

14.1 File-system structure

Introduction

As we saw in chapter File-System Interface, the file system provides the mechanism for on-line storage and access to file contents, including data and programs. File systems usually reside permanently on secondary storage, which is designed to hold a large amount of data. This chapter is primarily concerned with issues surrounding file storage and access on the most common secondary-storage media, hard disk drives and nonvolatile memory devices. We explore ways to structure file use, to allocate storage space, to recover freed space, to track the locations of data, and to interface other parts of the operating system to secondary storage. Performance issues are considered throughout the chapter.

A given general-purpose operating system provides several file systems. Additionally, many operating systems allow administrators or users to add file systems. Why so many? File systems vary in many respects, including features, performance, reliability, and design goals, and different file systems may serve different purposes. For example, a temporary file system is used for fast storage and retrieval of nonpersistent files, while the default secondary storage file system (such as Linux ext4) sacrifices performance for reliability and features. As we've seen throughout this study of operating systems, there are plenty of choices and variations, making thorough coverage a challenge. In this chapter, we concentrate on the common denominators.

Chapter objectives

- Describe the details of implementing local file systems and directory structures.
- Discuss block allocation and free-block algorithms and trade-offs.
- Explore file system efficiency and performance issues.
- Look at recovery from file system failures.
- Describe the WAFL file system as a concrete example.

File-system structure

Disks provide most of the secondary storage on which file systems are maintained. Two

characteristics make them convenient for this purpose:

1. A disk can be rewritten in place; it is possible to read a block from the disk, modify the block, and write it back into the same block.
2. A disk can access directly any block of information it contains. Thus, it is simple to access any file either sequentially or randomly, and switching from one file to another requires the drive moving the read-write heads and waiting for the media to rotate.


Nonvolatile memory (NVM) devices are increasingly used for file storage and thus as a location for file systems. They differ from hard disks in that they cannot be rewritten in place and they have different performance characteristics. We discuss disk and NVM-device structure in detail in chapter Mass-Storage Structure.

To improve I/O efficiency, I/O transfers between memory and mass storage are performed in units of **blocks**. Each block on a hard disk drive has one or more sectors. Depending on the disk drive, sector size is usually 512 bytes or 4,096 bytes. NVM devices usually have blocks of 4,096 bytes, and the transfer methods used are similar to those used by disk drives.


File systems provide efficient and convenient access to the storage device by allowing data to be stored, located, and retrieved easily. A file system poses two quite different design problems. The first problem is defining how the file system should look to the user. This task involves defining a file and its attributes, the operations allowed on a file, and the directory structure for organizing files. The second problem is creating algorithms and data structures to map the logical file system onto the physical secondary-storage devices.

The file system itself is generally composed of many different levels. The structure shown in Figure 14.1.1 is an example of a layered design. Each level in the design uses the features of lower levels to create new features for use by higher levels.

Figure 14.1.1: Layered file system.



©zyBooks 10/20/23 10:10 1812110
Christian Johnson
USCGA7345ElwakilFall2023



The **I/O control** level consists of device drivers and interrupt handlers to transfer information between the main memory and the disk system. A device driver can be thought of as a translator. Its input consists of high-level commands, such as "retrieve block 123." Its output consists of low-level, hardware-specific instructions that are used by the hardware controller, which interfaces the I/O device to the rest of the system. The device driver usually writes specific bit patterns to special locations in the I/O controller's memory to tell the controller which device location to act on and what actions to take. The details of device drivers and the I/O infrastructure are covered in chapter I/O Systems.

The **basic file system** (called the "block I/O subsystem" in Linux) needs only to issue generic commands to the appropriate device driver to read and write blocks on the storage device. It issues commands to the drive based on logical block addresses. It is also concerned with I/O request scheduling. This layer also manages the memory buffers and caches that hold various filesystem, directory, and data blocks. A block in the buffer is allocated before the transfer of a mass storage block can occur. When the buffer is full, the buffer manager must find more buffer memory or free up buffer space to allow a requested I/O to complete. Caches are used to hold frequently used file-system metadata to improve performance, so managing their contents is critical for optimum system performance.

The **file-organization module** knows about files and their logical blocks. Each file's logical blocks are numbered from 0 (or 1) through . The file organization module also includes the free-space manager, which tracks unallocated blocks and provides these blocks to the file-organization module when requested.

Finally, the **logical file system** manages metadata information. Metadata includes all of the file-system structure except the actual data (or contents of the files). The logical file system manages the directory structure to provide the file-organization module with the information the latter needs, given a symbolic file name. It maintains file structure via file-control blocks. A **file-control block (FCB)** (an **inode** in UNIX file systems) contains information about the file, including ownership, permissions, and location of the file contents. The logical file system is also responsible for protection, as discussed in chapter File-System Interface and chapter Protection.

When a layered structure is used for file-system implementation, duplication of code is minimized. The I/O control and sometimes the basic file-system code can be used by multiple file systems. Each file system can then have its own logical file-system and file-organization modules. Unfortunately, layering can introduce more operating-system overhead, which may result in decreased performance. The use of layering, including the decision about how many layers to use and what each layer should do, is a major challenge in designing new systems.

Many file systems are in use today, and most operating systems support more than one. For example, most CD-ROMs are written in the ISO 9660 format, a standard format agreed on by CD-ROM manufacturers. In addition to removable-media file systems, each operating system has one or more disk-based file systems. UNIX uses the **UNIX file system** (UFS), which is based on the Berkeley Fast File System (FFS). Windows supports disk file-system formats of FAT, FAT32, and NTFS (or WindowsNT File System), as well as CD-ROM and DVD file-system formats. Although Linux supports over 130 different file systems, the standard Linux file system is known as the **extended file system**, with the most common versions being ext3 and ext4. There are also distributed file systems in which a file system on a server is mounted by one or more client computers across a network.

File-system research continues to be an active area of operating-system design and implementation. Google created its own file system to meet the company's specific storage and retrieval needs, which include high-performance access from many clients across a very large number of disks. Another interesting project is the FUSE file system, which provides flexibility in file-system development and use by implementing and executing file systems as user-level rather than kernel-level code. Using FUSE, a user can add a new file system to a variety of operating systems and can use that file system to manage her files.

**PARTICIPATION
ACTIVITY**

14.1.1: Section review questions.



1) The logical-file system is responsible for managing both metadata information and protection:



- ☐ True
- ☐ False

2) I/O control is affected by the use of



- ☐ device drivers only
- ☐ interrupt handlers only
- ☐ device driver and interrupt handlers

3) When a layered structure is used for file-system implementation, risk of duplication of code increases:



- ☐ True
- ☐ False

©zyBooks 10/20/23 10:10 1812110
Christian Johnson
USCGA7345ElwakilFall2023

Section glossary

block: A self-contained unit of work. The smallest physical storage device storage unit, typically 512B or 4KB. In the Grand Central Dispatch Apple OS scheduler, a language extension that allows designation of a section of code that can be submitted to dispatch queues.

file system: The system used to control data storage and retrieval; provides efficient and convenient access to storage devices by allowing data to be stored, located, and retrieved easily.

I/O control: A logical layer of the operating system responsible for controlling I/O, consisting of device drivers and interrupt handlers.

basic file system: A logical layer of the operating system responsible for issuing generic commands to the I/O control layer, such as "read block x," and also buffering and caching I/O.

file-organization module: A logical layer of the operating system responsible for files and for translation of logical blocks to physical blocks.

logical file system: A logical layer of the operating system responsible for file and file-system metadata management; maintains the FCBs.

file-control block (FCB): A per-file block that contains all the metadata about a file, such as its access permissions, access dates, and block locations.

inode: In many file systems, a per-file data structure holding most of the metadata of the file. The FCB in most UNIX file systems.

UNIX file system (UFS): An early UNIX file systems; uses inodes for FCB.

extended file system: The most common class of Linux file systems, with ext3 and ext4 being the most commonly used file system types.

14.2 File-system operations

As was described in Section File operations, operating systems implement `open()` and `close()` systems calls for processes to request access to file contents. In this section, we delve into the structures and operations used to implement file-system operations.

Overview

Several on-storage and in-memory structures are used to implement a file system. These structures vary depending on the operating system and the file system, but some general principles apply.

On storage, the file system may contain information about how to boot an operating system stored there, the total number of blocks, the number and location of free blocks, the directory structure, and individual files. Many of these structures are detailed throughout the remainder of this chapter. Here, we describe them briefly:

- A **boot control block** (per volume) can contain information needed by the system to boot an operating system from that volume. If the disk does not contain an operating system, this block can be empty. It is typically the first block of a volume. In UFS, it is called the **boot block**. In NTFS, it is the **partition boot sector**.
- A **volume control block** (per volume) contains volume details, such as the number of blocks in the volume, the size of the blocks, a free-block count and free-block pointers, and a free-FCB count and FCB pointers. In UFS, this is called a **superblock**. In NTFS, it is stored in the **master file table**.
- A directory structure (per file system) is used to organize the files. In UFS, this includes file names and associated inode numbers. In NTFS, it is stored in the master file table.
- A per-file FCB contains many details about the file. It has a unique identifier number to allow association with a directory entry. In NTFS, this information is actually stored within the master file table, which uses a relational database structure, with a row per file.

The in-memory information is used for both file-system management and performance improvement via caching. The data are loaded at mount time, updated during file-system operations, and discarded at dismount. Several types of structures may be included.

- An in-memory **mount table** contains information about each mounted volume.
- An in-memory directory-structure cache holds the directory information of recently accessed directories. (For directories at which volumes are mounted, it can contain a pointer to the volume table.)
- The **system-wide open-file table** contains a copy of the FCB of each open file, as well as other information.
- The **per-process open-file table** contains pointers to the appropriate entries in the system-wide open-file table, as well as other information, for all files the process has open.
- Buffers hold file-system blocks when they are being read from or written to a file system.

To create a new file, a process calls the logical file system. The logical file system knows the format of the directory structures. To create a new file, it allocates a new FCB. (Alternatively, if the file-system implementation creates all FCBs at file-system creation time, an FCB is allocated from the set of free FCBs.) The system then reads the appropriate directory into memory, updates it with

the new file name and FCB, and writes it back to the file system. A typical FCB is shown in Figure 14.2.1.

Figure 14.2.1: A typical file-control block.

file permissions
file dates (create, access, write)
file owner, group, ACL
file size
file data blocks or pointers to file data blocks

Some operating systems, including UNIX, treat a directory exactly the same as a file—one with a "type" field indicating that it is a directory. Other operating systems, including Windows, implement separate system calls for files and directories and treat directories as entities separate from files. Whatever the larger structural issues, the logical file system can call the file-organization module to map the directory I/O into storage block locations, which are passed on to the basic file system and I/O control system.

Usage

Now that a file has been created, it can be used for I/O. First, though, it must be opened. The `open ()` call passes a file name to the logical file system. The `open ()` system call first searches the system-wide open-file table to see if the file is already in use by another process. If it is, a per-process open-file table entry is created pointing to the existing system-wide open-file table. This algorithm can save substantial overhead. If the file is not already open, the directory structure is searched for the given file name. Parts of the directory structure are usually cached in memory to speed directory operations. Once the file is found, the FCB is copied into a system-wide open-file table in memory. This table not only stores the FCB but also tracks the number of processes that have the file open.

