


# Program Review

*Mathematics*  
Portland Community College



**MATHEMATICS**

FALL 2008–SPRING 2013

## Contents

|          |  |           |
|----------|--|-----------|
| <b>1</b> | <b>Other Curricular Issues</b>   | <b>4</b>  |
| A        | Distance education . . . . .   | 4         |
|          | Presence of DL offerings . . . . .                                       | 4         |
|          | Success Rates in DL courses . . . . .                                    | 6         |
|          | Informing DL Students . . . . .  | 7         |
|          | Online Homework platforms . . . . .                                      | 8         |
|          | WeBWorK . . . . .  | 8         |
|          | PCC WeBWorK problem library . . . . .                                    | 9         |
|          | Concerns about DL offerings . . . . .                                    | 10        |
|          | Recommendations . . . . .  | 11        |
| B        | Curricular changes resulting from educational initiatives . . . . .      | 12        |
|          | Math 111H College Algebra: Honors . . . . .                              | 12        |
|          | Social Justice Workgroup . . . . .                                       | 12        |
|          | Service Learning . . . . .   | 13        |
|          | Developmental Education Math Study Group . . . . .                       | 13        |
|          | Placement Test Reform Group . . . . .                                    | 13        |
| C        | Present dual credit relationships . . . . .                              | 13        |
| D        | Future dual credit relationships . . . . .                               | 14        |
| E        | Other significant curricular changes . . . . .                           | 14        |
|          | MTH 20 moved to the Math SAC from the DE SAC . . . . .                   | 14        |
|          | MTH 20 Proposed Credit Change: 4- to 5-credits . . . . .                 | 14        |
|          | MTH 60/65/70/95 Curriculum Alignment . . . . .                           | 15        |
|          | Replaced MTH 111A/111B/111C with MTH 105 and MTH 111 . . . . .           | 15        |
|          | MTH 243 Credit Change: 4- to 5-credits . . . . .                         | 16        |
|          | Creation of AMP/MTH008 . . . . .   | 16        |
|          | Clarified CCOGs Requirements and CCOG Addendums . . . . .                | 16        |
|          | Elimination of MTH 91 and MTH 92 . . . . .                               | 17        |
|          | Changes in MTH 251-254 . . . . .   | 17        |
|          | Math Study Skills Material Development . . . . .                         | 17        |
|          | MTH 111/112 Document Project . . . . .                                   | 17        |
|          | Supplemental Course Packets . . . . .                                    | 18        |
|          | MTH 251 Lab Manual . . . . .   | 18        |
|          | MTH 105 Course Material Flexibility . . . . .                            | 18        |
|          | Alternative Learning Center (ALC) . . . . .                              | 18        |
|          | MTH 84 - Introduction to $\text{\LaTeX}$ . . . . .                       | 19        |
|          | MTH 76 SAC Approval . . . . .  | 19        |
|          | ALEKS pilot . . . . .  | 19        |
|          | MTH 112 Formula Sheet . . . . .  | 20        |
|          | MTH 212 Proficiency Exam . . . . .                                       | 20        |
|          | Casio Classpad . . . . .   | 20        |
| <b>2</b> | <b>Needs of Students and the Community</b>                               | <b>21</b> |
| A        | How is instruction informed by student demographics? . . . . .           | 21        |
|          | Social Justice (Addresses Socio-Economic Status, Race, Gender) . . . . . | 21        |
|          | Individual Faculty Awareness . . . . .                                   | 21        |
|          | Educational Cost (Addresses Socio-Economic Status) . . . . .             | 22        |

