

## ISyE 7406: Hints to Problem 2 of HW#1

**Problem 2.** Consider a simple linear regression model  $Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x + \epsilon$ . Suppose that we choose  $m$  different values of the independent variables  $x_i$ 's, and each choice of  $x_i$  is duplicated, yielding  $k$  independent observations  $Y_{i1}, Y_{i2}, \dots, Y_{ik}$ . Is it true that the least squares estimates of the intercept and slope can be found by doing a regression of the mean responses,  $\bar{Y}_i = (Y_{i1} + Y_{i2} + \dots + Y_{ik})/k$ , on the  $x_i$ 's? Why or why not? Explain.

**Remarks:** As in Problem 1, there are two kinds of linear regressions: one is based on a total of  $n = mk$  “raw” observations  $(Y_i, x_i)$ 's, and the other is based on the  $m$  “average” observations  $(\bar{Y}_i, x_i)$ 's. If you have difficulty to investigate the general  $k$  case, it will be OK to consider  $k = 2$  case!

**Hints:** You may have difficulty to do this “theoretical” question, which is the extension of Problem #1. Below we will give the hints for the case  $k = 2$ .

First, you need to understand the simple linear regression. Please note that while I did not discuss it specifically in class, I assume you learned it from your undergrad class, or you can easily derive it from the general linear regression results we discussed in class. For your information, I provide a brief review below.

In the simple linear regression, we assume we observe  $n$  observations  $(Y_i, X_i)$ , and we want to fit the model  $Y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_i + \epsilon_i$  where  $\epsilon_i \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$ . As in the lecture note, to estimate  $\beta_0$  and  $\beta_1$ , the method of least squares is to find  $b_0$  and  $b_1$  that minimizes

$$SS_{err} = \sum_{i=1}^n [Y_i - (b_0 + b_1 x_i)]^2. \quad (1)$$

and

$$\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{SS_{err}}{n - 2}$$

You can write them in the matrix notation of  $Y_{n \times 1} = X_{n \times 2} \beta_{2 \times 1} + \epsilon_{n \times 1}$ , where

$$Y_{n \times 1} = \begin{pmatrix} Y_1 \\ Y_2 \\ \dots \\ Y_n \end{pmatrix}; \quad X_{n \times 2} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_1 \\ 1 & x_2 \\ \dots & \dots \\ 1 & x_n \end{pmatrix}; \quad \beta_{2 \times 1} = \begin{pmatrix} \beta_0 \\ \beta_1 \end{pmatrix};$$

You can use our general results (or directly minimize  $SS_{err}$  by taking derivatives with respect to  $b_0$  and  $b_1$ ) to find the point estimates have a simple form:

$$\hat{\beta}_1 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})(Y_i - \bar{Y})}{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2} \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{\beta}_0 = \bar{Y} - \hat{\beta}_1 \bar{x}, \quad (2)$$

where  $\bar{x} = (x_1 + \dots + x_n)/n$  and  $\bar{Y} = (Y_1 + \dots + Y_n)/n$ . The corresponding  $100(1 - \alpha)\%$  confidence intervals will be

$$\hat{\beta}_1 \pm t_{\alpha/2, n-2} \frac{\hat{\sigma}}{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2}} \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{\beta}_0 \pm t_{\alpha/2, n-2} \hat{\sigma} \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} + \frac{(\bar{x})^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2}}. \quad (3)$$

Now let us go back to our problem for  $k = 2$ . We want to fit the simple linear regression to two datasets.

(1) The full data set has  $2m$  observations, and the observations are

$$\begin{aligned} &(Y_{11}, x_1) \\ &(Y_{12}, x_1) \\ &(Y_{21}, x_2) \\ &(Y_{22}, x_2) \\ &\dots \\ &(Y_{m1}, x_m) \\ &(Y_{m2}, x_m). \end{aligned}$$

(2) The “average” data set has  $m$  observations, and the observations are

$$\begin{aligned} (\bar{Y}_1, x_1) & \quad \text{with} \quad \bar{Y}_1 = (Y_{11} + Y_{12})/2 \\ (\bar{Y}_2, x_2) & \quad \text{with} \quad \bar{Y}_2 = (Y_{21} + Y_{22})/2 \\ & \quad \quad \quad \dots \\ (\bar{Y}_m, x_m) & \quad \text{with} \quad \bar{Y}_m = (Y_{m1} + Y_{m2})/2 \end{aligned}$$

The question asks you whether the point estimates and confidence intervals of  $\beta_0$  and  $\beta_1$  are the same or not when fitting the simple linear regression to these two different data sets.

To provide a further hint, let us consider equation (1) for these two data sets.

For the full data set of  $n = 2m$  observations, (1) can be rewritten as

$$SS_{err,1} = \sum_{i=1}^m \left( y_{i_1} - (b_0 + b_1 x_i) \right)^2 + \sum_{i=1}^m \left( y_{i_2} - (b_0 + b_1 x_i) \right)^2,$$

which has  $2m$  terms.

Meanwhile, for the “average” data set, we only have  $n = m$  observations, and (1) can be rewritten as

$$SS_{err,2} = \sum_{i=1}^m \left( \bar{y}_i - (b_0 + b_1 x_i) \right)^2,$$

which only has  $m$  terms.

Do these two datasets or approaches lead to the same solution of  $(b_0, b_1)$ , i.e., the same point estimates of  $\beta_0$  or  $\beta_1$  in (2)?

As for the confidence intervals for  $\beta_0$  or  $\beta_1$  in (3), most of you realize that the sample size  $n$  are different for these two different approaches, and thus the  $t_{\alpha/2, n-2}$  values are different. However, how about other terms in (3)? E.g., the  $\hat{\sigma}$  values in these two different approaches?