CWE-CAPEC ICS/OT Special Interest Group

Wednesday, November 30, 2022

THIS MEETING IS BEING RECORDED

- 1. Aagam Shah
- **2. Aamir Khan,** Tata Power
- 3. Abdelrahman Elsanose
- 4. Adam Hahn
- **5. Adrian Crespo-Ortiz,** Capgemni
- 6. Ahmad Sharafi,
- **7. Albert Vartic,** OMV Petrom
- 8. Alex Rodriguez, PG&E
- 9. Alfinie Bullock,
- 10. Amanda Kraus
- **11.** Andres Fuentes-Fernandez, Inetum
- **12. Andrew Kling**, Schneider Electric
- **13. Andy Kling,** Schneider Electric
- 14. Anjel Jimenez
- 15. Anton Shipulin
- 16. Armada Sramek
- **17. Ashley McGlone,** Tanium
- 18. Aw Landgraaf,
- **19. Ayman Alissa**, Mckinsey

- **20.** Barry Greene, Senki
- 21. Bayard Johnson
- 22. Bill Newhouse
- 23. Brandon Carter,
- 24. Ben Deering, ODNI
- **25.** Ben Sooter, EPRI
- **26.** Beverly Novak, INL
- 27. Bill Aubin, Nozomi Networks
- 28. Bill Kintz, Invictus
- 29. Bill Newhouse
- **30. Bob Hanson, LLNL**
- 31. Bob Heinemann,
- 32. Bob Radvanovsky
- 33. Bradley Nickens, GE
- 34. Bryan Beckman, INL
- **35.** Bryan Owen, Aveva
- 36. Cameron Burden,
- **37. Carl Mccants,** ODNI



- **38. Carmen Zapata**, DHS
- 39. Chris Charpentier, GE
- **40. Christopher Havey,** Applied Cybersecurity Engineering
- 41. Christopher Sundberg, Woodward
- **42.** Chris Humphrey, Boeing
- 43. Chris Levendis,
- 44. CJ Harvey,
- 45. Cody Kieltyka,
- **46. Craig Barrett,** Kinder Morgan
- **47. Curtis Taylor**, CyManII
- 48. Curt Wiggins
- 49. Cynthia Hsu, DOE
- 50. Dana Thomas
- 51. Dan Bennett, NREL
- **52.** Dan Ehrenreich, SCCE
- 53. Danielle Jablanski,
- **54. Daniel Santos**, Forescout
- 55. Daniel Stachan
- 56. Daryl Haegley

- 57. Dave Halla
- 58. Dave Keppler
- **59.** David Hernandez
- **60. David Nicol**, UIUC & CyManII
- **61.** David Simpson
- **62.** Deborah Kobza, IACI
- 63. Derek Hart
- 64. Dimple Shah
- 65. Dylan Sundy
- 66. Ed Hicks
- 67. Edward Liebig
- 68. Eric Cosman
- 69. Eric Mitchell, NSA
- **70.** Eric Strief, John Deere
- 71. Erik Hrin
- 72. Espen Endal, KraftCERT
- 73. Evgeni Sabev
- **74.** Gabriela Ciocarlie, CyManII (new)
- 75. Gananand G Kini
- **76. Greg Ahira,** GE
- 77. Greg Bastien



- 78. Greg Sanchez
- 79. Gus Serino
- **80.** Hadeli Hadeli, Hitachi Energy
- **81.** Haritha Srinivasan, FM Global
- **82. Harry Perper,** Cyber Architecture and Resiliency
- **83.** Howard Grimes, CyManII
- 84. Iain Deason, DHS CISA
- 85. Ismael Garcia, NRC
- **86.** Jace Powell, Fortress
- 87. Jarvis Robinson
- **88.** Jason Li, TrustedST
- 89. Jason Plant
- **90. Jason Robbins,** AT&T
- **91.** Jay Gazlay, DHS CISA
- **92. Jen Walker,** Water ISAC
- 93. Jennifer Pedersen
- 94. Jeremy Mckeown
- **95. Jesper Johansson,** Nouryon
- 96. Jess Smith, PNNL
- 97. Jodi Jensen

- **98. Joe Agres,** West Yost
- 99. Joe McCormick
- 100. Joe Weiss
- 101. John Almlof
- 102. John Kingsley
- 103. John Repici
- 104. John Schneider
- 105. John Parmley, Zuuliot
- 106. John Ransom
- **107. Jon Terrell,** Hitachi Energy
- 108. Jon White, NREL
- 109. Jonti Talukdar, Duke
- 110. Jordon Sims
- **111.** Jose Jimenez, Sothis
- 112. Jose Perez, Tenable
- 113. Joseph Cummings, NYPA
- 114. Joseph Januszewski, E-Isac
- 115. Joseph Matthews



- 117. Jude Desti, Boeing
- 118. Junya Fujita,
- 119. Justin Cain
- 120. Karen Wetzel
- **121. Ken Wang,** DOD
- **122. Ken Cole**, Entergy
- 123. Kerry Stuver, GE
- **124. Khalid Ansari,** FM Approvals
- 125. Kimberly Denbow,
- **126.** Krystel Castillo
- **127. Kumar**
- **128.** Kyle Hussey
- **129. Kyle Johnson,** GSOC
- **130.** Lee Szilagyi, MITRE (new)
- 131. Lindsey Cerkovnik, DHS CISA
- 132. Manoj Balachandran
- **133. Marc Sachs,** Auburn University
- 134. Marco Ayala
- 135. Mark Sullivan, NSA
- **136. Martijn Jansen,** Taga
- 137. Martin Kihiko

