Notes for Recitation 9

1 Traveling Salesperson Problem

Now we're going to talk about a famous optimization problem known as the Traveling Salesperson Problem¹ (TSP). Given a number of cities and the costs of traveling from any city to any other city, what is the cheapest round-trip route that visits each city exactly once, and returns to the starting city?

One special, though very natural, case of this problem is when the costs of traveling between cities obey the *triangle inequality*. That is, if a, b, and c are distinct cities, then $d(a, c) \leq d(a, b) + d(b, c)$. This corresponds to our intuitive notion that the distance of traveling to a from c should be no larger than that of first traveling to a from b, and then from b to c. The triangle inequality holds if the cities correspond to points in the plane, since in this case the line segments joining a to b, b to c, and a to c form a triangle, and in high school we learned that the sum of any two edges of a triangle is larger than the third edge.

Let us abstract away the distractions and formulate the problem as follows. The cities will be vertices of a graph. We will then consider the complete graph on these vertices, and give edge (a, b) a weight of d(a, b). We want an ordering of the vertices (v_1, \ldots, v_n) that minimizes

$$COST = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} d(v_i, v_{i+1}) + d(v_n, v_1).$$

Consider the following greedy algorithm for TSP. This might be the first thing you'd think of. It is called greedy since at each step we are choosing the locally-optimal best way to continue, though our overall actions may not be collectively optimal.

- 1. Start at an arbitrary city.
- 2. While there is still an unvisited city, go to the city with smallest distance from the current city. If there are no more unvisited cities, return to the starting city.

Even when the cities are points in the plane, the greedy algorithm sometimes outputs a suboptimal solution.

¹Note that this is sometimes referred to as the Traveling Salesman Problem, but we take a gender-neutral stance.

Recitation 9

For example, take 4N points on the perimeter of an $N \times N$ square (each at distance one from the next along the perimeter) and add one point at distance 2 (outwards) from the perimeter at each of the corners (for a total of 4N+4 points). (To make it consistent with our abstraction, one would take these points on the plane and consider the complete graph with their pairwise distances as weights, but its easier to think of it directly in this case). The Greedy Algorithm will go around the perimeter and then have to go around again to pick up the four corners (which will be skipped the first time around). For large N, this will be nearly a factor of 2 from optimal (which will pick up the corners as it goes around the first time).

It turns out that if you can design an efficient algorithm which solves TSP on every possible input, you can win a million dollars. Many researchers believe that in fact this is impossible, though no proof of this fact is known (this proof would also get you a million dollars). Thus, researchers have contented themselves with finding a good approximation algorithm to TSP. More precisely, if OPT is the cost of the optimal solution (the total distance traveled on an optimal route), then an algorithm outputs an α -approximation to TSP provided its output, on any input, is a valid round-trip route visiting each city once, and has cost at most $\alpha \cdot OPT$.

In what follows, we will describe a 3/2-approximation algorithm ALG for TSP, in the case where the triangle inequality holds and the distances are non-negative.

3/2-Approximation Algorithm ALG

- 1. Construct a graph G whose vertices are the N cities with an edge between every pair of cities $A \neq B$ with corresponding weight d(A, B), where d(A, B) is the distance between A and B in the plane.
- 2. Compute an MST T of G (Recall that a minimum spanning tree (MST) of a graph G is a spanning tree whose sum of edge weights is as small as possible).
- 3. Compute, for each city $A \in G$, the degree d_A of A in T.
- 4. Let $S = \{A \in G : d_A \text{ is odd}\}.$
- 5. Compute a minimum weight perfect matching M on the vertices in S (using the distances $d(\cdot, \cdot)$ as weights).
- 6. Compute a new set of edges $E' = M \cup T$. Note that the resulting graph G' = (V, E') is not necessarily a simple graph since it might contain multiple edges.
- 7. Take the subgraph G' = (V, E'), and compute an Euler circuit on it.
- 8. Use the Euler circuit to give an induced ordering of the vertices (i.e., the order in which the vertices appear for the first time), and do a TSP tour on this order.

Try to run this on the 4N + 4 vertex example and see what it gives.

