

ROMS-Tools: A Python Package for Preparing and Analyzing ROMS Simulations

Nora Loose¹, Tom Nicholas², Scott Eilerman¹, Christopher McBride¹, Sam Maticka¹, Dafydd Stephenson¹, Scott Bachman¹, Pierre Damien³, Ulla Heede¹, Alicia Karspeck¹, Matthew C. Long¹, M. Jeroen Molemaker³, and Abigale Wyatt¹

¹ [C]Worthy LLC, Boulder, CO, United States ² Earthmover PBC ³ University of California, Los Angeles, CA, United States

DOI: [10.xxxxxx/draft](https://doi.org/10.xxxxxx/draft)

Software

- [Review](#)
- [Repository](#)
- [Archive](#)

Editor: [Open Journals](#)

Reviewers:

- [@openjournals](#)

Submitted: 01 January 1970

Published: unpublished

License

Authors of papers retain copyright and release the work under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License ([CC BY 4.0](#)).

Summary

The ocean shapes Earth's climate and sustains marine ecosystems by circulating and storing vast amounts of heat, oxygen, carbon, and nutrients, while exchanging heat and gases with the atmosphere. To understand these complex dynamics, scientists rely on ocean models, powerful computer simulations of physical circulation and biogeochemical (BGC) processes. These models represent the ocean on a grid of cells, where finer grid spacing (more, smaller cells) provides higher fidelity and greater detail at the cost of more computing power. While global ocean models simulate the entire ocean, **regional ocean models** focus computational resources on a specific area to achieve much finer grid spacing than is computationally feasible over the global domain. This finer grid spacing enables regional ocean models to explicitly resolve fine-scale phenomena, like mesoscale (10-100 km) and submesoscale (0.1-10 km) features, tidal dynamics, coastal currents, upwelling, and detailed BGC processes. Capturing these dynamics and processes at high fidelity is essential for applications in environmental management, fisheries, for assessing regional impacts of climate change, and for evaluating ocean-based carbon dioxide removal (CDR) strategies.

A widely used regional ocean model is the **Regional Ocean Modeling System (ROMS)** (Shchepetkin & McWilliams, 2005). To connect physical circulation with ecosystem dynamics and the ocean carbon cycle, ROMS has been coupled to a BGC model called the Marine Biogeochemistry Library (MARBL) (Long et al., 2021; Molemaker & contributors, 2025a). This coupled framework allows researchers to explore a variety of scientific and practical questions. For example, it can be used to investigate the potential of ocean-based carbon removal strategies, such as adding alkaline materials to the ocean to sequester atmospheric carbon dioxide. It can also be used to study how physical processes drive ecosystem dynamics, such as how nutrient-rich waters from upwelling fuel the phytoplankton blooms that form the base of the marine food web (Gruber et al., 2006).

Input Data and Preprocessing

Whether for research or industrial-focused applications, configuring a regional ocean model like ROMS-MARBL remains a major technical challenge. Generating the required input files is time-consuming, error-prone, and difficult to reproduce, creating a bottleneck for both new and experienced model users. The Python package ROMS-Tools addresses this challenge by providing a set of efficient, user-friendly, and extensible tools to design new regional grids for ROMS-MARBL and to process and stage all required model input files. ROMS-Tools supports reproducible and easy-to-interpret workflows that enable faster and more robust ROMS-MARBL setups. The package's user interface and underlying data model are based on xarray (Hoyer &

43 [Hamman, 2017](#)), allowing seamless handling of multidimensional datasets with rich metadata
44 and optional parallelization via a dask ([Dask Development Team, 2016](#)) backend.

45 ROMS-Tools can automatically process commonly used datasets or incorporate custom user
46 data and routines. Currently, it can generate the following inputs:

