, recipes for each stop, and a "Show Route" button.
 6. The user presses the "Show Route" button
 7. The user clicks on the first recipe

Extensions:

- 6a. The user is directed to the main page and a map of the route is displayed
- 7a. The window shows the details of the recipe and a recipe url
 - 7a1. The user clicks the recipe url.
 - 7a2. A webView shows up.

Failure Scenarios:

- 2a. The user has no past trip record
 - 2a1. The app shows no items in the list
- 2b. No internet connection
 - 2b1. The app displays an error message: "No internet connection"

Expected Behaviors:

| Scenario Steps | Test Case Steps |
|--|--|
| 1. User opens the view past trip. | Open Past Trip screen. |
| 2. The app shows a list of past trip and a "Back" button. | Check the list is present on screen. Check the button is present on screen. |
| 2a. The user has no past trip record | / |
| 2a1. The app shows no items in the list | Check no items are present in the list on screen |
| 3. The user click on any past trip. | Click any past trip text view |
| 4. A window pops up. | Check the window activity is present on screen. |
| 5. The window shows the starting location, intermediate stops, destination, recipes for each stop, and a "Show Route" button | Check first recipe is present on screen. |
| 6. The user presses the show route button | Click on the show route button |
| 6a. The user is directed to the main page and a map of the route is displayed | Check that the activity has switched to the Main Activity screen and check that the map is now displayed and visible on the screen |
| 7. The user clicks on the first recipe | Click on the first recipe |
| 7a. The window shows the details of the recipe and a recipe url | Check that the recipe text is displayed |
| 7a1. The user clicks the recipe url | Click on the url text view |
| 7a2. A webView of the recipe shows up | Check that the webView is now displayed |

◦ **Test Logs:**

```
com.example.FoodTripFrontend.PastTripActivityEmptyTest
4.77s passed checkElements
3.48s passed emptyPastTrip
```

```
8.47s passed backButton
com.example.FoodTripFrontend.PastTripActivityTestPersonTest
6.84s passed checkElements
30.03s failed GeneralRecipeViewPastTrip
12.57s passed backButton
```

• Use Case: Manage Trip

Main Success Scenario:

- 1. User Opens the Manage Trip screen
- 2. The app shows three text input fields and a Create Trip button
- 3. The user enters a starting city, ending city, and the number of desired stops, then presses the Create Trip button
- 4. The app opens the Main Screen
- 5. A google map is displayed showing the created route from the start to end city

Failure Scenarios:

- 3a. The user enters an invalid start/end city or an invalid number of stops and attempts to create a trip
 - 3a1. The app displays a pop-up saying that the associated field is invalid
- 3b. The user doesn't enter a input into any one of the text inputs and attempts to create a trip
 - 3b1. The app displays a pop-up saying that the associated field is missing
- 3c. The user enters the same city in the start and end fields and attempts to create a trip
 - 3c1. The app displays a pop-up saying that there can't be the same start and end city

Expected Behaviors:

| Scenario Steps | Test Case Steps |
|---|--|
| 1. User Opens the Manage Trip screen | Open Manage Trip screen |
| 2. The app shows three text input fields and a Create Trip button | Check that there are three text input fields labeled Start City, End City, and Number of Stops and check that there is button with text Create Trip |
| 3. The user enters a starting city, ending city, and the number of desired stops, then presses the Create Trip button | Input "Calgary" into the start city text field, "New York" into the end city text field, and "3" into the number of stops, then press the Create Trip button |
| 3a. The user enters an invalid start/end city or an invalid number of stops and attempts to create a trip | Input adjfiolej as the start/end city or enter 0 as the number of stops, then click Create Trip button |
| 3a1. The app displays a pop-up saying that the associated field is invalid | Check that a textfield is displayed with the text "Invalid Start City", "Invalid End City", or "Invalid Number of Stops" |
| 3b. The user doesn't enter a input into any one of the text inputs and attempts to create a trip | Input a correct value (A real city or a number greater than or equal to 1) into two of the three text input fields and then click Create Trip Button |
| 3b1. The app displays a pop-up saying that the associated field is missing | Check that a textfield is displayed with the text "Missing Start City", "Missing End City", or "Missing Number of Stops" |
| 3c. The user enters the same city in the start and end fields and attempts to create a trip | Input "New York" into both the start and end city text fields and input 3 into the number of stop field. Press the Create Trip button |
| 3c1. The app displays a pop-up saying that there can't be the same start and end city | Check that a textfield is displayed with the text "Same Start and End City" |
| 4. The app opens the Main Screen | Check that the activity has switched to the Main Activity screen |
| 5. A google map is displayed showing the created route from the start to end city | Check that the map is displayed and visible on the screen |

