Tsinghua-Berkeley Shenzhen Institute LEARNING FROM DATA Fall 2019

Writing Homework 3

TIAN Chenyu

November 12, 2019

- Acknowledgments: This template takes some materials from course CSE 547/Stat 548 of Washington University: https://courses.cs.washington.edu/courses/cse547/17sp/index.html.
- Collaborators: I finish this homework by myself.
- 3.1. Define $P = X (X^{T}X)^{-1} X^{T}$, for a given vector v v = Pv + (v Pv)

If we can prove that Pv is on the column space of X and v - Pv is orthogonal to both Pv and the column space of X, we can prove that matrix P project v onto column space of X.

So this problem is equivalent to prove:

$$Pv \in im(X)$$

 $(Pv)^T(v - Pv) = 0$
 $X^T(v - Pv) = 0$

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{P} \boldsymbol{v} &= \boldsymbol{X} \left(\boldsymbol{X}^{\mathrm{T}} \boldsymbol{X} \right)^{-1} \boldsymbol{X}^{\mathrm{T}} \boldsymbol{v} \\ &= \boldsymbol{X} (\left(\boldsymbol{X}^{\mathrm{T}} \boldsymbol{X} \right)^{-1} \boldsymbol{X}^{\mathrm{T}} \boldsymbol{v}) \end{aligned}$$

Define a vector $\boldsymbol{\theta} = \left(\boldsymbol{X}^{\mathrm{T}} \boldsymbol{X} \right)^{-1} \boldsymbol{X}^{\mathrm{T}} \boldsymbol{v}$, and $\boldsymbol{P} \boldsymbol{v}$ is a linear combination of the column vectors of \boldsymbol{X} .

So it is clear that $Pv \in im(X)$.

$$\begin{split} \boldsymbol{X}^T(\boldsymbol{v} - \boldsymbol{P} \boldsymbol{v}) &= \boldsymbol{X}^{\mathrm{T}} \boldsymbol{v} - \boldsymbol{X}^{\mathrm{T}} \boldsymbol{P} \boldsymbol{v} \\ &= \boldsymbol{X}^{\mathrm{T}} \boldsymbol{v} - \boldsymbol{X}^{T} \boldsymbol{X} \ (\boldsymbol{X}^T \ \boldsymbol{X})^{-1} \ \boldsymbol{X}^T \ \boldsymbol{v} \\ &= \boldsymbol{X}^{\mathrm{T}} \boldsymbol{v} - \boldsymbol{X}^{\mathrm{T}} \boldsymbol{v} \\ &= \boldsymbol{0} \end{split}$$

Thus, $P = X (X^{T}X)^{-1} X^{T}$ project v onto column space of X. So, $\hat{y} = X\theta = X(X^TX)^{-1}X^Ty = Pv$ correspond to an orthogonal projection of the vector y onto the column space of X.

3.2. $p(\boldsymbol{x}|y=0) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2} |\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{0}|^{1/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} (\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{0})^{T} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{0}^{-1} (\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{0})\right)$ $p(\boldsymbol{x}|y=1) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2} |\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{1}|^{1/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} (\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{1})^{T} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{1}^{-1} (\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{1})\right)$

The log likelihood function of QDA is

$$l\left(\phi, \boldsymbol{\mu_0}, \boldsymbol{\mu_1}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma_0}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma_1}\right) = \log \prod_{i=1}^{m} p\left(\boldsymbol{x}^{(i)}, y^{(i)}; \phi, \boldsymbol{\mu_0}, \boldsymbol{\mu_1}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma_0}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma_1}\right)$$
$$= \log \prod_{i=1}^{m} p\left(\boldsymbol{x}^{(i)} | y^{(i)}; \boldsymbol{\mu_0}, \boldsymbol{\mu_1}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma_0}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma_1}\right) \phi_{y^{(i)}}$$

For Σ_0 , we have

$$\frac{\partial l\left(\phi, \boldsymbol{\mu}_{0}, \boldsymbol{\mu}_{1}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{0}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{1}\right)}{\partial \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{0}} = -\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{m} \mathbb{1}(y^{(i)} = 0)}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{0}} \log |\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{0}|
- \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{0}} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \mathbb{1}(y^{i} = 0) \left(\boldsymbol{x}^{(i)} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{0}\right)^{T} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{0}^{-1} \left(\boldsymbol{x}^{(i)} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{0}\right)
= -\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{m} \mathbb{1}(y^{(i)} = 0)}{2} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{0}^{-1}
+ \frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{0}^{-1} \left[\sum_{i=1}^{m} \mathbb{1}(y^{(i)} = 0) \left(\boldsymbol{x}^{(i)} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{0}\right) \left(\boldsymbol{x}^{(i)} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{0}\right)^{T}\right] \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{0}^{-1}
= O$$

which yields that

$$\boldsymbol{\Sigma_0} = \frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^{m} \mathbb{1}(y^{(i)} = 0)} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \mathbb{1}(y^{(i)} = 0) \left(\boldsymbol{x}^{(i)} - \boldsymbol{\mu_0}\right) \left(\boldsymbol{x}^{(i)} - \boldsymbol{\mu_0}\right)^{\mathrm{T}}$$

