# **Course Ratings: A Better Course Evaluation Catalog**

Ryan Drapeau University of Washington drapeau@\* Emily Gu University of Washington emilygu@\*

Vimala Jampala University of Washington vjampala@\*

## **ABSTRACT**

ABSRACT HERE

## **Author Keywords**

KEYWORDS DESCRIBING PAPER HERE

#### INTRODUCTION

An explanation of the problem and the motivation for solving it.

## **RELATED WORK**

A description of previous papers related to your project.

#### **METHODS**

A detailed explanation of the techniques and algorithms you used to solve the problem.

## **RESULTS**

The visualizations your system produces and data to help evaluate your approach. For example you may include running times, or the time users typically spend generating a visualization using your system.

# **DISCUSSION**

What has the audience learned from your work? What new insights or practices has your system enabled? A full blown user study is not expected, but informal observations of use that help evaluate your system are encouraged.

# **FUTURE WORK**

A description of how your system could be extended or refined. We have read papers from a number of conferences throughout the course, but if you are having trouble figuring out how to write your paper, take a look at representative papers from the conferences listed above.

# **REFERENCES**

<sup>\*@</sup>cs.washington.edu