

NUMERICAL METHODS FOR TIME INCONSISTENCY, PRIVATE INFORMATION AND LIMITED COMMITMENT

Antonio Mele

University of Surrey

July 2017





• The codes presented for VFI and PFI have many drawbacks!!!

- The codes presented for VFI and PFI have many drawbacks!!!
- Brief introduction to basic numerical tasks

- The codes presented for VFI and PFI have many drawbacks!!!
- Brief introduction to basic numerical tasks
- Not exhaustive



- The codes presented for VFI and PFI have many drawbacks!!!
- Brief introduction to basic numerical tasks
- Not exhaustive
- References:
 - Miranda and Fackler (2002): good book, simple introduction to numerical methods with Matlab, comes with a (very useful) toolbox (we use it in the projection methods codes)

- The codes presented for VFI and PFI have many drawbacks!!!
- Brief introduction to basic numerical tasks
- Not exhaustive
- References:
 - Miranda and Fackler (2002): good book, simple introduction to numerical methods with Matlab, comes with a (very useful) toolbox (we use it in the projection methods codes)
 - **2 Judd** (**1998**): THE BIBLE



COMPECON TOOLBOX AND LIBM

• CompEcon Toolbox: useful numerical routines for the economist

COMPECON TOOLBOX AND LIBM

- CompEcon Toolbox: useful numerical routines for the economist
- LIBM: another library with efficiently coded routines (created by Michael Reiter)

COMPECON TOOLBOX AND LIBM

- CompEcon Toolbox: useful numerical routines for the economist
- LIBM: another library with efficiently coded routines (created by Michael Reiter)
- Installation: just add them to the Matlab path (if you have some troubles, please let me know)

$$\int_{I} f(x)w(x)dx \approx \sum_{i=1}^{n} f(x_{i})w_{i}$$
(1)

$$\int_{I} f(x)w(x)dx \approx \sum_{i=1}^{n} f(x_{i})w_{i}$$
(1)

$$\int_{I} f(x)w(x)dx \approx \sum_{i=1}^{n} f(x_{i})w_{i}$$
(1)

• Different ways to choose nodes x_i and the weights w_i

$$\int_{I} f(x)w(x)dx \approx \sum_{i=1}^{n} f(x_{i})w_{i}$$
(1)

- Different ways to choose nodes x_i and the weights w_i
- Newton-Cotes methods: approximate *f* between nodes using low order polynomials, then sum the integrals of those polynomials (which are easy to calculate)
 - trapezoid rule: piecewise linear interpolants
 - Simpson's rule: piecewise quadratic interpolants

$$\int_{I} f(x)w(x)dx \approx \sum_{i=1}^{n} f(x_{i})w_{i}$$
(1)

- Different ways to choose nodes x_i and the weights w_i
- Newton-Cotes methods: approximate *f* between nodes using low order polynomials, then sum the integrals of those polynomials (which are easy to calculate)
 - trapezoid rule: piecewise linear interpolants
 - Simpson's rule: piecewise quadratic interpolants
- Gaussian quadrature: chooses nodes and weights by matching some moments of the distribution

$$\int_{I} f(x)w(x)dx \approx \sum_{i=1}^{n} f(x_{i})w_{i}$$
(1)

- Different ways to choose nodes x_i and the weights w_i
- Newton-Cotes methods: approximate *f* between nodes using low order polynomials, then sum the integrals of those polynomials (which are easy to calculate)
 - trapezoid rule: piecewise linear interpolants
 - Simpson's rule: piecewise quadratic interpolants
- Gaussian quadrature: chooses nodes and weights by matching some moments of the distribution
- Monte Carlo methods: randomly choose nodes



$$\int_{I} f(x)w(x)dx \approx \sum_{i=1}^{n} f(x_{i})w_{i}$$
(1)

- Different ways to choose nodes x_i and the weights w_i
- Newton-Cotes methods: approximate *f* between nodes using low order polynomials, then sum the integrals of those polynomials (which are easy to calculate)
 - trapezoid rule: piecewise linear interpolants
 - Simpson's rule: piecewise quadratic interpolants
- Gaussian quadrature: chooses nodes and weights by matching some moments of the distribution
- Monte Carlo methods: randomly choose nodes
- Replicating a continuous process with one with discrete support, for example Tauchen's method



NEWTON-COATES METHODS

• Newton-Cotes methods: approximate f between nodes using low order polynomials, then sum the integrals of those polynomials (which are easy to calculate)

NEWTON-COATES METHODS

- Newton-Cotes methods: approximate f between nodes using low order polynomials, then sum the integrals of those polynomials (which are easy to calculate)
 - trapezoid rule: piecewise linear interpolants
 - Simpson's rule: piecewise quadratic interpolants

NEWTON-COATES METHODS

- Newton-Cotes methods: approximate f between nodes using low order polynomials, then sum the integrals of those polynomials (which are easy to calculate)
 - trapezoid rule: piecewise linear interpolants

$$[x,w] = qnwtrap(n,a,b);$$

• Simpson's rule: piecewise quadratic interpolants

NEWTON-COATES METHODS

- Newton-Cotes methods: approximate f between nodes using low order polynomials, then sum the integrals of those polynomials (which are easy to calculate)
 - trapezoid rule: piecewise linear interpolants

$$[x,w] = qnwtrap(n,a,b);$$

• Simpson's rule: piecewise quadratic interpolants

$$[x,w] = qnwsimp(n,a,b);$$

GAUSSIAN QUADRATURE

 Gaussian quadrature: chooses nodes and weights by matching some moments of the distribution

GAUSSIAN QUADRATURE

- Gaussian quadrature: chooses nodes and weights by matching some moments of the distribution
- Gaussian quadrature of order n chooses n quadrature nodes that satisfy the 2n moment matching conditions:

$$\int_{I} x^{k} w(x) dx = \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i} x_{i}^{k}, k = 0, ..., 2n - 1$$

GAUSSIAN QUADRATURE

- Gaussian quadrature: chooses nodes and weights by matching some moments of the distribution
- Gaussian quadrature of order n chooses n quadrature nodes that satisfy the 2n moment matching conditions:

$$\int_{I} x^{k} w(x) dx = \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i} x_{i}^{k}, k = 0, ..., 2n - 1$$

• If w(x) = 1: Gauss-Legendre quadrature

GAUSSIAN QUADRATURE

- Gaussian quadrature: chooses nodes and weights by matching some moments of the distribution
- Gaussian quadrature of order n chooses n quadrature nodes that satisfy the 2n moment matching conditions:

$$\int_{I} x^{k} w(x) dx = \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i} x_{i}^{k}, k = 0, ..., 2n - 1$$

• If w(x) = 1: Gauss-Legendre quadrature

$$[x,w] = qnwlege(n,a,b);$$

integral = w'*log(x)



EXERCISE 3.1: INTEGRALS

- Calculate the integral of e^{-x} on the interval [-1,1] using the trapezoid rule with 11 nodes.
- **②** Calculate the integral of $|x|^{\frac{1}{2}}$ on the interval [-1,1] using Simpson's rule with 11 nodes.
- **③** Calculate the integral of $(1+25x^2)^{-1}$ on the interval [−1,1] using Gauss-Legendre method with 11 nodes.

