Group 45

Category	Description	Reviewers Comment	Action taken by RG
Build	Could you clone from Git and build using the README file?	No, I was not able to because there was no documentation on how to set it up, especially since we don't have the robot.	
Legibility	Was the flow sane and were variable names and methods easy to follow? Does the code adhere to general guidelines and code style?	N/A because it was too difficult to locate the actual lines of code that this group wrote.	
Implementation	Is it shorter/faster/cleaner /safer to write functionally equivalent code? Do you see useful abstractions?	The code seemed to be efficient and clean based on my knowledge of C programming, but again it was hard to tell because there was no documentation of the code that they actually wrote, and there weren't many comments	
Maintainability	Are there unit tests? Should there be? Are the tests covering interesting cases? Are they readable?	I feel that all their tests are physical tests so it has hard to determine if they actually need unit tests or not.	
Requirements	Does the code fulfill the requirements?	It is hard to tell if the code itself meets the requirements, but their demo video seemed to display that the robot does what its supposed to.	

Other	Are there other things that stand out that can be improved?	This group just needs to document what they actually wrote, because it is hard to differentiate between what was starter code and what was their own code.	
-------	---	--	--

## Group 80

Category	Description	Reviewers Comment	Action taken by RG
Build	Could you clone from Git and build using the README file?	Yes I was, documentation was straightforward and easy to follow	
Legibility	Was the flow sane and were variable names and methods easy to follow? Does the code adhere to general guidelines and code style?	Yes, the code was relatively easy to follow, my only complaint would be I wish they had more comments in order to describe some of their functions because they tended to be quite complex.	
Implementation	Is it shorter/faster/cleaner /safer to write functionally equivalent code? Do you see useful abstractions?	I don't believe so, their code seemed very efficient considering the complexity of the project. The code was also modularized/refactore d very well.	
Maintainability	Are there unit tests? Should there be? Are the tests covering interesting cases? Are they readable?	Yes, they tests that they provided seemed to cover all important functions of the project, well done.	

Requirements	Does the code fulfill the requirements?	Yes, the code is written very cleanly, and from their demo during the review, I can say that it seems that they have all of the bases covered.	
Other	Are there other things that stand out that can be improved?	I think more descriptive comments would be helpful, especially for someone who doesn't have any background knowledge on this project's topic.	