- 47 1. **Model Grid:** Customizable, curvilinear, and orthogonal grid designed to maintain a nearly
48 uniform horizontal resolution across the domain. The grid is rotatable to align with
49 coastlines and features a terrain-following vertical coordinate.
- 50 2. **Bathymetry:** Derived from **SRTM15** ([Tozer et al., 2019](#)).
- 51 3. **Land Mask:** Inferred from coastlines provided by **Natural Earth** or the Global Self-
52 consistent, Hierarchical, High-resolution Geography (**GSHHG**) Database ([Wessel &](#)
53 [Smith, 1996](#)).
- 54 4. **Physical Ocean Conditions:** Initial and open boundary conditions for sea surface height,
55 temperature, salinity, and velocities derived from the 1/12° Global Ocean Physics
56 Reanalysis (**GLORYS**) ([Lellouche et al., 2021](#)).
- 57 5. **BGC Ocean Conditions:** Initial and open boundary conditions for dissolved inorganic
58 carbon, alkalinity, and other biogeochemical tracers from Community Earth System
59 Model (**CESM**) output ([Yeager et al., 2022](#)) or hybrid observational-model sources
60 ([Garcia et al., 2019](#); [Huang et al., 2022](#); [Lauvset et al., 2016](#); [Yang et al., 2020](#); [Yeager](#)
61 [et al., 2022](#)).
- 62 6. **Meteorological forcing:** Wind, radiation, precipitation, and air temperature/humidity
63 processed from the global 1/4° ECMWF Reanalysis v5 (**ERA5**) ([Hersbach et al., 2020](#))
64 with optional corrections for radiation bias and coastal wind.
- 65 7. **BGC surface forcing:** Partial pressure of carbon dioxide, as well as iron, dust, and
66 nitrogen deposition from **CESM** output ([Yeager et al., 2022](#)) or hybrid observational-
67 model sources ([Hamilton et al., 2022](#); [Kok et al., 2021](#); [Landschützer et al., 2016](#); [Yeager](#)
68 [et al., 2022](#)).
- 69 8. **Tidal Forcing:** Tidal potential, elevation, and velocities derived from **TPXO** ([Egbert &](#)
70 [Erofeeva, 2002](#)) including self-attraction and loading (SAL) corrections.
- 71 9. **River Forcing:** Freshwater runoff derived from **Dai & Trenberth** ([Dai & Trenberth, 2002](#))
72 or user-provided custom files.
- 73 10. **CDR Forcing:** User-defined interventions that inject BGC tracers at point sources or
74 as larger-scale Gaussian perturbations, designed to simulate CDR interventions. The
75 CDR forcing provides an external forcing term prescribed as volume and tracer fluxes
76 (e.g., alkalinity for ocean alkalinity enhancement, iron for iron fertilization, or other BGC
77 constituents). Users can specify the magnitude, spatial footprint, and time dependence
78 of the forcing, enabling flexible representation of CDR interventions.

79 Some source datasets are accessed automatically by the package, including Natural Earth, Dai
80 & Trenberth runoff, and ERA5 meteorology, while users must manually download SRTM15,
81 GSHHG, GLORYS, the BGC datasets, and TPXO tidal files. While the source datasets listed
82 above are the ones currently supported, the package's modular design makes it straightforward
83 to add new data sources or custom routines in the future. To generate the model inputs listed
84 above, ROMS-Tools automates several intermediate processing steps, including:

- 85 ■ **Bathymetry processing:** The bathymetry is smoothed in two stages, first across the
86 entire model domain and then locally in areas with steep slopes, to ensure local steepness
87 ratios do not exceed a prescribed threshold in order to reduce pressure-gradient errors.
88 A minimum depth is enforced to prevent water levels from becoming negative during
89 large tidal excursions.
- 90 ■ **Mask definition:** The land-sea mask is generated by comparing the ROMS grid's
91 horizontal coordinates with a coastline dataset using the regionmask package ([Hauser](#)
92 [et al., 2024](#)). Enclosed basins are subsequently filled with land.
- 93 ■ **Land value handling:** Land values are filled via an algebraic multigrid method using pyamg
94 ([Bell et al., 2023](#)) prior to horizontal regridding. This extends ocean values into land
95 areas to resolve discrepancies between source data and ROMS land masks, preventing

land-originating values from appearing in ocean cells.

- **Regridding:** Ocean and atmospheric fields are horizontally and vertically regridded from standard latitude-longitude-depth grids to the model's curvilinear grid with a terrain-following vertical coordinate using xarray (Hoyer & Hamman, 2017). Optional sea surface height corrections can be applied, and velocities are rotated to align with the curvilinear ROMS grid.
- **Longitude conventions:** ROMS-Tools handles differences in longitude conventions, converting between $[-180^\circ, 180^\circ]$ and $[0^\circ, 360^\circ]$ as needed.
- **River locations:** Rivers that fall within the model domain are automatically identified and relocated to the nearest coastal grid cell. Rivers that need to be shifted manually or span multiple cells can be configured by the user.
- **Data streaming:** ERA5 atmospheric data can be accessed directly from the cloud, removing the need for users to pre-download large datasets locally. Similar streaming capabilities may be implemented for other datasets in the future.