EXERCISE 3.2: COMPARE THE METHODS

Write a short script that compares the three methods. Calculate the integral of e^{-x} , $|x|^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and $(1+25x^2)^{-1}$ on the interval [-1,1] by hand (these are pretty easy to calculate). Then use CompEcon commands and calculate the integrals with 11, 21, 31, 101 nodes for each method. Save the results in a matrix and then compare the accuracy, i.e. the difference between the numerical integral and the correct integral calculated by hand. What can you notice?

EXERCISE 3.2: COMPARE THE METHODS

REMINDER:

$$\int_{-1}^{1} e^{-x} dx = \left(-e^{-x} \right) \Big|_{-1}^{1}$$

$$\int_{-1}^{1} |x|^{\frac{1}{2}} dx = \int_{-1}^{0} |x|^{\frac{1}{2}} dx + \int_{0}^{1} |x|^{\frac{1}{2}} dx = \left(\frac{2}{3}(-x)^{\frac{3}{2}}\right)\Big|_{-1}^{0} + \left(\frac{2}{3}x^{\frac{3}{2}}\right)\Big|_{0}^{1}$$

and
$$\int_{-1}^{1} (1+25x^2)^{-1} dx = \left(\frac{1}{5} \arctan 5x\right)\Big|_{-1}^{1}$$

GAUSSIAN QUADRATURE FOR NORMAL DISTRIBUTION



GAUSSIAN QUADRATURE FOR NORMAL DISTRIBUTION

 qnwnorm calculates the nodes and weights for multidimensional normal distributions

GAUSSIAN QUADRATURE FOR NORMAL DISTRIBUTION

 qnwnorm calculates the nodes and weights for multidimensional normal distributions

$$[x,w] = qnwnorm(n,mu,var);$$

- n: number of nodes in each dimension
- mu: mean vector
- var: variance-covariance matrix.

GAUSSIAN QUADRATURE FOR NORMAL DISTRIBUTION

 qnwnorm calculates the nodes and weights for multidimensional normal distributions

$$[x,w] = qnwnorm(n,mu,var);$$

- n: number of nodes in each dimension
- mu: mean vector
- var: variance-covariance matrix.
- Calculate the expectations of e^{-x} , with $x \sim \mathbb{N}(0,1)$, and 30 nodes

NORMAL DISTRIBUTION

Exercise 1 Univariate normal

Calculate the expected value of $x^{-\sigma}$, for $\sigma = \{0.5, 1, 2, 10\}$ and $x \sim \mathbf{N}(0, 1)$. Use 30 nodes.

Exercise 2 Multivariate normal

Calculate the expected value of $e^{x_1+x_2}$, with x_1 and x_2 jointly normal with $Ex_1 = 3$, $Ex_2 = 4$, $Var(x_1) = 2$, $Var(x_2) = 4$, $Cov(x_1, x_2) = -1$. Use 10 nodes in the x_1 direction and 15 nodes in the x_2 direction.

NONLINEAR EQUATIONS

BISECTION METHOD

$$f(x) = 0$$

Bisection methods: continuous real-valued function on a real interval [a,b]

• Start with two points a_1, b_1 such that $f(a_1) < 0, f(b_1) > 0$

NONLINEAR EQUATIONS

BISECTION METHOD

$$f(x) = 0$$

Bisection methods: continuous real-valued function on a real interval [a,b]

- Start with two points a_1, b_1 such that $f(a_1) < 0, f(b_1) > 0$
- Choose a new point $x_2 \in [a_1, b_1]$ and calculate the sign of $f(x_2)$. If positive, now restrict your search to $[a_1, x_2]$, if negative to $[x_2, b_1]$.

NONLINEAR EQUATIONS

BISECTION METHOD

$$f(x) = 0$$

Bisection methods: continuous real-valued function on a real interval [a,b]

- Start with two points a_1, b_1 such that $f(a_1) < 0, f(b_1) > 0$
- Choose a new point $x_2 \in [a_1, b_1]$ and calculate the sign of $f(x_2)$. If positive, now restrict your search to $[a_1, x_2]$, if negative to $[x_2, b_1]$.

BISECTION METHOD

Exercise 3 Bisection

- 1. Find the roots of $e^{-x^2} \cos(x)$ over the interval [-4, 6].
- 2. Plot the function over the interval. What can you observe?

FUNCTION ITERATION

$$f(x) = 0$$

Function iteration: $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$

• Write the equation as x = g(x)

FUNCTION ITERATION

$$f(x) = 0$$

Function iteration: $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$

- Write the equation as x = g(x)
- Guess $x^{(0)}$, calculate $x^{(1)} = g(x^{(0)})$

FUNCTION ITERATION

$$f(x) = 0$$

Function iteration: $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$

- Write the equation as x = g(x)
- Guess $x^{(0)}$, calculate $x^{(1)} = g(x^{(0)})$
- Iterate over the recursion $x^{(n+1)} = g(x^{(n)})$ until convergence

FUNCTION ITERATION

$$f(x) = 0$$

Function iteration: $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$

- Write the equation as x = g(x)
- Guess $x^{(0)}$, calculate $x^{(1)} = g(x^{(0)})$
- Iterate over the recursion $x^{(n+1)} = g(x^{(n)})$ until convergence

$$g = inline('x^0.5');$$

 $x = fixpoint(g, 0.4);$

FUNCTION ITERATION

Exercise 4 Function iteration

Find the roots of $e^{-x^2} - \cos(x)$ over the interval [-4,6]. (Hint: first you have to transform the equation from the form f(x) = 0 into x = x - f(x)).

Experiment with the choice of the initial guess and see what happens.

NEWTON'S METHOD

$$f(x) = 0$$

Newton's method: $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$

• First order Taylor approximation around $x^{(k)}$:

$$f(x) \approx f(x^{(k)}) + f'(x^{(k)})(x - x^{(k)}) = 0$$

NEWTON'S METHOD

$$f(x) = 0$$

Newton's method: $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$

• First order Taylor approximation around $x^{(k)}$:

$$f(x) \approx f(x^{(k)}) + f'(x^{(k)})(x - x^{(k)}) = 0$$

• Guess $x^{(0)}$, calculate $x^{(1)} = x^{(0)} - [f'(x^{(0)})]^{-1}f(x^{(0)})$

NEWTON'S METHOD

$$f(x) = 0$$

Newton's method: $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$

• First order Taylor approximation around $x^{(k)}$:

$$f(x) \approx f(x^{(k)}) + f'(x^{(k)})(x - x^{(k)}) = 0$$

- Guess $x^{(0)}$, calculate $x^{(1)} = x^{(0)} [f'(x^{(0)})]^{-1}f(x^{(0)})$
- Iterate over the recursion $x^{(n+1)} = x^{(n)} [f'(x^{(n)})]^{-1}f(x^{(n)})$ until convergence

NEWTON'S METHOD

$$f(x) = 0$$

Newton's method: $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$

• First order Taylor approximation around $x^{(k)}$:

$$f(x) \approx f(x^{(k)}) + f'(x^{(k)})(x - x^{(k)}) = 0$$

- Guess $x^{(0)}$, calculate $x^{(1)} = x^{(0)} [f'(x^{(0)})]^{-1}f(x^{(0)})$
- Iterate over the recursion $x^{(n+1)} = x^{(n)} [f'(x^{(n)})]^{-1}f(x^{(n)})$ until convergence
- In CompEcon: newton



NEWTON'S METHOD

The syntax is slightly more complicated. We need to create a function file in the form:

[fval,fjac]=f(x,optional additional parameters)

where fjac is the Jacobian of the function.