Next, an entry is made in the per-process open-file table, with a pointer to the entry in the system-wide open-file table and some other fields. These other fields may include a pointer to the current location in the file (for the next `read ()` or `write ()` operation) and the access mode in which the file is open. The `open ()` call returns a pointer to the appropriate entry in the per-process file-system table. All file operations are then performed via this pointer. The file name may not be part

of the open-file table, as the system has no use for it once the appropriate FCB is located on disk. It could be cached, though, to save time on subsequent opens of the same file. The name given to the entry varies. UNIX systems refer to it as a **file descriptor**; Windows refers to it as a **file handle**.

When a process closes the file, the per-process table entry is removed, and the system-wide entry's open count is decremented. When all users that have opened the file close it, any updated metadata are copied back to the disk-based directory structure, and the system-wide open-file table entry is removed.

The caching aspects of file-system structures should not be overlooked. Most systems keep all information about an open file, except for its actual data blocks, in memory. The BSD UNIX system is typical in its use of caches wherever disk I/O can be saved. Its average cache hit rate of 85 percent shows that these techniques are well worth implementing. The BSD UNIX system is described fully in Appendix C: BDX UNIX.

The operating structures of a file-system implementation are summarized in the animations below.

PARTICIPATION ACTIVITY

14.2.1: In-memory file-system structures – (a) file open.



Animation content:

Step 1: A process requests open of "file name". Step 2: The directory structure is searched for the given file name. Step 3: The entry is loaded into kernel memory if it's not already in memory. Step 4: The directory structure includes the file control block (fcb) of the file, which is loaded into the system-wide open-file table. Step 5: The per-process open-file table contains pointers to each open file (entry in the system-wide open-file table) that the process can access. Step 6: A file descriptor (on UNIX systems) or file handle (on Windows) is an index into the per-process open-file table that is returned to the calling process to allow it to access the now-open file.

Animation captions:

1. A process requests open of "file name".
2. The directory structure is searched for the given file name.
3. The entry is loaded into kernel memory if it's not already in memory.
4. The directory structure includes the file control block (fcb) of the file, which is loaded into the system-wide open-file table.
5. The per-process open-file table contains pointers to each open file (entry in the system-wide open-file table) that the process can access.
6. A file descriptor (on UNIX systems) or file handle (on Windows) is an index into the per-process open-file table that is returned to the calling process to allow it to access the now-open file.



ACTIVITY

14.2.2: In-memory file-system structures - (b) file read.

Animation content:

Step 1: A read of a data block of an open file uses the file descriptor (or file handle) as an index into the per-process open-file table, which points to the file control block in the system-wide open-file table Step 2: The file control block has the information needed to find the data blocks, and the appropriate data from the data blocks is returned to the calling process

Animation captions:

1. A read of a data block of an open file uses the file descriptor (or file handle) as an index into the per-process open-file table, which points to the file control block in the system-wide open-file table
2. The file control block has the information needed to find the data blocks, and the appropriate data from the data blocks is returned to the calling process

PARTICIPATION
ACTIVITY

14.2.3: Section review questions.

1) A file handle (or file descriptor) is:

- ☐ a reference to the physical location on disk of a file
- ☐ a reference to an entry in the system-wide open-file table
- ☐ a reference to an entry in the per-process open-file table

2) The system-wide open-file table contains:

- ☐ a copy of the file control block of each open file
- ☐ a reference to the file control block of each open file
- ☐ the names of each open file

Section glossary

boot control block: A storage block of data containing information needed by the system to boot from the volume containing the block.

boot block: A block of code stored in a specific location on disk with the instructions to boot the kernel stored on that disk. The UFS boot control block.

partition boot sector: The NTFS boot control block.

©zyBooks 10/20/23 10:10 1812110
Christian Johnson
USCGA7345ElwakilFall2023

volume control block: A per-volume storage block containing data describing the volume.

superblock: The UFS volume control block.

master file table: The NTFS volume control block.

mount table: An in-memory data structure containing information about each mounted volume. It tracks file systems and how they are accessed.

system-wide open-file table: A kernel in-memory data structure containing a copy of the FCB of each open file, as well as other information.

per-process open-file table: A kernel in-memory per-process data structure containing pointers to the system-wide open-file table, as well as other information, for all files the process has open.

file descriptor (fd): UNIX open-file pointer, created and returned to a process when it opens a file.

file handle: Windows name for the open-file file descriptor.

14.3 Directory implementation

The selection of directory-allocation and directory-management algorithms significantly affects the efficiency, performance, and reliability of the file system. In this section, we discuss the trade-offs involved in choosing one of these algorithms.

©zyBooks 10/20/23 10:10 1812110
Christian Johnson
USCGA7345ElwakilFall2023

Linear list

The simplest method of implementing a directory is to use a linear list of file names with pointers to the data blocks. This method is simple to program but time-consuming to execute. To create a new file, we must first search the directory to be sure that no existing file has the same name. Then, we add a new entry at the end of the directory. To delete a file, we search the directory for the

named file and then release the space allocated to it. To reuse the directory entry, we can do one of several things. We can mark the entry as unused (by assigning it a special name, such as an all-blank name, assigning it an invalid inode number (such as 0), or by including a used-unused bit in each entry), or we can attach it to a list of free directory entries. A third alternative is to copy the last entry in the directory into the freed location and to decrease the length of the directory. A linked list can also be used to decrease the time required to delete a file.

The real disadvantage of a linear list of directory entries is that finding a file requires a linear search. Directory information is used frequently, and users will notice if access to it is slow. In fact, many operating systems implement a software cache to store the most recently used directory information. A cache hit avoids the need to constantly reread the information from secondary storage. A sorted list allows a binary search and decreases the average search time. However, the requirement that the list be kept sorted may complicate creating and deleting files, since we may have to move substantial amounts of directory information to maintain a sorted directory. A more sophisticated tree data structure, such as a balanced tree, might help here. An advantage of the sorted list is that a sorted directory listing can be produced without a separate sort step.

Hash table

Another data structure used for a file directory is a hash table. Here, a linear list stores the directory entries, but a hash data structure is also used. The hash table takes a value computed from the file name and returns a pointer to the file name in the linear list. Therefore, it can greatly decrease the directory search time. Insertion and deletion are also fairly straightforward, although some provision must be made for collisions—situations in which two file names hash to the same location.

The major difficulties with a hash table are its generally fixed size and the dependence of the hash function on that size. For example, assume that we make a linear-probing hash table that holds 64 entries. The hash function converts file names into integers from 0 to 63 (for instance, by using the remainder of a division by 64). If we later try to create a 65th file, we must enlarge the directory hash table—say, to 128 entries. As a result, we need a new hash function that must map file names to the range 0 to 127, and we must reorganize the existing directory entries to reflect their new hash-function values.

Alternatively, we can use a chained-overflow hash table. Each hash entry can be a linked list instead of an individual value, and we can resolve collisions by adding the new entry to the linked list. Lookups may be somewhat slowed, because searching for a name might require stepping through a linked list of colliding table entries. Still, this method is likely to be much faster than a linear search through the entire directory.

PARTICIPATION ACTIVITY

14.3.1: Section review question.



1) What is a significant drawback of



using a hash table to implement

directory?

- ☒ slow search access
- ☐ difficult insertion and deletion
- ☐ fixed size

©zyBooks 10/20/23 10:10 1812110

Christian Johnson

USCGA7345ElwakilFall2023

14.4 Allocation methods

The direct-access nature of secondary storage gives us flexibility in the implementation of files. In almost every case, many files are stored on the same device. The main problem is how to allocate space to these files so that storage space is utilized effectively and files can be accessed quickly. Three major methods of allocating secondary storage space are in wide use: contiguous, linked, and indexed. Each method has advantages and disadvantages. Although some systems support all three, it is more common for a system to use one method for all files within a file-system type.

Contiguous allocation

Contiguous allocation requires that each file occupy a set of contiguous blocks on the device. Device addresses define a linear ordering on the device. With this ordering, assuming that only one job is accessing the device, accessing block $i + 1$ after block i normally requires no head movement. When head movement is needed (from the last sector of one cylinder to the first sector of the next cylinder), the head need only move from one track to the next. Thus, for HDDs, the number of disk seeks required for accessing contiguously allocated files is minimal (assuming blocks with close logical addresses are close physically), as is seek time when a seek is finally needed.


Contiguous allocation of a file is defined by the address of the first block and length (in block units) of the file. If the file is n blocks long and starts at location i , then it occupies blocks i , $i + 1$, $i + 2$, ..., $i + n - 1$. The directory entry for each file indicates the address of the starting block and the length of the area allocated for this file (Figure 14.4.1). Contiguous allocation is easy to implement but has limitations, and is therefore not used in modern file systems.


Figure 14.4.1: Contiguous allocation of disk space.

©zyBooks 10/20/23 10:10 1812110

Christian Johnson

USCGA7345ElwakilFall2023





mail	15	0
list	28	4
f	6	2

©zyBooks 10/20/23 10:10 1812110
Christian Johnson
USCGA7345ElwakilFall2023

Accessing a file that has been allocated contiguously is easy. For sequential access, the file system remembers the address of the last block referenced and, when necessary, reads the next block. For direct access to block i of a file that starts at block j , we can immediately access block $j + i$. Thus, both sequential and direct access can be supported by contiguous allocation.

Contiguous allocation has some problems, however. One difficulty is finding space for a new file. The system chosen to manage free space determines how this task is accomplished; these management systems are discussed in Section 14.5. Any management system can be used, but some are slower than others.

The contiguous-allocation problem can be seen as a particular application of the general **dynamic storage-allocation** problem discussed in Section 9.2, which involves how to satisfy a request of size s from a list of free holes. First fit and best fit are the most common strategies used to select a free hole from the set of available holes. Simulations have shown that both first fit and best fit are more efficient than worst fit in terms of both time and storage utilization. Neither first fit nor best fit is clearly best in terms of storage utilization, but first fit is generally faster.

All these algorithms suffer from the problem of **external fragmentation**. As files are allocated and deleted, the free storage space is broken into little pieces. External fragmentation exists whenever free space is broken into chunks. It becomes a problem when the largest contiguous chunk is insufficient for a request; storage is fragmented into a number of holes, none of which is large enough to store the data. Depending on the total amount of disk storage and the average file size, external fragmentation may be a minor or a major problem.

One strategy for preventing loss of significant amounts of storage space to external fragmentation is to copy an entire file system onto another device. The original device is then freed completely, creating one large contiguous free space. We then copy the files back onto the original device by allocating contiguous space from this one large hole. This scheme effectively **compacts** all free space into one contiguous space, solving the fragmentation problem. The cost of this compaction

is time, however, and the cost can be particularly high for large storage devices. Compacting these devices may take hours and may be necessary on a weekly basis. Some systems require that this function be done **off-line**, with the file system unmounted. During this **down time**, normal system operation generally cannot be permitted, so such compaction is avoided at all costs on production machines. Most modern systems that need defragmentation can perform it **on-line** during normal system operations, but the performance penalty can be substantial.

Another problem with contiguous allocation is determining how much space is needed for a file. When the file is created, the total amount of space it will need must be found and allocated. How does the creator (program or person) know the size of the file to be created? In some cases, this determination may be fairly simple (copying an existing file, for example). In general, however, the size of an output file may be difficult to estimate.

