

The Beast programming language

Daniel Čejchan*



Abstract

This paper introduces a new compiled, imperative, object-oriented, C-family programming language, particularly inspired by C++ and D. Most notably, the language implements a new concept called *code hatching* (also a subject of this paper) that unifies templating, compile-time function execution, reflection and metaprogramming in general. The project also includes a proof-of-concept compiler (more precisely transcompiler to C) called Dragon that demonstrates core elements of the language and the code hatching concept (downloadable from the Git repository).

Keywords: Programming language — CTFE — code hatching — compile time — metaprogramming — ctime — Beast

Supplementary Material: [Git repository \(github.com/beast-lang/beast-dragon\)](https://github.com/beast-lang/beast-dragon)

*xcejch00@stud.fit.vutbr.cz, Faculty of Information Technology, Brno University of Technology

1. Introduction

There are two ways of how to approach introducing Beast – either it can be referred as a programming language designed to provide a better alternative for C++ programmers or as a programming language that implements the code catching concept, which introduces vast metaprogramming and compile-time computing possibilities.

As a C++ alternative, the language provides syntax and functionality similar to C++, but adds features designed to increase coding comfort, code readability and safety. The most notable changes are:

- Instead of header/source files, Beast has modules with importing system similar to D or Java.
- Beast variables are const-by-default. As C++ has the `const` keyword to mark variables constant, Beast has the `type!` suffix operator to make variables not constant.
- References and pointers are designed differently. In Beast, references are rebindable and can be

used in most cases where C++ pointers would be used. Only when pointer arithmetic is needed, Beast pointers have to be used.

- The `#` symbol is valid for identifiers. It is used as a prefix symbol for reflection or compiler-related properties and functions such as `variable.#type`, `Type.#instanceSize` or `var.#implicitCast(TargetType)`.

There are many smaller changes; those are (or will be) documented in the language reference and bachelor thesis text (both downloadable from the Git repository).

The main innovation of Beast is its *code hatching* concept, which unifies formerly standalone concepts of templates, compile-time function execution (CTFE), compile-time reflection and metaprogramming in general (conditional compilation, etc.). The concept blurs borders between standard and templated functions, between code and *metacode* (in C++, an example of metacode would be preprocessor directives or template declarations).

42 The D programming language, which Beast is in-
 43 spired by the most, offers all of the functionality men-
 44 tioned above, however the concepts are implemented
 45 rather in the standalone way. Code hatching brings
 46 improvement to the following aspects:

- 47 1. D has a dedicated template argument list similar
 48 to C++ (the syntax is !(args) instead of <args>
 49 and the ! is omitted in declarations), making
 50 compile-time parameters clearly separated from
 51 runtime ones. Functions that differ only in one
 52 parameter being compile-time (template) or not
 53 have an extensively different syntax.
 54 Beast has one common parameter list for run-
 55 time and compile-time parameters, resulting in
 56 zero syntax difference between runtime and com-
 57 pile-time parameters. It is even possible to use
 58 parameters in a single function declaration to
 59 work both as runtime or compile-time depend-
 60 ing on the context – if the provided argument can
 61 be evaluated at compile time, it is considered a
 62 template parameter, otherwise it is considered
 63 to be runtime.
- 64 2. The D programming language does not have
 65 mutable compile-time variables, which makes
 66 solving some problems impossible with iteration,
 67 forcing programmers to use recursion (or
 68 mixins), which often results in a hardly-readable
 69 code. Beast supports mutable compile-time vari-
 70 ables.

```

1 // D code
2 void format( Args ... )( string fmt, Args
  args ) { ... }
3 void format( string fmt, Args ... )( Args
  args ) { ... }
4
5 void main() {
6   auto rt = format( "%s, %i worlds",
  "hello", 5 );
7
8   auto ct = format!( "%s, %i worlds" )(
  "hello", 5 );
9 }
```

Figure 1. Usage of the format function in the D programming language (similar to printf in C). Second format definition and function call accept the fmt string as a template parameter, resulting in the string formatting code being generated at compile time.

```

1 String format( @autotime string fmt, auto
  arg ... ) { ... }
2
3 Void main() {
4   auto rt = format( Console.readLine, "hello",
  5 );
5
6   auto ct = format( "%s, %i worlds", "hello",
  5 );
7 }
```