- **138. Martin Ring, Bosch**
- 139. Martin Scheu, Switch
- **140.** Marty Edwards
- **141. Matt Bishop,** UC Davis & CyManII
- **142. Matt Sexton,** Hexagon
- **143. Marie Stanley Collins**
- 144. Matthew Bohne
- 145. Matthew Knoll, ArcelorMittal
- **146. Max Wandera,** Eaton
- 147. Megan Samford
- **148.** Melissa Vice, Air Force
- 149. Michael Chaney, CyManII
- **150. Michael Hok,** Hitachi Energy
- 151. Michael Toecker
- **152.** Michalis Pavlidis, University of Brighton
- **153. Mike Cohen** (new)
- 154. Mina Todorova
- 155. Monika Akbar, UTEP & CyManII
- 156. Muhammed Shaban
- 157. Nik Urlaub, MITRE



- **158. Niyu Ogunniyi,** Corteva
- 159. Oystein Brekk-Saunderud, Norma Cyber
- 160. Patrick Dale
- 161. Patrick Obruba
- **162. Patti Escatel,** DHS CISA
- **163. Paul Martyak, EPRI**
- **164. Paul Peix,** Headmind
- 165. Paul Zawada
- 166. Pete Tseronis
- 167. Peter Colombo
- 168. Peter Jackson, SGS
- **169. Peter Pongracz, MOL**
- 170. Philip Huff, UALR
- 171. Pierre Janse van Rensburg, BBA
- **172. Piotr Pedziwiatr,** Arcelor Mittal
- 173. Ralph Ley
- 174. Raymond Savarda
- **175. Renan**

- 176. Rex Wempen, DOE
- 177. Rezaur Rahman
- 178. Rich Piazza, MITRE
- 179. Richard Robinson, Cynalytica
- 180. Rita Ann Foster
- **181. Robert Garry, GE Gas Power**
- **182. Robert Heinemann**, MITRE
- 183. Robert Murphy
- **184. Roger Johnson,** Novelis
- 185. Ronald Atwater
- 186. Ryan Bays, PNNL
- **187. Ryan Gagliastre,** HF Sinclair
- 188. Sabri Khemissa
- **189. Sachin Shah,** Armis
- 190. Saleh Almaghrabi
- **191. Salman Salman,** Aerospace Corporation
- 192. Sam Thom
- 193. Samuel Chanoski, INL



- **194. Sandeep Shukla, Virginia Tech**
- **195. Sarah Fluchs,** Admeritia
- 196. Shane Stailey
- 197. Shannon Hughes
- 198. Shadya Maldonado, Sandia
- **199. Sharin Crane, Boeing**
- 200. Sharla Artz
- 201. Sherry Hunyadi
- 202. Steve Battista
- 203. Steve Chapin
- **204. Steve Granda, NREL**
- 205. Stephanie Saravia
- **206. Stephen Trachian, Hitachi Energy**
- **207.** Susan Farrell, ObjectSecurity
- 208. Ted Wittmer
- 209. Thomas Ruoff, DHS CISA
- **210. Timothy Isaacs, NuScale Power**
- 211. Todd Riley, Goodyear
- 212. Tom McGoogan
- **213. Tony Turner,** Fortress

- **214. Tonya Riley, Cyberscoop**
- **215. Tracy Briggs,** CyManII
- **216. Travis Ashley, PNNL**
- 217. Vivek Ponnada
- **218. Wayne Austad, CyManII**
- 219. Wayne Cantrell
- **220. William Kintz** (Added)
- 221. William Welch
- **222. Yasoda Ramchune,** Chevron
- **223. Zachary Rogan,** Xage



ICS/OT Special Interest Group Leadership and Support

- Aeriel Lane, Nexight Group
- 2. Alec Summers, MITRE
- **3. Andrew Kresses,** Nexight Group
- 4. Cheri Caddy, DOE-CESER
- **5. Daisyareli Martin,** Nexight Group
- **Greg Kerr,** Nexight Group
- 7. Greg Shannon, CyManII
- 8. Ginger Wright, INL
- Jeff Hahn, INL
- **10. Jeff Mitchell,** INL
- **11. Jennifer Ekperigin,** Nexight Group
- **12. Katie Baker,** Nexight Group
- **13.** Karsten Daponte, Nexight Group
- **14. Lindsay Kishter,** Nexight Group
- **15.** Stephen Bolotin, Nexight Group
- **16.** Steve Christey, MITRE



Agenda

Eastern Time	Activity
3:00 – 3:05 pm	Login and Roll Call
3:05 – 3:10 pm	Opening Remarks Review meeting objectives Review material covered in last meeting
3:10 – 3:15 pm	Updated Definition of a Weakness from MITRE
3:15 – 3:35 pm	 CWE and CAPEC Updates Related to ICS/OT Weaknesses CWE 4.9 updates from Oct 2022 CAPEC 3.8 updates from Sep 2022 Scope exclusions
3:35 – 4:25 pm	 Progress Updates from SIG Sub-Working Groups "Boosting CWE Content" subgroup update by co-chairs Howard Grimes and John Kingsley "Mapping CWE to 62443" subgroup update by co-chairs Khalid Ansari and Bryan Owen Solicit additional volunteers Open Q&A
4:25 – 4:30 pm	 Wrap-Up Closing remarks Major milestones Next SIG meeting – Wed 1/25 @ 3pm ET Action Items
4:30 pm	Meeting Ends



