

Trustworthiness Assessment of the BlackArch Linux Project

1. Executive Summary

This report provides an in-depth analysis of the trustworthiness of the BlackArch Linux project, a penetration testing distribution based on Arch Linux. The assessment encompasses a detailed examination of the project's developers, their contributions to the cybersecurity community, the distribution's security history, community sentiment, and the integrity of its GPG key infrastructure. Findings indicate a mixed landscape of trust factors. The project benefits from the involvement of experienced security professionals who actively contribute to the cybersecurity community and develop relevant tools.¹ However, recurring issues with package signing, a past malware incident on the project website, criticisms regarding the installation method, and the absence of independent security audits raise concerns about the overall trustworthiness of the distribution for all users. While BlackArch offers a vast repository of up-to-date security tools, potential users, especially those new to penetration testing or Linux, should carefully consider these factors and adopt a cautious approach to its deployment and use.

2. Individual Developer Analysis: Online Presence and Contributions

The BlackArch project lists a team of developers and contributors who play various roles in the creation and maintenance of the distribution.⁴ Understanding the background and activities of these individuals is crucial for assessing the project's trustworthiness.

Levon Kayan, identified as 'noptrix' and holding the role of Lead Developer, appears to be a central figure in the BlackArch project.⁴ A GitHub profile associated with his name, 'levontikoyan (Levon Tikoyan)', showcases some basic JavaScript projects.⁸ This suggests coding activity, although the projects are not explicitly security-focused. Notably, numerous reports across different online platforms, spanning from 2017 to 2023, detail persistent issues with the validity and trust of packages signed by Levon 'noptrix' Kayan.⁹ Users have frequently encountered "invalid signature" or "unknown trust" errors during system updates, indicating a long-standing problem with the project's package signing and key management processes. This recurring challenge may lead users to experience difficulties in securely updating their systems, potentially resulting in outdated software or the adoption of insecure workarounds.

Alia Morozova, known as 'anunna' and listed as a Developer (and informally as 'Queen'), demonstrates significant and diverse contributions to the BlackArch

project.⁴ Her GitHub profile, 'anunna (Alia Morozova)', confirms her active involvement in the official BlackArch organization and highlights her contributions to the core distribution, ISO creation, and website development.¹⁸ She is also a contributor to the blackarch-config-xfce and blackarch-config-calamares repositories, indicating her work on the distribution's configuration and installer.²⁰ Furthermore, Alia Morozova actively engages with the BlackArch community on Reddit as user 'a-nun-na', offering technical advice, support, and sharing project-related announcements.²² This level of community interaction suggests a commitment to user support and transparency. However, a Reddit post²⁴ raises a concern regarding Alia Morozova's LinkedIn profile, which allegedly links her to work for an entity associated with the Russian government. This has led to public discussions about potential conflicts of interest and the project's neutrality, which users may consider when evaluating the trustworthiness of the distribution.

Pedro Freitas, identified as 'psf' and a Developer, is another active member of the BlackArch team.⁴ His GitHub profile, 'PedroSFreitas (Pedro F.)', shows direct contributions to the main BlackArch repository and related projects like blackarch-slim-iso and blackarch-docker.²⁵ His personal website, pedrosfreitas.github.io/, identifies him as a "Red Team Operator, Penetration Tester, BlackArch Developer" and features a blog focused on penetration testing and security consulting.¹ This public profile aligns with the project's goals and enhances his credibility within the security community. Notably, he uses a different email address (pedrosffreitas@protonmail.com) on his personal website compared to the one listed for BlackArch (psf@blackarch.org). His contributions to BlackArch include changes to package build files (e.g., dislocker) and bug fixes related to the i3 window manager.²⁷

Pierre B., with the nickname 'Pi3rrot' and the role of Developer and Torrent Master, has made significant contributions to the accessibility and infrastructure of BlackArch.⁴ He created and maintains the 'blackarch-install-scripts' repository on GitHub, which provides various methods for installing the distribution.²⁹ Additionally, he hosts a mirror for BlackArch downloads at <http://blackarch.pi3rrot.net/blackarch/>.³⁰ This mirror has also served as a temporary website for the project during outages.³³ His role as Torrent Master suggests responsibility for ensuring the legitimate distribution of BlackArch ISO images through torrents.