With same derivation

$$\mathbf{\Sigma_1} = \frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^{m} \mathbb{1}(y^{(i)} = 1)} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \mathbb{1}(y^{(i)} = 1) \left(\mathbf{x}^{(i)} - \boldsymbol{\mu_1} \right) \left(\mathbf{x}^{(i)} - \boldsymbol{\mu_1} \right)^{\mathrm{T}}$$

3.3. (a) Since the data is separable, there exist support vectors which $y_i\left(\boldsymbol{w}^{\mathrm{T}}\boldsymbol{x}_i + b\right) = 1.$

When $y_i = 1$, has constrain $\mathbf{w}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{x}_i + b \ge 1$, and $\min_{\mathbf{w}} \mathbf{w}^{\star \mathrm{T}} \mathbf{x}_i + b^{\star} = 1$;

When $y_i = -1$, has constrain $\boldsymbol{w}^{\mathrm{T}}\boldsymbol{x}_i + b \leq -1$, and $\max_{i:y_i = -1} \boldsymbol{w}^{\star \mathrm{T}}\boldsymbol{x}_i + b^{\star} = -1$; Therefore,

$$\max_{i:y_i=-1} \boldsymbol{w}^{\star \mathrm{T}} \boldsymbol{x}_i + b^{\star} + \min_{i:y_i=1} \boldsymbol{w}^{\star \mathrm{T}} \boldsymbol{x}_i + b^{\star} = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow b^{\star} = -\frac{1}{2} \left(\max_{i:y_i=-1} \boldsymbol{w}^{\star \mathrm{T}} \boldsymbol{x}_i + \min_{i:y_i=1} \boldsymbol{w}^{\star \mathrm{T}} \boldsymbol{x}_i \right)$$

(b) Based on the KKT condition, here exists:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{l} \alpha_{i}^{\star} \left[y_{i} \left(\boldsymbol{w}^{\star T} \boldsymbol{x}_{i} + b^{\star} \right) - 1 \right] = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^{l} \alpha_{i}^{\star} y_{i} \boldsymbol{w}^{\star T} \boldsymbol{x}_{i} + \sum_{i=1}^{l} \alpha_{i}^{\star} y_{i} b^{\star} = \sum_{i=1}^{l} \alpha_{i}^{\star}$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^{l} \alpha_{i}^{\star} y_{i} \boldsymbol{w}^{\star T} \boldsymbol{x}_{i} + b^{\star} \sum_{i=1}^{l} \alpha_{i}^{\star} y_{i} = \sum_{i=1}^{l} \alpha_{i}^{\star}$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^{l} \alpha_{i}^{\star} y_{i} \boldsymbol{w}^{\star T} \boldsymbol{x}_{i} = \sum_{i=1}^{l} \alpha_{i}^{\star}$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^{l} \sum_{j=1}^{l} \alpha_{i}^{\star} \alpha_{j}^{\star} y_{i} y_{j} \left\langle \boldsymbol{x}_{i}, \boldsymbol{x}_{j} \right\rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{l} \alpha_{i}^{\star}$$

Then, using the equation above, it has

$$\frac{1}{2} \|\boldsymbol{w}^{\star}\|_{2}^{2} = \sum_{i=1}^{l} \alpha_{i}^{\star} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{l} \sum_{j=1}^{l} \alpha_{i}^{\star} \alpha_{j}^{\star} y_{i} y_{j} \langle \boldsymbol{x}_{i}, \boldsymbol{x}_{j} \rangle$$
$$= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{l} \alpha_{i}^{\star}$$

3.4. (a) The original problem is

$$\begin{array}{ll} \underset{\boldsymbol{w},b,\boldsymbol{\xi}}{\text{minimize}} & \frac{1}{2} \|\boldsymbol{w}\|_2^2 + C \sum_{i=1}^l \xi_i \\ \text{subject to} & \xi_i \geq 0, \quad i=1,\ldots,l \\ & y_i \left(\boldsymbol{w}^{\mathrm{T}} \boldsymbol{x}_i + b\right) \geq 1 - \xi_i, \quad i=1,\ldots,l \end{array}$$

For the optimal solution,

if $y_i(\boldsymbol{w}^T\boldsymbol{x}_i+b) \geq 1$, because we want to minimize $\sum_{i=1}^l \xi_i$, ξ_i must be 0, which equals to $\ell(y_i, \boldsymbol{w}^T\boldsymbol{x}_i+b)$;

if $y_i(\boldsymbol{w}^T\boldsymbol{x}_i + b) < 1$, because of the constrains, ξ_i must be $1 - y_i(\boldsymbol{w}^T\boldsymbol{x}_i + b)$, which equals to $\ell(y_i, \boldsymbol{w}^T\boldsymbol{x}_i + b)$.