Recitation 9

Note that this algorithm is reasonably efficient, even for large graphs, since computing an MST, computing a minimum weight perfect matching, and computing Euler circuits can be done efficiently. Since the Euler circuit visits all vertices and then returns to the starting vertex, the output of our algorithm visits every city once, and returns to the starting city, as needed. Now let's show that the algorithm is a 3/2-approximation.

Let OPT be the optimum TSP tour cost.

1. Show that the cost of any tour is at least the cost of an MST of G. Hence conclude that the cost of an MST is at most OPT.

Solution. Consider any route of the traveler. It corresponds to a cycle C in G containing every vertex once, since every city must be visited and the traveler must end in the city in which they started. The cost of the route is then just the sum of the weights of edges along C. Now if we delete any edge of C, we are left with a spanning tree of G, and the cost cannot increase. Therefore, the cost of a minimum spanning tree (MST) is a lower bound on the cost of any route C.

2. Prove that the size of S is even.

Solution. Since an edge connects two vertices, every edge added to a graph increases the total degree over all vertices by exactly two. Therefore, the total degree in any graph must be even. By definition, S contains vertices with odd degree. Since the total degree in G is equal to the sum of the total degree of even-degree vertices and odd-degree vertices, we have that the total degree of odd-degree vertices is even (since the total degree of even-degree vertices is even). The total degree of odd-degree vertices is the sum of |S| odd numbers, so to have it be even requires that |S| be even.

3. Prove that the weight of the min weight perfect matching is at most OPT/2. Hint: Consider a minimum cost TSP tour on just the vertices in S.

Solution. We will use the following lemma.

Lemma 1. If the triangle inequality holds in a graph with positive weights, then d(a, b) is at most the weight of any path from a to b.

Proof. We prove the lemma by induction. Let the inductive hypothesis P(n) be the statement "If n is the length of a path p from a to b, for any vertices a and b, then d(a,b) is at most the weight of p."

This holds for the base case of n = 1, since the minimum cost of traveling from a to b cannot be strictly greater than the weight of the direct path from a to b (otherwise, we could simply take the direct path for a smaller cost).

For the induction step, we assume that P(n) holds for an arbitrary $n \ge 1$. Then, for n+1, we have an (n+1)-length path from a to b. Let b' be the vertex preceding b on

Recitation 9

this path. By our inductive assumption, we know that d(a,b') is at most the weight of this path. Then by the triangle inequality, we have $d(a,b) \leq d(a,b') + d(b',b)$, which is less than or equal to the weight of the path from a to b' added to the cost of the b'-to-b edge, which is the weight of the path from a to b. So the inequality holds for the n+1 case.

Consider the optimal TSP tour on the entire graph G. This has cost OPT. This is also a tour on the vertices in S. "Shortcut" this tour by using the lemma: i.e., for every segment of the tour between vertices $s_1, s_2 \in S$ with no other vertices of S en route, substitute in the edge from s_1 to s_2 . By the lemma, these substitutions can only decrease the length of the tour, and thus it now has cost at most OPT. Now, since there are an even number of vertices in the tour, one can consider 2 perfect matchings formed by taking alternate edges of this tour. Clearly, the sum of their costs is at most OPT, so one of them must have a cost of at most OPT/2. Thus the min weight perfect matching on S has weight at most OPT/2.

4. Prove that G' has an Euler circuit, and its cost is at most 3OPT/2.

Solution. Every vertex has even degree in G' (by choice of S and M). Thus it has an Euler circuit. Every edge of $T \cup M$ is traversed once, and so the total cost is $cost(T) + cost(M) \le OPT + OPT/2 = 3OPT/2$.

5. Show that the length of the TSP tour is at most 3OPT/2.

Solution. The induced TSP tour from is a "shortcutting" of the Euler circuit (replacing paths by single edges), and hence, by the triangle inequality lemma from above, its length is at most the length of the Euler circuit.

6. Conclude that our algorithm outputs a 3/2-approximation.

Solution. Indeed it does.

In fact, for any constant $\epsilon > 0$, it is known how to efficiently achieve a $(1+\epsilon)$ -approximation in the plane, but it is a **lot** more complicated.