Sachin S. Kamath, known as 'pwnfoo' and a Developer, has a notable presence in the security community.⁴ His GitHub profile, 'pwnfoo (Sachin Kamath)', shows contributions to the main BlackArch repository and the development of his own security tool, 'NTLMRecon', used for enumerating NTLM authentication endpoints.² He also has a profile on Android Arsenal, listing his affiliation with BlackArch and crediting

him for the 'APKTool' Android decompiler.³⁸ His involvement extends to contributing to the 'ShieldOS' project on GitHub.⁴⁰ His activity and tool development demonstrate his expertise and commitment to the cybersecurity field.

Christopher Downs, identified as 'dualfade' and a Developer, maintains a GitHub profile under the same nickname, linking to his website vadersecurity.com and explicitly stating his affiliation with BlackArch.⁴ His GitHub activity reveals the development of several Python scripts focused on security tasks, including tools for reverse shells, GraphQL attacks, and JWT vulnerabilities. He is also the developer of 'honeycreds' and 'spdb2jsluice', which are included in the BlackArch defensive and forensic tool categories, respectively.⁴² His involvement in BlackArch also extends to being listed as a contact for project donations.⁴⁴

Stefan Venz, known as 'ikstream' and a Developer, has an active GitHub profile under the name 'ikstream (Stefan Vranješ)', which links to BlackArch.⁴ His contributions include work on the main BlackArch repository and other security-related projects. He also engages with the BlackArch community on Reddit, providing updates and support as user 'ikstream'.⁴⁶ Furthermore, he is responsible for maintaining the list of BlackArch mirror sites.⁴⁸ The discrepancy in his last name (Vranješ on GitHub vs. Venz in the developer list) requires noting.

Leon L. (Tazmain), a listed Developer, contributed the first draft to the 'BlackarchKdeMenu' tool on GitHub.⁴ While the research material contains numerous references to individuals and entities related to Tasmania with similar names, these do not appear to be directly connected to his BlackArch involvement.

Alexandre Zanni, known as 'noraj' and a Developer, has an extensive and well-documented presence in the cybersecurity community.³ His GitHub, GitLab, HackerOne, and Exploit-DB profiles showcase his expertise as a cybersecurity engineer, security auditor, pentester, and ethical hacker.³ He has developed security tools like 'haiti' and maintains the flask-session-cookie-manager package in BlackArch.⁵³ His blog on raw.pm features security-related content and write-ups.⁵⁶ He is also involved in project policies, such as discussing licensing for BlackArch packages.⁵⁷

Semtex, with the nickname 's7x' and the role of Developer, maintains a GitHub profile under the same nickname.⁴ His contributions to BlackArch include involvement in the project's automated package management processes through GitHub Actions and opening an issue on the Blackman repository related to compression algorithms.⁵⁹

Erik, known as 'deep_m4gic' and listed as a Designer, is also credited as a contributor to the BlackArch guide, and his email is associated with a forensic tool.⁴ However, his GitHub profile Erikdeep-m4gic is inaccessible⁶², limiting further analysis of his contributions.

Alexander Rothenberg, with the nickname 'EisWiesel' and the role of WebDesigner, is responsible for the project's website.⁴ His GitHub profile shows some software development projects, but none are explicitly identified as contributions to BlackArch.⁶³

3. Developer Involvement in the Broader Security Community

Several BlackArch developers actively participate in the broader security community beyond their direct contributions to the project. Pedro Freitas maintains a blog and identifies as a penetration tester and red team operator.¹ Sachin S. Kamath has developed and released security tools like NTLMRecon and APKTool, and has been involved in other open-source projects like ShieldOS.³⁴ Christopher Downs has created and shared various security-focused Python scripts and developed tools included in BlackArch, such as honeycreds.⁴¹ Stefan Venz contributes to other open-source projects and engages with the community on Reddit.⁴⁵ Alexandre Zanni has an extensive presence as a security researcher, pentester, and tool developer, with contributions to numerous projects and vulnerability disclosures.³ Semtex is involved in package management and has contributed to the Blackman tool.⁶⁰ This level of external involvement suggests a team with practical experience and a commitment to the cybersecurity field.