If we allocate too little space to a file, we may find that the file cannot be extended. Especially with a best-fit allocation strategy, the space on both sides of the file may be in use. Hence, we cannot make the file larger in place. Two possibilities then exist. First, the user program can be terminated, with an appropriate error message. The user must then allocate more space and run the program again. These repeated runs may be costly. To prevent them, the user will normally overestimate the amount of space needed, resulting in considerable wasted space. The other possibility is to find a larger hole, copy the contents of the file to the new space, and release the previous space. This series of actions can be repeated as long as space exists, although it can be time consuming. The user need never be informed explicitly about what is happening, however; the system continues despite the problem, although more and more slowly.

Even if the total amount of space needed for a file is known in advance, preallocation may be inefficient. A file that will grow slowly over a long period (months or years) must be allocated enough space for its final size, even though much of that space will be unused for a long time. The file therefore has a large amount of internal fragmentation.


To minimize these drawbacks, an operating system can use a modified contiguous-allocation scheme. Here, a contiguous chunk of space is allocated initially. Then, if that amount proves not to be large enough, another chunk of contiguous space, known as an **extent**, is added. The location of a file's blocks is then recorded as a location and a block count, plus a link to the first block of the next extent. On some systems, the owner of the file can set the extent size, but this setting results in inefficiencies if the owner is incorrect. Internal fragmentation can still be a problem if the extents are too large, and external fragmentation can become a problem as extents of varying sizes are allocated and deallocated. The commercial Symantec Veritas file system uses extents to optimize performance. Veritas is a high-performance replacement for the standard UNIX UFS.

Linked allocation

Linked allocation solves all problems of contiguous allocation. With linked allocation, each file is a linked list of storage blocks; the blocks may be scattered anywhere on the device. The directory contains a pointer to the first and last blocks of the file. For example, a file of five blocks might start at block 9 and continue at block 16, then block 1, then block 10, and finally block 25 (Figure 14.4.2).

Each block contains a pointer to the next block. These pointers are not made available to the user. Thus, if each block is 512 bytes in size, and a block address (the pointer) requires 4 bytes, then the user sees blocks of 508 bytes.

Figure 14.4.2: Linked allocation of disk space.



To create a new file, we simply create a new entry in the directory. With linked allocation, each directory entry has a pointer to the first block of the file. This pointer is initialized to null (the end-of-list pointer value) to signify an empty file. The size field is also set to 0. A write to the file causes the free-space management system to find a free block, and this new block is written to and is linked to the end of the file. To read a file, we simply read blocks by following the pointers from block to block. There is no external fragmentation with linked allocation, and any free block on the free-space list can be used to satisfy a request. The size of a file need not be declared when the file is created. A file can continue to grow as long as free blocks are available. Consequently, it is never necessary to compact disk space.

Linked allocation does have disadvantages, however. The major problem is that it can be used effectively only for sequential-access files. To find the n th block of a file, we must start at the beginning of that file and follow the pointers until we get to the n th block. Each access to a pointer requires a storage device read, and some require an HDD seek. Consequently, it is inefficient to support a direct-access capability for linked-allocation files.


Another disadvantage is the space required for the pointers. If a pointer requires 4 bytes out of a 512-byte block, then 0.78 percent of the disk is being used for pointers, rather than for information. Each file requires slightly more space than it would otherwise.

The usual solution to this problem is to collect blocks into multiples, called **clusters**, and to allocate clusters rather than blocks. For instance, the file system may define a cluster as four blocks and operate on the secondary storage device only in cluster units. Pointers then use a much smaller percentage of the file's space. This method allows the logical-to-physical block mapping to remain simple but improves HDD throughput (because fewer disk-head seeks are required) and decreases the space needed for block allocation and free-list management. The cost of this approach is an increase in internal fragmentation, because more space is wasted when a cluster is partially full than when a block is partially full. Also random I/O performance suffers because a request for a small amount of data transfers a large amount of data. Clusters can be used to improve the disk-access time for many other algorithms as well, so they are used in most file systems.


Yet another problem of linked allocation is reliability. Recall that the files are linked together by pointers scattered all over the device, and consider what would happen if a pointer was lost or damaged. A bug in the operating-system software or a hardware failure might result in picking up the wrong pointer. This error could in turn result in linking into the free-space list or into another file. One partial solution is to use doubly linked lists, and another is to store the file name and relative block number in each block. However, these schemes require even more overhead for each file.

An important variation on linked allocation is the use of a **file-allocation table (FAT)**. This simple but efficient method of disk-space allocation was used by the MS-DOS operating system. A section of storage at the beginning of each volume is set aside to contain the table. The table has one entry for each block and is indexed by block number. The FAT is used in much the same way as a linked list. The directory entry contains the block number of the first block of the file. The table entry indexed by that block number contains the block number of the next block in the file. This chain continues until it reaches the last block, which has a special end-of-file value as the table entry. An unused block is indicated by a table value of 0. Allocating a new block to a file is a simple matter of finding the first 0-valued table entry and replacing the previous end-of-file value with the address of the new block. The 0 is then replaced with the end-of-file value. An illustrative example is the FAT structure shown in Figure 14.4.3 for a file consisting of disk blocks 217, 618, and 339.

Figure 14.4.3: File-allocation table.



©zyBooks 10/20/23 10:10 1812110
Christian Johnson
USCGA7345ElwakilFall2023




The FAT allocation scheme can result in a significant number of disk head seeks, unless the FAT is cached. The disk head must move to the start of the volume to read the FAT and find the location of the block in question, then move to the location of the block itself. In the worst case, both moves occur for each of the blocks. A benefit is that random-access time is improved, because the disk head can find the location of any block by reading the information in the FAT.


Indexed allocation

Linked allocation solves the external-fragmentation and size-declaration problems of contiguous allocation. However, in the absence of a FAT, linked allocation cannot support efficient direct access, since the pointers to the blocks are scattered with the blocks themselves all over the disk and must be retrieved in order. **Indexed allocation** solves this problem by bringing all the pointers together into one location: the **index block**.

Each file has its own index block, which is an array of storage-block addresses. The i th entry in the index block points to the i th block of the file. The directory contains the address of the index block (Figure 14.4.4). To find and read the i th block, we use the pointer in the i th index-block entry. This scheme is similar to the paging scheme described in Section 9.3.

Figure 14.4.4: Indexed allocation of disk space.





When the file is created, all pointers in the index block are set to `null`. When the `i`th block is first written, a block is obtained from the free-space manager, and its address is put in the `i`th index-block entry.

Indexed allocation supports direct access, without suffering from external fragmentation, because any free block on the storage device can satisfy a request for more space. Indexed allocation does suffer from wasted space, however. The pointer overhead of the index block is generally greater than the pointer overhead of linked allocation. Consider a common case in which we have a file of only one or two blocks. With linked allocation, we lose the space of only one pointer per block. With indexed allocation, an entire index block must be allocated, even if only one or two pointers will be non-`null`.

This point raises the question of how large the index block should be. Every file must have an index block, so we want the index block to be as small as possible. If the index block is too small, however, it will not be able to hold enough pointers for a large file, and a mechanism will have to be available to deal with this issue. Mechanisms for this purpose include the following:

- Linked scheme.** An index block is normally one storage block. Thus, it can be read and written directly by itself. To allow for large files, we can link together several index blocks. For example, an index block might contain a small header giving the name of the file and a set of the first 100 disk-block addresses. The next address (the last word in the index block) is `null` (for a small file) or is a pointer to another index block (for a large file).
- Multilevel index.** A variant of linked representation uses a first-level index block to point to a set of second-level index blocks, which in turn point to the file blocks. To access a block, the operating system uses the first-level index to find a second-level index block and then uses that block to find the desired data block. This approach could be continued to a third or fourth level, depending on the desired maximum file size. With 4,096-byte blocks, we could store 1,024 four-byte pointers in an index block. Two levels of indexes allow 1,048,576 data blocks


and a file size of up to 4 GB.

- **Combined scheme.**

Another alternative, used in UNIX-based file systems, is to keep the first, say, 15 pointers of the index block in the file's inode. The first 12 of these pointers point to **direct blocks**; that is, they contain addresses of blocks that contain data of the file. Thus, the data for small files (of no more than 12 blocks) do not need a separate index block. If the block size is 4 KB, then up to 48 KB of data can be accessed directly. The next three pointers point to **indirect blocks**. The first points to a **single indirect block**, which is an index block containing not data but the addresses of blocks that do contain data. The second points to a **double indirect block**, which contains the address of a block that contains the addresses of blocks that contain pointers to the actual data blocks. The last pointer contains the address of a **triple indirect block**. (A UNIX inode is shown in Figure [14.4.5](#).)

Under this method, the number of blocks that can be allocated to a file exceeds the amount of space addressable by the 4-byte file pointers used by many operating systems. A 32-bit file pointer reaches only 4 GB. Many UNIX and Linux implementations now support 64-bit file pointers, which allows files and file systems to be several exbibytes in size. The ZFS file system supports 128-bit file pointers.

Figure 14.4.5: The UNIX inode.



Indexed-allocation schemes suffer from some of the same performance problems as does linked allocation. Specifically, the index blocks can be cached in memory, but the data blocks may be spread all over a volume.

Performance

The allocation methods that we have discussed vary in their storage efficiency and data-block access times. Both are important criteria in selecting the proper method or methods for an operating system to implement.

Before selecting an allocation method, we need to determine how the systems will be used. A system with mostly sequential access should not use the same method as a system with mostly random access.

For any type of access, contiguous allocation requires only one access to get a block. Since we can easily keep the initial address of the file in memory, we can calculate immediately the address of the n th block (or the next block) and read it directly.

For linked allocation, we can also keep the address of the next block in memory and read it directly. This method is fine for sequential access; for direct access, however, an access to the n th block might require n block reads. This problem indicates why linked allocation should not be used for an application requiring direct access.

As a result, some systems support direct-access files by using contiguous allocation and sequential-access files by using linked allocation. For these systems, the type of access to be made must be declared when the file is created. A file created for sequential access will be linked and cannot be used for direct access. A file created for direct access will be contiguous and can support both direct access and sequential access, but its maximum length must be declared when it is created. In this case, the operating system must have appropriate data structures and algorithms to support both allocation methods. Files can be converted from one type to another by the creation of a new file of the desired type, into which the contents of the old file are copied. The old file may then be deleted and the new file renamed.

Indexed allocation is more complex. If the index block is already in memory, then the access can be made directly. However, keeping the index block in memory requires considerable space. If this memory space is not available, then we may have to read first the index block and then the desired data block. For a two-level index, two index-block reads might be necessary. For an extremely large file, accessing a block near the end of the file would require reading in all the index blocks before the needed data block finally could be read. Thus, the performance of indexed allocation depends on the index structure, on the size of the file, and on the position of the block desired.

Some systems combine contiguous allocation with indexed allocation by using contiguous allocation for small files (up to three or four blocks) and automatically switching to an indexed allocation if the file grows large. Since most files are small, and contiguous allocation is efficient for small files, average performance can be quite good.

Many other optimizations are in use. Given the disparity between CPU speed and disk speed, it is not unreasonable to add thousands of extra instructions to the operating system to save just a few disk-head movements. Furthermore, this disparity is increasing over time, to the point where hundreds of thousands of instructions could reasonably be used to optimize head movements.

