Tsinghua-Berkeley Shenzhen Institute LEARNING FROM DATA Fall 2019

Homework 1

TIAN Chenyu

October 8, 2019

• Acknowledgments: This template takes some materials from course CSE 547/Stat 548 of Washington University: https://courses.cs.washington.edu/courses/cse547/17sp/index.html.

• Collaborators: I finish this template by myself.

1.1. The log-likelihood of the softmax regression model can be writen as

$$l = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \log \frac{\exp(\boldsymbol{\theta}_{y^{(i)}}^{T} \boldsymbol{x}^{(i)} + b_{y^{(i)}})}{\sum_{j=1}^{k} \exp(\boldsymbol{\theta}_{j}^{T} \boldsymbol{x}^{(i)} + b_{j})}$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{m} [\boldsymbol{\theta}_{y^{(i)}}^{T} \boldsymbol{x}^{(i)} + b_{y^{(i)}} - \log(\sum_{i=1}^{k} \exp(\boldsymbol{\theta}_{j}^{T} \boldsymbol{x}^{(i)} + b_{j}))]$$

(a) Evaluate the derivation of b_l :

$$\frac{\partial l}{\partial b_l} = \sum_{i}^{m} \left[\mathbb{1}(y^{(i)} = l) - \frac{\exp(\boldsymbol{\theta}_l^T \boldsymbol{x}^{(i)} + b_l)}{\sum_{j=1}^{k} \exp(\boldsymbol{\theta}_j^T \boldsymbol{x}^{(i)} + b_j)} \right]$$

The $\mathbb{1}(y^{(i)} = l)$ function is defined as:

$$\mathbb{1}(x=l) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x=l, \\ 0, & \text{if } x \neq l. \end{cases}$$

(b) If we have set the biases to their optimal values, there exists $\frac{\partial \ l}{\partial \ b_l} = 0$. Based on (a):

$$\sum_{i}^{m} \mathbb{1}(y^{(i)} = l) = \frac{\exp(\boldsymbol{\theta}_{l}^{T} \boldsymbol{x}^{(i)} + b_{l})}{\sum_{j=1}^{k} \exp(\boldsymbol{\theta}_{j}^{T} \boldsymbol{x}^{(i)} + b_{j})}$$

Based on the definition of \hat{P}_y (*l*):

$$\hat{P}_{y}(l) = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i}^{m} \frac{\exp(\boldsymbol{\theta}_{l}^{T} \boldsymbol{x}^{(i)} + b_{l})}{\sum_{j=1}^{k} \exp(\boldsymbol{\theta}_{j}^{T} \boldsymbol{x}^{(i)} + b_{j})}]$$

$$= \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i}^{m} \sum_{\boldsymbol{x} \in \boldsymbol{X}} \frac{\exp(\boldsymbol{\theta}_{l}^{T} \boldsymbol{x} + b_{l})}{\sum_{j=1}^{k} \exp(\boldsymbol{\theta}_{j}^{T} \boldsymbol{x}^{(i)} + b_{j})}] \mathbb{1}(\boldsymbol{x}^{(i)} = \boldsymbol{x})$$

$$= \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i}^{m} \sum_{\boldsymbol{x} \in \boldsymbol{X}} P_{(y|\boldsymbol{x})}(l \mid \boldsymbol{x}) \mathbb{1}(\boldsymbol{x}^{(i)} = \boldsymbol{x})$$

$$= \sum_{\boldsymbol{x} \in \boldsymbol{X}} P_{(y|\boldsymbol{x})}(l \mid \boldsymbol{x}) \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i}^{m} \mathbb{1}(\boldsymbol{x}^{(i)} = \boldsymbol{x})$$

$$= \sum_{\boldsymbol{x} \in \boldsymbol{X}} P_{(y|\boldsymbol{x})} \hat{P}_{\boldsymbol{x}}(\boldsymbol{x})$$