NEWTON'S METHOD

The syntax is slightly more complicated. We need to create a function file in the form:

where fjac is the Jacobian of the function.

Then the command is

$$x = newton(f, x0)$$

where x0 is an initial guess.



NEWTON'S METHOD

Exercise 5 Newton method

Find the roots of $e^{-x^2} - \cos(x)$ using the Newton method.

QUASI-NEWTON'S METHODS

$$f(x) = 0$$

Like Newton's method, but use a computable Jacobian (not the exact one)

• Very popular (and my favourite): Broyden's method

QUASI-NEWTON'S METHODS

$$f(x) = 0$$

Like Newton's method, but use a computable Jacobian (not the exact one)

- Very popular (and my favourite): Broyden's method
- Guess $x^{(0)}$, and $A^{(0)}$, calculate $x^{(1)} = x^{(0)} [A^{(0)}]^{-1}f(x^{(0)})$

QUASI-NEWTON'S METHODS

$$f(x) = 0$$

Like Newton's method, but use a computable Jacobian (not the exact one)

- Very popular (and my favourite): Broyden's method
- Guess $x^{(0)}$, and $A^{(0)}$, calculate $x^{(1)} = x^{(0)} [A^{(0)}]^{-1} f(x^{(0)})$
- Update A with the smallest possible change that satisfies the *secant* condition: $f(x^{(n+1)}) f(x^{(n)}) = A^{(n+1)}(x^{(n+1)} x^{(n)})$ (for speed: update the inverse of the Jacobian)

QUASI-NEWTON'S METHODS

$$f(x) = 0$$

Like Newton's method, but use a computable Jacobian (not the exact one)

- Very popular (and my favourite): Broyden's method
- Guess $x^{(0)}$, and $A^{(0)}$, calculate $x^{(1)} = x^{(0)} [A^{(0)}]^{-1} f(x^{(0)})$
- Update A with the smallest possible change that satisfies the *secant* condition: $f(x^{(n+1)}) f(x^{(n)}) = A^{(n+1)}(x^{(n+1)} x^{(n)})$ (for speed: update the inverse of the Jacobian)
- Iterate over the recursion $x^{(n+1)} = x^{(n)} [A^{(n)}]^{-1} f(x^{(n)})$ until convergence

(ロト 4*団*) 4 분) 4 분) - 1 분 - 1 9 9 (

broydn.m

Included in LIBM, very efficient

```
[x, flag] = broydn(fname,xold,TOLF,iadmat,iprint,varargin);
```

QUASI-NEWTON'S METHODS

Exercise 6 Broyden method

1. Find the roots of $e^{-x^2} - \cos(x)$ using the Broyden's method. Play with the initial guess to see how the solution changes.

QUASI-NEWTON'S METHODS

Exercise 7 Broyden method

1. Imagine you have a two-periods economy with an initial amount of savings s_0 . Therefore, the equations that describe the intertemporal decision of the agent are given by:

$$c_1^{-\sigma} = \beta (1+r)c_2^{-\sigma}$$

$$c_1 + \frac{c_2}{1+r} = y_1 + \frac{y_2}{1+r} + s_0$$

where r = 0.05, $\sigma = 2$, $\beta = .99$, and $y_1 = y_2 = 1$. Solve for the optimal allocation for different values of the initial savings. (Hint: write a function that takes as second input a vector of initial savings, and solve these equations in a vectorized way.

4□ > 4□ > 4 = > 4 = > = 90

DERIVATIVE-FREE METHODS

ullet Golden search: similar to bisection, find the maximum on interval [a,b]

- Golden search: similar to bisection, find the maximum on interval [a,b]
- Start with two points x_1, x_2 in the interval, such that $x_1 < x_2$, and evaluate $f(x_i)$

- Golden search: similar to bisection, find the maximum on interval [a,b]
- Start with two points x_1, x_2 in the interval, such that $x_1 < x_2$, and evaluate $f(x_i)$
- If $f(x_1) \ge f(x_2)$, then the new interval is $[a, x_2]$, otherwise is $[x_1, b]$

- Golden search: similar to bisection, find the maximum on interval [a,b]
- Start with two points x_1, x_2 in the interval, such that $x_1 < x_2$, and evaluate $f(x_i)$
- If $f(x_1) \ge f(x_2)$, then the new interval is $[a, x_2]$, otherwise is $[x_1, b]$
- Iterate until convergence

- Golden search: similar to bisection, find the maximum on interval [a,b]
- Start with two points x_1, x_2 in the interval, such that $x_1 < x_2$, and evaluate $f(x_i)$
- If $f(x_1) \ge f(x_2)$, then the new interval is $[a, x_2]$, otherwise is $[x_1, b]$
- Iterate until convergence
- Use golden, from CompEcon

- Golden search: similar to bisection, find the maximum on interval [a,b]
- Start with two points x_1, x_2 in the interval, such that $x_1 < x_2$, and evaluate $f(x_i)$
- If $f(x_1) \ge f(x_2)$, then the new interval is $[a, x_2]$, otherwise is $[x_1, b]$
- Iterate until convergence
- Use golden, from CompEcon

```
[x, fval] = golden(fname,a,b,varargin)
```

DERIVATIVE-FREE METHODS

- Golden search: similar to bisection, find the maximum on interval [a,b]
- Start with two points x_1, x_2 in the interval, such that $x_1 < x_2$, and evaluate $f(x_i)$
- If $f(x_1) \ge f(x_2)$, then the new interval is $[a, x_2]$, otherwise is $[x_1, b]$
- Iterate until convergence
- Use golden, from CompEcon

```
[x, fval] = golden(fname,a,b,varargin)
```

• Use goldsvec.m, in the LIBM library (from \mathbb{R}^n to \mathbb{R}^n)

DERIVATIVE-FREE METHODS

- Golden search: similar to bisection, find the maximum on interval [a,b]
- Start with two points x_1, x_2 in the interval, such that $x_1 < x_2$, and evaluate $f(x_i)$
- If $f(x_1) \ge f(x_2)$, then the new interval is $[a, x_2]$, otherwise is $[x_1, b]$
- Iterate until convergence
- Use golden, from CompEcon

```
[x, fval] = golden(fname,a,b,varargin)
```

• Use goldsvec.m, in the LIBM library (from R^n to R^n)

```
[x, fval] = goldsvec(fname,a,b,varargin)
```



DERIVATIVE-FREE METHODS

Exercise 8 Golden Search

- 1. Use the golden command to maximize the MATLAB function humps on the interval $\left[-10,10\right]$
- 2. Use the golden command to maximize the MATLAB function humps on the interval 0.2,2]. Comment.