|          |   |           |
|----------|---|-----------|
|          | Educational Background . . . . .  | 22        |
|          | Data Trends . . . . .   | 23        |
| B        | Have there been any notable changes in instruction due to changes in demographics since the last review? . . . . .  | 24        |
| C        | Describe current and projected demand and enrollment patterns. Include discussion of any impact this will have on the program/discipline. . . . .   | 24        |
|          | Developmental Mathematics . . . . .   | 24        |
|          | Lower Division Transfer Mathematics . . . . .   | 24        |
|          | Totals (Developmental and LDC Combined) . . . . .   | 26        |
| D        | What strategies are used within the program/discipline to facilitate access and diversity? . . . . .  | 27        |
|          | Physical accessibility . . . . .  | 28        |
|          | Learning accessibility . . . . .  | 28        |
|          | Cultural and Social Accessibility . . . . .   | 28        |
|          | Access to education . . . . .   | 28        |
| E        | Describe the methods used to ensure faculty are working with Disability Services to implement approved academic accommodations? . . . . .   | 28        |
| F        | Has feedback from students, community groups, transfer institutions, business, industry or government been used to make curriculum or instructional changes (if this has not been addressed elsewhere in this document)? If so, describe. . . . . | 29        |
| <b>3</b> | <b>Faculty composition and qualifications</b>   | <b>30</b> |
| A        | Provide information on each of the following . . . . .  | 30        |
|          | Quantity and quality of the faculty needed to meet the needs of the program or discipline. . . . .  | 30        |
|          | Extent of faculty turnover and changes anticipated in the next five years. . . . .  | 32        |
|          | Extent of the reliance upon part-time faculty and how they compare with full-time faculty in terms of educational and experiential backgrounds. . . . .   | 32        |
|          | How the faculty composition reflects the diversity and cultural competency goals of the institution. . . . .  | 32        |
| B        | Report any changes the SAC has made to instructor qualifications since the last review and the reason for the changes. . . . .  | 33        |
| <b>4</b> | <b>Facilities and Support</b>   | <b>35</b> |
| A        | Describe how classroom space, classroom technology, laboratory space and equipment impact student success. . . . .  | 35        |
|          | Recommendations . . . . .   | 36        |
| B        | Describe how students are using the library or other outside-the-classroom information resources. . . . .   | 36        |
|          | Recommendations . . . . .   | 37        |
| C        | Provide information on clerical, technical, administrative and/or tutoring support. . . . .   | 38        |
|          | Cascade Campus Learning Center . . . . .  | 38        |
|          | Rock Creek Student Learning Center . . . . .  | 39        |
|          | Southeast Student Learning Center . . . . .   | 39        |
|          | Sylvania Student Learning Center . . . . .  | 39        |
| D        | Provide information on how Advising, Counseling, Disability Services and other student services impact students. . . . .  | 40        |

|  |            |
|--|------------|
| Advising and counseling . . . . .  | 40         |
| Recommendations . . . . .  | 41         |
| Testing Centers . . . . .  | 41         |
| E Describe current patterns of scheduling (such as modality, class size,<br>duration, times, location, or other), address the pedagogy of the pro-<br>gram/discipline and the needs of students. . . . . | 42         |
| <b>Bibliography</b>  | <b>44</b>  |
| <b>Appendices</b>  | <b>44</b>  |
| <b>A Changes in ALC courses</b>  | <b>45</b>  |
| <b>B Core Outcomes Mapping</b>   | <b>46</b>  |
| <b>C Course Scheduling Pattern (by campus)</b>   | <b>48</b>  |
| 1 Cascade . . . . .  | 48         |
| 2 Rock Creek . . . . .   | 48         |
| 3 Sylvania . . . . .   | 48         |
| <b>D Distance Learning Successful Completions</b>  | <b>50</b>  |
| <b>E Resource survey results</b>   | <b>51</b>  |
| <b>F Do online homework systems aid retention?</b>   | <b>56</b>  |
| 1 Overview . . . . .   | 56         |
| 2 Summary of Results for the MTH 111 study . . . . .   | 56         |
| 3 Summary of Results for the MTH 60 study . . . . .  | 56         |
| <b>G Accessibility study summary</b>   | <b>57</b>  |
| <b>H ALEKS pilot</b>   | <b>59</b>  |
| 1 MTH 20 Several classes during 2012–2013 AY (Edwards) . . . . .   | 59         |
| Results and Statistics . . . . .   | 59         |
| 2 Pilot in Math 112 during Winter 2013 (Louie) . . . . .   | 60         |
| <b>I Enrollment summaries (by term and campus)</b>   | <b>61</b>  |
| <b>J Analysis of sections taught by campus</b>   | <b>66</b>  |
| <b>K Faculty Educational Degrees by campus</b>   | <b>69</b>  |
| <b>L AMP Data Collection</b>   | <b>70</b>  |
| <b>M Effectiveness of Self-paced Math (ALC 61, 62, 63)</b>   | <b>71</b>  |
| <b>N Social Justice samples</b>  | <b>72</b>  |
| <b>O Class size report</b>   | <b>78</b>  |
| <b>P Demographic data</b>  | <b>98</b>  |
| <b>Q Instructor Qualifications</b>   | <b>104</b> |
| Mathematics Instructor Qualifications (prior to May 2011) . . . . .  | 104        |

