CSE221-Wi15: Ubuntu 14.04.1 Performance Measurements

Xun Jiao A****** , Jiapeng Zhang A*******, Chunbin Lin A53042883

1. INTRODUCTION

In this project, we will learn how to measure the performance of an operating system using various user- and system-level operations. Based on prior knowledge of hardware performance as well as measured software behavior, we will approximately estimate the overhead at the operating system level of the whole system hardware/software stack. We select a well-known multi-user timesharing operating system, Ubuntu 14.04.1 LTS (desktop) ¹, as our experiment platform. This is a long time supported Linux distribution with stable performance and reliable system functions. Our hardware platform is a LenovoY400 workstation equipped with an Intel 4cores processor. The experimental results indicate that our system configuration is trustable, it also helps us understand the underlying mechanisms of the system as a good reference, which interacts with both software from upper-layer and hardware from lower-layer. In this project, our major achievement is on the design of experiments (DOE), prediction of performance and analysis on the performance gap between predictive and measured ones. We implement our ideas of DOE by C programming to verify how the system and user operations will impact the performance. We use gcc as the major compiler for our experiments, with all optimization options turned off in the Makefiles. Optimization options intentionally changes code sections to pursue performance gain, which unintentionally disables our desired operations and effect of measurement. As a result, most of our programs are expected to be directly compiled (or, "interpreted") in a compiler's perspective. This project constructs an impressive structure of computing system, in which the operating system plays an role of administrator and coordinator between the application requests and device supports. We are able to effectively analyze an operating system, identify major features of design, advantages and disadvantages, and most importantly, how this middle layer of coordinator impacts the overall performance of the whole stack and how we could possibly improve it based on our accumulated experiences on it.

1.1 Tasks Allocation

After discussion, we decide to work together for all the exper-

Permission to make digital or hard copies of all or part of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. To copy otherwise, to republish, to post on servers or to redistribute to lists, requires prior specific permission and/or a fee.

Copyright 20XX ACM X-XXXXX-XX-X/XX/XX ...\$15.00.

iments and also report writing. For example, in this first draft, to finish the CPU measurement experiments. We first discuss how to measure the corresponding system calls based on the related papers. Then we partition the tasks, Xun conducts the "Measurement overhead" experiments, Jiapeng conducts "Procedure call overhead" experiments and Chunbin conducts the "System call overhead" experiments. For the remaining experiments, we work together. And we will continue this cooperation mode for the remaining projects.

For report writing, we use *WriteLatex*² to share the latex files, so that we can write together and discuss while writing.

The expected time cost for this whole project is around 90 hours (including the time on reading related papers), more precisely, we plan to spend around 10 hours per week and last 10 weeks.

2. MACHINE DESCRIPTION

All the experiments that we conducted are on the machine and the system, which is characterized in Table ??. This is a LenovoY400 desktop 64bit machine manufactured. It has a wired network connection to the local area network gated by 137.110.161.79 located in the office 3232, CSE department. The operating system running on it is an Ubuntu 14.04.1 LTS desktop. Notice that we include some basic hardware performance numbers of machine components which are obtained from the manufacturer's datasheets, e.g., I/O bus operating speed, etc. These numbers facilitate our raw performance estimation of running the applications on the stacked layers. These numbers will be frequently referred to in our overhead measurement during the following sections.

System Components	Description	
Machine	Lenovo Ideapad Y400	
Processor	Intel Core i7-3630QM @ 2.39 GHz 1 processor, 4 cores, 8 threads	
L1/L2/L3	L1 Instruction Cache: 32 KB x 4 L1 Data Cache: 32 KB x 4 L2 Cache: 256 KB x 4 L3 Cache: 6144 KB	
BIOS	LENOVO 6BCN34WW(V1.05)	
I/O Bus Speed	133MHz (Bus/Core Ratio 20)	
Memory	8GB (DDR3) (16GB Max Capacity) Memory Comments: PC3-12800 1600Mhz DDR3 SDRAM SO DIMM 204-pin, bus speed 1333MHz	
Hard Disk	HDD: 1TB (5,400 rpm), 750GB 7200RPM(HYBRID)	
Network Card	Realtek RTL8139/810x Family Fast Ethernet NIC	
Operating System	Ubuntu 14.04.1 LTS desktop	

Figure 1: Machine Description of Lenovo Y400.

¹http://www.ubuntu.com/download/desktop

²https://www.overleaf.com/dash

3. CPU, SCHEDULING, AND OS SERVICES

In this section we design several experiments to measure the performance of operations related to on-chip processor scheduling. Our experiments will provide a couple of measured results of interests.