For NVM devices, there are no disk head seeks, so different algorithms and optimizations are needed. Using an old algorithm that spends many CPU cycles trying to avoid a nonexistent head movement would be very inefficient. Existing file systems are being modified and new ones being created to attain maximum performance from NVM storage devices. These developments aim to reduce the instruction count and overall path between the storage device and application access to the data.

**PARTICIPATION
ACTIVITY****14.4.1: Section review questions.**

- 1) Which allocation method suffers from external fragmentation?



☐ Contiguous

☐ Linked

☐ Indexed

2) What is the primary disadvantage of linked allocation?

☐ External fragmentation

☐ Inefficient for direct file access

☐ Only supports limited file sizes

3) An inode's _____ indirect block is an index block which points to data blocks.

☐ Single indirect

☐ Double indirect

☐ Triple indirect

©zyBooks 10/20/23 10:10 1812110
Christian Johnson
USCGA7345ElwakilFall2023

Section glossary

contiguous allocation: A file-block allocation method in which all blocks of the file are allocated as a contiguous chunk on secondary storage.

dynamic storage-allocation: The class of file-block allocation methods that satisfy a request for n blocks from a list of free holes available.

external fragmentation: Fragmentation in which available memory contains holes that together have enough free space to satisfy a request but no single hole is large enough to satisfy the request. More generally, the fragmentation of an address space into small, less usable chunks.

compaction: The shuffling of storage to consolidate used space and leave one or more large holes available for more efficient allocation.

off-line: Generally, a facility, system, or computing component that is unavailable. In file system implementation, operations executing while the file system is unavailable for use.

down time: Generally, time during which a facility, system, or computing component are off-line and unavailable.

on-line: Generally, a facility, system, or computing component that is available. In file system implementation, operations executing while the file system is available

©zyBooks 10/20/23 10:10 1812110
Christian Johnson
USCGA7345ElwakilFall2023

for use.

extent: A chunk of contiguous storage space added to previously allocated space; a pointer is used to link the spaces.

linked allocation: A type of file-system block allocation in which each file is a linked list of allocated blocks containing the file's contents. Blocks may be scattered all over the storage device.

cluster: In Windows storage, a power-of-2 number of disk sectors collected for I/O optimization.

file-allocation table (FAT): A simple but effective method of disk-space allocation used by MS-DOS. A section of storage at the beginning of each volume is set aside to contain the table, which has one entry per block, is indexed by block number, and contains the number of the next block in the file.

indexed allocation: In file-system block allocation, combining all block pointers in one or more index blocks to address limits in linked allocation and allow direct access to each block.

index block: In indexed allocation, a block that contains pointers to the blocks containing the file's data.

direct blocks: In UFS, blocks containing pointers to data blocks.

indirect block: In UFS, a block containing pointers to direct blocks, which point to data blocks.

single indirect block: In UFS, a block containing pointers to direct blocks, which point to data blocks.

double indirect block: In UFS, a block containing pointers to a single indirect block, which points to data blocks.

triple indirect block: In UFS, a block containing pointers to double indirect blocks, which point to single indirect blocks, which point to data blocks.

14.5 Free-space management

Since storage space is limited, we need to reuse the space from deleted files for new files, if possible. (Write-once optical disks allow only one write to any given sector, and thus reuse is not physically possible.) To keep track of free disk space, the system maintains a **free-space list**. The free-space list records all free device blocks—those not allocated to some file or directory. To create a file, we search the free-space list for the required amount of space and allocate that space to the

new file. This space is then removed from the free-space list. When a file is deleted, its space is added to the free-space list. The free-space list, despite its name, is not necessarily implemented as a list, as we discuss next.

Bit vector

Frequently, the free-space list is implemented as a **bitmap** or **bit vector**. Each block is represented by 1 bit. If the block is free, the bit is 1; if the block is allocated, the bit is 0.

For example, consider a disk where blocks 2, 3, 4, 5, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 17, 18, 25, 26, and 27 are free and the rest of the blocks are allocated. The free-space bitmap would be

```
001111001111110001100000011100000 ...
```

The main advantage of this approach is its relative simplicity and its efficiency in finding the first free block or consecutive free blocks on the disk. Indeed, many computers supply bit-manipulation instructions that can be used effectively for that purpose. One technique for finding the first free block on a system that uses a bit vector to allocate space is to sequentially check each word in the bitmap to see whether that value is not 0, since a 0-valued word contains only 0 bits and represents a set of allocated blocks. The first non-0 word is scanned for the first 1 bit, which is the location of the first free block. The calculation of the block number is

$(\text{number of bits per word}) \times (\text{number of 0-value words}) + \text{offset of first 1 bit}.$


Again, we see hardware features driving software functionality. Unfortunately, bit vectors are inefficient unless the entire vector is kept in main memory (and is written to the device containing the file system occasionally for recovery needs). Keeping it in main memory is possible for smaller devices but not necessarily for larger ones. A 1.3-GB disk with 512-byte blocks would need a bitmap of over 332 KB to track its free blocks, although clustering the blocks in groups of four reduces this number to around 83 KB per disk. A 1-TB disk with 4-KB blocks would require 32 MB ($1024 \times 1024 \times 1024 / 4 = 268,435,456$ bits = 32 bytes = MB) to store its bit map. Given that disk size constantly increases, the problem with bit vectors will continue to escalate as well.

Linked list

Another approach to free-space management is to link together all the free blocks, keeping a pointer to the first free block in a special location in the file system and caching it in memory. This first block contains a pointer to the next free block, and so on. Recall our earlier example (Section Bit vector), in which blocks 2, 3, 4, 5, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 17, 18, 25, 26, and 27 were free and the rest of the blocks were allocated. In this situation, we would keep a pointer to block 2 as the first free block. Block 2 would contain a pointer to block 3, which would point to block 4, which would point to block 5, which would point to block 8, and so on (Figure 14.5.1). This scheme is not efficient; to traverse the list, we must read each block, which requires substantial I/O time on HDDs.

Fortunately, however, traversing the free list is not a frequent action. Usually, the operating system simply needs a free block so that it can allocate that block to a file, so the first block in the free list is used. The FAT method incorporates free-block accounting into the allocation data structure. No separate method is needed.

Figure 14.5.1: Linked free-space list on disk.



Grouping

A modification of the free-list approach stores the addresses of free blocks in the first free block. The first $n - 1$ of these blocks are actually free. The last block contains the addresses of another free blocks, and so on. The addresses of a large number of free blocks can now be found quickly, unlike the situation when the standard linked-list approach is used.

Counting

Another approach takes advantage of the fact that, generally, several contiguous blocks may be allocated or freed simultaneously, particularly when space is allocated with the contiguous-allocation algorithm or through clustering. Thus, rather than keeping a list of free block addresses, we can keep the address of the first free block and the number () of free contiguous

blocks that follow the first block. Each entry in the free-space list then consists of a device address and a count. Although each entry requires more space than would a simple disk address, the overall list is shorter, as long as the count is generally greater than 1. Note that this method of tracking free space is similar to the extent method of allocating blocks. These entries can be stored in a balanced tree, rather than a linked list, for efficient lookup, insertion, and deletion.

Space maps

Oracle's **ZFS** file system (found in Solaris and some other operating systems) was designed to encompass huge numbers of files, directories, and even file systems (in ZFS, we can create file-system hierarchies). On these scales, metadata I/O can have a large performance impact. Consider, for example, that if the free-space list is implemented as a bitmap, bitmaps must be modified both when blocks are allocated and when they are freed. Freeing 1 GB of data on a 1-TB disk could cause thousands of blocks of bitmaps to be updated, because those data blocks could be scattered over the entire disk. Clearly, the data structures for such a system could be large and inefficient.

In its management of free space, ZFS uses a combination of techniques to control the size of data structures and minimize the I/O needed to manage those structures. First, ZFS creates **metaslabs** to divide the space on the device into chunks of manageable size. A given volume may contain hundreds of metaslabs. Each metaslab has an associated space map. ZFS uses the counting algorithm to store information about free blocks. Rather than write counting structures to disk, it uses log-structured file-system techniques to record them. The space map is a log of all block activity (allocating and freeing), in time order, in counting format. When ZFS decides to allocate or free space from a metaslab, it loads the associated space map into memory in a balanced-tree structure (for very efficient operation), indexed by offset, and replays the log into that structure. The in-memory space map is then an accurate representation of the allocated and free space in the metaslab. ZFS also condenses the map as much as possible by combining contiguous free blocks into a single entry. Finally, the free-space list is updated on disk as part of the transaction-oriented operations of ZFS. During the collection and sorting phase, block requests can still occur, and ZFS satisfies these requests from the log. In essence, the log plus the balanced tree **is** the free list.

TRIMing unused blocks

HDDs and other storage media that allow blocks to be overwritten for updates need only the free list for managing free space. Blocks do not need to be treated specially when freed. A freed block typically keeps its data (but without any file pointers to the block) until the data are overwritten when the block is next allocated.

Storage devices that do not allow overwrite, such as NVM flash-based storage devices, suffer badly when these same algorithms are applied. Recall from Section Nonvolatile memory devices that such devices must be erased before they can again be written to, and that those erases must be made in large chunks (blocks, composed of pages) and take a relatively long time compared with reads or writes.

A new mechanism is needed to allow the file system to inform the storage device that a page is free and can be considered for erasure (once the block containing the page is entirely free). That mechanism varies based on the storage controller. For ATA-attached drives, it is TRIM, while for NVMe-based storage, it is the `unallocate` command. Whatever the specific controller command, this mechanism keeps storage space available for writing. Without such a capability, the storage device gets full and needs garbage collection and block erasure, leading to decreases in storage I/O write performance (known as "a write cliff"). With the TRIM mechanism and similar capabilities, the garbage collection and erase steps can occur before the device is nearly full, allowing the device to provide more consistent performance.

**PARTICIPATION
ACTIVITY**

14.5.1: Section review questions.



- 1) The following bit vector represents a disk where blocks 1,5,8,11,14 are free and the rest is allocated –
1011101101101101...:



- ☐ True
☐ False

- 2) Which free space management approach takes an advantage of the fact that multiple contiguous blocks may be allocated or freed simultaneously?



- ☐ grouping
☐ counting
☐ space maps

Section glossary

free-space list: In file-system block allocation, the data structure tracking all free blocks in the file system.

bitmap: A string of n binary digits that can be used to represent the status of n items. The availability of each item is indicated by the value of a binary digit: 0 means that the resource is available, while 1 indicates that it is unavailable (or vice-versa).

bit vector: A string of n binary digits that can be used to represent the status of n

items. The availability of each item is indicated by the value of a binary digit: 0 means that the resource is available, while 1 indicates that it is unavailable (or vice-versa).

ZFS: Oracle file system, created by Sun Microsystems, with modern algorithms and features and few limits on file and device sizes.

metaslabs: Chunks of blocks. In ZFS, a pool of storage is split into metaslabs for easier management.

©zyBooks 10/20/23 10:10 1812110
Christian Johnson
USCGA7345ElwakilFall2023

14.6 Efficiency and performance

Now that we have discussed various block-allocation and directory-management options, we can further consider their effect on performance and efficient storage use. Disks tend to represent a major bottleneck in system performance, since they are the slowest main computer component. Even NVM devices are slow compared with CPU and main memory, so their performance must be optimized as well. In this section, we discuss a variety of techniques used to improve the efficiency and performance of secondary storage.

