

Project

Category	Full Marks (3 Points)	Partial Credit (2 Points)	Partial Credit (1 Points)	No Marks (0 Points)	Score
Quality of Work	Work (e.g., code) looks correct and organized. The project's readme file is clear, intelligible, and give a good idea of what the project is about.	Work (e.g., code) looks mostly correct and organized. The code could be better structured. OR the project readme file is somewhat unclear.	Work (e.g., code) is mostly disorganized. OR the project readme file is very unclear.	No code is given.	
Comments (e.g. Give an example of what could be improved. How can the code or readme file be improved?, etc.)			(I'd grade this as 1.5) So my main issue for a project like this that requires a lot of planning to build efficiently is that there didn't seem to be a whole lot of planning done before putting code down → again I have issues with requiring functional code before Milestone 2 (much less Milestone 1) but that's neither here nor there. However there was a lot of effort to put code down and I will credit that, but now a lot of that will need to be washed away as a result of not putting pseudocode down or properly laying out features before putting code down.		
Project Progress	Main features of the project are working and demonstrated.	Some complex features of the project are working and demonstrated.	A few features of the project are working but have issues.	No progress has been made.	

Comments (e.g. Give an example of what could be improved. If the project is too complex, what parts of the project could feasibly be done? If the project is too simple, what features could be added?)			As described in the README on the repository page, they have to completely rework the code they've put down due to issues getting things functional.		
Video	The video gives a helpful, clear depiction of the project and a demo of the project's working features.	The video gives a helpful, clear depiction of the project, but is confusing or vague in parts.	The video does not give a helpful, clear depiction of the project.	The video is missing or is inappropriate.	
Comments (e.g. Give constructive feedback to help improve the presentation style.)	For the features they have working at the moment, it does go over them fairly well. So kudos for that.				