DERIVATIVE-FREE METHODS

Exercise 9 Golden Search

1. (*Difficult*) Imagine you have a two-periods economy with an initial amount of savings s_0 , per-period CRRA utility function $u(c) = \frac{c^{1-\sigma}}{1-\sigma}$, and discount factor β . The intertemporal budget constraint of the agent is:

$$c_1 + \frac{c_2}{1+r} = y_1 + \frac{y_2}{1+r} + s_0$$

where r = 0.05, $\sigma = 2$, $\beta = .99$, and $y_1 = y_2 = 1$. Solve for the optimal allocation for different values of the initial savings using the goldsvec routine.

NEWTON-RAPHSON METHOD

• Similar to Newton's methods for nonlinear equations

NEWTON-RAPHSON METHOD

- Similar to Newton's methods for nonlinear equations
- Take second order Taylor expansion of the maximand:

$$f(x) \approx f(x^{(k)}) + f'(x^{(k)})(x - x^{(k)}) + \frac{1}{2}(x - x^{(k)})^T f''(x^{(k)})(x - x^{(k)})$$

27 / 72

NEWTON-RAPHSON METHOD

- Similar to Newton's methods for nonlinear equations
- Take second order Taylor expansion of the maximand:

$$f(x) \approx f(x^{(k)}) + f'(x^{(k)})(x - x^{(k)}) + \frac{1}{2}(x - x^{(k)})^T f''(x^{(k)})(x - x^{(k)})$$

• FOCs are: $f'(x^{(k)}) + f''(x^{(k)})(x - x^{(k)}) = 0$

NEWTON-RAPHSON METHOD

- Similar to Newton's methods for nonlinear equations
- Take second order Taylor expansion of the maximand:

$$f(x) \approx f(x^{(k)}) + f'(x^{(k)})(x - x^{(k)}) + \frac{1}{2}(x - x^{(k)})^T f''(x^{(k)})(x - x^{(k)})$$

- FOCs are: $f'(x^{(k)}) + f''(x^{(k)})(x x^{(k)}) = 0$
- Hence: $x^{(n+1)} = x^{(n)} [f''(x^{(n)})]^{-1}f'(x^{(n)})$

NEWTON-RAPHSON METHOD

- Similar to Newton's methods for nonlinear equations
- Take second order Taylor expansion of the maximand:

$$f(x) \approx f(x^{(k)}) + f'(x^{(k)})(x - x^{(k)}) + \frac{1}{2}(x - x^{(k)})^T f''(x^{(k)})(x - x^{(k)})$$

- FOCs are: $f'(x^{(k)}) + f''(x^{(k)})(x x^{(k)}) = 0$
- Hence: $x^{(n+1)} = x^{(n)} [f''(x^{(n)})]^{-1}f'(x^{(n)})$
- Iterate until convergence

NEWTON-RAPHSON METHOD

- Similar to Newton's methods for nonlinear equations
- Take second order Taylor expansion of the maximand:

$$f(x) \approx f(x^{(k)}) + f'(x^{(k)})(x - x^{(k)}) + \frac{1}{2}(x - x^{(k)})^T f''(x^{(k)})(x - x^{(k)})$$

- FOCs are: $f'(x^{(k)}) + f''(x^{(k)})(x x^{(k)}) = 0$
- Hence: $x^{(n+1)} = x^{(n)} [f''(x^{(n)})]^{-1}f'(x^{(n)})$
- Iterate until convergence
- (and guess what: there are of course Quasi-Newton methods that use a computable Hessian)

NEWTON-RAPHSON METHOD

- Similar to Newton's methods for nonlinear equations
- Take second order Taylor expansion of the maximand:

$$f(x) \approx f(x^{(k)}) + f'(x^{(k)})(x - x^{(k)}) + \frac{1}{2}(x - x^{(k)})^T f''(x^{(k)})(x - x^{(k)})$$

- FOCs are: $f'(x^{(k)}) + f''(x^{(k)})(x x^{(k)}) = 0$
- Hence: $x^{(n+1)} = x^{(n)} [f''(x^{(n)})]^{-1}f'(x^{(n)})$
- Iterate until convergence
- (and guess what: there are of course Quasi-Newton methods that use a computable Hessian)
- Matlab routines in the Optimization Toolbox (e.g. fmincon)

"I-AM-IN-DEEP-SHIT" PROBLEMS

Once in a while, you will face a very irregular optimization problem

• Global methods: line search, pattern search

"I-AM-IN-DEEP-SHIT" PROBLEMS

- Global methods: line search, pattern search
- Genetic algorithms

"I-AM-IN-DEEP-SHIT" PROBLEMS

- Global methods: line search, pattern search
- Genetic algorithms
- Simulated annealing



"I-AM-IN-DEEP-SHIT" PROBLEMS

- Global methods: line search, pattern search
- Genetic algorithms
- Simulated annealing
- Swarm search optimization

"I-AM-IN-DEEP-SHIT" PROBLEMS

- Global methods: line search, pattern search
- Genetic algorithms
- Simulated annealing
- Swarm search optimization
- Ad-hoc techniques (problem-specific)



"I-AM-IN-DEEP-SHIT" PROBLEMS

- Global methods: line search, pattern search
- Genetic algorithms
- Simulated annealing
- Swarm search optimization
- Ad-hoc techniques (problem-specific)
- Most of the time: good for finding initial guesses, then use standard methods



• VFI relies on setting up a grid for the state variables

- VFI relies on setting up a grid for the state variables
- Need many points to get a good approximation,



- VFI relies on setting up a grid for the state variables
- Need many points to get a good approximation,
- if N = n. states, and discretize each state in m grid points \Rightarrow your value function needs to be evaluated in m^N points

- VFI relies on setting up a grid for the state variables
- Need many points to get a good approximation,
- if N = n. states, and discretize each state in m grid points \Rightarrow your value function needs to be evaluated in m^N points
- Memory-intensive task! This is called the *curse of dimensionality*.

- VFI relies on setting up a grid for the state variables
- Need many points to get a good approximation,
- if N = n. states, and discretize each state in m grid points \Rightarrow your value function needs to be evaluated in m^N points
- Memory-intensive task! This is called the *curse of dimensionality*.
- Several ways to reduce it:

- VFI relies on setting up a grid for the state variables
- Need many points to get a good approximation,
- if N = n. states, and discretize each state in m grid points \Rightarrow your value function needs to be evaluated in m^N points
- Memory-intensive task! This is called the *curse of dimensionality*.
- Several ways to reduce it:
 - Interpolation of the value function and/or choice variables

- VFI relies on setting up a grid for the state variables
- Need many points to get a good approximation,
- if N = n. states, and discretize each state in m grid points \Rightarrow your value function needs to be evaluated in m^N points
- Memory-intensive task! This is called the *curse of dimensionality*.
- Several ways to reduce it:
 - Interpolation of the value function and/or choice variables
 - Smart choice of gridpoints

- VFI relies on setting up a grid for the state variables
- Need many points to get a good approximation,
- if N = n. states, and discretize each state in m grid points \Rightarrow your value function needs to be evaluated in m^N points
- Memory-intensive task! This is called the *curse of dimensionality*.
- Several ways to reduce it:
 - Interpolation of the value function and/or choice variables
 - Smart choice of gridpoints
- Projection methods need substantially less grid points than the other techniques

PARALLELIZATION

- VFI is an embarrassingly parallelizable algorithm, i.e. the maximization step can be performed gridpoint by gridpoint
- Each single (i.e. one grid point) maximization problem can be sent to a different processor.
- Helpful in problems with high-dimensional state spaces



• How do we solve functional equations in general?