4. BlackArch Linux: Security Vulnerabilities and Incident History

The security history of the BlackArch project reveals some incidents and concerns. In 2021, the official website, blackarch.org, was flagged for malware detections by Quttera.⁶⁴ This incident raises questions about the security practices surrounding the project's online infrastructure and the potential risk to users visiting the site or downloading files.

A recurring issue that could be considered a security concern is the persistent problem with GPG signatures for BlackArch packages.⁹ These errors disrupt the secure update process, potentially leading users to bypass signature verification in order to update their systems. This could expose them to the risk of installing tampered or malicious packages, weakening the overall security of the distribution.

Concerns have also been raised within the community regarding Alia Morozova's

professional affiliations, as highlighted in a Reddit thread.²⁴ Her alleged connection to an entity associated with the Russian government has led to discussions about potential conflicts of interest and the project's neutrality, which some users may perceive as having security implications.

Furthermore, the installation method recommended by BlackArch, which involves running an external script as root without prior verification, has been criticized by members of the Arch Linux community as a significant security risk.⁶⁷ This practice suggests a potential lack of security focus in the initial setup of the distribution.

5. Community Perspectives on BlackArch Trustworthiness

Community discussions about the trustworthiness of BlackArch Linux present a range of opinions. On the Arch Linux forum, some members have expressed strong negative views regarding BlackArch's security practices, particularly the installation method, with one user labeling it as being for "script kiddies".⁶⁷

The 0x00sec forum contains a discussion with mixed perspectives, where some users find BlackArch up-to-date and useful, while others criticize the large number of pre-installed tools and suggest alternatives.⁶⁸ A BlackArch developer participated in this discussion, attempting to address some of the criticisms.

On Reddit's r/cybersecurity, experienced penetration testers have suggested that building a custom distribution is often preferred over using pre-built options like Kali or BlackArch, citing potential unreliability and bloat.⁶⁹ However, the ease of adding the BlackArch repository to a standard Arch Linux installation is also noted.⁶⁹

DistroWatch features user reviews with an average rating of 9.2/10, with users praising the extensive tool collection and rolling release nature, but also mentioning potential configuration difficulties for less experienced users.⁷⁰

A Reddit thread comparing Arch and Black Arch emphasizes that BlackArch is essentially Arch with an added repository of security tools, implying that its trustworthiness is closely related to that of Arch Linux itself.⁷¹

YouTube reviews offer varied perspectives, with some highlighting the comprehensive toolset and lightweight nature, while others point out the complexity for beginners and the potential for an overwhelming number of tools.⁷²

6. Security Audits and Reviews of BlackArch Linux

The research material does not provide any evidence of publicly available,

independent security audits conducted on the core BlackArch Linux distribution. While Ntegral offers a certified version of BlackArch Essentials, this is a commercial product and not an independent audit of the main project.⁷⁷ The absence of such audits means that the assessment of BlackArch's security relies on the community's scrutiny and the development team's self-assessment and practices.

7. Impact of Developer Roles on Project Security

The security of BlackArch Linux is influenced by the roles and responsibilities of its developers. Levon Kayan, as the Lead Developer, bears overall responsibility for the project's direction and security practices. The recurring package signature issues under his leadership raise questions about the effectiveness of these practices. The Developers contribute to various aspects of the distribution, and their individual expertise in security likely impacts the overall security posture. Pierre B., as Torrent Master, has a specific responsibility for the secure distribution of ISO images. The WebDesigner, Alexander Rothenberg, is responsible for the security of the project's online presence, as highlighted by the past malware incident on the website. The Designer, Erik, while primarily focused on visual aspects, could indirectly impact security through the user interface. The varying levels of security focus and experience among the team members may lead to inconsistencies in security practices across different areas of the project.

8. GPG Key Infrastructure and Verification

BlackArch Linux utilizes GPG keys for signing packages, which is a fundamental security mechanism for verifying the integrity and authenticity of software updates.⁷⁹ The BlackArch website lists the GPG key IDs for each developer⁴, and the blackarch.gpg keyring file is available on GitHub.⁷⁹ However, the persistent "invalid signature" errors, particularly those associated with Levon Kayan's key, indicate potential weaknesses in the project's key management infrastructure.⁹ These issues suggest problems with key validity, trust establishment, or distribution. The BlackArch FAQ provides troubleshooting steps for these errors, and the Arch Linux Wiki offers general guidance on pacman and keyring management, which are relevant given BlackArch's base.⁸⁰ The frequency of user reports regarding GPG signature problems across various community platforms⁶⁵ underscores the ongoing challenge for the project in ensuring a reliable and secure update process.