Efficiency

The efficient use of storage device space depends heavily on the allocation and directory algorithms in use. For instance, UNIX inodes are preallocated on a volume. Even an empty disk has a percentage of its space lost to inodes. However, by preallocating the inodes and spreading them across the volume, we improve the file system's performance. This improved performance results from the UNIX allocation and free-space algorithms, which try to keep a file's data blocks near that file's inode block to reduce seek time.

As another example, let's reconsider the clustering scheme discussed in Section 14.4, which improves file-seek and file-transfer performance at the cost of internal fragmentation. To reduce this fragmentation, BSD UNIX varies the cluster size as a file grows. Large clusters are used where they can be filled, and small clusters are used for small files and the last cluster of a file. This system is described in Appendix C: BSD UNIX.

©zyBooks 10/20/23 10:10 1812110
Christian Johnson
USCGA7345ElwakilFall2023

The types of data normally kept in a file's directory (or inode) entry also require consideration. Commonly, a "last write date" is recorded to supply information to the user and to determine whether the file needs to be backed up. Some systems also keep a "last access date," so that a user can determine when the file was last read. The result of keeping this information is that, whenever the file is read, a field in the directory structure must be written to. That means the block must be read into memory, a section changed, and the block written back out to the device, because operations on secondary storage occur only in block (or cluster) chunks. So any time a file is

opened for reading, its FCB must be read and written as well. This requirement can be inefficient for frequently accessed files, so we must weigh its benefit against its performance cost when designing a file system. Generally, every data item associated with a file needs to be considered for its effect on efficiency and performance.

Consider, for instance, how efficiency is affected by the size of the pointers used to access data. Most systems use either 32-bit or 64-bit pointers throughout the operating system. Using 32-bit pointers limits the size of a file to 4 GB. Using 64-bit pointers allows very large file sizes, but 64-bit pointers require more space to store. As a result, the allocation and free-space-management methods (linked lists, indexes, and so on) use more storage space.

One of the difficulties in choosing a pointer size—or, indeed, any fixed allocation size within an operating system—is planning for the effects of changing technology. Consider that the IBM PC XT had a 10-MB hard drive and an MS-DOS FAT file system that could support only 32 MB. (Each FAT entry was 12 bits, pointing to an 8-KB cluster.) As disk capacities increased, larger disks had to be split into 32-MB partitions, because the file system could not track blocks beyond 32 MB. As hard disks with capacities of over 100 MB became common, the disk data structures and algorithms in MS-DOS had to be modified to allow larger file systems. (Each FAT entry was expanded to 16 bits and later to 32 bits.) The initial file-system decisions were made for efficiency reasons; however, with the advent of MS-DOS Version 4, millions of computer users were inconvenienced when they had to switch to the new, larger file system. Solaris's ZFS file system uses 128-bit pointers, which theoretically should never need to be extended. (The minimum mass of a device capable of storing bytes using atomic-level storage would be about 272 trillion kilograms.)

As another example, consider the evolution of the Solaris operating system. Originally, many data structures were of fixed length, allocated at system startup. These structures included the process table and the open-file table. When the process table became full, no more processes could be created. When the file table became full, no more files could be opened. The system would fail to provide services to users. Table sizes could be increased only by recompiling the kernel and rebooting the system. With later releases of Solaris, (as with modern Linux kernels) almost all kernel structures were allocated dynamically, eliminating these artificial limits on system performance. Of course, the algorithms that manipulate these tables are more complicated, and the operating system is a little slower because it must dynamically allocate and deallocate table entries; but that price is the usual one for more general functionality.


Performance

Even after the basic file-system algorithms have been selected, we can still improve performance in several ways. As was discussed in chapter I/O Systems, storage device controllers include local memory to form an on-board cache that is large enough to store entire tracks or blocks at a time. On an HDD, once a seek is performed, the track is read into the disk cache starting at the sector under the disk head (reducing latency time). The disk controller then transfers any sector requests to the operating system. Once blocks make it from the disk controller into main memory, the operating system may cache the blocks there.


Some systems maintain a separate section of main memory for a **buffer cache**, where blocks are kept under the assumption that they will be used again shortly. Other systems cache file data using a **page cache**. The page cache uses virtual memory techniques to cache file data as pages rather than as file-system-oriented blocks. Caching file data using virtual addresses is far more efficient than caching through physical disk blocks, as accesses interface with virtual memory rather than the file system. Several systems—including Solaris, Linux, and Windows—use page caching to cache both process pages and file data. This is known as **unified virtual memory**.

Some versions of UNIX and Linux provide a **unified buffer cache**. To illustrate the benefits of the unified buffer cache, consider the two alternatives for opening and accessing a file. One approach is to use memory mapping (Section 13.5); the second is to use the standard system calls `read()` and `write()`. Without a unified buffer cache, we have a situation similar to Figure 14.6.1. Here, the `read()` and `write()` system calls go through the buffer cache. The memory-mapping call, however, requires using two caches—the page cache and the buffer cache. A memory mapping proceeds by reading in disk blocks from the file system and storing them in the buffer cache. Because the virtual memory system does not interface with the buffer cache, the contents of the file in the buffer cache must be copied into the page cache. This situation, known as **double caching**, requires caching file-system data twice. Not only does this waste memory but it also wastes significant CPU and I/O cycles due to the extra data movement within system memory. In addition, inconsistencies between the two caches can result in corrupt files. In contrast, when a unified buffer cache is provided, both memory mapping and the `read()` and `write()` system calls use the same page cache. This has the benefit of avoiding double caching, and it allows the virtual memory system to manage file-system data. The unified buffer cache is shown in Figure 14.6.2.

Figure 14.6.1: I/O without a unified buffer cache.



©zyBooks 10/20/23 10:10 1812110
Christian Johnson
USCGA7345ElwakilFall2023



```
graph TD; FS1[file system] <--> BC[buffer cache]; BC <--> MMIO[memory-mapped I/O]; BC <--> RW["I/O using read( ) and write( )"]
```

The diagram illustrates a unified buffer cache architecture. At the top, a box labeled 'file system' is connected to a central 'buffer cache' box. The 'buffer cache' box is also connected to two other boxes: 'memory-mapped I/O' and 'I/O using read() and write()'. All connections are bidirectional, indicating that data can flow in either direction between the components.

Figure 14.6.2: I/O using a unified buffer cache.

©zyBooks 10/20/23 10:10 1812110
Christian Johnson
USCGA7345ElwakilFall2023

Regardless of whether we are caching storage blocks or pages (or both), least recently used (LRU) (Section LRU page replacement) seems a reasonable general-purpose algorithm for block or page replacement. However, the evolution of the Solaris page-caching algorithms reveals the difficulty in choosing an algorithm. Solaris allows processes and the page cache to share unused memory. Versions earlier than Solaris 2.5.1 made no distinction between allocating pages to a process and allocating them to the page cache. As a result, a system performing many I/O operations used most of the available memory for caching pages. Because of the high rates of I/O, the page scanner (Section Solaris) reclaimed pages from processes—rather than from the page cache—when free memory ran low. Solaris 2.6 and Solaris 7 optionally implemented priority paging, in which the page scanner gave priority to process pages over the page cache. Solaris 8 applied a fixed limit to process pages and the file-system page cache, preventing either from forcing the other out of memory. Solaris 9 and 10 again changed the algorithms to maximize memory use and minimize thrashing.

©zyBooks 10/20/23 10:10 1812110
Christian Johnson
USCGA7345ElwakilFall2023

Another issue that can affect the performance of I/O is whether writes to the file system occur synchronously or asynchronously. **Synchronous writes** occur in the order in which the storage subsystem receives them, and the writes are not buffered. Thus, the calling routine must wait for the data to reach the drive before it can proceed. In an **asynchronous write**, the data are stored in the cache, and control returns to the caller. Most writes are asynchronous. However, metadata writes, among others, can be synchronous. Operating systems frequently include a flag in the open system call to allow a process to request that writes be performed synchronously. For example,

databases use this feature for atomic transactions, to assure that data reach stable storage in the required order.

Some systems optimize their page cache by using different replacement algorithms, depending on the access type of the file. A file being read or written sequentially should not have its pages replaced in LRU order, because the most recently used page will be used last, or perhaps never again. Instead, sequential access can be optimized by techniques known as free-behind and read-ahead. **Free-behind** removes a page from the buffer as soon as the next page is requested. The previous pages are not likely to be used again and waste buffer space. With **read-ahead**, a requested page and several subsequent pages are read and cached. These pages are likely to be requested after the current page is processed. Retrieving these data from the disk in one transfer and caching them saves a considerable amount of time. One might think that a track cache on the controller would eliminate the need for read-ahead on a multiprogrammed system. However, because of the high latency and overhead involved in making many small transfers from the track cache to main memory, performing a read-ahead remains beneficial.

The page cache, the file system, and the device drivers have some interesting interactions. When small amounts of data are written to a file, the pages are buffered in the cache, and the storage device driver sorts its output queue according to device address. These two actions allow a disk driver to minimize disk-head seeks. Unless synchronous writes are required, a process writing to disk simply writes into the cache, and the system asynchronously writes the data to disk when convenient. The user process sees very fast writes. When data are read from a disk file, the block I/O system does some read-ahead; however, writes are much more nearly asynchronous than are reads. Thus, output to the disk through the file system is often faster than is input for small transfers, counter to intuition. No matter how much buffering and caching is available, large, continuous I/O can overrun the capacity and end up bottlenecked on the device's performance. Consider writing a large movie file to a HDD. If the file is larger than the page cache (or the part of the page cache available to the process) then the page cache will fill and all I/O will occur at drive speed. Current HDDs read faster than they write, so in this instance the performance aspects are reversed from smaller I/O performance.

**PARTICIPATION
ACTIVITY**

14.6.1: Section review questions.



1) A unified buffer cache _____ .



- ☐ is used for both I/O read () and write () operations in a single cache.
- ☐ is used for both memory-mapped I/O and I/O read () and write () operations in a single cache.
- ☐ is used as both the page cache

©zyBooks 10/20/23 10:10 1812110
Christian Johnson
USCGA7345ElwakilFall2023

and buffer cache.

2) A calling process must wait for data to be written to disk with _____ writes.

- ☐ synchronous
- ☐ asynchronous

3) Free-behind technique for speeding-up sequential file access is based on:

- ☐ freeing the least-recently-used (LRU) page from the buffer with each new page request.
- ☐ freeing any page from the buffer with each new page request
- ☐ caching subsequent pages

©zyBooks 10/20/23 10:10 1812110
Christian Johnson
USCGA7345ElwakilFall2023

Section glossary

buffer cache: In file I/O, a cache of blocks used to decrease device I/O.

page cache: In file I/O, a cache that uses virtual memory techniques to cache file data as pages rather than file-system-oriented blocks for efficiency.

unified virtual memory: In file I/O, the use of page caching for all types of I/O (explicit file system I/O and page fault I/O).

unified buffer cache: In file I/O, a cache used for both memory-mapped I/O and direct file I/O.

double caching: The problem in which the same data might be in two different caches; solved by a unified buffer cache.

synchronous writes: Writes that are stored in the order in which they were issued, are not buffered, and have requesting threads wait for the writes to complete before continuing.

asynchronous write: A write that is buffered and written in arbitrary order, with the requesting thread continuing execution after the write is requested.

free-behind: Sequential I/O performance optimization that removes a page or block from a buffer as soon as I/O to the next page is requested.

read-ahead: Sequential I/O performance optimization that reads and caches several subsequent pages when a read of one page is requested.