Opening Remarks

Opening Remarks

Meeting Objectives

- 1. Review updated definition of a weakness
- 2. Review CWE 4.9 and CAPEC 3.8 updates
- 3. Share progress updates from SIG sub-working groups

Review of Last Meeting 8/31

- Previewed upcoming CWE/CAPEC releases for Fall 2022
- Gathered volunteers for the launch of our first two sub-working groups
 - 1. "Boosting CWE Content"
 - 2. "Mapping CWE to 62443"
- Deferred launch of third working group on "Awareness and Education" to 2023
- Requested support for outreach to additional volunteers



Updated Definition of a Weakness from MITRE

Modernizing Definitions on CWE/CAPEC Sites

Term	Definition	Authority	Authorities Doc
Vulnerability	A flaw in a software, firmware, hardware, or service component resulting from a weakness that can be exploited, causing a negative impact to the confidentiality, integrity, or availability of an impacted component or components	CVE	website
Weakness	A condition in a software, firmware, hardware, or service component that, under certain circumstances, could contribute to the introduction of vulnerabilities	n/a	edited from previous definition on CWE website
Attack Pattern	The common approach and attributes related to the exploitation of a weakness in a software, firmware, hardware, or service component.	n/a	edited from previous definition on CAPEC website



CWE and CAPEC Updates Related to ICS/OT Weaknesses

Background: Identify New Classes of Security Vulnerabilities (NCSV) Technical Project Team (TPT)



KEY DELIVERABLE:

Categories of Security Vulnerabilities in ICS

- Identified **20 Categories of Security Vulnerabilities** that are distinct from those already documented in information technology (IT), go beyond vulnerabilities arising from the implementation of ICS systems, and include those arising from design, architectural, operational, and human factors.
- Now exploring the inclusion of these categories in the Common Weakness Enumeration (CWE) database from the MITRE Corporation.

Examples

- 1. <u>ICS Communications</u>
 - Unreliability: Vulnerabilities arise in reaction to disruptions in the physical layer (e.g., creating electrical noise) used to carry the traffic.
- 2. <u>ICS Dependencies (& Architecture)</u>
 - External Physical Systems: Due to the highly interconnected technologies in use, an external dependency on another physical system could cause an availability interruption for the protected system.
- ICS Supply Chain
 - Common Mode Frailties: At the component level, most ICS systems are assembled from common parts made by other companies. One or more of these common parts might contain a vulnerability that could result in a wide-spread incident.
- 4. ICS Engineering (Constructions/Deployment)
 - Maker Breaker Blindness: Lack of awareness of deliberate attack techniques by people (vs. failure modes from natural causes like weather or metal fatigue) may lead to insufficient security controls being built into ICS systems.
- ICS Operations (& Maintenance)
 - Post-Analysis Changes: Changes made to a previously analyzed and approved ICS environment can introduce new security vulnerabilities (as opposed to safety).



CWE 4.7 (Apr 2022) - Published SEI ETF view

New view: CWE-1358: Weaknesses in SEI ETF Categories of Security Vulnerabilities in ICS

- https://cwe.mitre.org/data/definitions/1358.html
- 3-level hierarchy ("super-categories", categories, weaknesses)
- Currently includes all TPT-recommended mappings and MITRE's recommended mappings
- Many "scoping" challenges, e.g., human processes or practices
- Signalled new expansion / coverage of ICS/OT
 - Possible overlap with hardware CWE, Top 20 Secure PLC Coding Practices