9. Overall Trustworthiness Assessment of BlackArch Linux

Assessing the overall trustworthiness of BlackArch Linux reveals a complex picture.

The project benefits from a team that includes experienced cybersecurity professionals with a demonstrable commitment to the field and contributions to the open-source community. The rolling release model ensures that the distribution offers access to a vast and up-to-date collection of security tools, which is a significant advantage for penetration testers and security researchers.

However, several factors raise concerns about its trustworthiness. The recurring issues with GPG package signatures, particularly those associated with the Lead Developer, indicate potential weaknesses in the project's security practices for software distribution and updates. The past malware incident on the official website, even if resolved, highlights vulnerabilities in the project's online infrastructure. Criticisms from within the Arch Linux community regarding the recommended installation method suggest a potential lack of security awareness in this crucial aspect of user onboarding. The absence of publicly documented independent security audits further contributes to the uncertainty surrounding the distribution's overall security posture. While community feedback on platforms like DistroWatch is generally positive, discussions on forums like Arch Linux and Reddit reveal mixed opinions, with some experienced users expressing reservations about its reliability and suitability for professional use.

10. Recommendations for Users Considering BlackArch

Users considering BlackArch Linux should approach its adoption with caution and a clear understanding of its strengths and weaknesses. Given the recurring GPG signature issues, it is crucial to verify the checksum of downloaded ISO images.⁸¹ Users should also exercise caution during system updates and be prepared to troubleshoot potential signature errors, possibly by referring to the BlackArch FAQ or the Arch Linux Wiki.⁸⁰

For users new to penetration testing or Linux, BlackArch's complexity and the potential for encountering technical issues might make it a less suitable starting point. Distributions with more user-friendly interfaces and stronger community support for beginners might be preferable. Experienced security professionals who require a comprehensive toolset and are comfortable with troubleshooting might find BlackArch valuable, but they should remain vigilant regarding the security concerns identified in this report.

Consideration should also be given to the specific needs and risk tolerance of the user. For highly sensitive environments or professional engagements requiring a high degree of assurance, the lack of independent security audits on BlackArch might be a

significant drawback. In such cases, building a custom environment on top of a more general-purpose distribution like Arch Linux, with carefully selected and verified security tools, could be a more trustworthy approach.

Conclusions

BlackArch Linux offers a powerful and extensive collection of security tools, making it a potentially valuable resource for penetration testers and security researchers. The involvement of experienced developers like Alexandre Zanni and Sachin Kamath, who are active in the broader security community, adds to its credibility. However, the persistent issues with package signing, the past malware incident on the website, criticisms of the installation method, and the lack of independent security audits raise valid concerns about its overall trustworthiness. Users should carefully weigh these factors against their specific needs and technical expertise before relying on BlackArch for critical security tasks. A cautious and informed approach, with a focus on verifying the distribution's integrity and staying aware of potential security concerns, is recommended for those who choose to use BlackArch Linux.

Works cited

1. About | Pedro Freitas, accessed April 8, 2025, <https://pedrosfreitas.github.io/about/>
2. Sachin Kamath pwnfoo - GitHub, accessed April 8, 2025, <https://github.com/pwnfoo>
3. Alexandre ZANNI (@noraj) - GitHub, accessed April 8, 2025, <https://github.com/noraj>
4. BlackArch Linux - Penetration Testing Distribution, accessed April 8, 2025, <https://blackarch.org/>
5. BlackArch - Wikipedia, accessed April 8, 2025, <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/BlackArch>
6. 침투 테스트 Penetration Testing, accessed April 8, 2025, <https://dase.tistory.com/121>
7. Pentest : 테스트 도구 및 기법 참고 사이트, accessed April 8, 2025, <https://hunteresting.tistory.com/80>
8. Levon Tikoyan levontikoyan - GitHub, accessed April 8, 2025, <https://github.com/levontikoyan>
9. Blackarch: signature from "Levon 'noptrix' - Unsupported Software (AUR & Other), accessed April 8, 2025, <https://forum.garudalinux.org/t/blackarch-signature-from-levon-noptrix/13105>
10. error: blackarch: signature from "Levon 'noptrix' Kayan (BlackArch Developer), accessed April 8, 2025, <https://stackoverflow.com/questions/77880785/error-blackarch-signature-from-levon-noptrix-kayan-blackarch-developer>

