# **CWE/CAPEC User Experience Working Group**

May 4, 2022



# **Agenda**

- Housekeeping
- **Primary topics** 
  - CWE v4.7 Release
  - Continuing discussion of User Personas
    - Definitions
    - The "two-type" model proposal
- Reminders
- **Adjourn**



### **UEWG: Reminders**

- Mission: Identifying areas where CWE/CAPEC content, rules, guidelines, and best practices must improve to better support stakeholder community, and work collaboratively to fix them
- Periodic reporting of activities to CWE/CAPEC **Board**
- Please solicit participations from your contacts
  - Contact: <a href="mailto:cwe@mitre.org">cwe@mitre.org</a> & <a href="mailto:capec@mitre.org">capec@mitre.org</a>



# Housekeeping

- We would like more community ownership by UEWG members
- **Community member co-chair?** 
  - Take a more active role in such things as:
    - Plan meeting agendas, identify opportunities for discussion/action, drive working group activities, etc.
    - Possible co-chair might periodically brief the CWE/CAPEC Board with me to update them on UEWG activities

# **Topic** Minor Release Overview: CWE v4.7

Steve Christey Coley CWE/CAPEC Technical Lead



# **CWE 4.7 Release Highlights**

- Removed "Status" attribute from display
- **New/updated individual entries** 
  - Software: CWE-1385: Missing Origin Validation in WebSockets
  - Hardware: CWE-1384: Improper Handling of Extreme Physical Environment Conditions
  - HW/SW: CWE-1357: Reliance on Uncontrolled Component
  - Deprecated: CWE-365: Race Condition in Switch
- 144 entries changed
  - Relationships (54), Related Attack Patterns (37), Research Gaps (27)
  - Issue: how best to describe what's changed? For which user personas?
  - https://cwe.mitre.org/data/reports/diff\_reports/v4.6\_v4.7.html



# CWE 4.7 Release Highlights (2)

- New expansion / coverage of ICS/OT
  - New CWE/CAPEC ICS/OT SIG formed
- New view: CWE-1358: Weaknesses in SEI ETF Categories of Security Vulnerabilities in ICS
  - Active development to take place in the coming months (in the SIG)
  - Many "scoping" challenges, e.g., human processes or practices
  - https://inl.gov/wp-content/uploads/2022/03/SEI-ETF-NCSV-TPT-Categoriesof-Security-Vulnerabilities-ICS-v1\_03-09-22.pdf

# **Update – External Submissions and Transparency**

- External submission server has received 3 new submissions
  - One "good" one
  - One that's not a weakness, just a kind of technology where many weaknesses can occur
  - One that's not a weakness, just a specific CVE example. Are they asking us to add it as a demonstrative example? (The cited CWE isn't immediately relevant.)
- Limitation: users can't submit Categories, or suggest modifications
- Still refining full process with better back-and-forth communication
- Still working on transparency (e.g., public GitHub server)
  - Comments / change suggestions from community
  - Transparent shifts in submission status
- Formally defining / documenting "scope" and inclusion/exclusion criteria
- This may be a "rich" area for UEWG input



# **Topic**

# **Continuing the Discussion: User Personas and CWE/CAPEC User Experience**

Alec Summers CWE/CAPEC Deputy Project Lead



## Personas: Next Steps

 Formally define each user persona Share with CWE/CAPEC Board

Once finalized, make public on our sites

 Use that as a catalyst for modernizing presentation according to persona needs

#### "Development lifecycle"

Those who build systems: [DEFINE]

Those who use systems: [DEFINE]

- Those who protect infrastructure around those systems: [DEFINE]
- **Educators:** Teachers, professors, or certification programs that educate developers and system designers how to develop more secure code, design more secure products, and/or how to find vulnerabilities.
- **Technical Writers:** Those who communicate advanced technical concepts as clearly, accurately, and comprehensively as possible to their intended audience (e.g., code analysis tool users or system designers)
- **Tool Developers:** Developers of code scanning products, services, and other types of automated techniques for finding weaknesses and attacking systems, and reporting/educating on findings to users
- **Security Researchers/Analysts:** Those who look for ways to attack a product by finding weaknesses using manual and/or automated techniques, then reporting the findings to the vendor and/or the general public (to include threat modeling, C-SCRM)
- Incident Response Teams: Those responsible for preparation and reaction to any security event



## **Use Case Scenarios** → Content Presentation

### CAPEC Existing functionality to filter content detail

Basic, High-level, Mapping-friendly, Complete

CWE Content Filter			
BASIC	HIGH-LEVEL	MAPPING	COMPLETE
Description	Description	Description	Description
Applicable_Platforms	View_Audience	View_Audience	View_Audience
Common_Consequences	Alternate_Terms	Alternate_Terms	Alternate_Terms
Likelihood_of_Exploit	Time_of_Introduction	Terminology_Notes	Terminology_Notes
Demonstrative_Examples	Common_Consequences	Relationships	Applicable_Platforms
Potential_Mitigations	Relationships	Relationship_Notes	Modes_of_Introduction
Relationships	Content_History	Theoretical_Notes	Common_Consequences
Content_History		Related_Attack_Patterns	Likelihood_of_Exploit
		Content_History	Enabling Factors for Exploitation
			Detection_Methods

and more...



## Use Case Scenarios → Content Presentation

### CAPEC Existing functionality to filter content detail

Basic, Complete

	CAPEC CONTENT		
BASIC	COMPLETE		
Description	Description		
Relationships	Relationships		
Memberships	Memberships		
Execution_Flow	Execution_Flow		
Prerequisites	Prerequisites		
Mitigations	Mitigations		
Related_Weaknesses	Likelihood_Of_Attack		
	Alternate_Terms		
	Typical_Severity		
	Skills_Required		
	Resources_Required		
	Indicators		
	Consequences		
	Example_Instances		
	Related_Weaknesses		
	Taxonomy_Mappings		
	References		
	Notes		
*	Content_History		



# **Community Suggestion**

#### Such granular definitions might not bring expected results

- might make wrong decisions based on such definitions and in the end make CWE/CAPEC data more complex than it is now
- "Two-type" persona-defined presentation
- Current user personas definitions, there two major groups:
  - 'Theoretical' users (Educators, Technical Writers, subset of Tool Developers)
  - 'Advanced technical users' (Advanced Tool Developers, Security Researchers, IR Teams, etc.
  - What are the needs of these of these "two types"?
    - e.g., timely CVE information



## Next Meeting – June 1 @ 12pm

## PLEASE CONTACT WITH ANY QUESTIONS OR THOUGHTS

**CWE@MITRE.ORG** 

**CAPEC@MITRE.ORG** 