|  |     |
|--|-----|
| Mathematics Instructor Qualifications (approved May 2011) . . . . .      | 104 |
| Mathematics Instructor Qualifications (approved February 2013) . . . . . | 105 |

# 1

## Facilities and Support

**A.** *Describe how classroom space, classroom technology, laboratory space and equipment impact student success.*

Over the past few years, efforts by the college to create classrooms containing the same basic equipment has helped tremendously with consistency issues. The nearly universal presence of classroom podiums with attendant AV devices is considerably useful. For example, most instructors use computer-based calculator emulators when instructing their students on calculator use - this allows explicit keystroking examples to be demonstrated that were not possible before the podiums appeared. The document cameras found in most classrooms are used by most mathematics instructors. Having an instructor computer with internet access has also been a great help as instructors have access to a wide variety of tools to engage students, as well as a source for quick answers when unusual questions arise.

Several classrooms on the Sylvania campus have Starboards or Smart Boards integrated with their AV systems. Many mathematics instructors use these tools as their primary presentation vehicles. Documents can be preloaded into the software and the screens allow instructors to write their work directly onto the document. Among other things, this makes it easy to save the work into pdf files that can be accessed by students outside of class. This equipment is not used as much on the other campuses, but there are instructors on other campuses that say they would use them if they were widely available on their campus.

A few instructors have begun creating lessons with LiveScribe technology. The technology allows the instructor to make an audio/visual record of their lecture without a computer or third person recording device. The technology allows, among other things, instructors to post a 'live copy' of their actual class lecture online. The students do not simply see a static copy of the notes that were written; the students see the notes emerge as they were being written and they hear the words that were spoken while they were written. The use of LiveScribe technology is strongly supported by Disability Services, and for that reason alone continued experimentation with its use is strongly encouraged.

Despite all of the improvements that have been made in classrooms over the past few years, there still are some serious issues.

Rooms are assigned randomly, which often leads to mathematics classes being scheduled in rooms that are not appropriate for a math class. For example, scheduling a math class in a room with individual student desks creates a lot of problems; many instructors have students take notes, refer to their text, and use their calculator all at the same time and there simply is not enough room on the individual desktops to keep all of that material in place. More significantly, this furniture is especially ill-suited for group work. Not only does the movement of desks and sharing of work exacerbate the material's issue (materials frequently falling off the desks), students simply cannot share their work in the efficient way that work can be shared when they are gathered about tables. It would be helpful if all non-computer-based math classes could be scheduled in rooms with tables.

Another problem relates to an inadequate number of computerized classrooms and insufficient space in many of the existing computerized classroom. Both of these shortages has greatly increased due to Bond-related construction. Several sections of MTH 243 and MTH 244 (statistics courses), which are normally taught in computerized classrooms, have been scheduled in regular classrooms. Many of the statistics courses that have been scheduled in computerized classrooms have been scheduled in rooms that seat only 28, 24, or even 20 students. When possible, we generally limit our class capacities at 34 or 35. Needless to say, running multiple sections of classes in rooms well below those capacities creates many problems. This is especially problematic for student success, as it hinders students' ability to register due to undersized classrooms.