3.1 Measurement overhead

Methodology

In order to make all the process run on single core, we will need to disable the multicore setting in bios settings. The purpose of enforcing single core is once the process runs on multicore, then the performance is not necessarily the real hardware performance.

We need to use rdtsc() as our timing stamp counter in this case in order to measure our hardware performance. rdtsc() is a function which could return the current cycle count on Intel processor. The function of rdtsc() in C++ is aligned with "inline" representation because using "inline" will reduce the function call and return overhead thereby help us get exact value of measurement overhead itself. In order to meaure the overhead of using rdtsc(), we use two consecutive rdtsc() and calculate the difference between them. And then we multiply the cycle count with the frequency of the hardware to get the overhead of the measurement. Here we use different number of iterations to see the varying of overhead.

Predictions

My prediction on rdtsc() measurement overhead is 65 cycle. Because rdtsc() presented in C++ is actually composed of 7 assembly instructions. According to the table listed in [1], we add the cycle counts of all 7 instructions together. Roughly, the sum of the cycle count is 65.

Experimental Results Analysis

3.2 Procedure call overhead

Chunbin: Procedure call overhead: Report as a function of number of integer arguments from 0-7. What is the increment overhead of an argument?

Methodology

We still use rdtsc() to calculate the cycle to measure the time. Here because we will need to examine procedure call overhead under different number of argument, we implement 8 empty functions with 0 7 argument with no return value. And then we call each function and place rdtsc() function before and after it. In order to measure the overhead more statically, we use a loop to contain the procedure call.

Predictions

Each procedure will have basic operations such as store, jump and return. More arguments means more store instruction for data, so more cycle counts will be applied. We predict that 5 cycles count will be applied to zero argument procudure call. If one more argument is added, then one more push instruction is needed which results to one more cycle is added.

Experimental Results Analysis

3.3 system call overhead

In this part, we determine the cost of a system call that named getpid() in C++. We believe this system call has minimal cost among all of system calls because it only needs to read the process ID and return it to the user.

Methodology

Unlike the procedure call, the operating system caches the results of getpid(), so only the first call by a process has been executed. So we can not execute the getpid() inside a loop to get the average cost.

Predictions

Experimental Results

We have run our program 10 times,

8688, 8778,8676,7938,8670,8520,8550,8472,8556,8586

Also, we also have a program that runs getpid() ten times in a loop, and the costs are

8586, 252, 192, 196, 210, 202, 184, 192, 296, 188,

Analysis

3.4 Task creation time

In this experiment, the time of creating a new process is compared with the time of generating a kernel thread.

Methodology We use the **fork()** system call and the **clone()** system call for creating a new process and a kernel thread respectively. Here we report the average cycles of 1000,000 runs. More precisely, for fork(), to avoid the influence of extra overhead, the child process is killed immediately after each call. For clone(), we use an empty function as its input.

Predictions We observe that the main operation for creating a new process is to copy resources, e.g., page tables and stack. However, lots of these resources can be shared when creating a kernel thread, e.g., the table of file descriptors. Therefore, we estimate that the time of *fork()* is higher than *clone()*. Because, the fork call basically makes a duplicate of the current process. Unlike fork, the clone call allow the child process to share parts of its execution context with the calling process, such as the memory space, the table of file descriptors, and the table of signal handlers ³⁴. Because the getPid() costs around 8000 cycles, so we predict the number of cycles for fork() and clone() is 20,000 and 16,000 respectively.

Experimental Results

System call	Predicated value	Measured value
fork()	20,000	XXXX
clone()	16,000	XXX

Table 1: Cycles for fork() and clone().

Analysis

3.5 Context switch time

Chunbin: Context switch time: Report the time to context switch from one process to another, and from one kernel thread to another. How do they compare? In the past students have found using blocking pipes to be useful for forcing context switches

Algorithm 1: ContextSwitch

```
\begin{array}{l} \operatorname{int\ main}() \{ \\ \operatorname{pid=fork}(); \\ t_1 = \operatorname{rdtsc}(); \\ \mathbf{if\ } (pid = 0) \ \mathbf{then} \\ \quad | \operatorname{cout} < \operatorname{child\ timestamp} : < < t_1; \\ \quad | \operatorname{return\ } 0; \\ \mathbf{else} \\ \quad | t_2 = \operatorname{rdtsc}(); \\ \quad | \operatorname{waitpid}(\operatorname{pid}); \\ \quad | \operatorname{cout} < \operatorname{parent\ timestamp} : < < t_2; \\ \quad | \operatorname{return\ } 0; \\ \\ \} \end{array}
```

³http://www.allinterview.com/showanswers/59616.html

⁴http://www.unixguide.net/unix/programming/1.1.2.shtml