ICS/OT View – Sample Visualization

```
1358 - Weaknesses in SEI ETF Categories of Security Vulnerabilities in ICS
      ICS Communications - (1359)
     — ■ ICS Communications: Zone Boundary Failures - (1364)
       - • • Incorrect Resource Transfer Between Spheres - (669)
       - • • Improper Check for Unusual or Exceptional Conditions - (754)
       - • • Exposure of Resource to Wrong Sphere - (668)
        ICS Communications: Unreliability - (1365)
       - • • Improper Handling of Extreme Physical Environment Conditions - (1384)
     —⊞ CICS Communications: Frail Security in Protocols - (1366)
    - ICS Dependencies (& Architecture) - (1360)
     —☐ ICS Dependencies (& Architecture): External Physical Systems - (1367)
       - • • Reliance on Uncontrolled Component - (1357)
       - • • Improper Protections Against Hardware Overheating - (1338)
        ICS Dependencies (& Architecture): External Digital Systems - (1368)
       - • • Externally Controlled Reference to a Resource in Another Sphere - (610)
       - • • Reliance on Uncontrolled Component - (1357)
    - ICS Supply Chain - (1361)
    — ■ ICS Supply Chain: IT/OT Convergence/Expansion - (1369)
     —⊞ GICS Supply Chain: Common Mode Frailties - (1370)
      --- ICS Supply Chain: Poorly Documented or Undocumented Features - (1371)
       - • • Hidden Functionality - (912)
       - • • Insufficient Technical Documentation - (1059)
       - • • Inclusion of Undocumented Features or Chicken Bits - (1242)
     - ICS Supply Chain: OT Counterfeit and Malicious Corruption - (1372)
       - • • Missing Protection Against Hardware Reverse Engineering Using Integrated Circuit (IC) Imaging Techniques - (1278)
       - • Privilege Separation and Access Control Issues - (1198)
       - • • Improper Prevention of Lock Bit Modification - (1231)
       - • • Security-Sensitive Hardware Controls with Missing Lock Bit Protection - (1233)
     ICS Engineering (Constructions/Deployment) - (1362)
    -B ICS Engineering (Construction/Deployment): Trust Model Problems - (1373)

    ICS Engineering (Construction/Deployment): Maker Breaker Blindness - (1374)

    ICS Engineering (Construction/Deployment): Gaps in Details/Data - (1375)

— ■ GICS Engineering (Construction/Deployment): Security Gaps in Commissioning - (1376)

     - ICS Engineering (Construction/Deployment): Inherent Predictability in Design - (1377)
       - • • Missing Protection Against Hardware Reverse Engineering Using Integrated Circuit (IC) Imaging Techniques - (1278)
     ICS Operations (& Maintenance) - (1363)
     - • ICS Operations (& Maintenance): Gaps in obligations and training - (1378)
     — ■ ICS Operations (& Maintenance): Human factors in ICS environments - (1379)
       - • • Insufficient Psychological Acceptability - (655)
       - • • User Interface (UI) Misrepresentation of Critical Information - (451)

    ICS Operations (& Maintenance): Post-analysis changes - (1380)

      - • 🖸 ICS Operations (& Maintenance): Exploitable Standard Operational Procedures - (1381)
     —⊞ GICS Operations (& Maintenance): Emerging Energy Technologies - (1382)
        ICS Operations (& Maintenance): Compliance/Conformance with Regulatory Requirements - (1383)
       - P Improper Adherence to Coding Standards - (710)
```

- This screenshot is partially expanded
- Red "C" icon = CWE Category
- Green "C" / Blue "B" icons Class/Base level weaknesses
- Categories without member weaknesses have a dot to the left of their icon
- Go to individual web page for CWE-1358
- Click "Expand All"

https://cwe.mitre.org/data/definitions/1358.html



CWE 4.7 – Other Highlights Related to ICS/OT

- Some content changes influenced by SEI ETF Categories document
- (New) CWE-1384: Improper Handling of Extreme Physical Environment Conditions
 - NCSV 11. Maker Breaker Blindness
 - NCSV 16. Human factors in ICS environments
 - Parent of some existing CWEs
- (Modified) CWE-1059: Insufficient Technical Documentation
 - NCSV 8. Poorly documented or Undocumented features
 - Includes "gold standard"
 - Parent of some existing CWEs
- (New) CWE-1357: Reliance on Uncontrolled Component
 - NCSV 7. Common mode frailties
 - Parent of some existing CWEs
 - Criticism: "every product has uncontrolled components"



CWE 4.9 (Oct 2022) Access Control Enhancements – **Weak Authentication**

- O Improper Authentication - (287) ctor claims to have a given identity, the software does not prove or installing proves that the claim is correct. Alternate Terms: [authentification Weak Authentication - (1390) The product uses an authentication mechanism to restrict access to specific users or identities, but the mechanism does not sufficiently prove that the - 🕒 😉 Use of Weak Credentials - (1391) 1000 > 284 > 287 > 1390 > 1391 The product uses weak credentials (such as a default key or hard-coded password) that can be calculated, reused, or quessed by an attacker. -- ③ Use of Default Credentials - (1392) 1000 > 284 > 287 > 1390 > 1391 > 1392 The product uses default credentials (such as passwords or cryptographic keys) for potentially critical functionality. - • 😉 Use of Default Password - (1393) 1000 > 284 > 287 > 1390 > 1391 > 1392 > 1393 The product uses default passwords for potentially critical functionality. Use of Default Crytopgraphic Key - (1394) 20 > 284 > 287 > 1390 > 1391 > 1392 > 1394 The productuses a default cryptographic key for a tenually critical functionality. -∃ 3 Weak Password Requirements - (521) 1000 > 284 > 287 > 1390 > 1391 > 521 The product does not require that users should have strong passwords, which makes it easier for attackers to compromise user accounts. Empty Password in Configuration File - (258) 1000 > 284 > 287 > 1390 > 1391 > 521 > 258 Using an empty string as a password is insecure. —□ 📵 Use of Hard-coded Credentials - (798) 1000 > 284 > 287 > 1390 > 1391 > 798 The software contains hard-coded credentials, such as a password or cryptographic key, which it uses for its own inbound authentication, outbound communication to external components, or encryption of internal data **♥** Use of Hard-coded Password - (259) 1000 > 284 > 287 > 1390 > 1391 > 798 > 259 The software contains a hard-coded password, which it uses for its own inbound authentication or for outbound communication to external Use of Hard-coded Cryptographic Key - (321) 1000 > 284 > 287 > 1390 > 1391 > 798 > 321 The use of a hard-coded cryptographic key significantly increases the possibility that encrypted data may be recovered.