- How do we solve functional equations in general?
- Projection methods
- Widespread use in macroeconomic theory, no limits to applications
- References: Miranda and Fackler (2002), Judd (1998), Judd (1992)

MAIN IDEA

MAIN IDEA

We want to solve a functional equation of the type:

$$\mathcal{N}\left(g\left(x\right)\right) = 0\tag{2}$$

• We can get only an approximation of our solution g(x)



MAIN IDEA

We want to solve a functional equation of the type:

$$\mathcal{N}\left(g\left(x\right)\right) = 0\tag{2}$$

• We can get only an approximation of our solution g(x)



• Approximate the function g(x) as a combination of some simple functions $\phi_i(x)$: $\widehat{g}(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \phi_i(x)$

34 / 72

- Approximate the function g(x) as a combination of some simple functions $\phi_i(x)$: $\widehat{g}(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \phi_i(x)$
- **②** Calculate the residual function, i.e. an approximated version of the functional equation: $R(x; \mathbf{a}) \equiv (\widehat{\mathscr{N}}(\widehat{g}))(x)$

- Approximate the function g(x) as a combination of some simple functions $\phi_i(x)$: $\widehat{g}(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \phi_i(x)$
- **②** Calculate the residual function, i.e. an approximated version of the functional equation: $R(x; \mathbf{a}) \equiv (\widehat{\mathcal{N}}(\widehat{g}))(x)$
- **3** Calculate the projections with some functions $p_i(x)$:

$$P_i(\cdot) \equiv \langle R(\cdot; \mathbf{a}), p_i(\cdot) \rangle, i = 1, ..., n$$

- Approximate the function g(x) as a combination of some simple functions $\phi_i(x)$: $\widehat{g}(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \phi_i(x)$
- **②** Calculate the residual function, i.e. an approximated version of the functional equation: $R(x; \mathbf{a}) \equiv (\widehat{\mathcal{N}}(\widehat{g}))(x)$
- **3** Calculate the projections with some functions $p_i(x)$:

$$P_i(\cdot) \equiv \langle R(\cdot; \mathbf{a}), p_i(\cdot) \rangle, i = 1, ..., n$$

• Find the vector of coefficients **a** that solves $P_i(\cdot) = 0, i = 1,...,n$.

FIRST STEP

FIRST STEP

Choose:

- How we approximate the solution:
 - Usually: a linear combination of some simple functions $\phi_i(x)$ (we call them **basis functions**), like polynomials (more details later)
- An appropriate concept of distance in order to measure the accuracy of our calculated solution.

SECOND STEP

SECOND STEP

Choose:

- a degree of approximation n, i.e. how many basis functions we want to use.
- a computable approximation $\widehat{\mathcal{N}}$ for \mathcal{N} , if the exact operator is not directly computable
- functions p_i , i = 1,...,n that we will use to calculate the projections (many times we use the basis functions)

SECOND STEP

Choose:

- a degree of approximation n, i.e. how many basis functions we want to use.
- a computable approximation $\widehat{\mathcal{N}}$ for \mathcal{N} , if the exact operator is not directly computable
- functions p_i , i = 1,...,n that we will use to calculate the projections (many times we use the basis functions)
- \Rightarrow our approximation is $\widehat{g}(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i \phi_i(x)$ for any x
- Any solution can be summarized by a vector of coefficients a

THIRD STEP

THIRD STEP

- Compute numerically the approximated policy function
 - $\widehat{g}(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i \phi_i(x)$ for a particular guess of **a**
- Compute the so called residual function

$$R(x; \mathbf{a}) \equiv \left(\widehat{\mathscr{N}}(\widehat{g})\right)(x)$$

The first guess can be important: it is crucial to start with a good guess

- ◆ □ ト ◆ @ ト ◆ 差 ト · 差 · かへで

FOURTH STEP

FOURTH STEP

• Calculate the projections

$$P_i(\cdot) \equiv \langle R(\cdot; \mathbf{a}), p_i(\cdot) \rangle, i = 1, ..., n$$
(3)

FOURTH STEP

• Calculate the projections

$$P_i(\cdot) \equiv \langle R(\cdot; \mathbf{a}), p_i(\cdot) \rangle, i = 1, ..., n$$
(3)

• the typical choice for the inner product used in the calculation of the projections is, given a weighting function w(x):

$$\langle f(x), h(x) \rangle \equiv \int f(x)h(x)w(x)dx$$

FIFTH STEP

FIFTH STEP

We look for **a** that makes $P_i(\cdot)$ equal to zero

FIFTH STEP

We look for **a** that makes $P_i(\cdot)$ equal to zero

We iterate over step 3 and 4 to get a vector of coefficients **a** that sets the projections (3) to zero

- Ordinary polynomials $1, x, x^2, x^3, ...$ However, problematic
- Two broad categories: spectral methods and finite element methods.

- Ordinary polynomials $1, x, x^2, x^3, ...$ However, problematic
- Two broad categories: spectral methods and finite element methods.
 - Spectral methods: basis functions are almost everywhere nonzero,
 continuously differentiable as many time as needed, imposing smoothness
 on the approximated function (which sometimes is not a desirable feature)

- Ordinary polynomials $1, x, x^2, x^3, ...$ However, problematic
- Two broad categories: spectral methods and finite element methods.
 - Spectral methods: basis functions are almost everywhere nonzero,
 continuously differentiable as many time as needed, imposing smoothness
 on the approximated function (which sometimes is not a desirable feature)
 - Finite element methods: basis functions are zero except for a small support

CHEBYCHEV POLYNOMIALS



CHEBYCHEV POLYNOMIALS

Spectral method, defined as

$$T_n(x) \equiv \cos(n \arccos x), \quad x \in [-1, 1]$$

and it is possible to generate them with the following recursive law:

$$T_{n+1}\left(x\right) = 2xT_{n}\left(x\right) - T_{n-1}\left(x\right)$$

$$T_0(x) = 1$$
, $T_1(x) = x$

They satisfy the following orthogonality condition:



CHEBYCHEV POLYNOMIALS (CONT.)

