

Review of Group 45

Category	Description	Reviewers Comments	Action by Reviewed Group
Build	Could you clone from Git and build using the README file?	The group could not provide a git repo because their code was all integrated into a larger project involving a robot. There would be no way to build it but we also have no code to review.	
Legibility	Was the flow sane and were variable names and methods easy to follow? Does the code adhere to general guidelines and code style?	No code provided to review. They should think about how they would present this project in a portfolio or to someone working on it in the future and get that setup.	
Implementation	Is it shorter/easier/faster /cleaner/ safer to write functionally equivalent code? Do you see useful abstractions?	See above.	
Maintainability	Are there unit tests? Should there be? Are the test covering interesting cases? Are they readable?	No, the group has not yet completed any useful tests.	
Requirements	Does the code fulfill the requirements?	From what was shown in the Zoom call, what they have does meet their basic revised requirements. They are focusing on the SLAM and not worrying about the arm.	
Other	Are there other things that stand out that can be improved?	Its hard to say much more since they seemed a bit disorganized with the code review.	