Finally, the computerized classrooms could be configured in such a way that maximizes potential for meaningful student engagement and minimizes potential for students to get off course due to internet access. We believe that all computerized classrooms need to come equipped with software that allows the instructor control of the student computers such as LanSchool Classroom Management Software. The need for this technology is dire; it will reduce or eliminate students being off task when using computers, and it will allow another avenue to facilitate instruction as the instructor will be able to 'see' any student computer and 'interact' with any student computer. It can also be used to solicit student feedback in an anonymous manner. The gathering of anonymous feedback can frequently provide a better gauge of the general level of understanding than activities such as the traditional showing of hands.

### **Recommendations**

All mathematics classes should be scheduled in rooms that are either computerized (upon request) or have multi-person tables (as opposed to individual desks).

All computerized classrooms should have at least 30, if not 34, individual work stations.

An adequate number of classrooms on all campus should be equipped with Smartboards so that all instructors who want access to the technology can teach every one of their classes in rooms equipped with the technology.

The computer image for all computerized classrooms should include software that allows the instructor computer complete and direct access to each student computer.

## **B.** *Describe how students are using the library or other outside-the-classroom information resources.*

In order to research how students are using the library and other outside-the-classroom resources, we conducted a stratified sampling method survey of 976 on-campus students and 291 online students; the participants were chosen in a random manner. We gave scantron surveys to the on-campus students and used SurveyMonkey for the online students. We found that students are generally knowledgeable about library resources and other outside-the-classroom resources. The complete survey, together with its results, is given in ??; we have summarized our comments below in relation to each question that we asked.

- Q1.** Not surprisingly, library resources and other campus-based resources are used more frequently by our on-campus students than by our online students. This could be due to less frequent visits to campus for online students and/or online students already having similar resources available to them via the internet.
- Q2.** We found that nearly 70% of instructors include resource information in their syllabi. This figure was consistent regardless of the level of the class (DE/transfer level) or the employment status of the instructor (full/part-time).

We found that a majority of our instructors are using online resources to connect with students. Online communication between students and instructors is conducted across many platforms such as instructor websites, Desire2Learn, MyPCC, online graphing applications, and online homework platforms.

We found that students are using external educational websites such as Khan Academy, PatrickJMT, PurpleMath, and YouTube. The data suggest online students use these services more than on-campus students.

- Q3.** The use of online homework (such as WeBWork, MyMathLab, MyStatLab, and ALEKS) has grown significantly over the past few years. However, the data suggests that significantly more full-time instructors than part-time instructors are directing their students towards these tools (as either a required or optional component of the course). Additionally, there is a general trend that online homework programs are being used more frequently in online classes than in on-campus classes. Both of these discrepancies may reflect the need to distribute more information to faculty about these software resources.
- Q4.** The math SAC needs to address whether or not we should be requiring students to use online resources that impose additional costs upon the students and, if so, what would constitute a reasonable cost to the student. To that end, our survey asked if students would be willing to pay up to \$35 to access online homework and other resources. We found that online students were more willing to pay an extra fee than those enrolled in on-campus classes.
- Q5.** The PCC mathematics website offers a wealth of materials that are frequently accessed by students. These include course-specific supplements, calculator manuals, and the required Calculus I lab manual; all of these materials were written by PCC mathematics faculty. Students may print these materials for free from any PCC computer lab. The website also links to PCC-specific information relevant to mathematics students (such as tutoring resources) as well as outside resources (such as the Texas Instruments website).
- Q7.** The PCC mathematics website offers a wealth of materials that are frequently accessed by students. These include course-specific supplements, calculator manuals, and the required Calculus I lab manual; all of these materials were written by PCC mathematics faculty. Students may print these materials for free from any PCC computer lab. The website also links to PCC-specific information relevant to mathematics students (such as tutoring resources) as well as outside resources (such as the Texas Instruments website).
- Q9.** In addition to the previously mentioned resources we also encourage students to use resources offered at PCC such as on-campus Student Learning Centers, online tutoring, Collaborate, and/or Elluminate. A significant number of students registered in on-campus sections are using these resources whereas students enrolled in online sections generally are not. This is not especially surprising since on-campus students are, well, on campus whereas many online students rarely visit a campus .