11. Error signature during a BlackArch update. · Issue #4018 - GitHub, accessed April 8, 2025, <https://github.com/BlackArch/blackarch/issues/4018>
12. signature from "Levon 'noptrix' Kayan (BlackArch Developer) <>" is invalid - Garuda Linux Forum, accessed April 8, 2025, <https://forum.garudalinux.org/t/blackarch-signature-from-levon-noptrix-kayan-blackarch-developer-noptrix-nullsecurity-net-is-invalid/15366>
13. Levon 'noptrix' signature : r/BlackArchOfficial - Reddit, accessed April 8, 2025, https://www.reddit.com/r/BlackArchOfficial/comments/18c5dig/levon_noptrix_signature/
14. Blackarch repo signature problem - Third-party Applications - Manjaro Linux Forum, accessed April 8, 2025, <https://forum.manjaro.org/t/blackarch-repo-signature-problem/77344>
15. signature from "Levon 'noptrix' Kayan (BlackArch Developer)
16. [Solved] Update problem due to invalid signature. / Pacman & Package Upgrade Issues / Arch Linux Forums, accessed April 8, 2025, <https://bbs.archlinux.org/viewtopic.php?id=279463>
17. Pentest : 평가 유형 - Humm.. That's hunteresting. - 티스토리, accessed April 8, 2025, <https://hunteresting.tistory.com/m/81>
18. Members · People · BlackArch - GitHub, accessed April 8, 2025, <https://github.com/orgs/BlackArch/people>
19. Alia Morozova anunna - GitHub, accessed April 8, 2025, <https://github.com/anunna>
20. BlackArch menu, theme, and config for Xfce. - GitHub, accessed April 8, 2025, <https://github.com/BlackArch/blackarch-config-xfce>
21. BlackArch/blackarch-config-calamares - GitHub, accessed April 8, 2025, <https://github.com/BlackArch/blackarch-config-calamares>
22. Alia Morozova (u/a-nun-na) - Reddit, accessed April 8, 2025, <https://www.reddit.com/user/a-nun-na/>
23. Alia Morozova (u/a-nun-na) - Reddit, accessed April 8, 2025, <https://www.reddit.com/user/a-nun-na/submitted/>
24. Why is someone like that in the Dev-Team of BlackArch? Russian ..., accessed April 8, 2025, https://www.reddit.com/r/BlackArchOfficial/comments/11341m2/why_is_someone_like_that_in_the_devteam_of/
25. Pedro F. PedroSFreitas - GitHub, accessed April 8, 2025, <https://github.com/PedroSFreitas>
26. Pedro Freitas | My thoughts and rants about Penetration Testing, Red Team Exercises, Phishing, Exploits and more. From technical guides and knowledge to a day-to-day life as a Security Consultant., accessed April 8, 2025, <https://pedrosfreitas.github.io/>
27. blackarch/packages/dislocker/PKGBUILD at master - GitHub, accessed April 8, 2025, <https://github.com/BlackArch/blackarch/blob/master/packages/dislocker/PKGBUILD>
28. Full Disclosure: New BlackArch Linux ISOs + OVA ... - Seclists.org, accessed April

