

Homework 5

Jackson Hart

May 30, 2022

Problem 1

A)

False, X can be easier than Y.

B)

False, all this proves is that Y is NP-Hard.

C)

False, X can be easier than Y.

D)

True, this satisfies the definition of NP-Complete.

E)

False, Y can be a much more difficult problem, as long as X is no more difficult than it.

F)

True, X is no harder than a P class problem.

G)

False, see D.

Problem 2

A)

True, all NP-Complete problems can reduce to all other NP-Complete problems.

B)

False, if $3\text{-SAT} \leq_p 2\text{-SAT}$, then all NP-Complete algorithms would be no more difficult than a polynomial-time algorithm, and therefore $P = NP$.

C)

True, for reasons given above.

Problem 3

The algorithm, $\text{HAM-PATH} = \{(G, u, v): \text{whether there is a Hamiltonian path from } u \text{ to } v \text{ in } G\}$ is NP-Complete.

Proof. The algorithm HAM-PATH can be verified using an algorithm which traverses the path given from the certificate and returns true if it went through every vertex to get to v from u , and false otherwise. This algorithm is $O(|V|^2)$, and therefore $\text{HAM-PATH} \in \text{NP}$.

To show that HAM-PATH is NP hard, consider the well known NP-Complete algorithm, $\text{HAM-CYCLE} = \{(G, u): \text{there is a Hamiltonian cycle on } u \text{ in } G\}$. We will create a reduction algorithm by first constructing a graph G' such that u 's edges are duplicated to a new vertex, u' ; this operation is $O(n)$. Thus, we have created a polynomial time reduction algorithm. We will then perform $\text{HAM-PATH}(G, u, u')$. This will find a Hamiltonian path from u to u' , since u and u' have the same edges, meaning that any path that can be taken to u' can also be taken to u , it is also a cycle on u . Because of

this, we now know that $\text{HAM-PATH} \in \text{NP}$ and $\text{HAM-CYCLE} \leq_p \text{HAM-PATH}$, which shows that HAM-PATH is NP hard, so therefore, HAM-PATH is NP-Complete. \square

Problem 4

Let G be graph, and G' be with an extra node, v , that has an edge to every other node in G . Then G satisfies the algorithm 3-COLOR iff G' satisfies 4-COLOR.

Proof. (\Rightarrow) Assume G' satisfies 4-COLOR. Then v must have a different color than every other vertex in the graph because it is adjacent to every other vertex in the graph. Therefore, in the remaining graph, there must be 3 or less colors, and this graph is equal to G , so therefore, G must also be colored with 3 or less colors.

(\Leftarrow) Assume G satisfies 3-COLOR. Then color G' the same way as G . Now, G' is colored with 3 colors and has only one remaining vertex. Because v has an edge to every other node, and there is at least one remaining color, coloring v that color will make v a different color than all of its neighbors, meaning all vertices have been colored a different color than its neighbors with 4 colors or less, then 4-COLOR is satisfied. \square

The algorithm $4\text{-COLOR} = \{(G): \text{whether there is a way to color the graph } G \text{ such that every adjacent vertex has different colors using at most 4 colors}\}$ is NP-Complete.

Proof. The algorithm 4-COLOR can be verified using an algorithm which goes through each node provided by the certificate and checks to see if every single neighbor is colored a different color, returning true if so, and false if not. This algorithm is $O(n^2)$, so therefore, $4\text{-COLOR} \in \text{NP}$.

To show that 4-COLOR is NP hard, consider the NP-Complete algorithm, $3\text{-COLOR} = \{(G): \text{whether there is a way to color the graph } G \text{ such that every adjacent vertex has different colors using at most 3 colors}\}$. Creating a G' as described above allows 3-COLOR to be reduced to 4-COLOR. This operation only runs through each vertex once, so it is $O(|V|)$, and therefore, a polynomial time reduction. Because $4\text{-COLOR} \in \text{NP}$, and $3\text{-COLOR} \leq_p 4\text{-COLOR}$, meaning 4-COLOR is NP hard, 4-COLOR is NP-Complete. \square