- "Improper" -> "Missing" or "Incorrect" (Weak)
- "Incorrect AuthN" could only use more-general **CWE-287**
- Others like authZ have had this distinction for a long time
- Use of Weak Credentials (CWE-1391) is a key breakdown from other authN issues
- **Entries are incomplete** (to address in 4.10)
- "software" -> "product"

CWE 4.9 Example ICS/OT Change – CWE-798: Hard-Coded Credentials

CWE-798: Use of Hard-coded Credentials

Weakness ID: 798 Abstraction: Base Structure: Simple

View customized information:

Theoretical

Operational

Mapping-Friendly

Complete

change \rightarrow

Description

The software contains hard-coded credentials, such as a password or cryptographic key, which it uses for its own inbound authentication, outbound communication to external components, or encryption of internal data.

Applicable Platforms

Languages

Class: Language-Independent (Undetermined Prevalence)

Technologies

Class: Mobile (Undetermined Prevalence)

Class: ICS/OT (Often Prevalent)

References

[REF-7] Michael Howard and David LeBlanc. "Writing Secure Code". Chapter 8, "Key Management Issues" Page 272. 2nd Edition. Microsoft Press. 2002-12-04. https://www.microsoftpressstore.com/store/writing-secure-code- 9780735617223>.

[REF-729] Johannes Ullrich. "Top 25 Series - Rank 11 - Hardcoded Credentials". SANS Software Security Institute. 2010-03-10. http://blogs.sans.org/appsecstreetfighter/2010/03/10/top-25-series-rank-11-hardcoded-credentials/.

[REF-172] Chris Wysopal. "Mobile App Top 10 List". 2010-12-13. http://www.veracode.com/blog/2010/12/mobile-app-10 top-10-list/>.

[REF-962] Object Management Group (OMG). "Automated Source Code Security Measure (ASCSM)". ASCSM-CWE-798. 2016-01. http://www.omg.org/spec/ASCSM/1.0/>.

[REF-1283] Forescout Vedere Labs. "OT:ICEFALL: The legacy of "insecure by design" and its implications for certifications and risk management". 2022-06-20. https://www.forescout.com/resources/ot-icefall-report/.



CWE 4.9 Example ICS/OT Change (2) – **CWE-798: Hard-Coded Credentials**

```
</connectionStrings>
```

Username and password information should not be included in a configuration file or a properties file in cleartext as this will allow anyone who can read the file access to the resource. If possible, encrypt this information.

Example 5

In 2022, the OT:ICEFALL study examined products by 10 different Operational Technology (OT) vendors. The researchers reported 56 vulnerabilities and said that the products were "insecure by design" [REF-1283]. If exploited, these vulnerabilities often allowed adversaries to change how the products operated, ranging from denial of service to changing the code that the products executed. Since these products were often used in industries such as power, electrical, water, and others, there could even be safety implications.

Multiple vendors used hard-coded credentials in their OT products.

Observed Examples

Reference	Description
CVE-2022-29953	Condition Monitor firmware has a maintenance interface with hard-coded credentials
CVE-2022-29964	Distributed Control System (DCS) has hard-coded passwords for local shell access
CVE-2022-30997	Programmable Logic Controller (PLC) has a maintenance service that uses undocumented, hard-coded credentials
CVE-2022-30314	Firmware for a Safety Instrumented System (SIS) has hard-coded credentials for access to boot configuration
CVE-2010-2772	SCADA system uses a hard-coded password to protect back-end database containing authorization information, exploited by Stuxnet worm
CVE-2010-2073	FTP server library uses hard-coded usernames and passwords for three default accounts
CVE-2010-1573	Chain: Router firmware uses hard-coded username and password for access to debug functionality, which can be used to execute arbitrary code
CVE-2008-2369	Server uses hard-coded authentication key



CAPEC v3.8

- Created the new view of Supply Chain CAPEC entries based on the CISA supply chain life cycle
- New CAPECs for Supply Chain domain:
 - CAPEC-690: Metadata Spoofing, CAPEC-691: Spoof Open-Source Software Metadata, CAPEC-692:
 Spoof Version Control System Commit Metadata, CAPEC-693: StarJacking, CAPEC-695: RepoJacking
- New CAPECs for Hardware domain:
 - CAPEC-682: Exploitation of firmware or ROM code with un-patchable vulnerabilities
 - CAPEC-696: Load Value Injection
- Other new CAPECs:
 - CAPEC-694: System Location Discovery
 - o CAPEC-697: DHCP Spoofing
- Updated CAPEC to ATT&CK mapping



Expanding CWE-CAPEC Scope to ICS/OT Systems – From Low-Hanging Fruit to Pie in the Sky

- Some concerns are more easily expressed as attacks (CAPEC) than weaknesses (CWEs)
- Many technical weaknesses fit within CWE/CAPEC's current scope
 - CWE has known gaps related to architecture, systems-of-systems, and operations/configuration
 - Clarifying problems like Access Control can be difficult because of the variety of models and terms in use
 - Unclear when to create new entries for a technology type or function, versus adding ICS-specific details to existing higher-level entries
 - Supply chain has been difficult to integrate into CWE and CAPEC
- Scope "exclusions" try to clarify issues with submissions (proposed weaknesses)



Expanding CWE-CAPEC scope to ICS/OT systems (2)