This means if find the solution of the original problem, the solution of (3) in file *wa2* is found. Thus, the problem is equivalent to

$$\underset{\boldsymbol{w},b}{\text{minimize}} \quad \frac{1}{2} \|\boldsymbol{w}\|_{2}^{2} + C \sum_{i=1}^{l} \ell \left(y_{i}, \boldsymbol{w}^{\mathrm{T}} \boldsymbol{x}_{i} + b \right)$$

(b) To prove a convex function

$$f(\boldsymbol{\omega}, b) = \frac{1}{2} \|\boldsymbol{w}\|_2^2 + C \sum_{i=1}^l \ell\left(y_i, \boldsymbol{w}^{\mathrm{T}} \boldsymbol{x}_i + b\right)$$

proof:

$$\begin{aligned} &\|\theta \boldsymbol{w}_{1} + (1-\theta)\boldsymbol{w}_{2}\|_{2}^{2} - \theta\|\boldsymbol{w}_{1}\|_{2}^{2} - (1-\theta)\|\boldsymbol{w}_{2}\|_{2}^{2} \\ &= \theta^{2}\|\boldsymbol{w}_{1}\|_{2}^{2} + 2\theta(1-\theta)\boldsymbol{w}_{1}^{T}\boldsymbol{w}_{2} + (1-\theta)^{2}\|\boldsymbol{w}_{2}\|_{2}^{2} - \theta\|\boldsymbol{w}_{1}\|_{2}^{2} - (1-\theta)\|\boldsymbol{w}_{2}\|_{2}^{2} \\ &= 2\theta(1-\theta)\boldsymbol{w}_{1}^{T}\boldsymbol{w}_{2} - \theta(1-\theta)\|\boldsymbol{w}_{1}\|_{2}^{2} - \theta(1-\theta)\|\boldsymbol{w}_{2}\|_{2}^{2} \\ &\leq 2\theta(1-\theta)\boldsymbol{w}_{1}^{T}\boldsymbol{w}_{2} - \theta\|\boldsymbol{w}_{1}\|_{2}^{2} - \theta\|\boldsymbol{w}_{2}\|_{2}^{2} \\ &\leq -\theta\|\boldsymbol{w}_{1} - \boldsymbol{w}_{2}\|_{2}^{2} \\ &\leq 0 \\ &\Rightarrow \quad \|\theta\boldsymbol{w}_{1} + (1-\theta)\boldsymbol{w}_{2}\|_{2}^{2} \leq \theta\|\boldsymbol{w}_{1}\|_{2}^{2} + (1-\theta)\|\boldsymbol{w}_{2}\|_{2}^{2} \end{aligned}$$

So $\|\boldsymbol{w}\|_2^2$ is a convex function.

$$\ell (y_{i}, (\theta \boldsymbol{w}_{1} + (1 - \theta)\boldsymbol{w}_{2})^{T}\boldsymbol{x}_{i} + \theta b_{1} + (1 - \theta)b_{2})$$

$$= \max\{1 - y_{i} ((\theta \boldsymbol{w}_{1} + (1 - \theta)\boldsymbol{w}_{2})^{T}\boldsymbol{x}_{i} + \theta b_{1} + (1 - \theta)b_{2}), 0\}$$

$$\leq \max\{\theta - y_{i}(\theta \boldsymbol{w}_{1}^{T}\boldsymbol{x}_{i} + \theta b_{1}) + (1 - \theta) - y_{i}((1 - \theta)\boldsymbol{w}_{2}^{T}\boldsymbol{x}_{i} + (1 - \theta)b_{2}), 0\}$$

$$\leq \max\{\theta - y_{i}(\theta \boldsymbol{w}_{1}^{T}\boldsymbol{x}_{i} + \theta b_{1}), 0\} + \max\{(1 - \theta) - y_{i}((1 - \theta)\boldsymbol{w}_{2}^{T}\boldsymbol{x}_{i} + (1 - \theta)b_{2}), 0\}$$

$$\leq \theta \max\{1 - y_{i}(\boldsymbol{w}_{1}^{T}\boldsymbol{x}_{i} + b_{1}), 0\} + (1 - \theta)\max\{1 - y_{i}\boldsymbol{w}_{2}^{T}\boldsymbol{x}_{i} + b_{2}), 0\}$$

$$\leq \theta \ell (y_{i}, \boldsymbol{w}_{1}^{T}\boldsymbol{x}_{i} + b_{1}) + (1 - \theta)\ell (y_{i}, \boldsymbol{w}_{2}^{T}\boldsymbol{x}_{i} + b_{2})$$

So $\ell(\boldsymbol{w}^{\mathrm{T}}\boldsymbol{x}_i + b)$ is a convex function.

The non-negative weighted sum of convex functions is still a convex function. And $C \geq 0$.

Thus the objective function
$$f(\boldsymbol{\omega}, b) = \frac{1}{2} \|\boldsymbol{w}\|_2^2 + C \sum_{i=1}^{l} \ell\left(y_i, \boldsymbol{w}^{\mathrm{T}} \boldsymbol{x}_i + b\right)$$
 is convex.