## CS21 – Open Transport Initiative Code Review Operator Query Tool

REVIEWER: LEWIS TSE	REVIEWEE: ANS FAROOQ
FEATURE: OPERATOR QUERY TOOL	DATE: 17/03/21

REPO: cs21-main	COMMIT: 1b64f4ef

#### FEEDBACK

## FUNCTIONAL FEEDBACK

No	functional	feedback.
,,,,	janiculonai	, ccaback.

#### STYLE FEEDBACK

### Feedback 1:

operators\_query\_tool/operators/tests.py - lines 6-7: Not sure that the double line spacing is needed here and is out with the general styling of the rest of the repo.

#### Feedback 2.1:

operators\_query\_tool/operators/tests.py - lines 100-207: Inconsistent use of speech marks and quotation marks throughout the highlighted section.

#### Feedback 2.2:

operators\_query\_tool/operators/urls.py - lines 10-15: Inconsistent use of quotation marks and speech marks within path() function calls. The url parameters are all done with quotation marks whereas the name parameters are all done with speech marks.

## Feedback 2.3:

operators\_query\_tool/operators/views.py: Inconsistent quotation marks and speech marks throughout file.

#### GENERAL FEEDBACK

### Feedback 3:

operators\_query\_tool/static/css/style.css: Not sure that this file is needed? Will require modification to base.html to remove reference.

### Feedback 4:

operators\_query\_tool/debug.log: Not sure what this was generated by but should probably be added to the .gitignore before moving to public repo.

#### **RESPONSE**

This section is for the reviewee to complete and is their response to feedback highlighted by the reviewer. Remember you don't necessarily accept changes suggested in reviews if you can lay out your reasoning!

### For example:

# Response to Feedback One:

Thanks for catching this, I was using the old function call. Adding suggestion to next commit.

## Response to Feedback Three:

I see what you mean but I used the CDN just because I don't want to throw unnecessary files into the repo just yet until we're certain on a bootstrap version. (Bit of a weird one ik, but you get my idea)