- CWE does not (yet) have formal definitions for its scope
- The formal weakness definition helps but is insufficient
- Primary scope: "mistakes/defects in behavior of software or other electronic logic that has been shown - or can be reasonably expected – to contribute to real-world vulnerabilities"
- Focus: any measurable or analyzable artifact related to design, architecture, or other phase that (1) enables the introduction or (2) prevents the detection of weaknesses
- Scope expansion might require public debate
 - CWE/CAPEC Board
 - SIGs (HW-SIG, ICS/OT SIG)
 - Other stakeholders (e.g., CWE-Research "power users," sponsor)



Example Exclusion: SCOPE.HUMANPROC (Human/organizational process)

- Exclude any human or organizational process or policy that is not measurable and does not produce clear artifacts that identify weaknesses (BSIMM, NIST Secure Software Framework cover these)
 - 13. Security Gaps in Commissioning: "As a large system is brought online components of the system may remain vulnerable until the entire system is operating and functional and security controls are put in place. This creates a window of opportunity for an adversary during the commissioning process."
 - 15. Gaps in obligations and training: "OT ownership and responsibility for identifying and mitigating vulnerabilities are not clearly defined or communicated within an organization, leaving environments unpatched, exploitable, and with a broader attack surface."

Example Exclusion: SCOPE.SITUATIONS (Focus on situations in which weaknesses may appear)

- Exclude conditions or situations in which weaknesses are more likely to appear
 - 19. Emerging Energy Technologies: "With the rapid evolution of the energy system accelerated by the emergence of new technologies such as DERs, electric vehicles, advanced communications (5G+), novel and diverse challenges arise for secure and resilient operation of the system."
- Draft scope exclusions to be published ASAP (early December 2022?)
- ICS/OT SIG will be notified and consulted

Progress Updates from SIG Sub-Working Groups

"Boosting CWE Content" Subgroup

Boosting CWE Content Group Participants

- **1. Howard Grimes**, CyManII (co-chair)
- **2. John Kingsley**, Hitachi (co-chair)
- 3. Steven Christey Coley, MITRE
- **4. Adrian Crespo-Ortiz**, Capgemini
- **5. Alec Summers**, MITRE
- **6. Beverly Novak**, INL
- **7. Bryan Owen**, Aveva
- **8. Chris Coffin**, MITRE
- **9. Curtis Taylor**, CyManII
- **10.** Daniel Ehrenreich
- 11. David Hernandez, Takeda
- **12.** Edward Liebig, Hexagon
- **13. Evgeni Sabev**, SAP
- **14. Gabreila Ciocarlie**, CyManII
- **15. Greg Shannon,** CyManII
- **16. Gus Serino**, Dragos
- **17.** Haritha Srinivasan, FM Global
- 18. Iain Deason, DHS
- 19. Ismael Garcia, NRC
- 20. John Repici, DoD

- **20. Joseph Giampapa**, Arm Institute
- **21. Joseph Januszewski**, E-ISAC
- **22. Junya Fujita**, Hitachi
- **23.** Kyle Hussey, TDI
- **24.** Marco Ayala, 1898
- **25. Melissa Vice**, Air Force
- 26. Michael Chaney, INL
- **27. Monica Akbar**, CyManII
- 28. Oystein Brekke-Saunderud, Norma Cyber
- 29. Paul Peix, HeadMind
- 30. Ryan Bays, PNNL
- 31. Sean Gordon LLNL
- **32. Steven Grzesiak**, Lift
- **33.** Wayne Austad, CyManII
- **34. Aeriel Lane**, Nexight Group
- **35. Greg Kerr**, Nexight Group
- **36. Katie Baker**, Nexight Group
- **37. Stephen Bolotin**, Nexight Group



Work Plan From Subgroup Charter

- ✓ 1. Define the problem space and identify the stakeholders that need to be involved
 - What is the problem we are trying to solve?
 - What is the value proposition for this effort?
 - 2. Reach consensus on how to move the state of the practice forward
 - 3. Establish project plan including key tasks, subtasks, and milestones
- **a.** Expand participants with outreach to manufacturers
 - b. Review of SEI ETF 20 Categories of Security Vulnerabilities in ICS/OT and conduct a deeper analysis than MITRE had done. ICS/OT experts will provide input and insights into whether these are event appropriate mappings.
 - c. Examine common architectural weaknesses in ICS/OT/SCADA (including connections to Cyber-Informed Engineering).



Work Plan From Subgroup Charter

3. Establish project plan including key tasks, subtasks, and milestones

- d. Examine OT:ICEFALL vulnerabilities and determine if CWEs exist but may not be findable/understandable for ICS/OT. This activity may involve additional content in CWEs and/or explicitly labeling for ICS/OT
- e. Wrestle with scope questions. It may be important or useful to expand CWE's scope to include additional types of weaknesses. Previous tasks may produce certain proposals for the expansion of CWE's scope. For important findings outside of CWE's scope, explore how to represent them in ways that make them more accessible to ICS manufacturers and practitioners.
- f. Nominate existing CVEs for ICS/OT issues that CWE does not have coverage for.
- 4. Execute on the project schedule, reporting out progress to the ICS/OT SIG at key milestones
- 5. Review final deliverables and identify additional channels of dissemination