Let $z_l^n \equiv \cos\left(\frac{(2l-1)\pi}{2n}\right), l = 1,...,n$ be the zeroes of T_n :

$$\sum_{l=1}^{n} T_i(z_l^n) T_j(z_l^n) = 0, \quad i \neq j$$

therefore if we use z_l^n as gridpoints, we can simplify computation and convergence is faster



TENT FUNCTIONS

- Finite element method, aka piecewise linear basis
- Take an approximation with support in [a,b] and be h=(a-b)/n. Then, for i = 0, 1, ..., n:

$$\phi_{i}(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & a \le x \le a + (i-1)h \\ (x - (a + (i-1)h))/h & a + (i-1)h \le x \le a + ih \\ 1 - (x - (a + (i-1)h))/h & a + ih \le x \le a + (i+1)h \\ 0 & a + (i+1)h \le x \le b \end{cases}$$

• A generalization of those bases is piecewise degree k polynomials, like

MULTIDIMENSIONAL STATE SPACE

MULTIDIMENSIONAL STATE SPACE

- Use tensor products: if $\{\phi_i(x)\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ is the basis for a function in one variable, $\{\phi_i(x)\phi_j(y)\}_{i,j=1}^{\infty}$ for functions of two variables, and so on.
- Main problem: number of elements increases exponentially. Various ways to overcome this problem: one is to use complete polynomials of order k:

$$\mathscr{P}_k \equiv \left\{ x_1^{i_1} \cdot ... \cdot x_n^{i_n} \left| \sum_{l=1}^n i_l \leq k, 0 \leq i_1, ..., i_n \right. \right\}$$

 \bullet The choice of functions p_i characterizes different approaches

- \bullet The choice of functions p_i characterizes different approaches
- Least squares approach:

$$\min_{\mathbf{a}}\langle R\left(x;\mathbf{a}\right),R\left(x;\mathbf{a}\right)\rangle$$

- \bullet The choice of functions p_i characterizes different approaches
- Least squares approach:

$$\min_{\mathbf{a}} \langle R\left(x;\mathbf{a}\right), R\left(x;\mathbf{a}\right) \rangle$$

• Galerkin method:

$$P_i(\mathbf{a}) \equiv \langle R(x; \mathbf{a}), \phi_i(x) \rangle = 0, \quad i = 1, ..., n$$

4 D > 4 B > 4 E > 4 E > 9 Q C

CHOICE OF PROJECTIONS (CONT.)

• **Method of moments** uses the first *n* polynomials:

$$P_i(\mathbf{a}) \equiv \langle R(x; \mathbf{a}), x^{i-1} \rangle = 0, \quad i = 1, ..., n$$

CHOICE OF PROJECTIONS (CONT.)

• **Method of moments** uses the first *n* polynomials:

$$P_i(\mathbf{a}) \equiv \langle R(x; \mathbf{a}), x^{i-1} \rangle = 0, \quad i = 1, ..., n$$

Subdomain method solves

$$P_i(\mathbf{a}) \equiv \langle R(x; \mathbf{a}), \mathbf{I}_{D_i} \rangle = 0, \quad i = 1, ..., n$$

where $\{D_i\}$ is a sequence of intervals covering the entire domain of the

function, and I_D , is the indicator function for D_i .

CHOICE OF PROJECTIONS (CONT.)

• Collocation method chooses *n* points $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^n$ in the domain and solves

$$R(x_i; \mathbf{a}) = 0, \quad i = 1, ..., n$$

• This is equivalent to solve

$$P_i(\mathbf{a}) \equiv \langle R(x; \mathbf{a}), \delta(x - x_i) \rangle = 0, \quad i = 1, ..., n$$

where $\delta(\cdot)$ is the Dirac delta function that is equal to zero everywhere but in zero, where it takes value 1

SPEED CONCERNS

• Main computational burden is calculating projections

SPEED CONCERNS

- Main computational burden is calculating projections
- Collocation is very fast; other methods would require the computation of an integral

SPEED CONCERNS

- Main computational burden is calculating projections
- Collocation is very fast; other methods would require the computation of an integral
- Orthogonal collocation chooses collocation nodes as the zeroes of the basis function: even faster

GENERATING FUNCTIONAL SPACES WITH fundefn

fundefn creates a Matlab structured variable that characterizes the functional space of the basis functions chosen by the programmer. The syntax is:

```
fspace = fundefn(bastype,n,a,b,order);
```

bastype: type of basis function

GENERATING FUNCTIONAL SPACES WITH fundefn

```
fspace = fundefn(bastype,n,a,b,order);
```

- bastype: type of basis function
 - Chebichev polynomials ('cheb')

GENERATING FUNCTIONAL SPACES WITH fundefn

```
fspace = fundefn(bastype,n,a,b,order);
```

- bastype: type of basis function
 - Chebichev polynomials ('cheb')
 - splines ('spli')

GENERATING FUNCTIONAL SPACES WITH fundefn

```
fspace = fundefn(bastype,n,a,b,order);
```

- bastype: type of basis function
 - Chebichev polynomials ('cheb')
 - splines ('spli')
 - linear spline basis with finite difference derivatives ('lin')



GENERATING FUNCTIONAL SPACES WITH fundefn

fundefn creates a Matlab structured variable that characterizes the functional space of the basis functions chosen by the programmer. The syntax is:

```
fspace = fundefn(bastype,n,a,b,order);
```

• n: vector indicating the degree of approximation along each dimension

GENERATING FUNCTIONAL SPACES WITH fundefn

```
fspace = fundefn(bastype,n,a,b,order);
```

- n: vector indicating the degree of approximation along each dimension
- a, b: identify the left and right endpoints for interpolation intervals for each dimension

GENERATING FUNCTIONAL SPACES WITH fundefn

```
fspace = fundefn(bastype,n,a,b,order);
```

- n: vector indicating the degree of approximation along each dimension
- a, b: identify the left and right endpoints for interpolation intervals for each dimension
- order: spline order, default is cubic splines

GENERATING FUNCTIONAL SPACES WITH fundefn



GENERATING FUNCTIONAL SPACES WITH fundefn

Example: generating a functional basis space for 5th degree Chebychev polynomials for a univariate function in the interval [-5,6]:

```
fspace = fundefn('cheb',5,-5,6);
```

GENERATING FUNCTIONAL SPACES WITH fundefn

Example: generating a functional basis space for 5th degree Chebychev polynomials for a univariate function in the interval [-5,6]:

fspace = fundefn('cheb',5,
$$-5$$
,6);

Generating a cubic spline space in two dimensions, with 10 basis functions in the first dimension and 8 in the second on the interval

$$\{(x_1,x_2): -5 \le x_1 \le 6, 2 \le x_2 \le 9\}:$$

fspace = fundefn('spli',[10 8],[-5 2],
$$[6 9]$$
);

JULY 2017

51/72

EVALUATING FUNCTIONS WITH funeval

• We want to evaluate $\widehat{g}(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i \phi_i(x)$, given coefficients \mathbf{c} and basis functions $\{\phi_i(x)\}_{i=1}^n$

EVALUATING FUNCTIONS WITH funeval

- We want to evaluate $\widehat{g}(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i \phi_i(x)$, given coefficients \mathbf{c} and basis functions $\{\phi_i(x)\}_{i=1}^n$
- Define a set of points x, a vector of coefficients c and a functional space
 fspace:

EVALUATING FUNCTIONS WITH funeval

- We want to evaluate $\widehat{g}(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i \phi_i(x)$, given coefficients \mathbf{c} and basis functions $\{\phi_i(x)\}_{i=1}^n$
- Define a set of points x, a vector of coefficients c and a functional space fspace:

```
y = funeval(c,fspace,x);
```

EVALUATING FUNCTIONS WITH funeval

- We want to evaluate $\widehat{g}(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i \phi_i(x)$, given coefficients **c** and basis functions $\{\phi_i(x)\}_{i=1}^n$
- Define a set of points x, a vector of coefficients c and a functional space fspace:

```
y = funeval(c,fspace,x);
```

• \times is a $m \times k$ matrix, where m is the number of points, and k is the dimensionality of the space.



