
Burndown Chart



Sprint 2 Burndown Chart based on Issue Count

Comments:

- Initially, it is important to mention that within the context of our project, our issues are the user stories that we created at the beginning of the project, and these stories require many individual steps to complete (front-end changes, back-end changes, database changes, etc)
 - As a result, the beginning of the burndown chart seems to be stagnant, and we only notice drops in the chart near the end, when all of the subtasks within a story are completed, and the story is marked completed as a whole.
- Each story was sub-tasked into around 3-4 tasks which were designed to be as independent from each other as possible in order to allow multiple team members to work on the same story at the same time.
- For **sprint 2**, there were a few stories that we were unable to complete, which can be seen on the chart at the end of the sprint, where there are still outstanding stories to be completed.
 - These stories have been included into the next sprint, **sprint 3**.
- The **Guideline** on the burndown chart is also a little off because the team had begun the sprint before adding all of the stories to it, and so we assume that the guideline was created only based off of the first story added to the sprint, and not all of them together.
 - As the sprints progress, we anticipate that the burndown chart will become closer to the guideline; however, it is important to note that because the team is still newer to Jira, generation of the burndown chart does not accurately reflect the rate at which tasks were being completed.
- The team understands how to read this chart, and where the discrepancies between the chart
 and the actual work completed may lie, and each member understands what went well with the
 sprint, what went not well with the sprint, and the rate at which the stories were being
 completed.