

Machine Learning Technique Homework 2

1

$$\begin{aligned}
 F(A, B) &= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \ln(1 + \exp(-y_n(Az_n + B))) \\
 \nabla F(A, B) &= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-y_n(Az_n + B))} \nabla \exp(-y_n(Az_n + B)) \\
 &= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{\exp(-y_n(Az_n + B))}{1 + \exp(-y_n(Az_n + B))} \nabla(-y_n(Az_n + B)) \\
 &= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N p_n \begin{bmatrix} -y_n z_n \\ -y_n \end{bmatrix}
 \end{aligned}$$

2

Let $s = -y_n(Az_n + B)$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\frac{\partial}{\partial A} \nabla F(A, B) \\
 &= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{\partial \theta(s)}{\partial s} \frac{\partial s}{\partial A} \begin{bmatrix} -y_n z_n \\ -y_n \end{bmatrix} \\
 &= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{\exp(s)}{(1 + \exp(s))^2} (-y_n z_n) \begin{bmatrix} -y_n z_n \\ -y_n \end{bmatrix} \\
 &= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1 + 2\exp(s) + \exp(s)^2}{(1 + \exp(s))^2} - \frac{1 + \exp(s)^2}{(1 + \exp(s))^2} \right) (-y_n z_n) \begin{bmatrix} -y_n z_n \\ -y_n \end{bmatrix} \\
 &= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{1}{2} (1 - (1 - p_n)^2 - p_n^2) (-y_n z_n) \begin{bmatrix} -y_n z_n \\ -y_n \end{bmatrix}
 \end{aligned}$$

Similarly

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial}{\partial B} \nabla F(A, B) \\
&= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{\partial \theta(s)}{\partial s} \frac{\partial s}{\partial B} \begin{bmatrix} -y_n z_n \\ -y_n \end{bmatrix} \\
&= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{\exp(s)}{(1 + \exp(s))^2} (-y_n) \begin{bmatrix} -y_n z_n \\ -y_n \end{bmatrix} \\
&= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{1}{2} (1 - (1 - p_n)^2 - p_n^2) (-y_n) \begin{bmatrix} -y_n z_n \\ -y_n \end{bmatrix}
\end{aligned}$$

Combine the results above:

$$H(F) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{1}{2} (1 - (1 - p_n)^2 - p_n^2) y_n^2 \begin{bmatrix} z_n^2 & z_n \\ z_n & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

3

$$\lim_{\gamma \rightarrow \infty} \exp(-\gamma \|x - x'\|^2) = 0$$

Thus the kernel matrix is an $N \times N$ all 0 matrix, where N is the number of data.

Then the optimal β

$$\begin{aligned}
\beta &= (\lambda I + K)^{-1} y \\
&= \frac{1}{\lambda} I y
\end{aligned}$$

4

$$\lim_{\gamma \rightarrow 0} \exp(-\gamma \|x - x'\|^2) = 1$$

Thus the kernel matrix is an $N \times N$ all 1 matrix, where N is the number of data.

Then the optimal β

$$\beta = (\lambda I + K)^{-1} y$$

Let $A = (\lambda I + K)^{-1}$ (A must exist since K is s.p.d.), then

$$A_{i,j} = \begin{cases} -\frac{1}{\lambda(\lambda+N)} + \frac{1}{\lambda} & i = j \\ -\frac{1}{\lambda(\lambda+N)} & i \neq j \end{cases}$$

Bellow is the proof. Let $B = A(\lambda I + K) = \lambda A + AK$ and A_i, K_j denote the i -th row of matrix A, K respectively.

$$\begin{aligned}
 B_{ij} &= \lambda A_{ij} + A_i K_j^T \\
 &= \lambda A_{ij} + \sum_{j=1}^N A_{ij} && \text{since } K \text{ is an all 1 matrix.} \\
 &= \lambda A_{ij} - N \frac{1}{\lambda(\lambda + N)} + \frac{1}{\lambda} \\
 &= \lambda A_{ij} + \frac{-N + \lambda + N}{\lambda(\lambda + N)} \\
 &= \lambda A_{ij} + \frac{\lambda}{\lambda(\lambda + N)}
 \end{aligned}$$

If $i = j$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\lambda A_{ij} + \frac{\lambda N + \lambda}{\lambda(\lambda + N)} \\
 &= -\frac{\lambda}{\lambda(\lambda + N)} + 1 + \frac{\lambda}{\lambda(\lambda + N)} \\
 &= 1
 \end{aligned}$$

If $i \neq j$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\lambda A_{ij} + \frac{\lambda N + \lambda}{\lambda(\lambda + N)} \\
 &= -\frac{\lambda}{\lambda(\lambda + N)} + \frac{\lambda}{\lambda(\lambda + N)} \\
 &= 0
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus $B = I$, and therefore $A = (\lambda I + K)^{-1}$, where

$$A_{i,j} = \begin{cases} -\frac{1}{\lambda(\lambda+N)} + \frac{1}{\lambda} & i = j \\ -\frac{1}{\lambda(\lambda+N)} & i \neq j \end{cases}$$

So optimal β

$$\begin{aligned}
 \beta &= (\lambda I + K)^{-1} y \\
 &= -\frac{1}{\lambda(\lambda + N)} \sum_i^N y_i \cdot e + \frac{1}{\lambda} y
 \end{aligned}$$

where e is an all 1 vector.