## Recommendations

The majority of our data suggests that students are using a variety of resources to further their knowledge. We recommend that instructors continue to educate students about both PCC resources and non-PCC resources. We need to uniformly encourage students to use resources such as online tutoring, student learning centers, Collaborate, and/or Elluminate; this includes resource citations in each and every course syllabus.

A broader education campaign should be engaged to distribute information to part-time faculty regarding online homework such as WeBWork, MyMathLab, MyStatLab, and ALEKS.



Instructors should consider quality, accessibility and cost to students when requiring specific curriculum materials.

## C. *Provide information on clerical, technical, administrative and/or tutoring support.*

PCC has a Student Learning Center (SLC) on each campus as well as one at the Southeast Center. While it is a testament to PCC's commitment to student success that the four SLCs exist, we feel that the centers would be an even greater resource if they were more consistent in structure, resource availability, physical space, and faculty support. Discrepancies such as unequal distribution of resources, inconsistency in the number and nature of tutors (including faculty 'donating time' to the centers), and disparate hours of operation present challenges to students trying to navigate their way through different centers.

Over the last five years the general environment of PCC has been greatly impacted by historically-unmatched enrollment growth. PCC's four Student Learning Centers have been greatly affected by this. (Heiko has a data table for this in the appendix.) Most notably, the number of students seeking math tutoring has increased dramatically. Unfortunately, this increase in student need has not been met by increase in tutors or tutoring resources, and as a result the amount of attention an individual student receives has decreased in a substantive way. While some of this growth has been (or will be) accommodated by increasing the physical space available for tutoring (i.e., by the construction of new facilities on the Rock Creek campus and at the SE Center), that still is not enough. A comprehensive plan needs to be developed and implemented that will ensure each and every student receives high-quality tutoring in a consistent and consistently accessible manner

**FIX**

As it now stands, the operation of the SLCs is completely campus driven. As such, reporting on the current status needs to be done on a campus-by-campus basis.

### **Cascade Campus Learning Center**

The Cascade SLC has increased its operating hours in response to student demand. Statistics tutoring is now offered at all times and the introduction of online homework has led to 'Hybrid Tutoring', where students receive tutoring while working on their online homework. The Cascade SLC also offers algebra review lectures during the first week of each term.

At the Cascade Campus, all full-time mathematics instructors and many part-time mathematics instructors volunteer 1-4 hours per week in the SLC to help with student demand. To help ensure usage throughout the SLC's operational hours, instructors are notified by email of slow-traffic times; this allows the instructors to direct students who need extra help to take advantage of those times. Other communications such as announcements, ads, and newsletters are sent out regularly.

Full-time faculty have constructed a 'First week lecture series' that they conduct on the first Friday of every term (except summer). It is designed to review basic skills from MTH 20 through MTH 111. It is run in 50-minute segments throughout the day with a 10-minute break between each segment. The first offering of this series began in Winter 2012 with 100 students in attendance; the attendance has since steadily grown and it was up to approximately 300 students by Fall 2013.

The Cascade SLC has formalized both the hiring process and the training process for casual tutors. The department chairs now determine which level someone is qualified to tutor and they also train the new hires. In addition, each new tutor works with a faculty mentor.

### **Rock Creek Student Learning Center**

Everyone who works and learns in the Rock Creek SLC is looking forward to moving into the newly-built space in Building 7 by Spring 2014. The new space will bring the SLC closer to the library and into the same building as the WRC, MC, and TLC. Since Fall 2009, the Rock Creek SLC has been serving approximately 2500 students a term (excluding Summer terms when we serve close to 700 students). Students seek tutoring largely in math and science, but increasingly for accounting, computer basics, and also college reading. Mathematics full-time faculty hold two of the required five office hours at the tutoring center.