Figure 2. Beast code corresponding to D code in Figure 1. In the first format function call, the fmt argument cannot be evaluated at compile time, resulting in it being considered a runtime parameter. In the second function call, the argument can be evaluated at compile time, resulting in it being treated as @ctime (compile-time, template) and in string formatting code being generated at compile time.

```

1 // D code
2 template memberTypes1( Type ) {
3   alias memberTypes1 = helper!( __traits(
  allMembers, Type ) );
4
5   template helper( string[] members ) {
6     static if( members.length )
7       alias helper = TypeTuple!(
8         typeof( __traits( getMember, Type,
9           members[ 0 ] ) ),
10         helper!( member[ 1 .. $ ]
11         );
12     else
13       alias helper = TypeTuple!();
14   }
15
16   template memberTypes2( Type ) {
17     mixin( {
18       string[] result;
19
20       foreach( memberName; __traits(
21         allMembers, Type ) )
22         result ~=
23           "typeof( __traits( getMember, Type,
24             %s ) )"
25             .format( memberName );
26       return "TypeTuple!( %s )".format(
27         result.joiner( ", " ) );
28     } );
29 }
```

Figure 3. Two approaches of writing a 'function' returning a TypeTuple (compile-time analogy to an array) of types of members of given type Type in the D programming language. First approach uses recursion, second one mixins.

```

1 | @ctime Type[] memberTypes( Type T ) {
2 |     Type[]! result;
3 |
4 |     foreach( auto member; T.#members )
5 |         result ~= member.#type;
6 |
7 |     return result;
8 | }

```

Figure 4. Beast function corresponding to D 'functions' from Figure 3. The function returns array of types of members of given type τ .

2. Principles of code hatching

The code hatching concept is based on a simple idea – having a classifier for variables whose value is deducible during compile time. In Beast, those variables are classified using the @ctime decorator (usage @ctime Int x;). Local @ctime variables can be mutable; because Beast declarations are not processed in order as they are declared in source code, order of evaluation of expressions modifying static @ctime variables cannot be decided; that means that static @ctime variables cannot be mutable. All @ctime variable manipulations are evaluated at compile time.

@ctime variables can also be included within a standard code (although their mutation can never depend on non-@ctime variables or inputs).

```

1 | @ctime Int z = 5;
2 |
3 | Void main() {
4 |     @ctime Int! x = 8;
5 |     Int! y = 16;
6 |     y += x + z;
7 |     x += 3;
8 | }

```

Figure 5. Example of mixing @ctime and non-@ctime variables in Beast

Concept of variables completely evaluable at compile time brings a possibility of having type variables (only @ctime, runtime type variables cannot be effectively done in compiled, statically typed languages). As a consequence, class and type definitions in general can be considered @ctime constant variables (thus first-class citizens).

```

1 | Void main() {
2 |     @ctime Type! T = Int;
3 |     T x = 5;
4 |     T = Bool;
5 |     T b = false;
6 | }

```

Figure 6. Example of using type variables in Beast

Having type variables, templates can be viewed as functions with @ctime parameters, for example class templates can be viewed functions returning a type

With @ctime variables, generics, instead of being a standalone concept, become a natural part of the language.

```

1 | auto readFromStream( @ctime Type T, Stream! stream )
2 | {
3 |     T result;
4 |     stream.readData( result.#addr,
5 |                     result.#sizeof );
6 |     return result;
7 | }
8 |
9 | Void main() {
10 |     Int x = readFromStream( Int, stream );
11 | }

```

Figure 7. Example of function with @ctime parameters in Beast

Adding compile-time reflection is just a matter of adding compiler-defined functions returning appropriate @ctime data.

The @ctime decorator can also be used on more syntactical constructs than just variable definitions:

- @ctime code blocks are entirely performed at compile time.
- @ctime branching statements (if, while, for, etc.) are performed at compile time (not their bodies, just branch unwrapping).
- @ctime functions are always executed at compile time and all their parameters are @ctime.
- @ctime expressions are always evaluated at compile time.
- @ctime class instances can only exist as @ctime variables (for instance, Type is a @ctime class)

To make the code hatching concept work, it is necessary to ensure that @ctime variables are truly evaluable at compile time. That is realized by the following rules. Their deduction can be found in author's bachelor thesis [1] (downloadable from the Github repository).