Boosting CWE Content Meetings

10.12.2002

- Reviewed subgroup charter
- Determined priority to review 20 SEI ETF categories of security vulnerabilities in ICS/OT
- Decided to group tasking based on 5 super categories

10.26.2002

- Reviewed questionnaire for feedback regarding
 - Defining problem space
 - Articulating value proposition
- Formed task groups

11.9.2022

- Reviewed/edited problem space and value proposition paragraphs
- Developed plan and criteria to review SEI ETF categories and to identify gaps



Defining Problem Space

Common Weakness Enumeration (CWE) is the currently the best repository of weaknesses, but there are sizable gaps with respect to the ICS/OT space. There are gaps in identifying and categorizing weaknesses and gaps in the current content of recognized weaknesses. Boosting the CWE content is important because CWE provides an ecosystem and a common language for the ICS/OT community to better understand issues they may encounter and to understand whether to accept risk. Understanding and identifying the issues should help prevent or mitigate cyber events, which ultimately can be a matter of national security.

Value Proposition

The group will identify and quantify gaps in the current ICS/OT CWE content and develop a path forward. Boosting CWE content will establish a framework to illustrate risk, will create a unified weakness language within the ICS/OT community, and enable the ICS/OT community to better understand the significance of the CWE system. Ultimately, the group will deliver actionable content to appropriate audiences. This will allow ICS/OT systems to be secured during the design phase, decreasing the chances of cyber events.

Task Group Volunteers

ICS Communications

- Ian Deason
- Kyle Hussey
- Oystein Brekke-Sanderud

ICS Dependencies

- Iain Deason
- John Kingsley
- Kyle Hussey
- Haritha Srinivasan

ICS Supply Chain

- Ismael Garcia
- John Repici
- Melissa Vice
- Joseph Giampapa

ICS Engineering

- Monika Akbar
- Gabreila Ciocarlie
- Curtis Taylor

ICS Operations

- Beverly Novak
- John Kingsley
- Kyle Hussey
- Michael Chaney
- Oystein Brekke-Sanderud
- Ed Liebig
- Haritha Srinivasan

Boosting CWE Content Meetings

11.16.2022 - 11.29.2022

- Each task group met twice to discuss one category
 - ICS Supply Chain: OT Counterfeit and Malicious Corruption (CWE-1372)
 - ICS Engineering: Trust Model Problems (CWE-1373)
 - ICS Operations: Emerging Energy Technologies (CWE-1382)
 - ICS Dependencies: External Digital Systems (CWE-1368)
 - ICS Communications: Frail Security in Protocols (CWE-1366)

11.30.2022

- Discussed findings of task groups
- Determined next steps: continue review of categories

"Mapping CWE to 62443" Subgroup



"Mapping" Subgroup Participants

- Bryan Owen, AVEVA (co-chair)
- **Khalid Ansari**, FM Approvals (co-chair)
- 3. **Alec Summers**, MITRE (CWE-CAPEC program rep)
- 4. Michael Thompson, MITRE
- 5. Dave Morse, MITRE
- **Philip Taggart**, MITRE
- Steve Christey Coley, MITRE
- Ovstein Brekke-Sanderud, NORMA Cyber
- Paul Peix, HeadMind Partners 9.
- **10**. Marco Ayala, 1898 & Co.
- Martin Scheu, SWITCH 11.
- **12.** Matt Knoll, ArcelorMittal
- **13**. **Junya Fujita**, Hitachi Energy
- **Stephen Trachian**, Hitachi Energy **14**.
- John Kingsley, Hitachi Energy **15.**
- **Kyle Hussey**, TDI Technologies **16.**
- **17.** Edward Liebig, Hexagon
- **18.** Sam Chanoski, INL
- **Beverly Novak**, INL
- Jose Luis Jimenez Izquierdo, SOTHIS
- 21. Jose Miguel Perez Vergara, SOTHIS
- 22. Ruben Aguilar Rives, SOTHIS

- **23.** Susan Farrell, ObjectSecurity
- **24. Melissa Vice**, DoD Cyber Crime Center (DC3)
- **John Repici**, DoD Cyber Crime Center (DC3)
- **26. Ismael Garcia**, NRC
- Christopher Sundberg, Woodward, Inc.
- **Curtis Taylor**, CyManII
- Mike Chaney, CyManII
- **Greg Shannon**, CyManII
- Mina Todorova, ITARICON GmbH
- 32. **Adrian Crespo**, Capgemini
- **Daniel Ehrenreich**, Secure Communications and Control Experts
- Richard Robinson, Cynalytica
- Joseph Bessette, Cynalytica
- **36. Sean Gordon**, LLNL
- 37. James "Jake" Jones
- **38. Tony Turner,** Fortress
- **39.** Chris Coffin, MITRE
- Stephen Bolotin, Nexight Group
- KatherineAnne Baker, Nexight Group
- **Greg Kerr,** Nexight Group
- **Aeriel Lane**, Nexight Group



Defining the Problem Space & Value Proposition

Defining the Problem Space

There is not a direct relationship between current CWEs associated with OT vulnerabilities and 62443 security requirement (both product and system requirements/enhancements). Further, there is a need to design-out weaknesses in products, but this is hampered by a gap in terminology between CWE and 62443.

Articulating the Value Proposition

 Help organization in their application of standards by outlining how CWEs can be addressed, especially in terms of improving design quality of products commonly used in critical infrastructure.

