# Policy gradient methods for reinforcement learning with function approximation NIPS

Richard S. Sutton, David McAllester, Satinder Singh, Yishay Mansour AT&T Labs

TinRay

# Tasks Suitable for Reinforcement Learning

- ▶ Many action, then get reward.
- ► Such as game playing, go¹, etc.



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Should go be capitalized?

#### Markov Decision Process

- ► Environment:
  - ▶ Set of all states: S, S<sup>+</sup>
  - Set of all actions possible in state A(s).
  - ▶ Set of all possible rewards: *R*
  - ▶ Transition probability: p(s'|s, a)
- Agent:
  - ▶ Policy:  $\pi(a, s) \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\pi(s) \in \mathcal{A}$
- ▶ Trajectory:  $s_0, a_0, s_1, a_1, \cdots$
- Episode:  $s_0, a_0, r_0, s_1, a_1, r_1, \cdots$

# From supervised learning to RL

- Supervised Learning
  - ▶ Train Data:  $x_1, x_2, \dots, y_1, y_2, \dots$
  - ▶ To learn: f that map x to y.
- Reinforcement Learning
  - Environment
  - ► Find a way to maximize total reward.

### Two perspectives toward RL

- $\blacktriangleright$  Actor: Learn what to do given a state s.
  - ▶ But we don't know optimal action for each state *s*.
  - So policy gradient is there.
- $\triangleright$  Critic: Learn how good an action a is given a state s.
  - ▶ But we don't have truth value of (s, a).
  - ▶ So we have Monte Carlo, TD methods here.

#### Outline

- Critic
  - Monte Carlo
    - ▶ Monte Carlo Estimation of Action Values
    - ▶ Monte Carlo Control
    - $ightharpoonup \epsilon$ -Greedy
    - Off-policy Prediction via Importance Sampling
  - ► Time Difference
    - ▶ TD Prediction
    - ► SARSA
    - Q-Learning
- Actor
  - REINFORCE
  - Policy-Gradient (Actor-Critic?)

# Goal of learning critic

▶ How good an action a given s is? Ans: Expected total reward after action a done on state s with policy  $\pi$ .

$$q_{\pi}(s, a) = E\left\{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \gamma^{k-1} r_{t+k} | s_t = s, a_t = a, \pi\right\}$$

So we want to learn  $Q_{\pi}(s, a)$  to estimate  $q_{\pi}(s, a)$ , that is, minimize

$$(Q_{\pi}(s,a) - \hat{q}_{\pi}(s,a))^2$$

where  $\hat{q}_{\pi}$  is an (unbiased) estimator of  $q_{\pi}$ .

•  $\hat{q}_{\pi}$  is actually the difference between MC and TD.

#### Monte Carlo Estimation of Action Values

- Given a policy  $\pi(s, a)$ , how to estimate  $q_{\pi}(s, a)$ ?
- We can generate many episodes with  $\pi$

$$s_0^0, a_0^0, r_0^0, s_1^0, a_1^0, r_1^0, \cdots$$

$$s_0^1, a_0^1, r_0^1, s_1^1, a_1^1, r_1^1, \cdots$$

$$\vdots$$

Then for each (s, a) pair  $\hat{q}_{\pi}$  is average total reward get after action a is taken on state s in those episodes.

$$\hat{q}_{\pi}(s, a) = \frac{1}{|\{(e, t)|s_t^e = s\}|} \sum_{\{(e, t)|s_t^e = s\}} \text{Return}(s_t^e, a_t^e)$$

where  $Return(s_t^e, a_t^e)$  is defined as

$$Return(s_t^e, a_t^e) = \sum_{k=0} \gamma^k r_{t+k}^e$$



# Monte Carlo Estimation of Action Values - Example

#### Monte Carlo Control

- ▶ How about random initialize a policy  $\pi_0$ ;
- ▶ then estimate  $\hat{q}_{\pi_0}(s, a)$  with Monte Carlo method;
- then make a new policy  $\pi_1$  base on  $\hat{q}_{\pi_0}$

$$\pi_1(s) = \arg\max_a \hat{q}_{\pi_0}(s, a)$$

which is better than  $\pi_0$ 

- ▶ then estimate  $\hat{q}_{\pi_1}(s, a)$  with Monte Carlo method;
- then make a new better policy...
- And so on...
- ▶ So we can get opitmal  $\pi!!$

# $\epsilon$ Greedy Method

- ▶ Wait! There is nearly no exploration!
- ▶ So we can add probability  $\epsilon$  to take action randomly.

$$\begin{cases} \pi_k(s,a) = \frac{\epsilon}{|(A)|} + 1 - \epsilon & a = \arg\max_a \hat{q}_{\pi_{k-1}}(s,a) \\ \pi_k(s,a) = \frac{\epsilon}{|(A)|} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

# Off-policy Prediction via Importance Sampling

- ▶ How about using another policy  $\mu$  to do exploration?
- Original Mote Carlo use average return in many episodes to estimate

$$\begin{split} q_{\pi}(s,a) = & E_{\sim\pi} \left\{ \text{Return}(s,a) \right\} \\ = & E \left\{ \sum_{k=1} \gamma^{k-1} r_{t+k} | s_t = s, a_t = a, \pi \right\} \\ = & (\sum_{k=1} \gamma^{k-1} r_{t+k}) Pr(r_t, s_{t+1}, a_{t+2}, r_{t+2}, \cdots) \\ = & (\sum_{k=1} \gamma^{k-1} r_{t+k}) p(s_k, r_{k-1} | a_{k-1}) \prod_{k=1} \pi(s_k, a_k) p(s_{k+1}, r_k | a_k) \\ = & (\sum_{k=1} \gamma^{k-1} r_{t+k}) p(s_k, r_{k-1} | a_{k-1}) \prod_{k=1} \frac{\pi(s_k, a_k)}{\mu(s_k, a_k)} \prod_{k=1} \mu(s_k, a_k) p(s_{k+1}, r_k | a_k) \\ = & (\sum_{k=1} \gamma^{k-1} r_{t+k}) p(s_k, r_{k-1} | a_{k-1}) \prod_{k=1} \frac{\pi(s_k, a_k)}{\mu(s_k, a_k)} \prod_{k=1} \mu(s_k, a_k) p(s_{k+1}, r_k | a_k) \\ = & E_{\sim \mu} \left\{ \text{Return}(s, a) \prod_{k=1} \mu(s_k, a_k) \right\} \end{split}$$

# Off-policy Prediction via Importance Sampling - Cont.

▶ Then we can estimate  $q_{\pi}$  with policy  $\mu$  by

$$\hat{q}_{\pi}(s, a) = \frac{1}{|\{(e, t)|s_t^e = s\}|} \sum_{\{(e, t)|s_t^e = s\}} \text{Return}(s_t^e, a_t^e) \prod_{k=1} \mu(s_k, a_k)$$

► Estimating expectation from different distribution is what "importance sampling" does.