5

Consider the optimal $b, w, \xi^\vee, \xi^\wedge$ of original P_2 :

$$\cdot \text{ When } \max(0, |y_n - w^T \phi(x_n) - b| - \epsilon) = 0$$

$$\implies |y_n - w^T \phi(x_n) - b| \leq \epsilon$$

$$\implies -\epsilon - \xi_n^\vee \leq y_n - w^T \phi(x_n) - b \leq \epsilon + \xi_n^\wedge$$

for any $\xi^\vee, \xi^\wedge \geq 0$.

Thus to minimize $(\xi_n^\wedge)^2 + (\xi_n^\vee)^2$, the optimal $\xi_n^\vee, \xi_n^\wedge = 0$, so

$$(\xi_n^\wedge)^2 + (\xi_n^\vee)^2 = 0 = (\max(0, |y_n - w^T \phi(x_n) - b| - \epsilon))^2$$

$$\cdot \text{ When } \max(0, |y_n - w^T \phi(x_n) - b| - \epsilon) = |y_n - w^T \phi(x_n) - b| - \epsilon,$$

If $y_n - w^T \phi(x_n) - b \leq 0$,

$$-\epsilon - \xi_n^\vee \leq y_n - w^T \phi(x_n) - b \leq \epsilon + \xi_n^\wedge$$

for all $\xi_n^\vee \geq |y_n - w^T \phi(x_n) - b| - \epsilon, \xi_n^\wedge \geq 0$.

Thus to minimize $(\xi_n^\wedge)^2 + (\xi_n^\vee)^2$, the optimal $\xi_n^\vee = |y_n - w^T \phi(x_n) - b| - \epsilon, \xi_n^\wedge = 0$, so

$$(\xi_n^\wedge)^2 + (\xi_n^\vee)^2 = (|y_n - w^T \phi(x_n) - b| - \epsilon)^2 = (\max(0, |y_n - w^T \phi(x_n) - b| - \epsilon))^2$$

Similarly, if $y_n - w^T \phi(x_n) - b \geq 0$,

the optimal $\xi_n^\vee = 0, \xi_n^\wedge = |y_n - w^T \phi(x_n) - b| - \epsilon$, so

$$(\xi_n^\wedge)^2 + (\xi_n^\vee)^2 = (|y_n - w^T \phi(x_n) - b| - \epsilon)^2 = (\max(0, |y_n - w^T \phi(x_n) - b| - \epsilon))^2$$

Therefore, P_2 is equivalent to

$$\min_{b, w} \frac{1}{2} w^T w + C \sum_{i=1}^N (\max(0, |y_n - w^T \phi(x_n) - b| - \epsilon))^2$$

6

Substitute w with $\sum_{n=1}^N \beta_n z_n$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & \min_{b,w} \frac{1}{2} w^T w + C \sum_{n=1}^N (\max(0, |y_n - w^T \phi(x_n) - b| - \epsilon))^2 \\ &= \min_{b,w} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m=1}^N \sum_{n=1}^N \beta_n \beta_m K(x_n, x_m) + C \sum_{n=1}^N (\max(0, |y_n - (\sum_{m=1}^N \beta_m)^T \phi(x_n) - b| - \epsilon))^2 \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\partial F(b, \beta)}{\partial \beta_m} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{i=1, i \neq m}^N \beta_i K(x_i, x_m) + \sum_{j=1, j \neq m}^N \beta_j K(x_m, x_j) + 2\beta_m K(x_m, x_m) \right) + \\ & C \sum_{i=1}^N \begin{cases} 0 & |y_n - (\sum_{m=1}^N \beta_m)^T \phi(x_n) - b| - \epsilon < 0 \\ 2(|y_n - (\sum_{m=1}^N \beta_m)^T \phi(x_n) - b| - \epsilon) g_n \beta_m \phi(x_n) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^N \beta_i K(x_i, x_m) + \\ & C \sum_{i=1}^N \begin{cases} 0 & |y_n - (\sum_{m=1}^N \beta_m)^T \phi(x_n) - b| - \epsilon < 0 \\ 2(|y_n - (\sum_{m=1}^N \beta_m)^T \phi(x_n) - b| - \epsilon) g_n \beta_m \phi(x_n) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

where $g_n = \text{sgn}(y_n - (\sum_{m=1}^N \beta_m)^T \phi(x_n) - b)$.