Users can quickly design and visualize regional grids and inspect all input fields with built-in plotting utilities. An example of surface initial conditions generated for a California Current System simulation at 5 km horizontal grid spacing is shown in Figure 1.




Figure 1: Surface initial conditions for the California Current System created with ROMS-Tools from GLORYS. Left: potential temperature. Right: zonal velocity. Shown for January 1, 2000.

ROMS-Tools also includes features that facilitate simulation management. It supports partitioning input files to enable parallelized ROMS simulations across multiple nodes, and writes NetCDF outputs with metadata fully compatible with ROMS-MARBL. Currently, all capabilities in ROMS-Tools are fully compatible with UCLA-ROMS (Molemaker & contributors, 2025a, 2025b), with the potential to add other ROMS versions, such as Rutgers ROMS (Arango & contributors, 2024), in the future.

Postprocessing and Analysis

ROMS-Tools also includes analysis tools for postprocessing ROMS-MARBL output. It first provides a joining tool (the counterpart to the input file partitioning utility described earlier) that merges ROMS output files produced as tiles from multi-node simulations. Beyond file management, there are ROMS-Tools analysis utilities for general-purpose tasks, such as loading model output directly into an xarray dataset with additional useful metadata, enabling seamless

125 use of the Pangeo scientific Python ecosystem for further analysis and visualization. The
126 analysis layer also supports regridding from the native curvilinear ROMS grid with terrain-
127 following coordinate to a standard latitude-longitude-depth grid using xesmf (Zhuang et al.,
128 2023), and includes built-in plotting on both the native and latitude-longitude-depth grids.
129 Beyond these general-purpose features, the ROMS-Tools analysis layer offers a suite of targeted
130 tools for evaluating CDR interventions. These include utilities for generating standard plots,
131 such as CDR efficiency curves, and performing specialized tasks essential for CDR monitoring,
132 reporting, and verification.

133 Workflow, Reproducibility, and Performance

134 ROMS-Tools is designed to support modern, reproducible workflows. It is easily installable via
135 Conda or PyPI and can be run interactively from Jupyter Notebooks. To ensure reproducibility
136 and facilitate collaboration, each workflow is defined in a simple YAML configuration file.
137 These compact, text-based YAML files can be version-controlled and easily shared, eliminating
138 the need to transfer large NetCDF files between researchers, as source data like GLORYS and
139 ERA5 are accessible in the cloud. For performance, the package is integrated with dask (Dask
140 Development Team, 2016) to enable efficient, out-of-core computations on large datasets.
141 Finally, to ensure reliability, the software is rigorously tested with continuous integration (CI)
142 and supported by comprehensive documentation with examples and tutorials.

143 Statement of Need

144 Setting up a regional ocean model is a major undertaking. The model must be initialized
145 and forced over time with relevant oceanic and atmospheric data, which often come from
146 multiple external data providers in a variety of formats and can reach several petabytes for
147 global, multi-purpose datasets. These data must be subsetted, processed, and mapped onto
148 the specific model geometry of the target domain, resulting in input datasets that can still be
149 on the order of 10-100 terabytes for larger regional models. This process results in generating
150 a wide range of complex input files, including the model grid, initial and boundary conditions,
151 and forcing from the atmosphere, tides, and rivers. Traditionally, such work has depended
152 on a patchwork of custom scripts and lab-specific workflows, which can be time-consuming,
153 error-prone, and difficult to reproduce. These challenges slow down science, create a steep
154 barrier to entry for new researchers, and limit collaboration across groups.

