

A semi-intrusive Code Framework for Uncertainty Quantification

Jonas Kusch^a, Jannick Wolters^b, Martin Frank^c

^aKarlsruhe Institute of Technology, Karlsruhe, jonas.kusch@kit.edu

^bKarlsruhe Institute of Technology, Karlsruhe, jannick.wolters@kit.edu

^cKarlsruhe Institute of Technology, Karlsruhe, martin.frank@kit.edu

Abstract

Methods for quantifying the effects of uncertainties in hyperbolic problems can be divided into intrusive and non-intrusive techniques. Intrusive methods require the implementation of a new code and yield

Keywords: conservation laws, hyperbolic, intrusive, UQ, IPM, SG, Collocation

1. Introduction

Hyperbolic equations play an important role in various research areas such as fluid dynamics or plasma physics. Efficient numerical methods combined with robust implementations are available for these problems, however they do not account for uncertainties which can arise in measurement data or modeling assumptions. Including the effects of uncertainties in differential equations has become an important topic in the last decades.

A general hyperbolic set of equations with random initial data can be written as

$$\partial_t \mathbf{u}(t, \mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\xi}) + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{u}(t, \mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\xi})) = \mathbf{0}, \quad (1a)$$

$$\mathbf{u}(t = 0, \mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\xi}) = \mathbf{u}_{IC}(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\xi}), \quad (1b)$$

where the solution $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{R}^p$ depends on time $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$, spatial position $\mathbf{x} \in D \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ as well as a vector of random variables $\boldsymbol{\xi} \in \Theta \subset \mathbb{R}^s$ with given probability density functions $f_{\Xi,i}(\xi_i)$ for $i = 1, \dots, s$. The physical flux is given by $\mathbf{F} : \mathbb{R}^p \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^p$. To simplify notation, we assume that the initial condition is random, i.e. $\boldsymbol{\xi}$ enters through the definition of \mathbf{u}_{IC} . Equations (1) are usually supplemented with boundary conditions, which we will specify later for the individual problems.

Due to the randomness of the solution, one is interested in determining the expectation value or the variance of the solution, i.e.

$$\mathbb{E}[\mathbf{u}] = \langle \mathbf{u} \rangle, \quad \text{Var}[\mathbf{u}] = \langle (\mathbf{u} - \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{u}])^2 \rangle,$$

where we use the bracket operator $\langle \cdot \rangle := \int_{\Theta} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^s f_{\Xi,i}(\xi_i) d\xi_1 \cdots d\xi_s$. More generally, one is interested in determining the moments of the solution for a given set of orthonormal basis functions $\varphi_i : \Theta \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that for the multi-index $i = (i_1, \dots, i_s)$ we have $|i| \leq N$. The moments are then given by $\hat{\mathbf{u}}_i := \langle \mathbf{u} \varphi_i \rangle$.

Numerical methods for approximating the moment $\hat{\mathbf{u}}_i$ can be divided into intrusive and non-intrusive methods. The main idea of intrusive methods is to derive a system of equations for the moments and implementing a numerical solver for this system: Testing the initial problem (1) with φ_i for $|i| \leq M$ yields

$$\partial_t \hat{\mathbf{u}}_i(t, \mathbf{x}) + \nabla \cdot \langle \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{u}(t, \mathbf{x}, \cdot)) \varphi_i \rangle = \mathbf{0}, \quad (2a)$$

$$\hat{\mathbf{u}}_i(t = 0, \mathbf{x}) = \langle \mathbf{u}_{IC}(\mathbf{x}, \cdot) \varphi_i \rangle. \quad (2b)$$

To obtain a closed set of equations, one needs to derive a closure \mathcal{U} such that

$$\mathbf{u}(t, \mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\xi}) \approx \mathcal{U}(\hat{\mathbf{u}}_0, \dots, \hat{\mathbf{u}}_N; \boldsymbol{\xi}).$$

A commonly used closure is the stochastic-Galerkin, which represents the solution by a polynomial:

$$\mathcal{U}_{\text{SG}}(\hat{\mathbf{u}}_0, \dots, \hat{\mathbf{u}}_N; \boldsymbol{\xi}) := \sum_{i=0}^N \hat{\mathbf{u}}_i \varphi_i(\boldsymbol{\xi}).$$

When using the stochastic-Galerkin method to close (2), the resulting moment system is not necessarily hyperbolic, meaning that it cannot be solved with standard methods. An approach to derive a closure, which ensures hyperbolicity is the Intrusive Polynomial Moment (IPM) closure, which has been presented in [1]: The closure is given by a constraint optimization problem. For a given convex entropy $s : \mathbb{R}^p \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ for the original problem (1), this optimization problem is

$$\mathcal{U}_{ME}(\mathbf{u}) = \arg \min_{\mathbf{u}} \langle s(\mathbf{u}) \rangle \quad \text{subject to } \hat{\mathbf{u}}_i = \langle \mathbf{u} \varphi_i \rangle \text{ for } i = 0, \dots, N. \quad (3)$$

This problem can be rewritten as

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}(\hat{\mathbf{u}}) := \arg \min_{\boldsymbol{\lambda} \in \mathbb{R}^{s \cdot (N+1)}} \left\{ \langle s_*(\sum_{i=0}^N \lambda_i \varphi_i) \rangle - \sum_{i=0}^N \lambda_i^T \hat{\mathbf{u}} \right\}, \quad (4)$$

where $s_* : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is the Legendre transformation of s , and $\hat{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}$ are called the dual variables. The solution to (3) is then given by

$$\mathcal{U}_{ME}(\mathbf{u}) = (s_{\mathbf{u}})^{-1} (\hat{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}(\mathbf{u})^T \boldsymbol{\varphi}). \quad (5)$$

The IPM method has several advantages: Choosing the entropy $s(\mathbf{u}) = \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{u}^T \mathbf{u}$ yields the stochastic-Galerkin method, i.e. IPM generalizes different intrusive method. Furthermore, at least for scalar problems, IPM is less oscillatory compared to SG [2].

When investigating hyperbolic problems, standard methods tend to suffer from non-physical oscillations, which yield

2. Discretization and code framework

3. Collocation accelerated IPM for steady state problems

4. One-shot IPM

5. Results

References

- [1] Gaél Poëtte, Bruno Després, and Didier Lucor. Uncertainty quantification for systems of conservation laws. *Journal of Computational Physics*, 228(7):2443–2467, 2009.
- [2] Jonas Kusch, Graham W Alldredge, and Martin Frank. Maximum-principle-satisfying second-order intrusive polynomial moment scheme. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1712.06966*, 2017.