

The Beast programming language

Daniel Čejchan*



Abstract

This paper introduces a new compiled, imperative, object-oriented, C-family programming language, particularly inspired by C++ and D. Most notably, the language implements a new concept called *code hatching* (also a subject of this paper) that unifies templating, compile-time function execution, reflection and generally metaprogramming. The project also includes a proof-of-concept compiler (more precisely transcompiler to C) called Dragon that demonstrates core elements of the language (downloadable from the Git repository).

Keywords: Programming language — CTFE — code hatching — compile time — metaprogramming — ctime — Beast

Supplementary Material: [Git repository \(github.com/beast-lang/beast-dragon\)](https://github.com/beast-lang/beast-dragon)

*xcejch00@stud.fit.vutbr.cz, Faculty of Information Technology, Brno University of Technology

1. Introduction

There are two ways of how to approach introducing Beast – either it can be referred as a programming language designed to provide a better alternative for C++ programmers or as a programming language that implements the code catching concept, which introduces vast metaprogramming and compile-time computing possibilities.

As a C++ alternative, the language provides syntax and functionality similar to C++, but adds features designed to increase coding comfort, code readability and safety. The most notable changes are:

- Instead of header/source files, Beast has modules with importing system similar to D or Java.
- Beast variables are const-by-default. As C++ had the **const** keyword to mark variables constant, Beast has the **Type!** suffix operator to make variables not constant.
- References and pointers are designed differently. In Beast, references are rebindable and can be

used in most cases where C++ pointer would be used. Only when pointer arithmetic is needed, Beast pointers have to be used.

- The **#** symbol is valid for identifiers. It is used as a prefix symbol for reflection or compiler related properties and functions such as `variable.#type`, `code.#instanceSize` or `var.#implicitCast(TargetType)`.

There are many smaller changes; those are (or will be) documented in the language reference and bachelor thesis text (both downloadable from the Git repository).

The main innovation of Beast is its *code hatching* concept, which unifies formerly more or less standalone concepts of templates, compile-time function execution (CTFE), compile-time reflection and metaprogramming generally (conditional compilation, etc.). It blurs borders between standard and templated functions, between code and *metacode* (in C++, an example of metacode would be preprocessor directives or template declarations).

42 The D programming language, which Beast is in-
43 spired by the most, offers all of the functionality men-
44 tioned above, however the concepts are implemented
45 rather in a standalone way. Code hatching concept
46 brings improvement in the following aspects:

- 47 1. D has a separate argument list similarly to C++
48 (the syntax is !(args) instead of <args>, the
49 ! is omitted in declarations), making compile-
50 time parameters clearly separated from runtime
51 ones. Functions that differ only in one parameter
52 being compile-time (template) or not have an
53 extensively different syntax.

```
1 // D code
2 void format( Args ... )( string fmt,
  Args args ) { ... }
3 void format( string fmt, Args ... )(
  Args args ) { ... }
4
5 void main() {
6     auto rt = format( "%s, %i worlds",
  "hello", 5 );
7
8     auto ct = format!( "%s, %i worlds" )(
  "hello", 5 );
9 }
```

Figure 1. Usage of the format function in the D programming language (similar to sprintf in C). Second format definition and function call accepts the fmt string as a template parameter, resulting in the code for string formatting being generated at compile time.

54 Beast has one common parameter list for run-
55 time and compile-time parameters, resulting in
56 zero syntax difference between runtime and com-
57 pile-time parameters. It is even possible to use
58 parameters in a single function declaration to
59 work both as runtime or compile-time depend-
60 ing on the context – if the provided argument can
61 be evaluated at compile time, it is considered a
62 template parameter, otherwise it is considered
63 to be runtime.

- 64 2. The D programming language does not have
65 mutable compile-time variables. This makes
66 solving some problems impossible with iteration,
67 forcing programmers to use recursion (or
68 mixins), which often results in a hardly-readable
69 code.

```
1 String format( @autotime string fmt,
  auto arg ... ) { ... }
2
3 Void main() {
4     auto rt = format( Console.readln,
  "hello", 5 );
5
6     auto ct = format( "%s, %i worlds",
  "hello", 5 );
7 }
```

Figure 2. Beast code corresponding to D code in figure 1. In the first format function call, the fmt argument cannot be evaluated at compile time, resulting in it being considered a runtime parameter. In the second function call, the argument can be evaluated at compile time, making it being treated as @ctime (compile-time, template), resulting in the code for string formatting being generated at compile time.

```
1 // D code
2 template memberTypes1( Type ) {
3     alias memberTypes1 = helper!(
  __traits( allMembers, Type ) );
4
5     template helper( string[] members ) {
6         static if( members.length )
7             alias helper = TypeTuple!(
  typeof( __traits( getMember,
  Type, members[ 0 ] ) ),
  helper!( member[ 1 .. $ ] )
  );
8         else
9             alias helper = TypeTuple!();
10    }
11 }
12
13
14
15
16 template memberTypes2( Type ) {
17     mixin( {
18         string[] result;
19         foreach( memberName; __traits(
  allMembers, Type ) )
20             result ~= "typeof( __traits(
  getMember, Type, %s )
  )".format( memberName );
21
22         return "TypeTuple!( %s )".format(
  result.joiner( ", " ) );
23    }() );
24 }
```

Figure 3. Two approaches of writing a 'function' returning a TypeTuple (compile-time analogy to an array) of types of members of given type Type in the D programming language. First approach uses recursion, second one mixins.

```

1 | @ctime Type[] memberTypes( Type T ) {
2 |     Type[]! result;
3 |
4 |     foreach( auto member; T.#members )
5 |         result ~= member.#type;
6 |
7 |     return result;
8 | }

```

Figure 4. Beast function corresponding to D 'functions' from figure 3. The function returns array of types of members of given type T.