1.2. (a) The MLE is to solve

$$\underset{\mu}{\text{maximize}} \prod_{i}^{n} P(x_i \mid \mu)$$

which is equal to:

$$\max_{\mu} \sum_{i}^{n} \log P(x_{i} \mid \mu)$$

$$\Rightarrow \max_{\mu} \sum_{i}^{n} \left(\log \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi \delta^{2}}} - \frac{(x - \mu)^{2}}{2 \delta^{2}}\right)$$

$$\Rightarrow \min_{\mu} \sum_{i}^{n} (x_{i} - \mu)^{2}$$

Here we define $f(\mu)=\sum_i^n (x_i-\mu)^2$ to find the μ^* . There exists $\frac{\partial f}{\partial \mu}=2\sum_i^n (\mu-x_i)=0$ when $\mu=\mu^*$. Then $\mu^*=\frac{\sum_i^n x_i}{n}$.

(b) The MAP problem can be writen as:

$$\underset{\mu}{\text{maximize}} P(\mu \mid x_1, ..., x_n)$$

which is equal to:

$$\begin{aligned} & \underset{\mu}{\operatorname{maximize}} P(\mu \mid x_1, ..., x_n) \\ & \Rightarrow & \underset{\mu}{\operatorname{maximize}} \ P(x_1, ..., x_n \mid \mu) P(\mu) \\ & \Rightarrow & \underset{\mu}{\operatorname{maximize}} \ \prod_{i}^{n} P(x_i \mid \mu) P(\mu) \\ & \Rightarrow & \underset{\mu}{\operatorname{maximize}} \ \sum_{i}^{n} \log P(x_i \mid \mu) + \log P(\mu) \\ & \Rightarrow & \underset{\mu}{\operatorname{maximize}} \ \sum_{i}^{n} \ -\frac{(x_i - \mu)^2}{2\delta^2} - \log \sqrt{2\pi\theta^2} \ - \ \frac{(\mu - \nu)^2}{2 \delta^2} \\ & \Rightarrow & \underset{\mu}{\operatorname{minimize}} \ \sum_{i}^{n} \ \frac{(x_i - \mu)^2}{2\delta^2} + \frac{(\mu - \nu)^2}{2 \theta^2} \end{aligned}$$

Here we define $g(\mu) = \sum_i^n \frac{(x_i - \mu)^2}{2\delta^2} + \frac{(\mu - \nu)^2}{2 \theta^2}$ to find the μ^* . There exists $\frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} = \sum_i^n \frac{\mu(\mu - x_i)}{\delta^2} + \frac{\mu(\mu - \nu)}{\theta^2} = 0$ when $\mu = \mu^*$. Then $\mu^* = \frac{\sum_i^n x_i + \delta^2 \nu}{\theta^2 n + \delta^2}$. When $n \to \infty$, μ^* for MLE and MAP is equal.

1.3. First, the square error can be written as

$$J(\mathbf{\Theta}) = rac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{l} ((\mathbf{\Theta}^{T} \mathbf{x}^{(i)})_{j} - \mathbf{y}_{j}^{(i)})^{2}$$

$$= rac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{l} (\sum_{k=1}^{n} \mathbf{\Theta}_{kj} \mathbf{x}_{k}^{(i)} - \mathbf{y}_{j}^{(i)})^{2}$$

In order to compute the solution, it needs to find the minimum $J(\Theta)$ where $\frac{\partial}{\partial \Theta} J = 0$. To find the solution, the derivative is:

$$egin{aligned} rac{\partial\ J}{\partial\ oldsymbol{\Theta}_{lphaeta}} &= \sum_{i=1}^m (oldsymbol{x}_lpha^{(i)} (\sum_k^n oldsymbol{\Theta}_{keta} oldsymbol{x}_k^{(i)} - oldsymbol{y}_eta^{(i)})) \ &= \sum_{i=1}^m (oldsymbol{x}_lpha^{(i)} (oldsymbol{\Theta}_eta^T oldsymbol{x}^{(i)} - oldsymbol{y}_eta^{(i)})) \end{aligned}$$