◦ Test Logs:

```
com.example.FoodTripFrontend.TripActivityTest
22.12s failed wrongEnd
27.81s passed planRegularTripShort
17.09s failed sameStartEnd
15.52s failed missingInputsEnd
15.48s passed wrongStart
12.29s failed missingInputsStart
13.37s failed missingInputsStops
16.49s failed wrongStopsAmount
```

• Use Case: Manage Discounts Main Success Scenario:

- 1. The admin opens "Grocery Store" screen.
- 2. The app shows a list of discounts, an ingredient input text field, a price input text field, a "Delete" button, and a "Post" button.
- 3. The admin inputs a new ingredient and the price.
- 4. The admin presses the "Post" button.

5. The screen refreshes and the new discount is added into the list.

Extensions:

- 3a. The admin presses on a discount in the list.
 - 3a1. The admin presses the "Delete" button.
 - 3a2. The screen refreshes and the selected discount is removed.

Failure Scenarios

- 3ai. The admin doesn't select a discount to delete.
 - 3ai(1). The app display an error message prompting the admin to select the discount to be deleted
- 3b. The admin doesn't enter an input into any one of the text input fields and attempts to post a discount.
 - 3b1. The app displays an error message prompting the admin for the valid input.
- 3c. The admin enter 0 as the price and attempts to post a discount.
 - 3c1. The app displays an error message prompting the admin for the valid input.

Expected Behaviors:

| Scenario Steps | Test Case Steps |
|---|---|
| 1. Admin opens "Grocery Store" screen. | Open Grocery Store screen. |
| 2. The app shows a list of discounts, an ingredient input text field, a price input text field, a "Delete" button, and a "Post" button. | Check the list is present on screen. Check the ingredient input text view is present on screen. Check the price input text view is present on screen. Check the delete button is present on screen. Check the post button is present on screen. |
| 3. Admin inputs a new ingredient and price | Input "snack" for the ingredient. Input "10" for the price |
| 3a. Admin presses on a discount in the list. | Press a discount on the list. |
| 3ai. Admin doesn't select a discount to delete | Press button labelled "Delete" |
| 3ai(1). The app display an error message prompting the admin to select the discount to be deleted. | Check dialog is opened with text: "Please select discount to be deleted" |
| 3b. Admin doesn't enter an input into any one of the text input fields and attempts to post a discount. | Input "snack" for ingredient or input "10" for price. Press the "Post" button" |
| 3b1.The app displays an error message prompting the admin for a valid input. | Check dialog is opened with text: "Please enter valid ingredient and price" |
| 3c. Admin input enter 0 as the price and attempts to post a discount. | Input "snack" for ingredient Input "0" for price Press the "Post" button |
| 3c1. The app displays an error message prompting the admin for a valid input. | Check dialog is opened with text: "Please enter valid ingredient and price" |
| 4. Admin presses the "Post" button | Press the button labelled "Post" |
| 5. The screen refreshes and the new discount is added into the list | Check textView with text "snack: \$10" is present on screen. |

Test Logs:


```
com.example.FoodTripFrontend.GroceryStoreActivityTest
10.67s passed checkElements
23.75s failed backButtonTest
19.48s passed emptyIngredientTest
59.08s passed postAndDeleteDiscountTest
21.38s passed zeroPriceTest
15.42s passed emptyPriceTest
22.86s passed deleteTest
26.65s passed changeSelectedTest
```

5. Automated Code Review Results

5.1. Commit Hash Where Codacy Ran

dec99a62494e8d9b553f9a39e6dedc8c2119fdfe

5.2. Unfixed Issues per Codacy Category



5.3. Unfixed Issues per Codacy Code Pattern