OTHER FUNCTIONS: funbas AND funnode

 funbas returns the value of the basis functions calculated in a particular set of points x

OTHER FUNCTIONS: funbas AND funnode

• funbas returns the value of the basis functions calculated in a particular set of points x

```
Basis = funbas(fspace,x);
```

OTHER FUNCTIONS: funbas AND funnode

 funbas returns the value of the basis functions calculated in a particular set of points x

```
Basis = funbas(fspace,x);
```

 Useful for evaluating a function at x, but with different c (equivalent to funeval):

OTHER FUNCTIONS: funbas AND funnode

 funbas returns the value of the basis functions calculated in a particular set of points x

```
Basis = funbas(fspace,x);
```

 Useful for evaluating a function at x, but with different c (equivalent to funeval):

```
Basis = funbas(fspace,x);
y = Basis*c;
```



OTHER FUNCTIONS: funbas AND funnode

• funnode computes standard nodes

OTHER FUNCTIONS: funbas AND funnode

- funnode computes standard nodes
- Example: if Chebychev polynomials are used, we get the Chebychev's zeros with

OTHER FUNCTIONS: funbas AND funnode

- funnode computes standard nodes
- Example: if Chebychev polynomials are used, we get the Chebychev's zeros with

```
x = funnode(fspace);
```



WITH COLLOCATION

• We will solve the SGM with collocation over the first order conditions

- We will solve the SGM with collocation over the first order conditions
- Notice: we assume continuous support for TFP shocks, can be persistent

- We will solve the SGM with collocation over the first order conditions
- Notice: we assume continuous support for TFP shocks, can be persistent

- We will solve the SGM with collocation over the first order conditions
- Notice: we assume continuous support for TFP shocks, can be persistent

$$u'(c_t) = \beta E_t \left[u'(c_{t+1}) \left(\alpha A_{t+1} k_{t+1}^{\alpha - 1} + 1 - \delta \right) \right]$$

- We will solve the SGM with collocation over the first order conditions
- Notice: we assume continuous support for TFP shocks, can be persistent

$$u'(c_t) = \beta E_t \left[u'(c_{t+1}) \left(\alpha A_{t+1} k_{t+1}^{\alpha - 1} + 1 - \delta \right) \right]$$

$$u'(\max(0,Ak^{\alpha}+(1-\delta)k)) = \beta E \left[u'(\max(0,A'(g(k,A))^{\alpha}+(1-\delta)g(k,A)))\left(\alpha A'(g(k,A))^{\alpha-1}+1-\delta\right)\right]$$

Our operator $\mathcal{N}(g(x))$

$$\mathcal{N}(g(k,A)) \equiv u'(\max(0,Ak^{\alpha} + (1-\delta)k)) - \beta E \left[u'(\max(0,A'(g(k,A))^{\alpha} + (1-\delta)g(k,A))) \left(\alpha A'(g(k,A))^{\alpha-1} + 1 - \delta \right) \right]$$

PRELIMINARIES: INSTALLING LIBRARIES

- LIBM: add it to your Matlab path
- CompEcon: add it to your Matlab path with the option "Add with subfolders"
- As a test: launch the file solveSGM.m, and see if you receive an error message (you shouldn't)

• Set parameters values and grid for states (in solveSGM.m)



- Set parameters values and grid for states (in solveSGM.m)
- Generates the functional space for the basis functions, and a "good" initial guess for the coefficients (in solveSGM.m)

- Set parameters values and grid for states (in solveSGM.m)
- Generates the functional space for the basis functions, and a "good" initial guess for the coefficients (in solveSGM.m)
- Find coefficients that put the residual function (written in the function focsSGM.m) as close to zero as possible (this is in fact done by solving nonlinear equations with Broyden's method, by the file mainSGM.m)

- Set parameters values and grid for states (in solveSGM.m)
- Generates the functional space for the basis functions, and a "good" initial guess for the coefficients (in solveSGM.m)
- Find coefficients that put the residual function (written in the function focsSGM.m) as close to zero as possible (this is in fact done by solving nonlinear equations with Broyden's method, by the file mainSGM.m)
- Test solution accuracy (in mainSGM.m) and then simulate the model (in solveSGM.m)



solveSGM.m, PART 1

```
global alpha betta rho sig sigma delta sigeps ;
 global nQuadr QuadrWeights QuadrPoints;
 global RoundAppr rounds_approx ;
 % PARAMETERS:
                    % production function coefficient
 alpha = .4;
 delta = .1;
                    % depreciation rate for capital
 betta = .95;
                    % discount factor
 sig = 1; % .5; % % CRRA utility (c^(1-sig))/(1-sig)

sigma = .05; % S.D. of productivity shock
 sigma = .05;
 rho = 0:%: .9: % persistence of productivity shock
 %% create a grid for capital
 kstar = (1/(alpha*betta) - ...
      (1—delta)/alpha )^(1/(alpha—1)); %det. steady state
 k_{min} = .5*kstar:
 k_{max} = 2*kstar;
 |%% parameters for quadrature
nQuadr = 50; % 100;% %number of quadrature points;
 % we choose nQuadr high to get smoothness;
```

solveSGM.m, PART 2

```
%% Range for shock
sigeps = sigma/sgrt(1-rho^2);
% Range for shock:
A_{max} = 3*sigeps;
A_{min} = -3*sigeps;
%% Parameters for the collocation algorithm
rounds_approx = 2; % number of rounds of approximation
Order_vector = [5 10; 5 10];%grid points for each round
ntest = 100; %gridpoints for testing for each dimension
%% Approximation type for CompEcon
    % approxtype = 'lin'; % piecewise linear
        approxtype = 'cheb'; % chebychev polynomials
      approxtype = 'spli'; % splines
    splineorder = []; % splines' order
%% parameters for simulations
number_series =1; % number of series
periods_simulation = 100; % n. periods for simulation
k0 = k_min.*ones(number_series,1);
```

60 / 72

mainSGM.m, PART 1

```
for oo = 1: rounds_approx
    RoundAppr = oo; % round of approximation
   % Range on which we approximate the solution:
    LowerBound = [k_min A_min ]:
    UpperBound = [k_max A_max];
   % Approximation order
   Order = Order_vector(:.oo):
   % for reference, need this for guess after round 1
    if nn >= 2
        fspace_old = fspace;
    end
   disp(' '); disp(' ' );
    disp(sprintf('RoundAppr %d, # gridpoints = [%d %d]',...
        RoundAppr, Order(1), Order(2)));
   disp(' ');
```

mainSGM.m, PART 2

```
% generate basis function space: we can choose
 % among chebychev polynomials, splines of
    different orders and piecewise linear functions
if(strcmp(approxtype, 'spli'))
      fspace = fundefn(approxtype,Order,LowerBound,
         UpperBound, splineorder);
 else
      fspace = fundefn(approxtype,Order,LowerBound,
         UpperBound, []);
 end;
 % the following commands create gridpoints
  nodes = funnode(fspace);
 Grid = gridmake(nodes);
 % Set initial conditions
  if (RoundAppr == 1)
      knext = Grid(:,1);
 else % if we are at second approx round, we use the
     solution of the first round
      % as initial conditions on the new larger_grid
      knext = funeval(nark fsnace old Grid)
```