7

Let the two example generated at time t be $(p_t, p_t^2), (q_t, q_t^2)$. Then the learned model will be

$$\begin{aligned} g_t(x) &= \frac{q_t^2 - p_t^2}{q_t - p_t} x - \frac{q_t^2 - p_t^2}{q_t - p_t} q_t + q_t^2 \\ &= (q_t + p_t)x - p_t q_t \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \bar{g}(x) \\ &= \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T g_t(x) \\ &= E(q + p)x - E(pq) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$E(p) = E(q) = \int_0^1 p dp$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}$$

$$E(pq) = \int_0^1 \int_0^1 pq dp dq$$

$$= \frac{1}{4}$$

Thus,

$$\bar{g}(x)$$

$$= E(q + p)x - E(pq)$$

$$= x - \frac{1}{4}$$

8

We can get those \tilde{y}_n one by one.

First, query RMSE with a randomly generated hypothesis h_0 .

Then generate another hypothesis h_1 such that $h_0(\tilde{x}_1) \neq h_1(\tilde{x}_1)$ and $h_0(\tilde{x}_i) = h_1(\tilde{x}_i)$ for $i = 2, 3, \dots, \tilde{N}$. So by querying twice RMSE, we can solve \tilde{y}_1 from

$$\begin{cases} \text{RMSE}(h_1)^2 = \frac{1}{\tilde{N}}(\tilde{y}_1 - h_0(\tilde{x}_1))^2 + \sum_{n=1}^{\tilde{N}}(\tilde{y}_n - h_0(\tilde{x}_n))^2 \\ \text{RMSE}(h_2)^2 = \frac{1}{\tilde{N}}(\tilde{y}_2 - h_1(\tilde{x}_1))^2 + \sum_{n=1}^{\tilde{N}}(\tilde{y}_n - h_0(\tilde{x}_n))^2 \end{cases}$$

Similarly, we can generate $h_k(x_k) \neq h_0(x_k)$ and $h_0(\tilde{x}_i) = h_1(\tilde{x}_i)$ for $i = 1, \dots, k - 1, k + 1, \dots, \tilde{N}$ and solve \tilde{y}_k iteratively for $k = 2$ to $k = \tilde{N} - 1$ with one query to RMSE from

$$\begin{cases} \text{RMSE}(h_0)^2 = \sum_{n=1}^{k-1} \frac{1}{\tilde{N}}(\tilde{y}_n - h_0(\tilde{x}_n))^2 + \frac{1}{\tilde{N}}(\tilde{y}_k - h_0(\tilde{x}_k))^2 + \sum_{n=k+1}^{\tilde{N}}(\tilde{y}_n - h_1(\tilde{x}_n))^2 \\ \text{RMSE}(h_k)^2 = \sum_{n=1}^{k-1} \frac{1}{\tilde{N}}(\tilde{y}_n - h_0(\tilde{x}_n))^2 + \frac{1}{\tilde{N}}(\tilde{y}_k - h_k(\tilde{x}_k))^2 + \sum_{n=k+1}^{\tilde{N}}(\tilde{y}_n - h_1(\tilde{x}_n))^2 \end{cases}$$

To solve $\tilde{y}_{\tilde{N}}$, since we have known \tilde{y}_k for $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots, k - 1$ and known $\text{RMSE}(h_0)$, thus no additional query is required. Therefore, totally \tilde{N} queries are required.

9

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{RMSE}(h) &= \sqrt{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{\tilde{n}} (\tilde{y}_n - h(\tilde{x}_n))^2} \\
&= \sqrt{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{\tilde{n}} \tilde{y}_n^2 - 2\tilde{y}_n h(\tilde{x}_n) + (h(\tilde{x}_n))^2} \\
&= \sqrt{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{\tilde{n}} \tilde{y}_n^2 + \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{\tilde{n}} (h(\tilde{x}_n))^2 - \frac{2}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{\tilde{n}} \tilde{y}_n h(\tilde{x}_n)} \\
&= \sqrt{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{\tilde{n}} \tilde{y}_n^2 + \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{\tilde{n}} (h(\tilde{x}_n))^2 - \frac{2}{N} g^T \tilde{y}}
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{\tilde{n}} (h(\tilde{x}_n))^2$ can be compute without knowing \tilde{y} , we can solve $g^T \tilde{y}$ from

$$\begin{cases} \text{RMSE}(h)^2 = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{\tilde{n}} \tilde{y}_n^2 + \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{\tilde{n}} (h(\tilde{x}_n))^2 - \frac{2}{N} g^T \tilde{y} \\ \text{RMSE}(-h)^2 = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{\tilde{n}} \tilde{y}_n^2 + \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{\tilde{n}} (h(\tilde{x}_n))^2 + \frac{2}{N} g^T \tilde{y} \end{cases}$$

Therefore, only 2 queries are required.

