2 Infinite-size DMRG

The goal of this problem is to implement the infinite-size DMRG (iDMRG) for the anti-ferromagnetic S = 1/2 Heisenberg chain with open boundary conditions,

$$\hat{H}_{\text{Heisenberg}} = \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} \left[\hat{S}_i^z \hat{S}_j^z + \frac{1}{2} (\hat{S}_i^+ \hat{S}_j^- + \hat{S}_i^- \hat{S}_j^+) \right],$$

and the Ising model in a transverse field with open boundary conditions,

$$\hat{H}_{\text{Ising}} = \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} \hat{S}_i^z \hat{S}_j^z + \lambda \sum_i \hat{S}_i^x,$$

where \hat{S}_i^{α} are local spin-1/2 operators on site i. Here we refer to the figures and equations of Ref. 1.

- (a) Implement the iDMRG for translation invariant systems of a two-site unit cell. The procedure is summarized in Fig. 1.
- (b) Benchmark your implementation by computing the ground state energy per site for the Heisenberg model and compare with the exact result for an infinite chain $e_0 = (1/4) \ln(2)$ [2]. The energy per site e_0 can be extracted from the difference between total energies E_0 for two consecutive iDMRG iteration, $e_0(N) = [E_0(N) E_0(N-2)]/2$. Check your results with different bond dimensions D = 20, 30, 40, 50. (With D = 20 or 50, you would be able to achieve decent accuracy in energy $\approx 10^{-4}$ or 10^{-5} , respectively.)
- (c) Verify the claim in Ref. 1 that the trial wave function used in the step 4 of Fig. 1 is a good choice, by computing the fidelity $1 \langle \Psi_n^{\text{trial}} | \Psi_n \rangle$. Make a plot similar to Fig. 2 for the Heisenberg model.
- (d) Analyze the behavior of iDMRG for the Ising model at the critical point $\lambda = 1/2$ by studying the spectrum of the transfer operator [see Eq. (28)]. Make a plot similar to Fig. 4.

References

- [1] I. P. McCulloch, arXiv:0804.2509 (2008).
- [2] S. R. White, Phys. Rev. Lett. **69**, 2863 (1992).