mainSGM.m, PART 3

```
% generate basis functions Basis at Grid :
Basis = funbas(fspace,Grid);
% set initial value for parameters of the approximation
park = Basis\knext;
% solve FOCs with Broyden method for nonlinear equations
[park,info] = broydn('focsSGM',park,le-8,0,1,Grid,fspace
);
disp(sprintf(' info = %d',info)); % if info=0, everything
    went fine, o/w the Broyden algorithm didn't converge
disp(sprintf(' '));
end;
```

focsSGM.m, PART 1

```
%% FOCS for the stochastic growth model
 function equ = focsSGM(park, Grid,fspace);
 global alpha betta sig rho delta A_bar
 % global LowerBound UpperBound
 global nQuadr QuadrWeights QuadrPoints
 LowerBound = fspace.a;
 UpperBound = fspace.b;
 %rename grid
 k = Grid(:,1);
 A = Grid(:.2):
 % evaluate policy functions
 knext = funeval(park,fspace, Grid);
 fofk = exp(A).*(k.^alpha) + (1-delta).*k;
 |% c = fofk - knext;
ic = max(fofk - knext, zeros(length(Grid),1));
```

focsSGM.m, PART 2

```
n = length(k);
% generate nQuadr replications of the Grid, one for each
    realization of shock:
Grid_knext = kron(knext,ones(nQuadr,1));
% Exp. value of next period A, corresponding to Grid:
ExpA = rho*A:
% all realizations of next A:
GridANext = kron(ExpA, ones(nQuadr, 1)) + ...
    kron(ones(n,1),QuadrPoints);
% truncate it to state space:
GridANext = min(max(GridANext,LowerBound(2)),UpperBound(2));
GridNext = [Grid_knext GridANext]:
% calculate variables at t+1
knextnext = funeval(park,fspace, GridNext);
fofknext = exp(GridANext).*(Grid_knext.^alpha) + (1-delta).*
   Grid_knext;
 cnext = max(fofknext - knextnext, zeros(length(Grid_knext))
     ,1));
mucnext = muc(cnext);
mpknext = mpk(GridNext);
% calculate expectations with quadrature
           = (QuadrWeights'*reshane(mnkneyt *mucheyt
```

focsSGM.m, PART 3

```
% equation to be solved: Euler equation
| equ = (muc(c) - betta.*exp_mucnext)./muc(c);
|% avoid strange solutions
| if (any(cnext<0)) || (any(c<0)) || (any(knext<0)) || (any(ext) |
| knextnext<0)) |
| equ(1) = 1e100;
| end;</pre>
```

EXERCISE

SOLVING THE RBC MODEL WITH COLLOCATION OVER THE BELLMAN EQUATION

Create a code that solves the Belmann equation of the RBC model with collocation, starting from the code used to solve it with FOCs.

- First, write down the different components of your code (which files you will have to create)
- Choose a way to perform the maximization step in the Bellman operator.

 (Hint: can you do it with full discretization of the choice variable?)

CONVERGENCE

• There is no theorem guaranteeing convergence



- There is no theorem guaranteeing convergence
- The researcher has to "guide" the convergence process with ad-hoc solutions



- There is no theorem guaranteeing convergence
- The researcher has to "guide" the convergence process with ad-hoc solutions
 - Homotopy methods: start from the solution of a simpler model and iteratively modify the $\mathcal N$ operator to match the new, more complicated model

- There is no theorem guaranteeing convergence
- The researcher has to "guide" the convergence process with ad-hoc solutions
 - ullet Homotopy methods: start from the solution of a simpler model and iteratively modify the ${\mathscr N}$ operator to match the new, more complicated model
 - Bounds for the first iterations



- There is no theorem guaranteeing convergence
- The researcher has to "guide" the convergence process with ad-hoc solutions
 - ullet Homotopy methods: start from the solution of a simpler model and iteratively modify the ${\mathscr N}$ operator to match the new, more complicated model
 - Bounds for the first iterations
 - ...



DEPENDENCE ON THE INITIAL GUESS

• Most of the times, the solution we obtain depends on the initial guess



DEPENDENCE ON THE INITIAL GUESS

- Most of the times, the solution we obtain depends on the initial guess
- Important to get a good guess



DEPENDENCE ON THE INITIAL GUESS

- Most of the times, the solution we obtain depends on the initial guess
- Important to get a good guess
- Check that we always converge to the same solution even if we start from different initial guesses

ILL-CONDITIONING

• When using nonlinear solvers: Jacobians/Hessians must be computed



ILL-CONDITIONING

- When using nonlinear solvers: Jacobians/Hessians must be computed
- Ill-conditioning is a very frequent problem, no easy fixes



ILL-CONDITIONING

- When using nonlinear solvers: Jacobians/Hessians must be computed
- Ill-conditioning is a very frequent problem, no easy fixes
- Smart choice of gridpoints and more rounds of approximation usually help

SPEED CONSIDERATIONS AND MODELS WITH LARGE STATE SPACES

• In high dimensional models, speed is a concern



- In high dimensional models, speed is a concern
- Recent work shows that projection methods work well even in these models

- In high dimensional models, speed is a concern
- Recent work shows that projection methods work well even in these models
- Malin, Krueger and Kubler (2011): use Smoliak algorithm to smartly choose gridpoints

- In high dimensional models, speed is a concern
- Recent work shows that projection methods work well even in these models
- Malin, Krueger and Kubler (2011): use Smoliak algorithm to smartly choose gridpoints
- Judd, Maliar and Maliar (2010): simulated ergodic grid methods

- In high dimensional models, speed is a concern
- Recent work shows that projection methods work well even in these models
- Malin, Krueger and Kubler (2011): use Smoliak algorithm to smartly choose gridpoints
- Judd, Maliar and Maliar (2010): simulated ergodic grid methods
 - Guess a solution, simulate the solution many times



- In high dimensional models, speed is a concern
- Recent work shows that projection methods work well even in these models
- Malin, Krueger and Kubler (2011): use Smoliak algorithm to smartly choose gridpoints
- Judd, Maliar and Maliar (2010): simulated ergodic grid methods
 - Guess a solution, simulate the solution many times
 - From the simulated points, find *k* clusters, and use the centroids of each cluster as your grid points.

- In high dimensional models, speed is a concern
- Recent work shows that projection methods work well even in these models
- Malin, Krueger and Kubler (2011): use Smoliak algorithm to smartly choose gridpoints
- Judd, Maliar and Maliar (2010): simulated ergodic grid methods
 - Guess a solution, simulate the solution many times
 - From the simulated points, find *k* clusters, and use the centroids of each cluster as your grid points
 - cluster as your grid points.

 Solve with collocation on the *k* grid points.



- In high dimensional models, speed is a concern
- Recent work shows that projection methods work well even in these models
- Malin, Krueger and Kubler (2011): use Smoliak algorithm to smartly choose gridpoints
- Judd, Maliar and Maliar (2010): simulated ergodic grid methods
 - Guess a solution, simulate the solution many times
 - From the simulated points, find k clusters, and use the centroids of each
 - cluster as your grid points.

 Solve with collocation on the *k* grid points.
 - Iterate until convergence