14.7 Recovery

©zyBooks 10/20/23 10:10 1812110
Christian Johnson
USCGA7345ElwakilFall2023

Files and directories are kept both in main memory and on the storage volume, and care must be taken to ensure that a system failure does not result in loss of data or in data inconsistency. A system crash can cause inconsistencies among on-storage file-system data structures, such as directory structures, free-block pointers, and free FCB pointers. Many file systems apply changes to these structures in place. A typical operation, such as creating a file, can involve many structural changes within the file system on the disk. Directory structures are modified, FCBs are allocated, data blocks are allocated, and the free counts for all of these blocks are decreased. These changes can be interrupted by a crash, and inconsistencies among the structures can result. For example, the free FCB count might indicate that an FCB had been allocated, but the directory structure might not point to the FCB. Compounding this problem is the caching that operating systems do to optimize I/O performance. Some changes may go directly to storage, while others may be cached. If the cached changes do not reach the storage device before a crash occurs, more corruption is possible.

In addition to crashes, bugs in file-system implementation, device controllers, and even user applications can corrupt a file system. File systems have varying methods to deal with corruption, depending on the file-system data structures and algorithms. We deal with these issues next.

Consistency checking

Whatever the cause of corruption, a file system must first detect the problems and then correct them. For detection, a scan of all the metadata on each file system can confirm or deny the consistency of the system. Unfortunately, this scan can take minutes or hours and should occur every time the system boots. Alternatively, a file system can record its state within the file-system metadata. At the start of any metadata change, a status bit is set to indicate that the metadata is in flux. If all updates to the metadata complete successfully, the file system can clear that bit. If, however, the status bit remains set, a consistency checker is run.

©zyBooks 10/20/23 10:10 1812110
Christian Johnson
USCGA7345ElwakilFall2023

The **consistency checker**—a systems program such as `fsck` in UNIX—compares the data in the directory structure and other metadata with the state on storage and tries to fix any inconsistencies it finds. The allocation and free-space-management algorithms dictate what types of problems the checker can find and how successful it will be in fixing them. For instance, if linked allocation is used and there is a link from any block to its next block, then the entire file can be reconstructed from the data blocks, and the directory structure can be recreated. In contrast, the loss of a

directory entry on an indexed allocation system can be disastrous, because the data blocks have no knowledge of one another. For this reason, some UNIX file systems cache directory entries for reads, but any write that results in space allocation, or other metadata changes, is done synchronously, before the corresponding data blocks are written. Of course, problems can still occur if a synchronous write is interrupted by a crash. Some NVM storage devices contain a battery or supercapacitor to provide enough power, even during a power loss, to write data from device buffers to the storage media so the data are not lost. But even those precautions do not protect against corruption due to a crash.

Log-structured file systems

Computer scientists often find that algorithms and technologies originally used in one area are equally useful in other areas. Such is the case with the database log-based recovery algorithms. These logging algorithms have been applied successfully to the problem of consistency checking. The resulting implementations are known as **log-based transaction-oriented** (or **journaling**) file systems.

Note that with the consistency-checking approach discussed in the preceding section, we essentially allow structures to break and repair them on recovery. However, there are several problems with this approach. One is that the inconsistency may be irreparable. The consistency check may not be able to recover the structures, resulting in loss of files and even entire directories. Consistency checking can require human intervention to resolve conflicts, and that is inconvenient if no human is available. The system can remain unavailable until the human tells it how to proceed. Consistency checking also takes system and clock time. To check terabytes of data, hours of clock time may be required.

The solution to this problem is to apply log-based recovery techniques to file-system metadata updates. Both NTFS and the Veritas file system use this method, and it is included in recent versions of UFS on Solaris. In fact, it is now common on many file systems including ext3, ext4, and ZFS.

Fundamentally, all metadata changes are written sequentially to a log. Each set of operations for performing a specific task is a **transaction**. Once the changes are written to this log, they are considered to be committed, and the system call can return to the user process, allowing it to continue execution. Meanwhile, these log entries are replayed across the actual file-system structures. As the changes are made, a pointer is updated to indicate which actions have completed and which are still incomplete. When an entire committed transaction is completed, an entry is made in the log indicating that. The log file is actually a circular buffer. A **circular buffer** writes to the end of its space and then continues at the beginning, overwriting older values as it goes. We would not want the buffer to write over data that had not yet been saved, so that scenario is avoided. The log may be in a separate section of the file system or even on a separate storage device.

If the system crashes, the log file will contain zero or more transactions. Any transactions it contains were not completed to the file system, even though they were committed by the operating

system, so they must now be completed. The transactions can be executed from the pointer until the work is complete so that the file-system structures remain consistent. The only problem occurs when a transaction was aborted—that is, was not committed before the system crashed. Any changes from such a transaction that were applied to the file system must be undone, again preserving the consistency of the file system. This recovery is all that is needed after a crash, eliminating any problems with consistency checking.

A side benefit of using logging on disk metadata updates is that those updates proceed much faster than when they are applied directly to the on-disk data structures. The reason is found in the performance advantage of sequential I/O over random I/O. The costly synchronous random metadata writes are turned into much less costly synchronous sequential writes to the log-structured file system's logging area. Those changes, in turn, are replayed asynchronously via random writes to the appropriate structures. The overall result is a significant gain in performance of metadata-oriented operations, such as file creation and deletion, on HDD storage.

Other solutions

Another alternative to consistency checking is employed by Network Appliance's WAFL file system and the Solaris ZFS file system. These systems never overwrite blocks with new data. Rather, a transaction writes all data and metadata changes to new blocks. When the transaction is complete, the metadata structures that pointed to the old versions of these blocks are updated to point to the new blocks. The file system can then remove the old pointers and the old blocks and make them available for reuse. If the old pointers and blocks are kept, a **snapshot** is created; the snapshot is a view of the file system at a specific point in time (before any updates after that time were applied). This solution should require no consistency checking if the pointer update is done atomically. WAFL does have a consistency checker, however, so some failure scenarios can still cause metadata corruption. (See Section [14.8](#) for details of the WAFL file system.)

ZFS takes an even more innovative approach to disk consistency. Like WAFL, it never overwrites blocks. However, ZFS goes further and provides checksumming of all metadata and data blocks. This solution (when combined with RAID) assures that data are always correct. ZFS therefore has no consistency checker. (More details on ZFS are found in Section Problems with RAID.)

Backup and restore

Storage devices sometimes fail, and care must be taken to ensure that the data lost in such a failure are not lost forever. To this end, system programs can be used to **back up** data from one storage device to another, such as a magnetic tape or other secondary storage device. Recovery from the loss of an individual file, or of an entire device, may then be a matter of **restoring** the data from backup.

To minimize the copying needed, we can use information from each file's directory entry. For instance, if the backup program knows when the last backup of a file was done, and the file's last write date in the directory indicates that the file has not changed since that date, then the file does

not need to be copied again. A typical backup schedule may then be as follows:

- **Day 1.** Copy to a backup medium all files from the file system. This is called a **full backup**.
- **Day 2.** Copy to another medium all files changed since day 1. This is an **incremental backup**.
- **Day 3.** Copy to another medium all files changed since day 2.
- . . .
- **Day n .** Copy to another medium all files changed since day $n - 1$. Then go back to day 1.

©zyBooks 10/20/23 10:10 1812110
Christian Johnson
USCGA7345ElwakilFall2023

The new cycle can have its backup written over the previous set or onto a new set of backup media.

Using this method, we can restore an entire file system by starting restores with the full backup and continuing through each of the incremental backups. Of course, the larger the value of n , the greater the number of media that must be read for a complete restore. An added advantage of this backup cycle is that we can restore any file accidentally deleted during the cycle by retrieving the deleted file from the backup of the previous day.

The length of the cycle is a compromise between the amount of backup needed and the number of days covered by a restore. To decrease the number of tapes that must be read to do a restore, an option is to perform a full backup and then each day back up all files that have changed since the full backup. In this way, a restore can be done via the most recent incremental backup and the full backup, with no other incremental backups needed. The trade-off is that more files will be modified each day, so each successive incremental backup involves more files and more backup media.

A user may notice that a particular file is missing or corrupted long after the damage was done. For this reason, we usually plan to take a full backup from time to time that will be saved "forever." It is a good idea to store these permanent backups far away from the regular backups to protect against hazard, such as a fire that destroys the computer and all the backups too. In the TV show "Mr. Robot," hackers not only attacked the primary sources of banks' data but also their backup sites. Having multiple backup sites might not be a bad idea if your data are important.

PARTICIPATION ACTIVITY

14.7.1: Section review questions.



1) Which systems record each metadata update to the file system as a transaction:



- ☐ journaling
- ☐ snapshot
- ☐ consistency checking

©zyBooks 10/20/23 10:10 1812110
Christian Johnson
USCGA7345ElwakilFall2023

2) If a transaction is aborted during a file system crash _____.



- ☐ the transaction can be completed once the system has restarted.
- ☐ all file system changes from the transaction must be undone and the transaction must restart.

©zyBooks 10/20/23 10:10 1812110
Christian Johnson
USCGA7345ElwakilFall2023

Section glossary

consistency checker: A system program, such as UNIX fsck, that reads file system metadata and tries to correct any inconsistencies (such as a file's length being incorrectly recorded).

log-based transaction-oriented file system: A file system that features a write transaction log where all write activities are logged for replay across actual file-system structures and consistency protection.

journaling: In a file system that features a write transaction log, logging of write activities for replay across actual file-system structures and consistency protection.

transaction: Generally, the execution of a set of steps that make up one activity. In log-based transaction-oriented file systems, a set of operations completed as part of a request (e.g., "write this block to that file").

circular buffer: A buffer that uses a fixed amount of storage and wraps writes from the end of the buffer to the beginning when the buffer fills (so that the buffer acts as if it's circular in shape).

snapshot: In file systems, a read-only view of a file system at a particular point in time; later changes do not affect the snapshot view.

backup: In file systems, a copy or copies of the file system or changes to the file system that can be used to restore the file system if it is damaged or destroyed.

restore: In file systems, the act of repairing or recovering files or a file system from a backup.

full backup: In file systems, a backup that includes all of the contents of a file system.

incremental backup: In file systems, a backup that contains only some parts of a file system (the parts that have changed since the last full and incremental backups).

14.8 Example: the WAFL file system


Because secondary-storage I/O has such a huge impact on system performance, file-system design and implementation command quite a lot of attention from system designers. Some file systems are general purpose, in that they can provide reasonable performance and functionality for a wide variety of file sizes, file types, and I/O loads. Others are optimized for specific tasks in an attempt to provide better performance in those areas than general-purpose file systems. The **write-anywhere file layout** (WAFL) from NetApp, Inc. is an example of this sort of optimization. WAFL is a powerful, elegant file system optimized for random writes.