- 8, 2025, <https://seclists.org/fulldisclosure/2019/Aug/35>
29. pi3rrot/blackarch-install-scripts: BlackArchInstall - GitHub, accessed April 8, 2025, <https://github.com/pi3rrot/blackarch-install-scripts>
30. Download BlackArch, accessed April 8, 2025, <https://blackarch.org/downloads.html>
31. BlackArch Linux ISO available, accessed April 8, 2025, <https://linuxsecurityblog.com/2017/06/14/blackarch-linux-iso-available/>
32. Blackarch.db failed to download - Garuda Linux Forum, accessed April 8, 2025, <https://forum.garudalinux.org/t/blackarch-db-failed-to-download/10222>
33. Anyone Know What Happened to Blackarch? : r/linuxquestions - Reddit, accessed April 8, 2025, https://www.reddit.com/r/linuxquestions/comments/2di2e3/anyone_know_what_happened_to_blackarch/
34. NTLMRecon - A Tool For Performing Light Brute-Forcing Of HTTP Servers To Identify Commonly Accessible NTLM Authentication Endpoints, accessed April 8, 2025, <https://www.kitploit.com/2023/05/ntlmrecon-tool-for-performing-light.html>
35. Automating the Discovery of NTLM Authentication Endpoints | Praetorian, accessed April 8, 2025, <https://www.praetorian.com/blog/automating-the-discovery-of-ntlm-authentication-endpoints/>
36. NTLMRecon - Enumerate Information From NTLM Authentication Enabled Web Endpoints, accessed April 8, 2025, <https://www.kitploit.com/2022/02/ntlmrecon-enumerate-information-from.html>
37. NTLMRecon/setup.py at master · pwnfoo/NTLMRecon · GitHub, accessed April 8, 2025, <https://github.com/pwnfoo/NTLMRecon/blob/master/setup.py>
38. pwnfoo profile - The Android Arsenal, accessed April 8, 2025, <https://android-arsenal.com/user/pwnfoo>
39. Decompilers - show-java - The Android Arsenal, accessed April 8, 2025, <https://android-arsenal.com/details/1/5307>
40. melvinabraham/ShieldOS: CLI Based Mock Operating System in C - GitHub, accessed April 8, 2025, <https://github.com/melvinabraham/ShieldOS>
41. dualfade - GitHub, accessed April 8, 2025, <https://github.com/dualfade>
42. Defensive tools - BlackArch, accessed April 8, 2025, <https://blackarch.org/defensive.html>
43. Forensic tools - BlackArch, accessed April 8, 2025, <https://blackarch.org/forensic.html>
44. Donate to BlackArch, accessed April 8, 2025, <https://blackarch.org/donate.html>
45. Stefan Venz ikstream - GitHub, accessed April 8, 2025, <https://github.com/ikstream>
46. Is the project dead? : r/BlackArchOfficial - Reddit, accessed April 8, 2025, https://www.reddit.com/r/BlackArchOfficial/comments/1i42pql/is_the_project_dead/
47. Anyone in infosec community that have steam deck on hand ? : r/SteamDeck - Reddit, accessed April 8, 2025, https://www.reddit.com/r/SteamDeck/comments/tavmaf/anyone_in_infosec_com

- [munity_that_have_steam_deck/](#)
48. blackarch/mirror/mirror.lst at master - GitHub, accessed April 8, 2025, <https://github.com/BlackArch/blackarch/blob/master/mirror/mirror.lst>
 49. ChaosYoda/BlackarchKdeMenu: Blackarch Kde menu ... - GitHub, accessed April 8, 2025, <https://github.com/ChaosYoda/BlackarchKdeMenu>
 50. Alexandre ZANNI - Gitlab FreeDesktop, accessed April 8, 2025, <https://gitlab.freedesktop.org/noraj>
 51. Alexandre ZANNI | Hacktivity - HackerOne, accessed April 8, 2025, <https://hackerone.com/noraj/hacktivity>
 52. Hacker Alexandre Zanni - Security Achievements - CXSECURITY.COM, accessed April 8, 2025, <https://cxsecurity.com/author/Alexandre+Zanni/1/>
 53. noraj/ctf-party: :triangular_flag_on_post: A CLI tool & library to enhance and speed up script/exploit writing with string conversion/manipulation. - GitHub, accessed April 8, 2025, <https://github.com/noraj/ctf-party>
 54. 0006797: Haiti - A CLI tool (and library) to identify the hash type of a given hash. - Kali Linux Bug Tracker, accessed April 8, 2025, <https://bugs.kali.org/view.php?id=6797>
 55. raw.githubusercontent.com, accessed April 8, 2025, <https://raw.githubusercontent.com/BlackArch/blackarch/master/packages/flask-session-cookie-manager/PKGBUILD>
 56. noraj (Alexandre ZANNI) - Cybersecurity engineer & Pentester - Rawsec, accessed April 8, 2025, <https://blog.raw.pm/author/noraj>
 57. PKGBUILD licensing · Issue #4394 · BlackArch/blackarch - GitHub, accessed April 8, 2025, <https://github.com/BlackArch/blackarch/issues/4394>
 58. s7x - GitHub, accessed April 8, 2025, <https://github.com/s7x>
 59. BlackArch/blackarch · GitHub - Workflow runs, accessed April 8, 2025, <https://github.com/blackarch/blackarch/actions>
 60. Issues · BlackArch/blackman - GitHub, accessed April 8, 2025, <https://github.com/BlackArch/blackman/issues>
 61. The BlackArch Linux Guide, accessed April 8, 2025, <https://blackarch.org/blackarch-guide-de.pdf>
 62. accessed December 31, 1969, <https://github.com/Erikdeep-m4gic>
 63. EisWiesel (Alexander Rothenberg) · GitHub, accessed April 8, 2025, <https://github.com/EisWiesel>
 64. Detailed Malware Scan Report - Quttera, accessed April 8, 2025, https://quttera.com/detailed_report/blackarch.org
 65. [SOLVED] Problem with pacman update - Signature is unknown trust / Newbie Corner / Arch Linux Forums, accessed April 8, 2025, <https://bbs.archlinux.org/viewtopic.php?id=143337>
 66. database is not valid after upgrade / AUR Issues, Discussion & PKGBUILD Requests / Arch Linux Forums, accessed April 8, 2025, <https://bbs.archlinux.org/viewtopic.php?id=180174>
 67. Are Arch and BlackArch good choices for Information Security people? / Arch Discussion / Arch Linux Forums, accessed April 8, 2025, <https://bbs.archlinux.org/viewtopic.php?id=224921>

