

## Homework #1

In this assignment you will analyze the Big-O performance of inserts into an array by inspecting source code. You will then compare this analysis to the actual performance seen in a running program.

1. **(2 points)** Implement a function named `insert` that takes a dynamically allocated array of `ints`, the array's length, the index at which a new value should be inserted, and the new value that should be inserted. The function should allocate a new array populated with the contents of the original array plus the new value inserted at the given index. The originally array should be freed. The following sections provide a detailed description of this function:

Prototype:

```
int *insert(int *array, int length, int index, int value);
```

Parameters:

<code>array</code>	The array of <code>ints</code> into which a new value should be inserted.
<code>length</code>	The number of elements in the array.
<code>index</code>	The location where the value should be inserted into the array.
<code>value</code>	The value that should be inserted into the array.

Return value:

A new array of `ints` containing the contents of the original array plus the new value inserted at the given index. NULL will be returned should something goes wrong.

Pseudocode:

```
insert(array, length, index, value)
  If array is empty (i.e. length == 0)
    return a newly malloc'd array having 1 element of the given value
  End If
  Else
    Let newArray = a newly malloc'd array with length + 1 elements
    Copy array[0, index) to newArray[0, index)
    Set newArray[index] to value
    Copy array[index, length) to newArray[index + 1, length + 1)
    Free array
    Return newArray
  End Else
```

2. **(2 points)** Implement a main function that profiles the performance of `insert` and outputs a table showing the average time per insert as the length of the array increases.

Pseudocode:

```
main()
  /* Setting to allow fine-tuning the granularity of the readings */
  Let INSERTS_PER_READING = 1000

  /* Start with an empty array */
  Let array = empty array (i.e. NULL)
  Let length = 0

  /* Take 60 readings */
  Loop 60 times

    /* Each reading will be taken after INSERTS_PER_READING inserts */
    Let startTime = current time
    Loop INSERTS_PER_READING times
      Let index = random integer in range [0, length]
      Let value = random integer value
      Let array = insert(array, length, index, value)
      Let length = length + 1
    End Loop
    Let stopTime = current time
    Let timePerInsert = (stopTime - startTime) / INSERTS_PER_READING

    /* Output reading in tabular format */
    Output array length and timePerInsert

  End Loop

  /* Free the old array */
  Free array
```

Report format:

`main` should output a report similar to the format below (your values will be different). You should fine-tune the `INSERTS_PER_READING` constant so that none of the readings ("Seconds per insert") are zero:

Array length	Seconds per insert
1000	0.000024
2000	0.000028
3000	0.000041
4000	0.000036
...	...
57000	0.000262
58000	0.000318
59000	0.000324
60000	0.000328

3. **(2 points)** Plot a scatter graph showing “Seconds per insert” (Y-axis) vs. “Array length” (X-axis) using the profiling data that was output by main.
4. **(2 points)** Provide a line-by-line Big-O analysis of your implementation of insert. You can do this by adding a comment next to each line in your source code. What is the overall Big-O performance of insert? What parts of the algorithm contribute most heavily to the overall Big-O performance?
5. **(1 point)** Based on the graph does the performance of improve, degrade, or stay the same as the length of the array grows? Does your Big-O analysis of match the results of running the program?
6. **(1 point)** Make sure your source code is well-commented, consistently formatted, uses no magic numbers/values, follows programming best-practices, and is ANSI-compliant.

**Turn in all source code, program output, diagrams, and answers to questions in a single Word document.**