WAFL is used exclusively on network file servers produced by NetApp and is meant for use as a distributed file system. It can provide files to clients via the NFS, CIFS, iSCSI, ftp, and http protocols, although it was designed just for NFS and CIFS. When many clients use these protocols to talk to a file server, the server may see a very large demand for random reads and an even larger demand for random writes. The NFS and CIFS protocols cache data from read operations, so writes are of the greatest concern to file-server creators.


WAFL is used on file servers that include an NVRAM cache for writes. The WAFL designers took advantage of running on a specific architecture to optimize the file system for random I/O, with a stable-storage cache in front. Ease of use is one of the guiding principles of WAFL. Its creators also designed it to include a new snapshot functionality that creates multiple read-only copies of the file system at different points in time, as we shall see.

The file system is similar to the Berkeley Fast File System, with many modifications. It is block-based and uses inodes to describe files. Each inode contains 16 pointers to blocks (or indirect blocks) belonging to the file described by the inode. Each file system has a root inode. All of the metadata lives in files. All inodes are in one file, the free-block map in another, and the free-inode map in a third, as shown in Figure 14.8.1. Because these are standard files, the data blocks are not limited in location and can be placed anywhere. If a file system is expanded by addition of disks, the lengths of the metadata files are automatically expanded by the file system.

Figure 14.8.1: The WAFL file layout.



©zyBooks 10/20/23 10:10 1812110
Christian Johnson
USCGA7345ElwakilFall2023



Thus, a WAFL file system is a tree of blocks with the root inode as its base. To take a snapshot, WAFL creates a copy of the root inode. Any file or metadata updates after that go to new blocks rather than overwriting their existing blocks. The new root inode points to metadata and data changed as a result of these writes. Meanwhile, the snapshot (the old root inode) still points to the old blocks, which have not been updated. It therefore provides access to the file system just as it was at the instant the snapshot was made—and takes very little storage space to do so. In essence, the extra space occupied by a snapshot consists of just the blocks that have been modified since the snapshot was taken.

An important change from more standard file systems is that the free-block map has more than one bit per block. It is a bitmap with a bit set for each snapshot that is using the block. When all snapshots that have been using the block are deleted, the bitmap for that block is all zeros, and the block is free to be reused. Used blocks are never overwritten, so writes are very fast, because a write can occur at the free block nearest the current head location. There are many other performance optimizations in WAFL as well.

Many snapshots can exist simultaneously, so one can be taken each hour of the day and each day of the month, for example. A user with access to these snapshots can access files as they were at any of the times the snapshots were taken. The snapshot facility is also useful for backups, testing, versioning, and so on. WAFL's snapshot facility is very efficient in that it does not even require that copy-on-write copies of each data block be taken before the block is modified. Other file systems provide snapshots, but frequently with less efficiency. WAFL snapshots are depicted in the animation below.

PARTICIPATION ACTIVITY

14.8.1: Snapshots in WAFL.



Animation content:

Step 1: Before a snapshot, there is one root inode, pointing to all current blocks Step 2: At snapshot creation time, the system creates a copy of the root inode, with pointers to the same current blocks. Step 3: When block D changes, a new block is allocated for the changed data, and the root inode is updated to point to the changed block, D'. The snapshot inode is unchanged

Animation captions:

1. Before a snapshot, there is one root inode, pointing to all current blocks
2. At snapshot creation time, the system creates a copy of the root inode, with pointers to the

same current blocks

3. When block D changes, a new block is allocated for the changed data, and the root inode is updated to point to the changed block, D'. The snapshot inode is unchanged

Newer versions of WAFL actually allow read-write snapshots, known as **clones**. Clones are also efficient, using the same techniques as snapshots. In this case, a read-only snapshot captures the state of the file system, and a clone refers back to that read-only snapshot. Any writes to the clone are stored in new blocks, and the clone's pointers are updated to refer to the new blocks. The original snapshot is unmodified, still giving a view into the file system as it was before the clone was updated. Clones can also be promoted to replace the original file system; this involves throwing out all of the old pointers and any associated old blocks. Clones are useful for testing and upgrades, as the original version is left untouched and the clone deleted when the test is done or if the upgrade fails.

The apple file system

In 2017, Apple, Inc., released a new file system to replace its 30-year-old HFS+ file system. HFS+ had been stretched to add many new features, but as usual, this process added complexity, along with lines of code, and made adding more features more difficult. Starting from scratch on a blank page allows a design to start with current technologies and methodologies and provide the exact set of features needed.

Apple File System (APFS) is a good example of such a design. Its goal is to run on all current Apple devices, from the Apple Watch through the iPhone to the Mac computers. Creating a file system that works in watchOS, iOS, tvOS, and macOS is certainly a challenge. APFS is feature-rich, including 64-bit pointers, clones for files and directories, snapshots, space sharing, fast directory sizing, atomic safe-save primitives, copy-on-write design, encryption (single- and multi-key), and I/O coalescing. It understands NVM as well as HDD storage.

Most of these features we've discussed, but there are a few new concepts worth exploring. **Space sharing** is a ZFS-like feature in which storage is available as one or more large free spaces (**containers**) from which file systems can draw allocations (allowing APFS-formatted volumes to grow and shrink). **Fast directory sizing** provides quick used-space calculation and updating. **Atomic safe-save** is a primitive (available via API, not via file-system commands) that performs renames of files, bundles of files, and directories as single atomic operations. I/O coalescing is an optimization for NVM devices in which several small writes are gathered together into a large write to optimize write performance.

Apple chose not to implement RAID as part of the new APFS, instead depending on

the existing Apple RAID volume mechanism for software RAID. APFS is also compatible with HFS+, allowing easy conversion for existing deployments.

Another feature that naturally results from the WAFL file system implementation is **replication**, the duplication and synchronization of a set of data over a network to another system. First, a snapshot of a WAFL file system is duplicated to another system. When another snapshot is taken on the source system, it is relatively easy to update the remote system just by sending over all blocks contained in the new snapshot. These blocks are the ones that have changed between the times the two snapshots were taken. The remote system adds these blocks to the file system and updates its pointers, and the new system then is a duplicate of the source system as of the time of the second snapshot. Repeating this process maintains the remote system as a nearly up-to-date copy of the first system. Such replication is used for disaster recovery. Should the first system be destroyed, most of its data are available for use on the remote system.

Finally, note that the ZFS file system supports similarly efficient snapshots, clones, and replication, and those features are becoming more common in various file systems as time goes by.

PARTICIPATION ACTIVITY

14.8.2: Section review question.



1) To take a snapshot, WAFL makes a copy of _____.



- ☐ the entire file system
- ☐ all inodes
- ☐ the root inode

Section glossary

write-anywhere file layout (WAFL): The file system that is the heart of the NetApp, Inc., storage appliances.

clone: In file systems, a snapshot that is read-write and modifiable. In virtualization, a copy of a guest that enables another instance of the guest to run in a separate virtual machine.

Apple file system (APFS): The 2017 file system from Apple that is the default on all modern Apple devices; features a rich feature set, space sharing, clones, snapshots, and copy-on-write design.

space sharing: A feature of APFS in which storage is treated as a pool and space is shared among the file systems created in that pool (much like ZFS).

containers: In APFS, large free spaces in storage from which file systems can draw allocations.

fast directory sizing: In APFS, a feature that tracks and rapidly reports on directory sizes.

atomic safe-save: In APFS, a feature primitive that performs file, bundle of file, and directory renaming in single atomic operations.

replication: In file systems, the duplication and synchronization of a set of data over a network to another system. In storage, the automatic duplication of writes between separate sites.

14.9 Summary

- Most file systems reside on secondary storage, which is designed to hold a large amount of data permanently. The most common secondary-storage medium is the disk, but the use of NVM devices is increasing.
- Storage devices are segmented into partitions to control media use and to allow multiple, possibly varying, file systems on a single device. These file systems are mounted onto a logical file system architecture to make them available for use.
- File systems are often implemented in a layered or modular structure. The lower levels deal with the physical properties of storage devices and communicating with them. Upper levels deal with symbolic file names and logical properties of files.
- The various files within a file system can be allocated space on the storage device in three ways: through contiguous, linked, or indexed allocation. Contiguous allocation can suffer from external fragmentation. Direct access is very inefficient with linked allocation. Indexed allocation may require substantial overhead for its index block. These algorithms can be optimized in many ways. Contiguous space can be enlarged through extents to increase flexibility and to decrease external fragmentation. Indexed allocation can be done in clusters of multiple blocks to increase throughput and to reduce the number of index entries needed. Indexing in large clusters is similar to contiguous allocation with extents.
- Free-space allocation methods also influence the efficiency of disk-space use, the performance of the file system, and the reliability of secondary storage. The methods used include bit vectors and linked lists. Optimizations include grouping, counting, and the FAT, which places the linked list in one contiguous area.
- Directory-management routines must consider efficiency, performance, and reliability. A hash

table is a commonly used method, as it is fast and efficient. Unfortunately, damage to the table or a system crash can result in inconsistency between the directory information and the disk's contents.

- A consistency checker can be used to repair damaged file-system structures. Operating-system backup tools allow data to be copied to magnetic tape or other storage devices, enabling the user to recover from data loss or even entire device loss due to hardware failure, operating system bug, or user error.
- Due to the fundamental role that file systems play in system operation, their performance and reliability are crucial. Techniques such as log structures and caching help improve performance, while log structures and RAID improve reliability. The WAFL file system is an example of optimization of performance to match a specific I/O load.

©zyBooks 10/20/23 10:10 1812110

USCGA7345ElwakilFall2023

14.10 Practice exercises

**EXERCISE**

14.10.1: (Problem 14.1 in the 10th edition).



- (a) Consider a file currently consisting of 100 blocks. Assume that the file-control block (and the index block, in the case of indexed allocation) is already in memory. Calculate how many disk I/O operations are required for contiguous, linked, and indexed (single-level) allocation strategies, if, for one block, the following conditions hold. In the contiguous-allocation case, assume that there is no room to grow at the beginning but there is room to grow at the end. Also assume that the block information to be added is stored in memory.
- The block is added at the beginning.
 - The block is added in the middle.
 - The block is added at the end.
 - The block is removed from the beginning.
 - The block is removed from the middle.
 - The block is removed from the end.

©zyBooks 10/20/23 10:10 1812110

Christian Johnson

USCGA7345ElwakilFall20

**EXERCISE**

14.10.2: (Problem 14.2 in the 10th edition).

- (a) Why must the bit map for file allocation be kept on mass storage, rather than in main memory?

**EXERCISE**

14.10.3: (Problem 14.3 in the 10th edition).



- (a) Consider a system that supports the strategies of contiguous, linked, and indexed allocation. What criteria should be used in deciding which strategy is best utilized for a particular file?

**EXERCISE**

14.10.4: (Problem 14.4 in the 10th edition).

©zyBooks 10/20/23 10:10 1812110
Christian Johnson
USCGA7345ElwakilFall2023



- (a) One problem with contiguous allocation is that the user must preallocate enough space for each file. If the file grows to be larger than the space allocated for it, special actions must be taken. One solution to this problem is to define a file structure consisting of an initial contiguous area of a specified size. If this area is filled, the operating system automatically defines an overflow area that is linked to the initial contiguous area. If the overflow area is filled, another overflow area is allocated. Compare this implementation of a file with the standard contiguous and linked implementations.

**EXERCISE**

14.10.5: (Problem 14.5 in the 10th edition).