155 Within the ROMS community, the preprocessing landscape has been shaped by tools like
156 pyroms (Hedstrom & contributors, 2023). While pyroms has long provided valuable low-level
157 utilities, it also presents challenges for new users. Installation can be cumbersome due to its
158 Python and Fortran dependencies, and its inconsistent Application Programming Interface
159 (API) and limited documentation make it hard to learn. The package was not designed with
160 reproducible workflows in mind, and it lacks tests, CI, and support for modern Python tools
161 such as xarray and dask. Since development of pyroms has largely ceased, its suitability
162 for new projects, such as CDR simulations, is increasingly limited. Furthermore, tools from
163 other modeling communities cannot simply be adopted, since each ocean model has distinct
164 structural requirements. For example, the new regional-mom6 package (Barnes et al., 2024),
165 developed for the Modular Ocean Model v6 (MOM6) (Adcroft et al., 2019), cannot be used to
166 generate ROMS inputs, because ROMS employs a terrain-following vertical coordinate system
167 that requires a specialized vertical regridding approach, whereas MOM6 accepts inputs on
168 arbitrary depth levels and does not require vertical regridding at all. Several other differences
169 further prevent cross-compatibility. Together, these limitations underscored the need for a
170 modern, maintainable, and reproducible tool designed specifically for ROMS.¹

171 ROMS-Tools was developed to meet this need. It draws on the legacy of the MATLAB

¹In the future, packages like ROMS-Tools and regional-mom6 could share a common backbone, with model-specific adaptations layered on top.

preprocessing scripts developed at UCLA (Molemaker, 2024), which encapsulate decades of expertise in configuring regional ocean model inputs. While many of the core algorithms and design principles are retained, ROMS-Tools provides an open-source Python implementation of these MATLAB tools using an object-oriented programming paradigm. This implementation enables a modernized workflow driven by high-level user API calls, enhancing reproducibility, reducing the potential for user errors, and supporting extensibility for additional features, forcing datasets, and use cases. In some cases, ROMS-Tools diverges from the MATLAB implementation to take advantage of new methods or better integration with the modern Python ecosystem. By streamlining input generation and analysis, ROMS-Tools reduces technical overhead, lowers the barrier to entry, and enables scientists to focus on research rather than data preparation. The primary users of the package include (i) ocean modelers developing new domains for any regional modeling application and (ii) researchers in the ocean-based CDR community who use ROMS-Tools to set up simulations that mimic climate intervention scenarios.

Acknowledgements

Development of ROMS-Tools has been supported by ARPA-E (DE-AR0001838) and philanthropic donations to [C]Worthy from the Grantham Foundation for the Environment, the Chan Zuckerberg Initiative, Founders Pledge, and the Ocean Resilience Climate Alliance.

References

- Adcroft, A., Anderson, W., Balaji, V., Blanton, C., Bushuk, M., Dufour, C. O., Dunne, J. P., Griffies, S. M., Hallberg, R., Harrison, M. J., Held, I. M., Jansen, M. F., John, J. G., Krasting, J. P., Langenhorst, A. R., Legg, S., Liang, Z., McHugh, C., Radhakrishnan, A., ... Zhang, R. (2019). The GFDL Global Ocean and Sea Ice Model OM4.0: Model Description and Simulation Features. *Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems*, 11(10), 3167–3211. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2019MS001726>
- Arango, H., & contributors. (2024). Rutgers ROMS. In *GitHub repository*. GitHub. <https://github.com/myroms/roms>
- Barnes, A. J., Constantinou, N. C., Gibson, A. H., Kiss, A. E., Chapman, C., Reilly, J., Bhagtani, D., & Yang, L. (2024). Regional-mom6: A Python package for automatic generation of regional configurations for the Modular Ocean Model 6. *Journal of Open Source Software*, 9(100), 6857. <https://doi.org/10.21105/joss.06857>
- Bell, N., Olson, L. N., Schroder, J., & Southworth, B. (2023). PyAMG: Algebraic multigrid solvers in python. *Journal of Open Source Software*, 8(87), 5495. <https://doi.org/10.21105/joss.05495>
- Dai, A., & Trenberth, K. E. (2002). *Estimates of Freshwater Discharge from Continents: Latitudinal and Seasonal Variations*. https://journals.ametsoc.org/view/journals/hydr/3/6/1525-7541_2002_003_0660_eofdfc_2_0_co_2.xml
- Dask Development Team. (2016). *Dask: Library for dynamic task scheduling*. <http://dask.pydata.org>
- Egbert, G. D., & Erofeeva, S. Y. (2002). Efficient Inverse Modeling of Barotropic Ocean Tides. *Journal of Atmospheric and Oceanic Technology*, 19(2), 183–204. [https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0426\(2002\)019%3C0183:EIMOBO%3E2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0426(2002)019%3C0183:EIMOBO%3E2.0.CO;2)
- Garcia, H. E., Boyer, T. P., Baranova, O. K., Locarnini, R. A., Mishonov, A. V., Grodsky, A., Paver, C. R., Weathers, K. W., Smolyar, I. V., Reagan, J. R., Seidov, D., & Zweng, M. M. (2019). *World ocean atlas 2018: Product documentation* (A. Mishonov, Ed.). NOAA/NCEI.

- 217 Gruber, N., Frenzel, H., Doney, S. C., Marchesiello, P., McWilliams, J. C., Moisan, J. R.,
218 Oram, J. J., Plattner, G.-K., & Stolzenbach, K. D. (2006). Eddy-resolving simulation of
219 plankton ecosystem dynamics in the California Current System. *Deep Sea Research Part I:
220 Oceanographic Research Papers*, 53(9), 1483–1516. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr.2006.
221 06.005](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr.2006.06.005)
- 222 Hamilton, D. S., Perron, M. M. G., Bond, T. C., Bowie, A. R., Buchholz, R. R., Guieu, C., Ito,
223 A., Maenhaut, W., Myriokefalitakis, S., Olgun, N., Rathod, S. D., Schepanski, K., Tagliabue,
224 A., Wagner, R., & Mahowald, N. M. (2022). Earth, Wind, Fire, and Pollution: Aerosol
225 Nutrient Sources and Impacts on Ocean Biogeochemistry. *Annual Review of Marine Science*,
226 14(Volume 14, 2022), 303–330. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-marine-031921-013612>
- 227 Hauser, M., Spring, A., Busecke, J., Driel, M. van, Lorenz, R., & readthedocs-assistant.
228 (2024). *Regionmask/regionmask: Version 0.12.1*. Zenodo. [https://doi.org/10.5281/
229 zenodo.10849860](https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.10849860)
- 230 Hedstrom, K., & contributors. (2023). *Pyroms*. In *GitHub repository*. GitHub. [https:
231 //github.com/ESMG/pyroms](https://github.com/ESMG/pyroms)
- 232 Hersbach, H., Bell, B., Berrisford, P., Hirahara, S., Horányi, A., Muñoz-Sabater, J., Nicolas,
233 J., Peubey, C., Radu, R., Schepers, D., Simmons, A., Soci, C., Abdalla, S., Abellan,
234 X., Balsamo, G., Bechtold, P., Biavati, G., Bidlot, J., Bonavita, M., ... Thépaut, J.-N.
235 (2020). The ERA5 global reanalysis. *Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society*,
236 146(730), 1999–2049. <https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.3803>
- 237 Hoyer, S., & Hamman, J. (2017). Xarray: ND labeled arrays and datasets in python. *Journal
238 of Open Research Software*, 5(1). <https://doi.org/10.5334/jors.148>
- 239 Huang, Y., Tagliabue, A., & Cassar, N. (2022). Data-Driven Modeling of Dissolved Iron in the
240 Global Ocean. *Frontiers in Marine Science*, 9. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2022.837183>
- 241 Kok, J. F., Adebisi, A. A., Albani, S., Balkanski, Y., Checa-Garcia, R., Chin, M., Colarco,
242 P. R., Hamilton, D. S., Huang, Y., Ito, A., Klose, M., Leung, D. M., Li, L., Mahowald,
243 N. M., Miller, R. L., Obiso, V., Pérez García-Pando, C., Rocha-Lima, A., Wan, J. S., &
244 Whicker, C. A. (2021). Improved representation of the global dust cycle using observational
245 constraints on dust properties and abundance. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 21(10),
246 8127–8167. <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-21-8127-2021>
- 247 Landschützer, P., Gruber, N., & Bakker, D. C. E. (2016). Decadal variations and trends
248 of the global ocean carbon sink. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, 30(10), 1396–1417.
249 <https://doi.org/10.1002/2015GB005359>
- 250 Lauvset, S. K., Key, R. M., Olsen, A., Heuven, S. van, Velo, A., Lin, X., Schirnack, C., Kozyr,
251 A., Tanhua, T., Hoppema, M., Jutterström, S., Steinfeldt, R., Jeansson, E., Ishii, M.,
252 Perez, F. F., Suzuki, T., & Watelet, S. (2016). A new global interior ocean mapped
253 climatology: The 1° × 1° GLODAP version 2. *Earth System Science Data*, 8(2), 325–340.
254 <https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-8-325-2016>
- 255 Lellouche, E., Jean-Michel Greiner, Bourdallé-Badie, R., Garric, G., Melet, A., Drévillon,
256 M., Bricaud, C., Hamon, M., Le Galloudec, O., Regnier, C., Candela, T., Testut, C.-E.,
257 Gasparin, F., Ruggiero, G., Benkiran, M., Drillet, Y., & Le Traon, P.-Y. (2021). The
258 Copernicus Global 1/12° Oceanic and Sea Ice GLORYS12 Reanalysis. *Frontiers in Earth
259 Science*, 9. <https://doi.org/10.3389/feart.2021.698876>
- 260 Long, M. C., Moore, J. K., Lindsay, K., Levy, M., Doney, S. C., Luo, J. Y., Krumhardt, K.
261 M., Letscher, R. T., Grover, M., & Sylvester, Z. T. (2021). *Simulations With the Marine
262 Biogeochemistry Library (MARBL)*. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2021MS002647>
- 263 Molemaker, J. (2024). *UCLA MATLAB tools*. In *GitHub repository*. GitHub. [https://github.
264 com/nmolem/ucla-tools](https://github.com/nmolem/ucla-tools)

- 265 Molemaker, J., & contributors. (2025a). UCLA-ROMS. In *GitHub repository*. GitHub.
266 <https://github.com/CESR-lab/ucla-roms>
- 267 Molemaker, J., & contributors. (2025b). UCLA-ROMS. In *GitHub repository*. GitHub.
268 <https://github.com/CWorthy-ocean/ucla-roms>
- 269 Shchepetkin, A. F., & McWilliams, J. C. (2005). The regional oceanic modeling system
270 (ROMS): A split-explicit, free-surface, topography-following-coordinate oceanic model.
271 *Ocean Modelling*, 9(4), 347–404. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ocemod.2004.08.002>
- 272 Tozer, B., Sandwell, D. T., Smith, W. H. F., Olson, C., Beale, J. R., & Wessel, P. (2019).
273 Global Bathymetry and Topography at 15 Arc Sec: SRTM15+. *Earth and Space Science*,
274 6(10), 1847–1864. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2019EA000658>
- 275 Wessel, P., & Smith, W. H. F. (1996). A global, self-consistent, hierarchical, high-resolution
276 shoreline database. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth*, 101(B4), 8741–8743.
277 <https://doi.org/10.1029/96JB00104>
- 278 Yang, S., Chang, B. X., Warner, M. J., Weber, T. S., Bourbonnais, A. M., Santoro, A. E.,
279 Kock, A., Sonnerup, R. E., Bullister, J. L., Wilson, S. T., & Bianchi, D. (2020). Global
280 reconstruction reduces the uncertainty of oceanic nitrous oxide emissions and reveals a
281 vigorous seasonal cycle. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United
282 States of America*, 117(22), 11954–11960. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1921914117>
- 283 Yeager, S. G., Rosenbloom, N., Glanville, A. A., Wu, X., Simpson, I., Li, H., Molina, M.
284 J., Krumhardt, K., Mogen, S., Lindsay, K., Lombardozzi, D., Wieder, W., Kim, W. M.,
285 Richter, J. H., Long, M., Danabasoglu, G., Bailey, D., Holland, M., Lovenduski, N., ...
286 King, T. (2022). The Seasonal-to-Multiyear Large Ensemble (SMYLE) prediction system
287 using the Community Earth System Model version 2. *Geoscientific Model Development*,
288 15(16), 6451–6493. <https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-15-6451-2022>
- 289 Zhuang, J., Dussin, R., Huard, D., Bourgault, P., Banihirwe, A., Raynaud, S., Malevich,
290 B., Schupfner, M., Filipe, Levang, S., Gauthier, C., Jüling, A., Almansi, M., Scott,
291 R., RondeauG, Rasp, S., Smith, T. J., Stachelek, J., Plough, M., & Li, X. (2023).
292 xESMF: Universal regridding for geospatial data. In *GitHub repository*. Zenodo. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.4294774>