Then we have $\frac{\partial}{\partial} \frac{J}{\Theta} = 0$, which means:

$$egin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^m oldsymbol{x}_lpha^{(i)}(oldsymbol{\Theta}_eta^T oldsymbol{x}^{(i)}) &= \sum_{i=1}^m oldsymbol{x}_lpha^{(i)} oldsymbol{y}_eta^{(i)}) \ &\Rightarrow oldsymbol{X}_lpha^T oldsymbol{X} oldsymbol{\Theta}_eta &= oldsymbol{X}_lpha^T oldsymbol{Y}_eta \ &\Rightarrow oldsymbol{X}^T oldsymbol{X} oldsymbol{\Theta}_eta &= oldsymbol{X}^T oldsymbol{Y}_eta \ \end{aligned}$$

So, for $\beta \in (1, 2, ..., l)$ we have

$$\boldsymbol{\Theta}_{\beta} = (\boldsymbol{X}^T \boldsymbol{X})^{-1} \boldsymbol{X}^T \boldsymbol{y}_{\beta}$$

Also,

$$\mathbf{\Theta} = (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{u}$$

1.4. Based on Σ is symmetrical as well as the properties of matrix trace, the multivariate normal distribution can be written as

$$\begin{split} P_{\boldsymbol{y}}(\boldsymbol{y};\boldsymbol{\mu},\boldsymbol{\Sigma}) &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{n}{2}}|\boldsymbol{\Sigma}|^{\frac{1}{2}}} \exp(-\frac{1}{2}(\boldsymbol{y}-\boldsymbol{\mu})^T \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1}(\boldsymbol{y}-\boldsymbol{\mu})) \\ &= (2\pi)^{-\frac{n}{2}}|\boldsymbol{\Sigma}|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \exp(-\frac{1}{2}(\boldsymbol{y}^T \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} - \boldsymbol{\mu}^T \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1})(\boldsymbol{y}-\boldsymbol{\mu})) \\ &= (2\pi)^{-\frac{n}{2}}|\boldsymbol{\Sigma}|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \exp(-\frac{1}{2}(\boldsymbol{y}^T \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} \boldsymbol{y} - \boldsymbol{\mu}^T \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} \boldsymbol{y} - \boldsymbol{y}^T \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\mu} + \boldsymbol{\mu}^T \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\mu}) \\ &= (2\pi)^{-\frac{n}{2}}|\boldsymbol{\Sigma}|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \exp(-\frac{1}{2}tr(\boldsymbol{y}^T \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} \boldsymbol{y} - \boldsymbol{\mu}^T \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} \boldsymbol{y} - \boldsymbol{y}^T \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\mu} + \boldsymbol{\mu}^T \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\mu}) \\ &= (2\pi)^{-\frac{n}{2}}|\boldsymbol{\Sigma}|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \exp(-\frac{1}{2}(tr(\boldsymbol{y}^T \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} \boldsymbol{y}) - tr(\boldsymbol{\mu}^T \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} \boldsymbol{y}) - tr(\boldsymbol{y}^T \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\mu}) + tr(\boldsymbol{\mu}^T \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\mu})) \\ &= (2\pi)^{-\frac{n}{2}}|\boldsymbol{\Sigma}|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \exp(tr(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\mu}) \boldsymbol{y} - \frac{1}{2}tr(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} \boldsymbol{y} \boldsymbol{y}^T) - \frac{1}{2}\boldsymbol{\mu}^T \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\mu}) \end{split}$$

Thus, we can see that multivariate normal distribution is an exponential

family with:

$$\eta = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\mu} \\ -\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$$
$$b(\boldsymbol{y}) = (2\pi)^{-\frac{n}{2}} |\mathbf{\Sigma}|^{-\frac{1}{2}}$$
$$T(\boldsymbol{y}) = \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{y} \\ \boldsymbol{y} \boldsymbol{y}^T \end{pmatrix}$$
$$a(\eta) = \frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{\mu}^T \mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\mu}$$