1. @ctime variables cannot be data-dependent on non-@ctime variables.
 - (a) Data of non-@ctime variables cannot be assigned into @ctime variables.
 - (b) It is not possible to change @ctime variables declared in a different runtime scope; for example it is not possible to change @ctime variables from a non-@ctime if body if they were declared outside it.
2. Static @ctime variables must not be mutable.
3. If a variable is @ctime, all its member variables (as class members) are also @ctime.
4. If a reference/pointer is @ctime, the referenced data is also @ctime.

- 134 5. @ctime variables can only be accessed as constants in a runtime code.
- 135
- 136 6. @ctime references/pointers are cast to pointers/references to constant data when accessed from
- 137 runtime code.
- 138
- 139 7. A non-@ctime class cannot contain member @ctime
- 140 variables.

```

1  Void main() {
2      @ctime if( true )
3          println( "Hello, %s!".format( "world" ) );
4      else
5          println( "Nay! );
6
7      @ctime for( Int! x = 0; x < 3; x ++ )
8          print( x );
9
10     print( @ctime "Goodbye, %s!".format(
11         "world" ) );
12 }
13 // Is processed into:
14 Void main() {
15     println( "Hello, %s!".format( "world" ) );
16     print( 0 );
17     print( 1 );
18     print( 2 );
19     print( "Goodbye, world!" );
20 }

```

Figure 8. Example usage of the @ctime decorator in Beast

141 3. Existing solutions

142 Beast is inspired by the D Programming Language [2].
143 Differences of Beast are described above in this paper.
144 Among imperative compiled languages, there are
145 no other well-established programming languages with
146 such metaprogramming capabilities. However, re-
147 cently several new programming language projects
148 introducing compile-time capabilities emerged – for
149 example Nim [3], Crystal [4], Ante [5] or Zig [6].
150 From the list, Zig is the most similar language to Beast.
151 Author of Beast and the code hatching concept was not
152 aware of existence of Zig during the language design,
153 so the two languages emerged independently.

154 Acknowledgements

155 I would like to thank my supervisor, Zbyněk Křivka,
156 for his supervision, and Stefan Koch (on the internet
157 known as UplinkCoder) for the time he spent chatting
158 with me about this project.

159 References

- 160 [1] Daniel Čejchan. Compiler for a new modular
- 161 programming language, 2017.
- [2] D programming language, c1999-2017.

- [3] Nim programming language, c2015. 163
- [4] The crystal programming language, c2015. 164
- [5] Ante: The compile-time language, 2015. 165
- [6] The zig programming language, 2015. 166

```

1  class C {
2
3      @public:
4          Int! x; // Int! == mutable Int
5
6      @public:
7          // Operator overloading, constant-value
8              parameters
9          Int #opBinary(
10              Operator.binPlus,
11              Int other
12          )
13          {
14              return x + other;
15          }
16      }
17
18      enum Enum {
19          a, b, c;
20
21          // Enum member functions
22          Enum invertedValue() {
23              return c - this;
24          }
25      }
26
27      String foo( Enum e, @ctime Type T ) {
28          // T is a 'template' parameter
29          // 'template' and normal parameters are in
30              the same parentheses
31          return e.to( String ) + T.#identifier;
32      }
33
34      Void main() {
35          @ctime Type T! = Int; // Type variables!
36          T x = 3;
37
38          T = C;
39          T! c := new C(); // C!? - reference to a
40              mutable object, := reference assignment
41              operator
42          c.x = 5;
43
44          // Compile-time function execution, :XXX
45              accessor that looks in parameter type
46          @ctime String s = foo( :a, Int );
47          stdout.writeln( s );
48
49          stdout.writeln( c + x ); // Writes 8
50          stdout.writeln(
51              c.#opBinary.#parameters[1].type.#identifier
52          ); // Compile-time reflection
53      }

```

Figure 9. Beast features showcase (currently uncompileable by the proof-of-concept compiler)