Randomized Select

1 Follow-up to Randomized Quicksort

In the analysis of randomized quicksort, we analyzed the probability

$$\mathbb{P}r\left[\sum_{t=1}^{T} X_{\alpha,t} \ge 2 \cdot \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{t=1}^{T} X_{\alpha,t}\right]\right].$$

After class, many students asked why we were only concerned with when the sum is greater than a *constant multiple* of its expected value; why isn't it enough to consider when the sum is a constant number of standard deviations above the expected value? Let's examine this.

Pretend you flip n coins and store the outcomes in y_1, \ldots, y_n . Each y_i is 1 if coin i lands heads, and is 0 if the coin lands tails. Clearly, $\mathbb{E}y_i = 1/2$, so if we take the sum $Y = \sum_i y_i$ then we have $\mathbb{E}Y = n/2$.

Constant multiple: We can rewrite the Chernoff bound as

$$\mathbb{P}r\left[\left|\sum_{i} y_{i} - \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{i} y_{i}\right]\right| > b \cdot \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{i} y_{i}\right]\right] \leq 2e^{\frac{-b^{2}\mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{i} y_{i}\right]}{3}}.$$

This shows that

$$\mathbb{P}r\left[\left|Y - \frac{n}{2}\right| > b\frac{n}{2}\right] \le 2e^{\frac{-b^2(n/2)}{3}} = 2e^{-cn}$$

for some constant c. Because this probability is exponential in n, we say it gives a bound "with very high probability."

Additive standard deviations: We want to calculate

$$\mathbb{P}r\left[\left|Y - \frac{n}{2}\right| > b\sqrt{n\log n}\right].$$

In order to calculate this, we need to rewrite $b\sqrt{n\log n} = b'(n/2)$. Solving, we need $b' = 2b\sqrt{\log n/n}$. Using the Chernoff bound:

$$\mathbb{P}r\left[\left|Y - \frac{n}{2}\right| > b\sqrt{n\log n}\right] \le 2e^{\frac{-b'^2(n/2)}{3}} = 2e^{\frac{-4b^2(\log n/n)(n/2)}{3}} = 2e^{-(2/3)b^2\log n} = 2n^{-c}$$

for some constant c. As discussed in class, this is a "with high probability" bound because it's polynomial in 1/n.

Why does randomized quicksort require the very high probability bound? Remember that we had $T = 30 \log n$, so we're summing over $O(\log n)$ coin flips, not O(n) flips as in the examples above. Let's see what happens if there are only $n = \log m$ flips:

```
Constant multiple: 2e^{-cn} = 2m^{-c}, which is still a high probability bound.
```

Addititive standard deviations: $2n^{-c} = 2(\log m)^{-c}$ is no longer a high probability bound!

Thus, in order to get a high probability bound for randomized quicksort, we needed to use the constant multiple of the expected value, which is what we used in lecture.

2 Randomized Select

In this recitation we will study a randomized algorithm, RANDOMIZED-SELECT, for the k-th order statistics of an arbitrary array.

2.1 Algorithm

The algorithm RANDOMIZED-SELECT works by partitioning the array A according to RANDOMIZED-PARTITION and recurses on one of the resulting arrays.

```
RANDOMIZED-SELECT(A, p, r, i)

1 if p = r

2 then return A[p]

3 q \leftarrow \text{RANDOMIZED-PARTITION}(A, p, r)

4 k \leftarrow q - p + 1

5 if i \leq k

6 then return RANDOMIZED-SELECT(A, p, q, i)

7 else return RANDOMIZED-SELECT(A, q + 1, r, i - k)

RANDOMIZED-PARTITION(A, p, r)

1 i \leftarrow \text{RANDOM}(p, r)

2 exchange A[p] \leftrightarrow A[i]

3 return Partition(A, p, r)
```

Both of the algorithms above are as in CLRS.

2.2 Analysis of Running Time

Let T(n) be the expected running time Randomized Select. We would like to write out a recursion for it.

Let E_i denote the event that the random partition divides the array into two arrays of size i and n-i. Then we see that

$$T(n) \le n + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} Pr(E_i) \left(\max \left(T(i), T(n-i) \right) \right),$$
 (1)

where by taking the \max we assume that we are recursing on the larger subarray (hence we have the less than or equal sign).

For simplicity, let us assume that n is even. Note that $\max(T(i), T(n-i))$ is always the same as $\max(T(n-i), T(i))$. This allows us to extend the chain of inequalities to

$$T(n) \le n + 2\sum_{i=0}^{n/2-1} Pr(E_i) \left(\max \left(T(i), T(n-i) \right) \right). \tag{2}$$

Also, since the partition element is chosen randomly, it is equally likely to partition the array into sizes $0, 1, \dots, n-1$. So $Pr(E_i) = \frac{1}{n}$ for all i. This leads us to

$$T(n) \le n + \frac{2}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n/2-1} \left(\max \left(T(i), T(n-i) \right) \right). \tag{3}$$

We will not show, via substitution, that T(n) = O(n).

Theorem 1 Let T(n) denote the expected running time of randomized select. Then T(n) = O(n).

Proof. We will show by the method of substitution. Let's say that $T(n) \le cn$, and check that it works.

We must first check the base case. This is obvious, however, since T(n') is a constant for some small constant n'.

Now let us check the inductive case. Assume that $T(k) \le ck$ for all k < n, and we now want to show that $T(n) \le cn$.

$$T(n) \le n + \frac{2}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n/2-1} \left(\max \left(T(i), T(n-i) \right) \right) \le n + \frac{2}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n/2-1} \left(\max \left(ci, c(n-i) \right) \right). \tag{4}$$

We note that that this is the same as

$$n + \frac{2}{n} \sum_{i=n/2}^{n-1} ci. (5)$$

The term $\frac{2}{n}\sum_{i=n/2}^{n-1}(ci)$ is the same as $\frac{2c}{n}\sum_{i=n/2}^{n-1}i$. So we get

$$T(n) \le n + c \left(\frac{2}{n} \sum_{i=n/2}^{n-1} i\right) \le n + c \left(3n/4\right) = n \left(1 + \frac{3c}{4}\right).$$
 (6)

Hence if we take c=4 (which works for the case $T(1)\leq 4$ as well) we get

$$T(n) \le n\left(1 + \frac{3*4}{4}\right) = n(1+3) = 4n,$$
 (7)

as we wanted.

These notes were partly based on course notes by Avrim Blum.