

# Parallel computing platforms

---

Jeremy Iverson

College of Saint Benedict & Saint John's University

- von Neumann architecture
  - central processing unit
  - memory
    - cache (\$)
  - interconnection
- operating system
  - processes vs threads


- `/proc/cpuinfo` activity
- multitasking operating system, runs many processes despite having only one or a “small” number of physical cores
  - context switches the processes at predefined time slices
  - **top** activity
- generally speaking, processes have their own address space, threads share an address space

- logical organization
  - the user's view of the machine as it is being presented via its system software
- physical organization
  - the actual hardware architecture

- we need some vocabulary to be able to compare different types of parallel systems
  - we can describe a system based on its logical properties or its physical properties
- physical architecture is largely independent of the logical architecture
  - this slide deck deals with logical


# flynn's taxonomy

- based on the number of instruction streams and data streams available in the architecture



Flynn's taxonomy by Cburnett / CC BY 3.0 / presenting the four together

- ask students to give examples of each
  - SISD
    - serial computer
  - SIMD
    - gpu
    - some modern cpus have simd extensions
  - MISD
    - fault tolerance
  - MIMD (in many cases, this is further divided into a category commonly known as SPMD, single program multiple data)
    - most common type of parallel computer (multi-thread or multi-node)
- which of these might be interesting to us, i.e., which might make good parallel systems?






SIMD / cropped from original

- cuda / opencl are languages to express this type of parallelism, but we will not be studying them
- what sorts of problems might this be good for?
  - matrix-oriented scientific computing
  - media-oriented image and sound processors
- simd is simpler and more energy efficient than mimd, why?
  - only needs to fetch one instruction per “cycle”
- what would the mimd time line look like?
- so then what are the (dis)advantages of each of the classifications?
  - simd works in lock step, so does not require synchronization, which can make it easier to reason about
  - mimd processing elements are autonomous, so can solve more complex problems

# communication models



- shared-address space
  - UMA / NUMA / ccNUMA
- message-passing



- how do processing elements communicate with each other
  - shared-address space
    - everyone has access to everyone else's data
    - communicate through shared memory
  - message-passing
    - communicate through messages sent between PEs
    - build on two basic operations, *send* and *receive*
- why not just make everything uma?
- is there anything missing from this model?
  - what is a cache for?
- the existence of caches means that data can exist in multiple places
  - a cache coherence protocol is required to ensure proper semantics and correct program execution
  - have students think of a sequence of operations that would be incorrect without cache coherence

# communication models


- shared-address space
  - UMA / NUMA / ccNUMA
- message-passing



- how do processing elements communicate with each other
  - shared-address space
    - everyone has access to everyone else's data
    - communicate through shared memory
  - message-passing
    - communicate through messages sent between PEs
    - build on two basic operations, *send* and *receive*
- why not just make everything uma?
- is there anything missing from this model?
  - what is a cache for?
- the existence of caches means that data can exist in multiple places
  - a cache coherence protocol is required to ensure proper semantics and correct program execution
  - have students think of a sequence of operations that would be incorrect without cache coherence

# cache coherence

- update
  - increases communication on the bus
- invalidate
  - increases idling time




**Figure 2.21** Cache coherence in multiprocessor systems: (a) Invalidate protocol; (b) Update protocol for shared variables.

- why is cache coherence important?
  - maintains serializability — just like ILP
- considerations for each of the two protocols
  - update increases communication because a message is sent every time that a PE updates a value that it has in cache — contrast this with invalidate which sends a single invalidate after the first update, but no subsequent messages
  - invalidate increases idle time because whenever a PE accesses a value that has been invalidated, it must wait while the updated value is fetched — contrast this with update, where the updated value would have been sent at the time of the update
- which do you think most processors use?
  - invalidate



## false sharing



- a few more notes about caches
  - data is fetched from memory at the granularity of a cache line
  - cache lines are fixed size (typically 64 bytes)
- consider this example
  - what would happen if we were using invalidate protocol?
  - remember, the cache line is copied into the cache of PE0 *and* PE1
  - how can this be avoided?



except where otherwise noted, this worked is licensed under creative commons attribution-sharealike 4.0 international license