Work Plan from Subgroup Charter

Tasking & Major Milestones

- 1. Identify failure examples to be referenced in applicable CWEs (and SEI ETF 20 categories of security vulnerabilities with CWE updates)
 - ▶ 1st Month Milestone: Determine top-10 CWEs (most exploited) in ICS/OT
 ✓
 - > 2nd Month Milestone: Determine top CWEs for subsequent rounds of mapping (potentially 2-4 more)
 - 3rd Month Milestone: Identify gaps in CWE relevant to ICS/OT for the "Boosting" subgroup to consider
- 2. Tier ISA/IEC 62443 requirements (must have, nice to have, if there is time) as candidates to enrich CWE
 - ≥ 1st Month Milestone: Determine top 62443 security requirement **parts** (must haves) ✓
 - 2nd Month Milestone: Map top-10 CWEs to specific requirements of 62443 (e.g., 62443-4-2 CR 2.1)
 - > 3rd Month Milestone: Map remaining CWEs to 62443, and identify areas where 62443 does not address top weaknesses in ICS/OT
- 3. Provide recommendations to CWE to add cross references to ISA/IEC 62443 requirements/guidance based including the example case(s)

Accessing ISA/IEC 62443 requirements

ISA-99 committee has provided the following 62443 sections for this mapping exercise: 1-1, 2-1, 2-2, 2-4, 3-2, 3-3, 4-1, 4-2, TR99

Additional Suggested Tasking

- Identifying a comprehensive list of threats beyond threats currently listed in 62443
- Consider reaching out to other Standards Development Organizations (e.g., IEEE) based on the outcome of this effort



Criteria for Selecting Top-NCWEs

- What criteria should we consider for selecting the top-10 CWEs?
 - Relevance to ICS/OT
 - Criticality result of exploitation
 - Likelihood of exploit
 - Existence and impact to critical infrastructure
 - Applicability across multiple industry verticals (e.g. same controller put in different environments may have different configurations and therefore different weaknesses)
 - Mitigation guidance that differs from IT assets
 - Applicability to lower architecture level OT communications (0-3)
 - Mapped CVE frequency and severity
 - Applicability to most common MITRE ICS ATT&CK techniques or tactics
 - Include at least one hardware example i.e. CWE-1266
 - Included in (or relevant to) SEI ETF 20
- What characteristics of a CWE would lead us to NOT prioritize it for mapping?
 - Too IT-centric
 - Easily mitigated
 - Already covered in CWE Top 25?



Example Mapping

Example Mapping of CWE-862:

- CWE-862 is Missing Authorization and its description states: "The software does not perform an authorization check when an actor attempts to access a resource or perform an action."
- Requirement CR 2.1 of 62443-4-2 states: "Components shall provide an authorization enforcement mechanism for all identified and authenticated users based on their assigned responsibilities."
- 62443-4-2 CR 2.1 → CWE-862

Small Group Pairings, CWE Assignments & Instructions

- Beverly Novak, Stephen Trachian, Sandeep Kumar Shukla, Sean Gordon
 - **CWE-287:** *Improper Authentication*
- Ismael Garcia, Tony Turner, Junya Fujita, John Kingsley
 - CWE-321: Use of Hard-coded Cryptographic Keys
- Mike Chaney, Mina Todorova, Ruben Aguilar Rives, Martin Scheu
 - CWE-657: Violation of Secure Design Principles (parent of CWE-636)
- Susan Farrell, Edward Liebig, James "Jake" Jones, Jose Miguel Perez Vergara, Daniel Ehrenreich
 - CWE-798: Use of Hard-coded Credentials
- John Repici, Joseph Bessette, Jose Luis Jimenez, Richard Robinson, Monika Akbar
 - CWE-319: Cleartext Transmission of Sensitive **Information**
- Michael Thompson, Curtis Taylor, Oystein Brekke-Sanderud, Marco Ayala, Paul Peix
 - CWE-327: Use of a Broken or Risky Cryptographic **Algorithm**
- Sam Chanoski, Matt Knoll, Iain Deason, Kyle Hussey, Christopher Sundberg
 - **CWE-400:** *Uncontrolled Resource Consumption*

- Meet in small groups of 4-5
- Ensure all participants can access the **62443 sections in Google Spaces**
- Determine if you are at the right level of abstraction for CWE
- Complete your CWE mapping to a section of 62443, as specifically as possible, before next meeting Tue 12/20



Wrap-Up

Milestones

Sub-Working Groups meet bi-weekly

- Mapping to 62443 Tuesday 12/20 from 1:00 to 2:00pm ET
- Boosting CWE Content Wednesday 12/21 from 10:30 to 11:30am ET
- ICS/OT SIG meets bimonthly going forward
 - Next meeting Wednesday 1/25 from 3:00 to 4:30pm ET
- CWE/CAPEC publish content on quarterly basis
 - Next major update for CWE 4.10 Jan 2023
 - Next major update for CAPEC 3.9 Jan 2023

Action Items

1. Insert Text



MITRE

MITRE's mission-driven teams are dedicated to solving problems for a safer world. Through our federally funded R&D centers and public-private partnerships, we work across government to tackle challenges to the safety, stability, and well-being of our nation.

Learn more <u>www.mitre.org</u>



