

Homework 2

Let's remind ourselves of the asymptotic order notation¹. Let $f(x)$ and $g(x)$ be functions of a positive x .

$$f(x) = O(g(x))$$

when there is positive constant c such that,

$$f(x) \leq cg(x)$$

for all $x \geq n$. Function f is then stated as *big oh* of g . Similarly, f is *big omega* of g , i. e.,

$$f(x) = \Omega(g(x))$$

when there exist positive constants c, n such that for all $x \geq n$ we have,

$$f(x) \geq cg(x)$$

Lastly, if f is both big- O and big- Ω of g ,

$$\Omega(g(x)) = f(x) = O(g(x))$$

Then such a tight bound is stated as f is big- Θ of g ,

$$f(x) = \Theta(g(x))$$

Question 1. Consider the following Java subroutine,

```
1 public static void conditionalWork(int n) {  
2     for (int i = 0; i < n; i++)  
3         if (Math.random() < 0.5)  
4             taskA()  
5         else  
6             taskB()  
7 }
```

- 1) If on a certain machine the function `taskA()` takes 2 seconds on each call in the loop and the function `taskB()` takes 4 seconds then for $n = 10$, what is the total number of *expected* seconds taken by the subroutine `public static void conditionalWork(int n)`?
- 2) The subroutine `conditionalWork(int n)` is ran on a much faster machine reducing the time taken by `taskA()` on each call down to $\frac{1}{25}$ th of a second and `taskB()` now takes $\frac{1}{5}$ th of a second. For $n = 10$, what is the new total number of seconds *expected* by the subroutine `conditionalWork(int n)`?
- 3) Assume that for any arbitrary n , `taskA()` takes $\log(n)$ steps while `taskB()` takes $2n^2$ steps. Let $T(n)$ be the total number of steps *expected* by `conditionalWork(int n)`, what is $T(n)$?
- 4) What is the *best expected asymptotic* runtime complexity written as $T(n) = \Omega(g(n))$?
- 5) What is the *worst expected asymptotic* runtime complexity written as $T(n) = O(g(n))$?
- 6) If `taskB()` took $4\log(n)$ steps, what is the *tight expected asymptotic* runtime complexity written as $T(n) = \Theta(g(n))$?

1 - 30s

2 - 1.2s

3 - $T(n) = n/2(\log(n)) + n/2(2n^2) = n\log n/2 + n^3$

4 - $T(n) = \Omega(g(n)) = n\log n/2$

5 - $T(n) = O(g(n)) = n^3$

6 - $T(n) = \Theta(g(n)) = n\log n$

¹Sometimes also referred to as the **Bachmann-Landau notation**.

Question 2. In the code snippet bellow, we see two ways of getting the tenth place digit of a Java int n . Give the runtime complexity of each method using the *big oh* notation. Justify your answer.

```

1  System.out.println(n % 10);
2  System.out.println(String.valueOf(n).charAt(String.valueOf(n).length() - 1));
3  /*
4  String.valueOf(n) // loops through and converts
5  .charAt( // accesses char at index
6  String.valueOf(n) // loops through and converts
7  .length() -1
8  )
9  */

```

$O(1)$ - always 1 step

$O(n)$ - loop for n

Question 3. Consider the following functions,

$$a(x) = 2(x^3 + 1)(x^2 - 1) + 2$$

$$b(x) = 2x^2$$

$$\alpha(x) = x^2$$

$$\beta(x) = \pi x^2$$

- 1) Observe that $b(x) = O(a(x))$ because $b(x) \leq a(x)$ past a certain $x = n$. What is the value of n in this case?
- 2) Observe that $\beta(x) = O(\alpha(x))$ because there exists a c such that $\beta(x) \leq c\alpha(x)$ for all $x > 0$. What is the value of c in this case?

$$// a(x) = 2x^5 - 2x^3 + 2x^2$$

$$1 - 1$$

$$2 - \pi$$

Question 4. Given in listing

refsort is a Java subroutine that sorts an array of non-negative Java integers in ascending order.

```

1  public static void sortIntegers (int[] toSort) {
2      int i = 0, j = 0, k = 0, max = Integer.MIN_VALUE;
3      for (i = 0; i < toSort.length; i++) // O(n)
4          max = toSort[i] > max ? toSort [i] : max;
5      int[] counts = new int[max + 1];
6      for (i = 0; i < toSort.length; i++) // O(n)
7          counts[toSort[i]]++;
8      for (i = 0; i < counts.length; i++) // O(n) because the inner loop runs (int)
9          counts[i] so its considered a constant
10         for (j = 0; j < counts[i]; j++)
11             toSort[k++] = i;
12
13  /*
14  arr = 9, 6, 7, 3, 5, 2, 1
15  counts.length = arr.length+1
16  i 0
17  j 0
18  k 0
19  max = -inf
20
21  // 1st step
22  for i in arr

```

```

23     if i > max
24         max = i
25     // set max to largest number in arr, (9)
26
27
28     // 3rd step
29     for i in arr
30         counts[arr[i]+1]
31     // stores how many of each number is in arr,
32     // if there are two nines, then counts[9] = 2
33
34     // 4th step
35     for i in counts // for each el in counts[]
36         for j in i // for number i
37             k + 1 // increment k
38             arr[k] = i // arr at k = 1
39     // for every number in counts,
40     // add the index as many times as the element to arr, k is the index
41
42     // if there are two ones and one 3,
43     then arr[0] = 1, arr[1] = 1, and arr[2] = 3
44     */

```

Assume that the length of the input parameter `int[] toSort` is $n + 1$, the $\max(\text{toSort}) \leq n$ and that `toSort` has unique elements. Let $T(n)$ be the worst and the average case complexity of the algorithm's runtime and $S(\text{toSort})$ be the worst case complexity of the memory-space.

1) What is $T(n)$?

$3n$

2) What are $O(T(n))$, $O(S(\text{toSort}))$?

$O(n)$

$O(n)$

3) Look up and state the *big-O* of the average case complexity of Java's built-in `Arrays.sort(int[])`. Is this better than $O(T(n))$ from the previous step of this question?

`Arrays.sort(int[]) = $O(n \log n)$`

$O(n)$ is less complex than $O(n \log n)$

Question 5. Given bellow is the Java implementation of the sieve of Eratosthenes. This particular implementation marks all the composite numbers as `true` since Java allocates `false` to all the elements of a newly created boolean arrays.

```

1  public static void eratosthenes(boolean[] toSieve) {
2      toSieve[0] = true;
3      toSieve[1] = true;
4      for (int i = 2; i < Math.sqrt(toSieve.length); i++) // O(sqrt(n))
5          if (!toSieve[i])
6              for (int j = i*i; j < toSieve.length; j += i)
7                  toSieve[j] = true;
8  }
9
10 /*
11  for i in n // O(sqrt(n))
12      for i*i in n // O(n)
13  */

```

Give an upper-bound on the runtime complexity of this particular implementation of the sieve of Eratosthenes. The better upper-bound you give, the more credit you get. Justify your answer.

$O(n\sqrt{n})$ since the outer loop cannot run more than the $\sqrt{n} * n$

SUBMISSION INSTRUCTIONS

Submit a PDF file with your answers.

OKLAHOMA CITY UNIVERSITY, PETREE COLLEGE OF ARTS & SCIENCES, COMPUTER SCIENCE