68. Kali vs BlackArch vs Parrot Sec - Beginner Guides - 0x00sec - The Home of the Hacker, accessed April 8, 2025, <https://0x00sec.org/t/kali-vs-blackarch-vs-parrot-sec/25548>
69. Is BlackArch Linux a reliable competitor for Kali Linux in a real life pentest situation? - Reddit, accessed April 8, 2025, https://www.reddit.com/r/cybersecurity/comments/gl02in/is_blackarch_linux_a_reliable_competitor_for_kali/
70. Reader Reviews - DistroWatch.com: Put the fun back into computing. Use Linux, BSD., accessed April 8, 2025, <https://distrowatch.com/dwres.php?resource=ratings&distro=blackarch>
71. Arch vs Black Arch linux? : r/archlinux - Reddit, accessed April 8, 2025, https://www.reddit.com/r/archlinux/comments/18wgkm3/arch_vs_black_arch_linux/
72. You shouldn't use BLACKARCH Linux. Here's Why? - YouTube, accessed April 8, 2025, <https://m.youtube.com/watch?v=IEnvIL4QVbE>
73. A First Look At BlackArch Linux - YouTube, accessed April 8, 2025, <https://m.youtube.com/watch?v=vLoejg-D4Uw>
74. BlackArch Linux Review - Better Than Kali Linux? - YouTube, accessed April 8, 2025, <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=-nljnLV4OUA>
75. BlackArch Review - YouTube, accessed April 8, 2025, <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Gikgdw5AwsW>
76. BlackArch Linux Update - Solving The Errors When Installing Arch Packages Upgrade, accessed April 8, 2025, <https://m.youtube.com/watch?v=u9nfql42thc>
77. BlackArch Linux - Ntegral, accessed April 8, 2025, <https://www.ntegral.com/products/black-arch-linux>
78. BlackArch Linux Essentials - Ntegral, accessed April 8, 2025, <https://www.ntegral.com/products/black-arch-essentials>
79. blackarch-keyring/blackarch.gpg at master - GitHub, accessed April 8, 2025, <https://github.com/BlackArch/blackarch-keyring/blob/master/blackarch.gpg>
80. pacman/Package signing - ArchWiki - Arch Linux, accessed April 8, 2025, https://wiki.archlinux.org/title/Pacman/Package_signing
81. FAQ - Frequently asked questions - BlackArch, accessed April 8, 2025, <https://blackarch.org/faq.html>
82. FAQ - Frequently asked questions - BlackArch, accessed April 8, 2025, <https://www.blackarch.org/faq.html>
83. Update issue:pgp key : r/BlackArchOfficial - Reddit, accessed April 8, 2025, https://www.reddit.com/r/BlackArchOfficial/comments/1g7hehe/update_issuepgp_key/
84. Archlinux keyring fails to update - Manjaro Linux Forum, accessed April 8, 2025, <https://forum.manjaro.org/t/archlinux-keyring-fails-to-update/164313>
85. How to validate PGP Keys? : r/archlinux - Reddit, accessed April 8, 2025, https://www.reddit.com/r/archlinux/comments/tdawk5/how_to_validate_pgp_keys/
86. [Solved] pacman GPG error / Newbie Corner / Arch Linux Forums, accessed April 8, 2025, <https://bbs.archlinux.org/viewtopic.php?id=285615>
87. Pacman can't verify signatures from unknown trust : r/archlinux - Reddit,