Motivated by the high levels of student demand for math tutoring, in 2012-2013 the SLC piloted math tutoring by appointment two days per week. On each of the two days a tutor leads thirty-minute individual sessions or one-hour group tutoring sessions by appointment for most math levels. After some tweaking of days and times, we have settled on Tuesdays and Wednesdays. Students who are seeking a longer, more personalized or intensive tutoring session seem to highly appreciate this new service.

Finally, the RC SLC has benefited over the last three years from collaboration with advisors, counselors, librarians, the WRC, MC, and the Career Resource Center in offering a wide variety of workshops as well as resource fairs to support student learning.

### **Southeast Student Learning Center**

The SE SLC staff is looking forward to its move into the new tutoring center facilities when the new buildings are completed. In the meantime, it has expanded the math tutoring area by moving the writing tutoring to the back room of the tutoring center.

Since the SE Tutoring Center opened in 2004, it has gone from serving an average of 200 students a term to serving an average of 650 students a term. Math accounts for about half of the tutoring. With this increase in students seeking assistance, the staff has also grown. It now has several faculty members who work part time in the tutoring center.

Many SE math faculty members donate time to the tutoring center. We also have developed a service learning project where calculus students volunteer their time in the tutoring center. This latter practice has been a great help to students who utilize the tutoring center as well as a great opportunity for calculus students to cement their own mathematical skills.

### **Sylvania Student Learning Center**

The Sylvania campus SLC moved into a new location in Fall 2012; it is now in the Library building, together with the Student Computing Center. The creation of a learning commons is working out well and students are taking advantage of having these different study resources in one place. Unfortunately, the new SLC has less space available for math tutoring than the prior math tutoring center. The smaller space posed a challenge that was addressed as best as could be by restructuring the space. Since the enrollment remains high, having enough space for all students seeking help remains a challenge.

PCC's incredible growth in enrollment created an attendant need for a dramatic increase in the number of tutors available to students. This increased need has been partially addressed by an increase in the budget set aside for paid tutors as well as a heightened solicitation for volunteers tutors. Additionally, many instructors (both full-time and part-time) have helped by volunteering in the Sylvania SLC. For several years, the center was also able to recruit up to 10 work-study tutors per academic year, but with recent Federal changes to Financial Aid, the Math Center is now only allowed two work-study tutors per year; this restriction has led to a decrease of up to 50 tutoring hours per week.

In addition to tutoring, the Sylvania campus SLC hosts the self-paced ALC math classes, provides study material, and offers resources and workshops for students to prepare for the COMPASS placement test. Efforts are also underway to modernize a vast library of paper-based materials by putting them online and making them available in alternate formats.

**D.** *Provide information on how Advising, Counseling, Disability Services and other student services impact students.*

**Advising and counseling**

The advising and counseling departments play a vital role in creating pathways for student success, and this is especially important when it comes to helping students successfully navigate their mathematics courses. Historically there have been incidents of miscommunication between various math departments and their campus counterparts in advising, but over the past few years a much more deliberate effort to build strong communication links between the two has resulted in much fewer of these incidents.

The advising departments have been very responsive to requests made by the mathematics departments and have at the same time been clear that there are policies in place that prevent them from implementing some of the changes we would like.

For example, in the past many advisers would make placement decisions based upon criteria that the Math SAC felt weren't sufficient to support the decision. One example of this was placing students into classes based upon a university's prerequisite structure rather than PCC's prerequisite structure. When the advisers were made aware that this frequently led to students enrolling in courses for which they were not prepared for success, the advising department instituted an ironclad policy to not give any student permission to register for a course unless there was documented evidence that the student had passed a class that could be transcribed to PCC as the PCC prerequisite for the course. Any student who wants permission without a satisfied prerequisite or adequate COMPASS score is now directed to a math faculty chair or to the instructor of the specific section in which the student wishes to enroll.