- (a) How do caches help improve performance? Why do systems not use more or larger caches if they are so useful?

**EXERCISE**

14.10.6: (Problem 14.6 in the 10th edition).



- (a) Why is it advantageous to the user for an operating system to dynamically allocate its internal tables? What are the penalties to the operating system for doing so?

14.11 Exercises

©zyBooks 10/20/23 10:10 1812110
Christian Johnson
USCGA7345ElwakilFall2023

**EXERCISE**

14.11.1: (Problem 14.7 in the 10th edition).



- (a) Consider a file system that uses a modified contiguous-allocation scheme with support for extents. A file is a collection of extents, with each extent corresponding to a contiguous set of blocks. A key issue in such systems is the degree of variability in the size of the extents. What are the advantages and disadvantages of the following

schemes?

- a. All extents are of the same size, and the size is predetermined.
- b. Extents can be of any size and are allocated dynamically.
- c. Extents can be of a few fixed sizes, and these sizes are predetermined.

**EXERCISE**

14.11.2: (Problem 14.8 in the 10th edition).

©zyBooks 10/20/23 10:10 1812110
Christian Johnson
USCGA7345ElwakilFall2023



- (a) Contrast the performance of the three techniques for allocating disk blocks (contiguous, linked, and indexed) for both sequential and random file access.

**EXERCISE**

14.11.3: (Problem 14.9 in the 10th edition).



- (a) What are the advantages of the variant of linked allocation that uses a FAT to chain together the blocks of a file?

**EXERCISE**

14.11.4: (Problem 14.10 in the 10th edition).



Consider a system where free space is kept in a free-space list.

- (a) Suppose that the pointer to the free-space list is lost. Can the system reconstruct the free-space list? Explain your answer.
- (b) Consider a file system similar to the one used by UNIX with indexed allocation. How many disk I/O operations might be required to read the contents of a small local file at /a/b/c? Assume that none of the disk blocks is currently being cached.
- (c) Suggest a scheme to ensure that the pointer is never lost as a result of memory failure.

**EXERCISE**

14.11.5: (Problem 14.11 in the 10th edition).



©zyBooks 10/20/23 10:10 1812110
Christian Johnson
USCGA7345ElwakilFall2023

- (a) Some file systems allow disk storage to be allocated at different levels of granularity. For instance, a file system could allocate 4 KB of disk space as a single 4-KB block or as eight 512-byte blocks. How could we take advantage of this flexibility to improve performance? What modifications would have to be made to the free-space management scheme in order to support this feature?

**EXERCISE**

14.11.6: (Problem 14.12 in the 10th edition).



- (a) Discuss how performance optimizations for file systems might result in difficulties in maintaining the consistency of the systems in the event of computer crashes.

**EXERCISE**

14.11.7: (Problem 14.13 in the 10th edition).

©zyBooks 10/20/23 10:10 18 ? 10
Christian Johnson
USCGA7345ElwakilFall2023



- (a) Discuss the advantages and disadvantages of supporting links to files that cross mount points (that is, the file link refers to a file that is stored in a different volume).

**EXERCISE**

14.11.8: (Problem 14.14 in the 10th edition).



Consider a file system on a disk that has both logical and physical block sizes of 512 bytes. Assume that the information about each file is already in memory. For each of the three allocation strategies (contiguous, linked, and indexed), answer these questions:

- (a) How is the logical-to-physical address mapping accomplished in this system? (For the indexed allocation, assume that a file is always less than 512 blocks long.)
- (b) If we are currently at logical block 10 (the last block accessed was block 10) and want to access logical block 4, how many physical blocks must be read from the disk?

**EXERCISE**

14.11.9: (Problem 14.15 in the 10th edition).



- (a) Consider a file system that uses inodes to represent files. Disk blocks are 8 KB in size, and a pointer to a disk block requires 4 bytes. This file system has 12 direct disk blocks, as well as single, double, and triple indirect disk blocks. What is the maximum size of a file that can be stored in this file system?

**EXERCISE**

14.11.10: (Problem 14.16 in the 10th edition).

©zyBooks 10/20/23 10:10 18 ? 10
Christian Johnson
USCGA7345ElwakilFall2023



- (a) Fragmentation on a storage device can be eliminated through compaction. Typical disk devices do not have relocation or base registers (such as those used when memory is to be compacted), so how can we relocate files? Give three reasons why compacting and relocating files are often avoided.

**EXERCISE**

14.11.11: (Problem 14.17 in the 10th edition).



- (a) Explain why logging metadata updates ensures recovery of a file system after a file-system crash.

**EXERCISE**

14.11.12: (Problem 14.18 in the 10th edition).

©zyBooks 10/20/23 10:10 1812110
Christian Johnson
USCGA7345ElwakilFall2023



- (a) Consider the following backup scheme:

- **Day 1.** Copy to a backup medium all files from the disk.
- **Day 2.** Copy to another medium all files changed since day 1.
- **Day 3.** Copy to another medium all files changed since day 1.

This differs from the schedule given in Section Backup and restore by having all subsequent backups copy all files modified since the first full backup. What are the benefits of this system over the one in Section Backup and restore? What are the drawbacks? Are restore operations made easier or more difficult? Explain your answer.

**EXERCISE**

14.11.13: (Problem 14.19 in the 10th edition).



- (a) Discuss the advantages and disadvantages of associating with remote file systems (stored on file servers) a set of failure semantics different from those associated with local file systems.

**EXERCISE**

14.11.14: (Problem 14.20 in the 10th edition).



- (a) What are the implications of supporting UNIX consistency semantics for shared access to files stored on remote file systems?

©zyBooks 10/20/23 10:10 1812110
Christian Johnson
USCGA7345ElwakilFall2023

14.12 Programming problems

The following exercise examines the relationship between files and inodes on a UNIX or Linux system. On these systems, files are represented with inodes. That is, an inode is a file (and vice versa). You can complete this exercise on the Linux virtual machine that is provided with this text. You can also complete the exercise on any Linux, UNIX, or macOS system, but it will require

creating two simple text files named `file1.txt` and `file3.txt` whose contents are unique sentences.

**EXERCISE**

14.12.1: (Problem 14.21 in the 10th edition).



- (a) In the source code available with this text, open `file1.txt` and examine its contents. Next, obtain the inode number of this file with the command

```
ls -li file1.txt
```

This will produce output similar to the following:

```
16980 -rw-r--r-- 2 os os 22 Sep 14 16:13 file1.txt
```

where the inode number is boldfaced. (The inode number of `file1.txt` is likely to be different on your system.)

The UNIX `ln` command creates a link between a source and target file. This command works as follows:

```
ln [-s] <source file> <target file>
```

UNIX provides two types of links: (1) **hard links** and (2) **soft links**. A hard link creates a separate target file that has the same inode as the source file. Enter the following command to create a hard link between `file1.txt` and `file2.txt`:

```
ln file1.txt file2.txt
```

What are the inode values of `file1.txt` and `file2.txt`? Are they the same or different? Do the two files have the same—or different—contents?

Next, edit `file2.txt` and change its contents. After you have done so, examine the contents of `file1.txt`. Are the contents of `file1.txt` and `file2.txt` the same or different?

Next, enter the following command which removes `file1.txt`:

```
rm file1.txt
```

Does `file2.txt` still exist as well?

Now examine the man pages for both the `rm` and `unlink` commands. Afterwards, remove `file2.txt` by entering the command

```
strace rm file2.txt
```

The `strace` command traces the execution of system calls as the command `rm file2.txt` is run. What system call is used for removing `file2.txt`?

A soft link (or symbolic link) creates a new file that "points" to the name of the file it is linking to. In the source code available with this text, create a soft link to `file3.txt` by entering the following command:

```
ln -s file3.txt file4.txt
```

After you have done so, obtain the inode numbers of `file3.txt` and `file4.txt` using the command

```
ls -li file*.txt
```

Are the inodes the same, or is each unique? Next, edit the contents of `file4.txt`. Have the contents of `file3.txt` been altered as well? Last, delete `file3.txt`. After you have done so, explain what happens when you attempt to edit `file4.txt`.

14.13 Further reading

The internals of the BSD UNIX system are covered in full in [McKusick et al. (2015)]. Details concerning file systems for Linux can be found in [Love (2010)]. The Google file system is described in [Ghemawat et al. (2003)]. FUSE can be found at <http://fuse.sourceforge.net>.

Log-structured file organizations for enhancing both performance and consistency are discussed in [Rosenblum and Ousterhout (1991)], [Seltzer et al. (1993)], and [Seltzer et al. (1995)]. Log-structured designs for networked file systems are proposed in [Hartman and Ousterhout (1995)] and [Thekkath et al. (1997)].

The ZFS source code for space maps can be found at http://src.opensolaris.org/source/xref/onnv/onnv-gate/usr/src/uts/common/fs/zfs/space_map.c.

ZFS documentation can be found at <http://www.opensolaris.org/os/community/ZFS/docs>.

The NTFS file system is explained in [Solomon (1998)], the Ext3 file system used in Linux is described in [Mauerer (2008)], and the WAFL file system is covered in [Hitz et al. (1995)].

14.14 Bibliography

- [Ghemawat et al. (2003)]** S. Ghemawat, H. Gobioff, and S.-T. Leung, "The Google File System", *Proceedings of the ACM Symposium on Operating Systems Principles* (2003).
- [Hartman and Ousterhout (1995)]** J. H. Hartman and J. K. Ousterhout, "The Zebra Striped Network File System", *ACM Transactions on Computer Systems*, Volume 13, Number 3 (1995), pages 274-310.
- [Hitz et al. (1995)]** D. Hitz, J. Lau, and M. Malcolm, "File System Design for an NFS File Server Appliance", *Technical report, NetApp* (1995).
- [Love (2010)]** R. Love, *Linux Kernel Development*, Third Edition, Developer's Library (2010).
- [Mauerer (2008)]** W. Mauerer, *Professional Linux Kernel Architecture*, John Wiley and Sons (2008).
- [McKusick et al. (2015)]** M. K. McKusick, G. V. Neville-Neil, and R. N. M. Watson, *The Design and Implementation of the FreeBSD UNIX Operating System—Second Edition*, Pearson (2015).
- [Rosenblum and Ousterhout (1991)]** M. Rosenblum and J. K. Ousterhout, "The Design and Implementation of a Log-Structured File System", *Proceedings of the ACM Symposium on Operating Systems Principles* (1991), pages 1-15.
- [Seltzer et al. (1993)]** M. I. Seltzer, K. Bostic, M. K. McKusick, and C. Staelin, "An Implementation of a Log-Structured File System for UNIX", *USENIX Winter* (1993), pages 307-326.
- [Seltzer et al. (1995)]** M. I. Seltzer, K. A. Smith, H. Balakrishnan, J. Chang, S. McMains, and V. N. Padmanabhan, "File System Logging Versus Clustering: A Performance Comparison", *USENIX Winter* (1995), pages 249-264.
- [Solomon (1998)]** D. A. Solomon, *Inside Windows NT*, Second Edition, Microsoft Press (1998).
- [Thekkath et al. (1997)]** C. A. Thekkath, T. Mann, and E. K. Lee, "Frangipani: A Scalable Distributed File System", *Symposium on Operating Systems Principles* (1997), pages 224-237.