- accessed April 8, 2025,
https://www.reddit.com/r/archlinux/comments/140noh8/pacman_cant_verify_signatures_from_unknown_trust/
88. Manually verify package signatures : r/archlinux - Reddit, accessed April 8, 2025,
https://www.reddit.com/r/archlinux/comments/ci9uew/manually_verify_package_signatures/
 89. [SOLVED] Pacman and pacstrap can't verify any package / Installation / Arch Linux Forums, accessed April 8, 2025,
<https://bbs.archlinux.org/viewtopic.php?id=291629>
 90. [SOLVED] verify signatures failed: no such file or directory / Installation / Arch Linux Forums, accessed April 8, 2025,
<https://bbs.archlinux.org/viewtopic.php?id=281695>
 91. Verify archlinux signature - Unix & Linux Stack Exchange, accessed April 8, 2025,
<https://unix.stackexchange.com/questions/594222/verify-archlinux-signature>
 92. Can someone please explain package/aur signing in a simple way? The wiki is too complex for me to understand. : r/archlinux - Reddit, accessed April 8, 2025,
https://www.reddit.com/r/archlinux/comments/uu247k/can_someone_please_explain_packageaur_signing_in/
 93. [SOLVED]How to verify pgp key from pacman? / Newbie Corner / Arch Linux Forums, accessed April 8, 2025,
<https://bbs.archlinux.org/viewtopic.php?id=250481>
 94. Pacman Package Signing – 2: Pacman-key | Allan McRae, accessed April 8, 2025,
<http://allanmcrae.com/2011/08/pacman-package-signing-2-pacman-key/>
 95. pacman - ArchWiki, accessed April 8, 2025, <https://wiki.archlinux.org/title/Pacman>
 96. Adding PGP signature verification for Arch Linux packaging scripts (PKGBUILD) · Issue #9671 · keybase/client - GitHub, accessed April 8, 2025,
<https://github.com/keybase/client/issues/9671>
 97. BlackArch Linux Update - Solving The Errors When Installing Arch Packages Upgrade, accessed April 8, 2025, <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=u9nfgl42thc>
 98. How To Install And Configure Arch Linux For Penetration Testing - YouTube, accessed April 8, 2025, https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=_RxT4hjLo3g
 99. Arch Linux as a Penetration Testing Platform - SupraFortix Blog, accessed April 8, 2025,
<https://suprafortix.wordpress.com/2014/11/27/arch-linux-penetration-testing-platform/>
 100. ERROR: invalid keyring signature · Issue #1822 · BlackArch/blackarch - GitHub, accessed April 8, 2025, <https://github.com/BlackArch/blackarch/issues/1822>
 101. [SOLVED] invalid or corrupted database (PGP signature) / Pacman & Package Upgrade Issues / Arch Linux Forums, accessed April 8, 2025,
<https://bbs.archlinux.org/viewtopic.php?id=202442>
 102. The BlackArch Linux Guide, accessed April 8, 2025,
<https://blackarch.org/guide.pdf>
 103. Problem with Pacman and Blackarch .sig · Issue #1029 - GitHub, accessed April 8, 2025, <https://github.com/BlackArch/blackarch/issues/1029>
 104. Install BlackArch Linux on top of ArchLinux without gnupg / behind a proxy |

Rawsec, accessed April 8, 2025,

<https://blog.raw.pm/en/archlinux-install-blackarch-without-gnupg-behind-proxy/>