On the down-side, there are things we would like the advisers to do that we have come to learn they cannot do. For example, for several years the policy of the Math SAC has been that prerequisites that were satisfied at other colleges or universities would only be 'automatically' accepted if they were less than three years old. Many instructors in the math department were under the impression that this policy was in place in the advising department. It was discovered in 2012 that not only is this policy not in place in the advising department, but the policy in fact cannot be enforced by anyone (including math faculty). Apparently such a policy is enforceable only if explicit prerequisite time-limits are written into the CCOGs.

The advising department had been aware of the prerequisite issue for six or seven years, but somehow the word had not been passed along to the general math faculty. This serves as an example that both advising supervisors and the math department chairs need to make every effort possible to inform all relevant parties of policy changes in a clear and timely manner. Towards that end, the math department at Sylvania Campus has now been assigned an official liaison in the Sylvania advising department. and we believe that similar connections should be created on the other campuses as well.

With the college's new focus on student completion, the relationship between the math departments and advising departments needs to become much stronger. Initial placement plays a critical role in completion, as do other things such as enrollment into necessary study skills classes and consecutive term-to-term enrollment through a sequence of courses. We need to make sure that the advisers have all of the tools necessary to help students make the best choices

and the advisers need to help us understand their perspective on the needs of students enrolling in mathematics courses. To help establish this collaborative environment, a Math SAC ad hoc committee has been formed to specifically look into and address advising issues, placement issues, and study skills issues. The committee is going to ask several people involved in advising and counseling to join the committee. It has been speculated that perhaps such a committee should not be under the direct purview of the Math SAC, and if the administration decides to create a similar committee under someone else's direction we ask that any such committee have a large contingent of math faculty.

### **Recommendations**

Every campus (including SE) should have an official advising liaison and the four liaisons should themselves have an established relationship. Ideally we would like to have one adviser at each campus dedicated solely to math advising issues.

A committee consisting of advisers, math faculty, and other relevant parties (e.g. ROOTS representation) should be formed to investigate and establish policies related to student success in mathematics courses. The issues to investigate include, but are not limited to, placement, study skills, and other college success skills as they relate to mathematics courses.

### **Testing Centers**

At the time we wrote our last program review there were very uneven procedures at the various testing centers and that caused a lot of problems; the inconsistencies were especially problematic for online instructors and their students. We are pleased that the testing centers recognized that inconsistency as a problem and they addressed the issue in a forthright way. The testing centers now have uniform policies and they have made great strides in making their services easily accessible to students and instructors alike. For example, the ability to make testing arrangements online has been a tremendous help as has the increase in the number of options by which a completed exam can be returned to the instructor.

A limited number of hours of operation remains a problem at each of the testing centers. Evening and weekend hours are not offered and testing times during the remaining time are limited. It appears to us that the size of the facilities and the number of personnel have not increased in equal parts with the dramatic increase in enrollment. It also appears that the testing centers have not been given adequate funding to offer hours that accommodate students who can only come to campus during the evening or on a weekend.

This lack of access can be especially problematic for students registered in math courses. The majority of the math courses at PCC are taught by part-time faculty and these faculty members do not have the same flexibility in their schedule to proctor their own exams as full time faculty; as such they are especially dependent on the testing centers for make-up testing. This dependency is all the more problematic since many part-time faculty teach evening or Saturday classes and many of the students in those classes find it difficult to come to campus during 'normal business hours.' Additionally, the department on Sylvania campus simply does not have the space required to administer make-up testing in the office, so 100% of its faculty are dependent upon the testing centers for make-up testing. We realize this puts a strain on the testing centers. We recommend that the space and staffing size in the centers be increased to help ease this strain.