10

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} \tilde{N} \text{RMSE}^2 \left(\sum_{k=1}^K \alpha_k g_k \right) \\
&= \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} \sum_{n=1}^{\tilde{N}} (\tilde{y}_n - \sum_{k=1}^K \alpha_k g_k(\tilde{x}_n))^2 \\
&= \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} (\tilde{y} - g(\tilde{x})\alpha)^T (\tilde{y} - g(\tilde{x})\alpha) \\
&= 2g(\tilde{x})^T (g(\tilde{x})\alpha - \tilde{y}) = 0
\end{aligned}$$

where $g(\tilde{x})$ is a $\tilde{N} \times K$ matrix and $g(\tilde{x})_{i,j} = g_j(\tilde{x}_i)$. Then the optimal α is

$$(g(\tilde{x})^T g(\tilde{x}))^{-1} g(\tilde{x})^T \tilde{y}$$

Since we know all \tilde{x} , thus $(g(\tilde{x})^T g(\tilde{x}))^{-1} g(\tilde{x})^T$ can be calculated locally. And

$$g(\tilde{x})^T \tilde{y} = \begin{bmatrix} g_1^T \tilde{y} \\ g_2^T \tilde{y} \\ \vdots \\ g_K^T \tilde{y} \end{bmatrix}$$

Each component can be calculated with two queries. Therefore, $2\tilde{K}$ queries are required.

11

Following combinations result in minimal $E_{in} = 0$, $(\gamma = 32, \lambda = 0.001)$: $(\gamma = 32, \lambda = 1)$, $(\gamma = 32, \lambda = 1000)$, $(\gamma = 2, \lambda = 0.001)$, $(\gamma = 2, \lambda = 1)$, $(\gamma = 2, \lambda = 1000)$.

12

The minimum $E_{out}(g) = 0.37$ and the combination is $(\gamma = 0.125, \lambda = 1000)$,

13

The minimum $E_{in} = 0$, and the combinations are $(\gamma = 32, \lambda = 0.001)$, $(\gamma = 2, \lambda = 0.001)$, $(\gamma = 0.125, \lambda = 0.001)$.

14

The minimum $E_{out} = 0.285$, and the combinations is $(\gamma = 0.125, \lambda = 1)$.

15**16****17**

Let

$$z_n = \begin{bmatrix} g_1(x_n) \\ g_2(x_n) \\ \vdots \\ g_T(x_n) \end{bmatrix}$$

Then

$$\min_{\alpha_t} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \max(1 - y_n \sum_{t=1}^T \alpha_t g_t(x_n), 0)$$

can be rewritten as

$$\min_{\alpha_t} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \max(1 - y_n w^T z_n, 0)$$

where $w_n = \alpha_n$, for $n = 1, 2, \dots, N$.

Also,

$$\min_w \sum_{n=1}^N \max(1 - y_n \sum_{t=1}^T \alpha_t g_t(x_n), 0)$$

is equivalent to

$$\min_{\xi, w} \sum_{n=1}^N \xi_n$$

subject to $y_n(w^T x_n) \geq 1 - \xi_n$

Since when $1 - y_n \sum_{t=1}^T \alpha_t g_t(x_n) \geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \max(1 - y_n \sum_{t=1}^T \alpha_t g_t(x_n), 0) \\ &= 1 - y_n \sum_{t=1}^T \alpha_t g_t(x_n) \\ &= \min_{\xi_n} \xi_n \end{aligned}$$

subject to $y_n(w^T x_n) \geq 1 - \xi_n, \xi_n > 0$

and when $1 - y_n \sum_{t=1}^T \alpha_t g_t(x_n) > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \max(1 - y_n \sum_{t=1}^T \alpha_t g_t(x_n), 0) \\ &= 0 \\ &= \min_{\xi_n} \xi_n \end{aligned}$$

subject to $y_n(w^T x_n) \geq 1 - \xi_n, \xi_n > 0$

As C is much greater than $\frac{1}{2}$,

$$\min_{\xi, w} \frac{1}{2} w^T w + C \sum_{n=1}^N \xi_n \approx \min_{\xi, w} C \sum_{n=1}^N \xi_n$$

Therefore, we can approximate those optimal α by solving optimal w with respect to those z with LIBSVM.