

March 18, 2020 – Attend class to find out which project you are reviewing. Prepare your project for review by committing all changes to the master branch.
 April 1, 2020 – Project peer review due.

1. List the project name: Blood Bank
2. List the project authors: Madhavi Durga Nalluri & Venkata Sai Teja Nethi
3. Does the GitHub project contain a `readme` file? Yes
 - a. Are the team member names listed? Yes
 - b. Is there a project description? Yes
 - c. Does the description accurately capture the functionality of the project? Yes
 - i. If yes, summarize the project. App that help find the correct blood donor and
 - ii. If no, how can it be improved? the availability of the blood.
 - iii. Rate the project description. 8.5
 - d. Is there a timeline? Yes
 - i. Does the timeline seem reasonable? Briefly explain. Yes, not a complicated project
 - ii. Rate the project timeline. 8.5
4. Is the code commented? Yes
 - a. Rate the level of documentation. 5
 - b. Rate the level of code readability. 8.5
 - c. Is there duplicated code within the project? Does not seem to be.
5. Are `if ... else if` conditionals present? Yes
 - a. If yes, does the chain end with an `else`? Yes
6. Are assertions present for all input/output? Yes
7. Does the project contain any unused code? No
8. Does the project contain any hard-coded values? No
9. Is the programming style consistent? Yes
10. Does the program build without warnings/errors? Yes, No warning/error
11. Rate the interface for the project. 8.5
 - a. List any discovered issues. N/A
 - b. Suggestions for improvement? N/A
12. Rate the functionality for the project. 8.0
 - a. Is it complete? Not completely complete.
 - b. If no, assess the project for completeness and provide a percentage. 85%
13. Rate the overall project. Justify your rating. 9.0 It is a very good application, but I don't see the purpose of using this app.

Ratings: