



# ATLAS Note

EXOT-2018-XX

October 23, 2018



Draft version 0.1

## EXOT group text snippets for INT notes

ATLAS EXOT Group

This note contains text snippets and tables that should be included in supporting notes from the EXOT group.

The templates are in American English. Some adaption to British English is therefore required.

This document was generated using version 05-08-00 of the ATLAS L<sup>A</sup>T<sub>E</sub>X package.

*2018-10-23: This file is a work in progress (WIP) and will probably be updated. Backwards incompatible changes may be made as the examples develop.*

11	<b>Contents</b>	
12	<b>1 Executive Summary</b>	<b>3</b>
13	1.1 Target	3
14	1.2 Context	3
15	1.3 Milestones	3
16	<b>2 Object selection</b>	<b>5</b>
17	2.1 Electron selection	6
18	2.2 Photon selection	7
19	2.3 Muon selection	8
20	2.4 Tau selection	9
21	2.5 Small- $R$ jet selection	10
22	2.6 Large- $R$ jet selection	11
23	2.7 $E_{\text{T}}^{\text{miss}}$ selection	11
24	2.8 Jet flavor tagging selection	13
25	2.9 Track selection	14
26	2.10 Overlap removal	15
27	<b>3 Event selection</b>	<b>16</b>
28	3.1 Event cleaning	17

## 1 Executive Summary

This section, ideally 2-pages (max), should be placed at the beginning of the internal note. It should give a high-level overview of the analysis including (but not limited to):

- physics target and the general characteristics of the signal;
- analysis strategy;
- general characteristics of the control, validation, and signal regions;
- background estimation strategy overview;
- highlight major or most important points of the analysis;
- team overview task list;
- list of all critical tasks, who is responsible for each, and what else they are working on outside of this analysis.

split as in the subsections below.

### 1.1 Target

*O(1 paragraph)* Is this a new analysis? If not, what are the main improvements expected with respect to the previous version? What is the target publication date / conference?

### 1.2 Context

Motivate this analysis in 1 paragraph: why is this signature interesting? Which kind of models are you probing?

How is the analysis done in 1 paragraph: what are the main BG processes and how do you estimate them (are they MC- or data-driven, what is the general idea of the control regions, . . . ), general characteristics of the PL fit (which distribution, binned?, . . . )

### 1.3 Milestones

Table giving a factual list of who is working on what and what else they do; the idea is to show how the team can / does progress.

The following table summarizes the tasks to be worked on by analysis team. This is not a complete analysis outline but only an overview of the further steps to be taken as of the time of writing. Details are not provided here but in the dedicated sections throughout this note. Tasks which are based on established techniques and straightforward to achieve are marked green in the table. Tasks which require new work are marked red. Concerning the involved people, the responsible student supervisors and analysis coordinators are already mentioned in the list of contributions above, which shall not be repeated here. A fair overview of all single tasks including past work and of all relevant team members is only given in the list of contributions above! It is also worth noting that some of the tasks listed below are being worked on in parallel.

Table 1: Milestones in the analysis.

Task	Analyzer	Role	Other responsibilities
<b>Describe a first milestone.</b>			
A straightforward task	Name	PhD student, PostDoc/Prof/. . .	thesis writing / teaching / name some CP work . . .
<b>Describe a second milestone</b>			
First task . . .			

## 2 Object selection

The supporting notes should now include the following standardized tables of properties: each analysis should simply fill them in by writing / replacing the value with the appropriate number or by choosing the appropriate option. The idea of these tables is to harmonize some sections of the supporting notes as to make review and analysis comparisons simpler.

If you use non-standard selections which do not fit in these tables, this should of course be noted and discussed in more detail in the text.

## 2.1 Electron selection

Table 2: Electron selection criteria.

Feature	Criterion
Pseudorapidity range	$ \eta  < X$
Energy calibration	es2017_R21_PRE (ESModel)
Energy	$E > XX \text{ GeV}$
Transverse energy	$E_T > XX \text{ GeV}$
Transverse momentum	$p_T > XX \text{ GeV}$
Object quality	Not from a bad calorimeter cluster (BADCLUSELECTRON) Remove clusters from regions with EMEC bad HV (2016 data only)
Track to vertex association	$ d_0^{\text{BL}}(\sigma)  < X$ $ \Delta z_0^{\text{BL}} \sin \theta  < X \text{ mm}$
Identification	(Loose/Medium/Tight)
Isolation	LooseTrackOnly / Loose / Tight / Gradient / ...

### Notes:

- Pseudorapidity: when the calorimeter crack is not excluded, the range can be indicated simply as “ $|\eta| < 2.47$ ”, when the crack is excluded: “ $(|\eta| < 1.37) \quad || \quad (1.52 < |\eta| < 2.47)$ ”.
- Usually only one among “Energy”, “Transverse energy” and “Transverse momentum” criteria is applied — the 30 GeV value is just an example. In special cases energy (i.e. calorimeter-based measurement) and momentum (i.e. tracking-based measurement) criteria can be required in order to constraint different aspects of the reconstruction.
- Electron ID: 3 working points (Loose/Medium/Tight) are evaluated using the Likelihood-based (LH) method, by the [ElectronPhotonSelectorTools](#).
- Energy calibration of electrons is implemented in the [ElectronPhotonFourMomentumCorrection](#) tool.
- Scale Factors for efficiencies for electrons are implemented in the [ElectronEfficiencyCorrection](#) tool.
- Updated configurations for the EGamma CP tools can be found on this [TWiki](#) page.

## 2.2 Photon selection

Table 3: Photon selection criteria.

Feature	Criterion
Pseudorapidity range	$ \eta  < X$
Energy calibration	es2017_R21_PRE (ESModel)
Energy	$E > XX \text{ GeV}$
Transverse energy	$E_T > XX \text{ GeV}$
Object quality	Not from a bad calorimeter cluster (BADCLUSELECTRON) Remove clusters from regions with EMEC bad HV (2016 data only)
Photon cleaning	pass0Qquality
Fudging	Applied for Full sim / not for AtlFastII
Identification	(Loose/Tight)
Isolation	FixedCutTightCaloOnly / FixedCutTight / FixedCutLoose

### Notes:

- Pseudorapidity: please note that the maximum value for  $|\eta|$  for photon candidates (2.37) is smaller than for electron candidates (2.47). If crack excluded: “ $(|\eta| < 1.37) \quad || \quad (1.52 < |\eta| < 2.37)$ ”.
- Usually only one between “Energy” and “Transverse energy” criteria is applied — the 30 GeV value is just an example.
- Photon cleaning: a new Photon helper is available to apply the photon cleaning cut (from the `ElectronPhotonSelectorTools`, tag  $\geq 00-02-92-21$ , release  $\geq 2.4.30$ ).
- Photon ID: 2 working points (Loose/Tight) are evaluated using a cut-based method, by the [ElectronPhotonSelectorTools](#).
- Energy calibration of photons is implemented in the [ElectronPhotonFourMomentumCorrection](#) tool.
- Scale Factors for efficiencies for photons are implemented in the [ElectronEfficiencyCorrection](#) tool.
- Updated configurations for the EGamma CP tools can be found on this [TWiki](#) page.

## 2.3 Muon selection

Table 4: Muon selection criteria.

Feature	Criterion
Selection working point	Loose/Medium/Tight /High-pT
Isolation working point	LooseTrackOnly/Loose/Tight/Gradient/...
Momentum calibration	Sagitta correction [used/not used]
$p_T$ Cut	$X$ GeV
$ \eta $ cut	$< X$
$d_0$ significance cut	$X$
$z_0$ cut	$X$ mm

The selection criteria are implemented in the MuonSelectorTools-XX-XX-XX with MuonMomentumCorrections-XX-XX-XX, isolation in IsolationSelection-XX-XX-XX and  $d_0$  and  $z_0$  cuts in xAODTracking-XX-XX-XX. The muon recommendations can be found in [MCPAnalysis-GuidelinesMC16](#).



## 2.4 Tau selection

Table 5: Tau selection criteria.

Feature	Criterion
Pseudorapidity range	$ \eta  < X$
Track selection	1 or 3 tracks
Charge	$ Q  = 1$
Tau energy scale	MVA TES
Transverse momentum	$p_T > XX \text{ GeV}$
Jet rejection	BDT-based (Loose/Medium/Tight)
Electron rejection	BDT-based
Muon rejection	Via overlap removal in $\Delta R < 0.2$ and $p_T > 2 \text{ GeV}$ . Muons must not be Calo-tagged

If the crack is excluded:  $(|\eta| < 1.37) \vee (1.52 < |\eta| < 2.5)$

The selection criteria are all implemented in the `TauSelectionTool` as part of the `TauAnalysisTools`.

Documentation can be found in the [README-TauSelectionTool.rst](#).

## 2.5 Small- $R$ jet selection

If you want to use variables such as `\fcut` you need to add the option `jetetmiss` to `atlaspackage`.

Table 6: Jet reconstruction criteria.

Feature	Criterion
Algorithm	Anti- $k_t$
$R$ -parameter	0.4
Input constituent	EMTopo
Analysis release number	21.2.10
CalibArea tag	00-04-81
Calibration configuration	JES_data2017_2016_2015_Recommendation_Feb2018_rel21.config
Calibration sequence (Data)	JetArea_Residual_EtaJES_GSC_Insitu
Calibration sequence (MC)	JetArea_Residual_EtaJES_GSC
Selection requirements	
Observable	Requirement
Jet cleaning	LooseBad
BatMan cleaning	No
$p_T$	$> XX \text{ GeV}$
$ \eta $	$< X$
JVT	<i>(Update if needed)</i> $> 0.59$ for $p_T < 60 \text{ GeV}$ , $ \eta  < 0.4$

## 109 2.6 Large- $R$ jet selection

Table 7: Large- $R$  jet reconstruction criteria.

