

References

- Abramowitz, A. I. (2013). Partisan nation: The rise of affective partisan polarization in the American electorate. *Prepared for Presentation at the State of the Parties Conference*.
- Abramowitz, A. I., & Saunders, K. L. (2008). Is polarization a myth? *The Journal of Politics*, 70(2), 542–555.
- Anduiza, E., Gallego, A., & Muñoz, J. (2013). Turning a blind eye: Experimental evidence of partisan bias in attitudes toward corruption. *Comparative Political Studies*, 46(12), 1664-1692.
- The American National Election Studies. (2012). *The ANES Guide to Public Opinion and Electoral Behavior*. Ann Arbor, MI: University of Michigan, Center for Political Studies.
- Azar, O. H. (2019). Do fixed payments affect effort? Examining relative thinking in mixed compensation schemes. *Journal of Economic Psychology*, 70, 52–66.
- Bekkers, R., & Wiepking, P. (2011). Accuracy of self-reports on donations to charitable organizations. *Quality & Quantity*, 45(6), 1369–1383.
- Brief, A. P., & Motowidlo, S. J. (1986). Prosocial organizational behaviors. *The Academy of Management Review*, 11(4), 710–725.
- Chung J., & Monroe, G. S. (2003). Exploring social desirability bias. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 44, 291–302.
- Coffey, D. J., & Joseph, P. H. (2013). A polarized environment: The effect of partisanship and ideological values on individual recycling and conservation behavior. *American Behavioral Scientist*, 57(1), 116–139.
- Cohen, G. L. (2003). Party over policy: The dominating impact of group influence on political beliefs. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 85(5), 808–822.

- Ditto, P. H., Liu, B. S., Clark, C. J., Wojcik, S. P., Chen, E. E., Grady, R. H., Celniker, J. B., & Zinger, J. F. (2019). At least bias is bipartisan: A meta-analytic comparison of partisan bias in Liberals and Conservatives. *Perspectives on Psychological Science*, 14(2), 273-291.
- Druckman, J. N., Peterson, E., & Slothuus, R. (2013). How elite partisan polarization affects public opinion formation. *American Political Science Review*, 107(1), 57–79.
- Flores, A., & Van Boven, L. (in prep). The influence of partisan cues on prosocial judgment and behavior.
- Gorczyca, M., & Hartman, R. L. (2017). The new face of philanthropy: The role of intrinsic motivation in millennials' attitudes and intent to donate to charitable organizations.
- Huddy, L., Mason, L., & Aarøe, L. (2010, July). Measuring partisanship as a social identity, predicting political activism. Making our world anew: *Political Psychology in an Age of Global Challenges*. Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the International Society for Political Psychology, San Francisco CA, 7-10, July.
- Iyengar, S., & Westwood, S. J. (2015). Fear and loathing across party lines: New evidence on group polarization. *American Journal of Political Science*, 59(3), 690–707.
- Kalmoe, N., & Mason, L. (2019). *Lethal mass partisanship: Prevalence, correlates, and electoral contingencies*. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the National Capital Area Political Science Association, Washington, DC.
- Klotz, A. C., & Bolino, M. C. (2013). Citizenship and counterproductive work behavior: A moral licensing view. *Academy of Management Review*, 38(2), 292–306.
- Lelkes, Y., & Westwood, S. J. (2017). The limits of partisan prejudice. *The Journal of Politics*, 79(2), 485–501.

- Margolis, M. F., & Sances, M. W. (2017). Partisan differences in nonpartisan activity: The case of charitable giving. *Political Behavior*, 39(4), 839–864.
- Mason, L. (2015). “I Disrespectfully Agree”: The differential effects of partisan sorting on social and issue polarization. *American Journal of Political Science*, 59(1), 128–145.
- Mason, L., Huddy, L., & Aarøe, L. (2011, April). The power of partisan identity in active political times. *69th Annual National Conference*. Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the Midwest Political Science Association, Chicago, IL, 31 March - April 3.
- McConnell, C., Margalit, Y., Malhotra, N., & Levendusky, M. (2018). The economic consequences of partisanship in a polarized era. *American Journal of Political Science*, 62(1), 5–18.
- Munro, G. D., Zirpoli, J., Schuman, A., & Taulbee, J. (2013). Third-Party labels bias evaluations of political platforms and candidates. *Basic and Applied Social Psychology*, 35(2), 151–163.
- Rahal, R. M., Fiedler, S., & De Dreu, C. (2019). *Prosocial Preferences Condition Decision Effort and Ingroup Biased Generosity in Intergroup Decision-Making* [Preprint].
- Ranganathan, S. K., & Henley, W. H. (2008). Determinants of charitable donation intentions: A structural equation model. *International Journal of Nonprofit and Voluntary Sector Marketing*, 13(1), 1–11.
- Rushton, J. P., Chrisjohn, R. D., & Fekken, G. C. (1981). The altruistic personality and the Self-Report Altruism Scale. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 4, 293–302.
- Sachdeva, S., Iliev, R., & Medin, D. L. (2009). Sinning saints and saintly sinners: The paradox of moral self-regulation. *Psychological Science*, 20(4), 523–528.

- van der Linden, S. (2011). Charitable intent: A moral or social construct? A revised theory of planned behavior model. *Current Psychology, 30*(4), 355–374.
- Van Boven, L., Ehret, P. J., & Sherman, D. K. (2018). Psychological barriers to bipartisan public support for climate policy. *Perspectives on Psychological Science, 13*(4), 492–507.
- Van Boven, L., Ramos, J., Montal-Rosenberg, R., Koguf, T., Sherman, D. K., & Slovic, P. (2019). It depends: Partisan evaluation of conditional probability importance. *Cognition, 188*, 51–63.
- Webb, D. J., Green, C. L., & Brashear, T. G. (2000). Development and validation of scales to measure attitudes influencing monetary donations to charitable organizations. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science, 28*(2), 299–309.
- Weinstein, N., & Ryan, R. M. (2010). When helping helps: Autonomous motivation for prosocial behavior and its influence on well-being for the helper and recipient. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 98*(2), 222–244.
- Westfall, J., Van Boven, L., Chambers, J. R., & Judd, C. M. (2015). Perceiving political polarization in the United States: Party identity strength and attitude extremity exacerbate the perceived partisan divide. *Perspectives on Psychological Science, 10*(2), 145–158.
- Zerbe, W. J., & Paulhus, D. L. (1987). Socially desirable responding in organizational behavior: A reconception. *Academy of Management Review, 12*(2), 250–264.