The Serverless Application Analytics Framework: Reproducible Performance for Performance Modeling

Robert Cordingly
School of Engineering and Technology
University of Washington
Tacoma WA USA
rcording@uw.edu

Wen Shu
School of Engineering and Technology
University of Washington
Tacoma WA USA
shuwen12@uw.edu

Wes J. Lloyd
School of Engineering and Technology
University of Washington
Tacoma WA USA
wlloyd@uw.edu

TABLE I. PERFORMANCE MODEL RESULTS SCNMT2 WORKLOADS

DAM (44-)	256MB (13)	512MB (6)		1024MB (3)		2048MB (1)	
RAM (tenants)	Avg runtime (ms), % Error, RMSE, deg of freedom						
CPU a1→a2	18173, 0.5%, 199, 127	8189, 1.3%, 221, 58		3741, 0.11%, 36.0, 28		2580, 0.4%, 18.2, 155	
CPU a1→a3	16532, 0.1%, 224, 151		5, 0.3%, 7, 414	4218, 0.0 102, , 4		2447, 0.3%, 14.4, 265	
CPU a2→a3	17524, 0.4%, 181, 127	7844, 0.08%, 55.7, 58		3575, 0.24%, 43.6, 28		2487, 0.54%, 18.5, 155	
CDII (-4 -2 -2)	CPU a1		CPU a2		CPU a3		
CPU (a1,a2,a3)	Avg runtime (ms), % Err, RMSE, deg of freedom						
256(13)→512(6)	10324, 0.28%, 199, 414		8189, 0.13%, 48.0, 58		7722, 0.076%, 41.1, 151		
256(13)→1024(3	5252, 0.6 124, 40		3731, 0.44%, 22.1, 28		3894, 0.0049%, 33.0, 151		
256(13)→2048(1) 2408, 0.3 19.0, 2		2578, 0.04%, 12.2, 127		2346, 0.33%, 17.3, 151		

TABLE II. PERFORMANCE MODEL RESULTS SCMT2 WORKLOADS

RAM	25	256MB (13) 512M		B (6)	1024MB	(3)	2048MB (1)	
(tenants)	Avg runtime (ms), % Error, RMSE, deg of freedom							
CPU a1→a2		74, 0.7%, 59, 127	23440 (286.	,	10239, 0.5 118, 28	,	6450, 0.63%, 115, 157	
CPU a1→a3		62, .38%, 87, 151	27281, 2912.	,	13373, 1.8 1516, 40	,	7240, 1.84%, 954, 266	
CPU a2→a3		7203, .49%, 25074,.0 494, 127 283,			11090,.431%, 174, 28		7011, 4.66%, 900, 157	
0011 (4 0 0)		CPU a1		CPU a2		CPU a3		
CPU (a1, a2,	as)	Avg runtime (ms), % Err, RMSE, deg of freedom						
256(13)→512(6) 48053, 0.3 6648, 4		,			24936, 0.49%, 235, 151			
256(13)→1024	(3) 21088, 0.0 2832, 40			10239, 0.555%, 106, 28		12241, 1.02%, 281, 151		
256(13)→2048	256(13)→2048(1) 8116, 1. 1138, 2			6505, 1.42%, 135, 127		6872, 0.69%, 280, 151		

TABLE III. PERFORMANCE MODEL RESULTS SCSMT2 WORKLOADS

RAM	25	56MB (13) 512I		B (6)	1024MB	(3)	2048MB (1)	
(tenants)	Avg runtime (ms), % Error, RMSE, deg of freedom							
CPU a1→a2	41820,0.59% , 1273, 1414		20840,.312%, 728, 652		10174,0.54%, 373, 325		4699, .205%, 321, 1739	
CPU a1→a3	44162,0.65% , 2037, 1613				9831, 4.30%, 1290, 4478		5052, 3.16%, 732, 2938	
CPU a2→a3	46539, .24%, 763, 1414		22212,0.56%, 403, 652		10585,0.09%, 318, 325		5173,0.388%, 507, 1739	
0011 (4 0 0)		CPU a1		CPU a2		CPU a3		
CPU (a1, a2,	as)	Avg runtime (ms), % Err, RMSE, deg of freedom						
256(13)→512(6) °		35216, 0 8389, 4			0, 0.39%, 5, 652	19711, 0.0476%, 725, 1613		
256(13)→1024)→1024(3) 14645, 0 2625, 4		, l		3, 1.02%, 8, 325	9049, 1.212%, 838, 1613		
256(13)→2048(1) 5004, 3. 1164, 2				0.401%, I, 1414	4639, 3.38%, 1110, 1613			

TABLE IV. PERFORMANCE MODEL RESULTS SCNMT2 AWS→IBM

RAM (tenants)	256MB (13 / 32)	512MB (6 / 16)	1024MB (3 / 8)	2048MB (1 / 4)			
ro un (conanto)	Avg runtime (ms), % Error, RMSE, deg of freedom						
CPU a1→i1	n/a	17666,4.3%,	8013,03.37%	4340,2.38%,			
		1196, 142	, 665, 236	559, 139			
CPU a1→i2	n/a	16231,4.1%,	7794,1.37%,	3639,0.70%,			
		1991, 369	674, 395	186, 265			
CPU a1→i3	30124,4.49%,	13960, .13%,	6611. 1.40%,	2920,.166%,			
	1752, 171	1176, 99	287, 49	41.49, 42			
CPU a1→i4	24864, 2.7%,	12437,5.5%,	6641, 3.76%,	2549, 3.47%,			
	2298, 200	2357, 85	633, 70	187, 24			