

Progress Report

Hanchuan Li, Haichen Shen, Shengliang Xu and Congle Zhang

Computer Science & Engineering

University of Washington

Seattle, WA 98195, USA

{hanchuan, haichen, shengliang, clzhang}@cs.washington.edu

1 Literature Review

Manual annotation for NLP training data is well-known for its tedium and large amount of data. To generate a comprehensive annotated training set requires much human effort. Annotators are also prone to make mistakes during the long and tedious annotating process. Researchers are trying to address these problems by two means: 1) develop visualization tools to improve annotation efficiency as well as reduce the error rate in annotation; 2) adopt crowdsourcing to enable collaborative annotation that accelerates the process of annotation.

Most related in scope is (?) which provides a collaborative tool to assist annotators in tagging of complex Chinese and multilingual linguistic data. It visualizes a tree model that represents the complex relations across different linguistic elements to reduce the learning curve. Besides it proposes a web-based collaborative annotation approach to meet the large amount of data. Their tool focuses on a specific area — complex multilingual linguistic data, whereas our work is trying to address how to generate a visualization model for general data sets.

Most related in scope is (?) which provides a collaborative tool to assist annotators in tagging of complex Chinese and multilingual linguistic data. It visualizes a tree model that represents the complex relations across different linguistic elements to reduce the learning curve. Besides it proposes a web-based collaborative annotation approach to meet the large amount of data. Their tool only focuses on a specific area that is complex multilingual linguistic data, whereas our work is trying to address how to generate a visualization model for general data sets.

Crowdsourcing now is recognized as a growing and promising approach in NLP. Many related

works focus on conceptual study and formalization of crowdsourcing. For instance, (?) categorizes crowdsourcing into seven genres: Mechanized Labor, Game with a Purpose (GWAP), Widom of Crowds, Crowdsourcing, Dual-Purpose Work, Grand Serarch, Human-based Genetic Algorithms and Knowledge Collection from Volunteer Contributors. Other works, such as (?) and (?), develops a specific tool and verifies the feasibility and benefit of crowdsourcing. Nevertheless, we seek to provide an intuitive visualization to lower the barrier to get started on crowdsourcing.

2 Project Plan

2.1 System overview

In this project, we aim to develop a visualized toolkit for crowd-sourcing NLP annotations. The target audience are normal people with little knowledge and patience. The toolkit would allow them to quickly label NLP datasets.

There are two key properties of our toolkit: firstly, annotators could interact with the data to understand them in a refresh way. Annotators label some examples and they expect immediate feedback from the toolkit. These feedbacks will help them understand the problem. Secondly, the toolkit should enable and encourage trial and errors. It would not assume any edits from the users as gold, but treat the edits as clues to better visualize the data to the annotator. When the annotator finishes a labeling task, he should be satisfied and confident with the overall outcome. For example, it is hard to distinguish whether “Jeff” is “Jeff Bilmes” or “Jeffery Heer” when data points are seen individually. But if the toolkit could immediate show a big cluster {“Jeff, Jeff Bilmes, Jeffery Heer, Professor Heer”} after in-

correctly merge two points, the annotators would have a good chance to fix it.

In this project, we would focus on two important kinds of NLP annotations: building trees (*e.g.* parsing) and clustering (*e.g.* coreference resolution). But we would keep in mind that the toolkit should be easily extensible to any NLP problems.

2.2 Milestones

System Brainstorming

All group members work on this together.

System Input Output Implementation:

Major Responsibility: Congle Zhang

Minor Responsibility: Shengliang Xu, Haichen Shen

System Graphic & Visualization Implementation:

Major Responsibility: Haichen Shen Shengliang Xu

Minor Responsibility: Hanchuan Li, Congle Zhang

System Layout Adjustment & User Evaluation Study:

Major Responsibility: Hanchuan Li

Minor Responsibility: Congle Zhang, Haichen Shen, Shengliang Xu.

References