As mentioned in two other places in the program review, the Math SAC has a very positive and productive relationship with disability services. For example, disability services was very responsive when some instructors began to question accommodation requests that contradicted specific evaluation criteria mandated in CCOGs (e.g. testing certain material without student

**FIX**

access to a calculator). Kaela Parks came to the SAC and assured us that any such accommodation request is something an instructor need only consider; i.e., those type of accommodation requests are not mandates on the part of disability services. The speed with which we received clarity about this issue is indicative of the strong connection that has been forged between the mathematics departments and disability services.

Beginning in the 2012-2013 AY, all communication regarding student accommodations in general and accommodated testing specifically has been done on-line. Because of issues such as notifications being filtered to Spam files, not all accommodation requests were being read by faculty. At the mathematics faculty department chairs' request, Kaela Parks created a spaces page that allow the faculty chairs monitor which instructors have one or more students with accommodation needs and highlights in red any instructor who has an outstanding issue (such as pending exam) that needs immediate attention. This resource has greatly dimensioned the number of incidents where a student has an accommodation need that is not addressed in a timely manner.

**E.** *Describe current patterns of scheduling (such as modality, class size, duration, times, location, or other), address the pedagogy of the program/discipline and the needs of students.*

The math departments schedule classes that start as early as 7:00 a.m. and others that run as late 9:50 p.m. About 80% of our math classes are offered in a two day a week format, meeting either Monday-Wednesday or Tuesday-Thursday. Some sections are offered in a three-day-a-week format and a few in a four-day-a-week format; sections are offered in these formats to accommodate students who find it helpful to be introduced to less content in any one class session.

We also schedule classes that meet only once a week; some of those classes are scheduled on Saturdays. While weekly meetings are not an ideal format for teaching mathematics, having such sections creates options for students who cannot attend the college more than one day a week.

We offer several courses online, the enrollment in which has jumped dramatically over the past five years. We offer classes in a web-TV hybrid manner and have experimented with classes offered in an online/on-campus hybrid format.

On-campus class sizes generally range from 20 to 35 students and that number is typically dependent on the room that is assigned for the class. This has led to some inconsistencies among campuses as distribution of classroom capacities is not consistent from one campus to the next. The default size limit for online courses is 25 students. However, many online instructors have the limit for their sections raised to 30 or even 35 students.

There is no specific pedagogical dictates in most of our courses. Class activities can range from lecture to class-discussion to group-work to student-board-work. Some instructors provide their students with pre-printed lecture notes and examples, others write notes on the board. Some instructors have their students work mostly on computer-based activities, and yet others mostly work problems from the textbook. The frequency with which each instructor uses each approach is almost entirely up to him/her. Many instructors have a required online homework component, while others do not. This diversity of classroom experience has both positive and negative consequences. On the positive side, it provides an environment that has the potential to address a wide-range of learning styles. On the negative side, it can lead to very inconsistent experiences for students as they work their way through a sequence. The inconsistency is probably most prevalent and, unfortunately, most problematic at the DE level of instruction. As the Math SAC looks for ways to increase completion rates for students who place into developmental

**FIX**

math, serious attention will be given to plans that will increase the consistency of experience for students; consistency not only in terms of pedagogy, but also in terms of evaluation.

# Bibliography

- [1] Brewer. “The Effects of Online Homework on Achievement and Self-Efficacy of College Algebra Students”. PhD dissertation. Utah State University, 2009. URL: <http://digitalcommons.usu.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1414&context=etd>.
- [2] Gage. *WeBWorK accessibility projects*. 2013. URL: <http://michaelgage.blogspot.com/2013/11/webwork-accessibility-projects.html>.
- [3] Hirsch and Weibel. “Statistical Evidence that Web-Based Homework Helps”. In: *MAA Focus* (2003). URL: <http://www-rohan.sdsu.edu/~ituba/math3cw03/webworkfocus.pdf>.
- [4] Hughes and Leavitt. *Accessible Content Creation in Mathematics*. 2013. URL: <http://www.pcc.edu/resources/instructional-support/access/documents/math-accessibilityreport.pdf>.

FIX