Feature	Criterion
Algorithm	anti- $k_t$
R-parameter	1.0
Input constituent	LCTopo
Grooming algorithm	Trimming
$f_{\text{cut}}$	0.05
$R_{\text{trim}}$	0.2
Analysis release number	21.2.10
CalibArea tag	00-04-81
Calibration configuration	JES_MC16recommendation_FatJet_JMS_comb_19Jan2018.config
Calibration sequence (Data)	EtaJES_JMS_Insitu
Calibration sequence (MC)	EtaJES_JMS
Selection requirements	
Observable	Requirement
$p_T$	$> XX \text{ GeV}$
$ \eta $	$< X$
Mass	$> XX \text{ GeV}$
Boosted object tagger	
Object	Working point
$W / Z / \text{top}$	50% / 80%
$X \rightarrow b\bar{b}$	single/double $b$ -tagging with/without loose/tight mass

## 110 2.7 $E_T^{\text{miss}}$ selection

Table 8:  $E_T^{\text{miss}}$  reconstruction criteria.

Parameter	Value
Algorithm	Calo-based
Soft term	Track-based (TST)
MET operating point	Tight
Analysis release	21.2.16
Calibration tag	METUtilities-00-02-46
Selection requirements	
Observable	Requirement
$E_T^{\text{miss}}$	$> \text{XX GeV}$
$\sum E_T/E_T^{\text{miss}}$	$< X$
Object-based $E_T^{\text{miss}}$ significance	$> X$

## 111 2.8 Jet flavor tagging selection

Table 9:  $b$ -tagging selection criteria.

Feature	Criterion
	EM Topo Jets / Track jets / VR jets
Jet collection	AntiKt4EMTopo/AntiKt2PV0/AntiKtVR30Rmax4Rmin02
Jet selection	$p_T > XX \text{ GeV}$ $ \eta  < X$ JVT cut if applicable
Algorithm	MV2c10/MV2c10mu/MV2c10rnn/DL1/DL1mu/DL1rnn
Operating point	Hybrid / Fixed Eff = 60 / 70 / 77 / 85
CDI	2017-21-13TeV-MC16-CDI-2017-12-22_v1

## 112 2.9 Track selection

113 If you use tracks as particular objects on which you cut in your analysis.

Table 10: TrackParticle object selection criteria.

Tracking algorithm	Primary / Large Radius Tracking / Custom
Track quality selection (official)	Loose/Tight
$p_T$	$> XX \text{ GeV}$
$ \eta $	$< X$
Track-vertex association criteria	Loose/Tight
Track-to-tet association method	Ghost Matched / $\Delta R$

## 2.10 Overlap removal

The reconstruction of the same energy deposits as multiple objects is resolved using the standard overlap removal tools, `AssociationUtils`, documented [here](#)

The (Standard/Heavy-flavor/Boosted/Boosted+Heavy-flavor/lepton-favored) working point is used corresponding to:

Reject	Against	Criteria
Electron	Electron	shared track, $p_{T,1} < p_{T,2}$
Tau	Electron	$\Delta R < 0.2$
Tau	Muon	$\Delta R < 0.2$
Muon	Electron	is Calo-Muon and shared ID track
Electron	Muon	shared ID track
Photon	Electron	$\Delta R < 0.4$
Photon	Muon	$\Delta R < 0.4$
Jet	Electron	$[\Delta R < 0.2 / \text{Not a } b\text{-jet and } \Delta R < 0.2]$
Electron	Jet	$[\Delta R < 0.4 / \Delta R < \min(0.4, 0.04 + 10 \text{ GeV}/p_T(e))/\text{None}]$
Jet	Muon	$[\text{NumTrack} < 3 \text{ and (ghost-associated or } \Delta R < 0.2) /$ not a $b\text{-jet and NumTrack} < 3 \text{ and (ghost-associated or } \Delta R < 0.2)]$
Muon	Jet	$[\Delta R < 0.4 / \Delta R < \min(0.4, 0.04 + 10 \text{ GeV}/p_T(\mu))/\text{None}]$
Jet	Tau	$\Delta R < 0.2$
Photon	Jet	$\Delta R < 0.4$
Fat-jet	Electron	$\Delta R < 1.0$
Jet	Fat-jet	$\Delta R < 1.0$

$\Delta R$  is calculated using rapidity by default.

<sup>120</sup> **3 Event selection**

<sup>121</sup> The following items should also be filled in for the event selection.



### 3.1 Event cleaning

Following the [recommendations of the DataPrep group](#), the following event-level requirements are made.

We use the official GRL:

FILL IN HERE

The following event-level vetos are made to reject bad / corrupt events:

- LAr noise burst and data corruption (`xAOD::EventInfo::LAr`),
- Tile corrupted events (`xAOD::EventInfo::Tile`),
- events affected by the SCT recovery procedure for single event upsets (`xAOD::EventInfo::SCT`),
- incomplete events (`xAOD::EventInfo::Core`).

Debug stream events [have/have not] been included.

Checks [have/have not] been done to remove duplicate events.

Events are required to have a primary vertex with at least two associated tracks. The primary vertex is selected as the one with the largest  $\Sigma p_T^2$ , where the sum is over all tracks with transverse momentum  $p_T > 0.4 \text{ GeV}$  that are associated with the vertex.