ASEN 5264 Decision Making under Uncertainty Homework 3: Online MDP Methods

February 12, 2024

1 Conceptual Questions

Question 1. (20 pts) Do similar Q values imply similar rewards? Consider the following claim:

If a policy π satisfies $|Q^*(s, \pi^*(s)) - Q^*(s, \pi(s))| \leq \beta$ for all $s \in \mathcal{S}$, then it immediately follows that $|R(s, \pi^*(s)) - R(s, \pi(s))| \leq \beta$.

It turns out that this claim is incorrect.¹ In this exercise, you will formulate a counterexample demonstrating that it is false. Consider the MDP below:



The state space is $S = \{1, ..., 5\}$ and the action space is $A = \{L, R\}$ (but not all actions are available from each state). Transitions are deterministic as shown. The discount factor is $\gamma = 0.9$.

Choose a reward function, R, (i.e. values for the squiggly arrows), a policy, π , and a value β that constitute a counterexample to the claim above.² Justify your answer.

2 Exercises

HW3.DenseGridWorld() generates a 60x60 grid world MDP. There is a reward of +100 every 20 cells, i.e. at [20,20], [20,40], [40,20], etc. Once the agent reaches one of these reward cells, or an edge cell, the problem terminates. All cells also have a cost. Only a generative transition model is available. You will use the following functions from POMDPs.jl to interact with this problem (or larger versions) in the rest of this assignment:

- actions(m)
- @gen(:sp, :r)(m, s, a)
- isterminal(m, s)
- discount(m)
- statetype(m)
- actiontype(m)

¹Even seasoned researchers can be tripped up by this - this claim was erroneously made in the proof for Lemma 5 of the Sparse Sampling paper by Kearns, Mansour, and Ng https://www.cis.upenn.edu/~mkearns/papers/sparsesampling-journal.pdf.

²To demonstrate that you have found a counterexample, use the following steps: (1) Choose R, π and β (note that to choose π , you only have to choose $\pi(3)$ because all other actions are pre-determined. (2) Verify that $Q^*(s, \pi^*(s))$ and $Q^*(s, \pi(s))$ are closer than β for all states. (3) Find one state where the difference between $R(s, \pi^*(s))$ and $R(s, \pi(s))$ is greater then β . (4) If it is not possible, then revise R, π , and β and try again.

Question 2. (15 pts) Monte Carlo Policy Evaluation

a) Write a rollout simulation function for an MDP starting with the following code:

```
r_total = 0.0
t = 0
while !isterminal(mdp, s) && t < max_steps
    a = :down # replace this with a policy
    s, r = @gen(:sp,:r)(mdp, s, a)
    r_total += discount(m)^t*r
    t += 1
end</pre>
```

Use this function to perform a Monte Carlo evaluation of a uniform random policy on an MDP created with HW3.DenseGridWorld(seed=3). Report the mean discounted reward estimate and standard error of the mean (SEM). Run enough simulations so that the SEM is less than 5.

b) Create a heuristic policy that improves upon the random policy by at least 50 reward units. Report the mean and standard error from a Monte Carlo evaluation.

Question 3. (20 pts) Monte Carlo Tree Search

Write code that performs 7 iterations of Monte Carlo Tree Search on an MDP created with HW3.DenseGridWorld(seed=4), starting at state (19, 19). You will need to produce three dictionaries:

- Q maps (s, a) tuples to Q value estimates.
- N maps (s, a) tuples to N, the number of times the node has been tried.
- t maps (s, a, s') tuples to the number of times that transition was generated during construction of the tree.

Then visualize the resulting tree with HW3.visualize_tree(Q, N, t, SA[19, 19])³. Submit an image of the tree, the code used to generate it, and a few sentences describing the tree after 7 iterations (e.g. which actions have the highest Q values? Does this make sense?).

Question 4. (15 pts) Planning with MCTS

Use your Monte Carlo tree search from Question 3 to plan online in the simulation loop. Limit the planning time to 50ms. Evaluate the MCTS planner with 100 100-step Monte Carlo simulations. Report the mean accumulated reward and standard error of the mean, along with a typical number of iterations that MCTS was able to complete each time it chose an action⁴.

3 Challenge Problem

Question 5. (10 pts code and description, 20 pts score) Fast Online Planning

Create a function $select_action(m,s)$ that takes in a 100×100 DenseGridWorld, m, and a state s, and returns a near-optimal action within 50ms. You may wish to base this code on the MCTS code that you wrote for Question 3. Evaluate this function with HW3.evaluate and submit the resulting json file along with the code and a one paragraph to one page description of your approach, including tuning parameters that worked well, the rollout policy, etc. A score of 50 will receive full credit. There are no restrictions on this problem - you may wish to use a different algorithm, multithreading, etc. Starter code on github will give suggestions for timing and other details.

³SA is from the StaticArrays.jl package.

⁴You can determine a typical number of iterations by just printing out the number; you don't need to keep careful statistics unless you want to