2. Principles of code hatching

The code hatching concept is based on a simple idea – having a classifier for variables whose value is deducible during compile time. In Beast, those variables are classified using the @ctime decorator – for example @ctime Int x; Local @ctime variables can be mutable; because Beast declarations are not processed linearly as they are written in a source code, order of evaluation of expressions modifying static @ctime variables could not be decided; that means that static @ctime variables cannot be mutable.

@ctime variables can also be included within a standard code (although their mutation can never depend on non-@ctime variables or inputs).

```

1 | @ctime Int z = 5;
2 |
3 | Void main() {
4 |     @ctime Int! x = 8;
5 |     Int! y = 16;
6 |     y += x + z;
7 |     x += 3;
8 | }

```

Figure 5. Example of mixing @ctime and non-@ctime variables in Beast

Concept of variables completely evaluable at compile time brings a possibility of having @ctime type variables. As a consequence class and generally type definitions are considered @ctime constant variables (thus first-class citizens).

```

1 | Void main() {
2 |     @ctime Type! T = Int;
3 |     T x = 5;
4 |     T = Bool;
5 |     T b = false;
6 | }

```

Figure 6. Example of using type variables in Beast

Having type variables, templates can now be considered functions with @ctime parameters. Class templates become functions returning a type. With @ctime

variables, generics, instead of being a standalone concept, become a natural part of the language.

```

1 | auto readFromStream( @ctime Type T,
2 |     Stream!? stream )
3 | {
4 |     T result;
5 |     stream.readData( result.#addr,
6 |         result.#sizeof );
7 |     return result;
8 | }
9 |
10 | Void main() {
11 |     Int x = readFromStream( Int, stream );
12 | }

```

Figure 7. Example of function with @ctime parameters in Beast

Adding compile-time reflection is just a matter of adding compiler-defined functions returning appropriate @ctime data.

The @ctime decorator can also be used on more syntactical constructs than just variable definitions:

- @ctime code blocks are entirely performed at compile time.
- @ctime branching statements (if, while, for, etc.) are performed at compile time (not their bodies, just branch unwrapping).
- @ctime functions are always executed at compile time and all their parameters are @ctime.
- @ctime expressions are always evaluated at compile time.
- @ctime classes can only be constructed at compile time (for instance, Type is a @ctime class)

To make code hatching concept work, it is necessary to ensure that @ctime variables are truly evaluable at compile time. That is realized by the following rules. Their deduction is in author's bachelor thesis [1] (downloadable from the Github repository).

1. @ctime variables cannot be data-dependent on non-@ctime variables:
 - (a) Data of non-@ctime variables cannot be assigned into @ctime variables.
 - (b) It is not possible to change @ctime variables declared in a different runtime scope; for example it is not possible to change @ctime variables from a non-@ctime if body if they were declared outside it.
2. Static @ctime variables must not be mutable.
3. If a variable is @ctime, all its member variables (as class members) are also @ctime.
4. If a reference/pointer is @ctime, the referenced data is also @ctime.

- 129 5. @ctime variables can only be accessed as con-
130 stants in a runtime code.
131 6. @ctime references/pointers are cast to pointer-
132 s/references to constant data when accessed from
133 runtime code.
134 7. A non-@ctime class cannot contain member @ctime
135 variables.

```
1 Void main() {  
2   @ctime if( true )  
3     println( "Yay!" );  
4   else  
5     println( "Nay! " );  
6  
7   @ctime for( Int! x = 0; x < 3; x ++ )  
8     print( x );  
9 }  
10  
11 // Is processed into:  
12 Void main() {  
13   println( "Yay!" );  
14   print( 0 );  
15   print( 1 );  
16   print( 2 );  
17 }
```

Figure 8. Example of @ctime branch statements in Beast

References

159

- [1] Daniel Čejchan. Compiler for a new modular
programming language, 2017. 160
161
[2] D programming language, c1999-2017. 162
[3] Nim programming language, c2015. 163
[4] The crystal programming language, c2015. 164
[5] Ante: The compile-time language, 2015. 165
[6] The zig programming language, 2015. 166

3. Existing solutions

136
137 Beast is inspired by the D Programming Language [2]
138 that also has vast metaprogramming and compile-time
139 execution capabilities. However in D, compile-time
140 constants cannot be mutable. Although code can be
141 executed at compile time, there are no type variables,
142 so working with types usually ends up in definition of
143 recursive templates.

144 Among imperative compiled languages, there are
145 no other well established programming languages with
146 such metaprogramming capabilities. However, re-
147 cently several new programming language projects
148 introducing compile-time capabilities emerged – for
149 example Nim [3], Crystal [4], Ante [5] or Zig [6].
150 From the list, Zig is the most similar language to Beast.
151 Author of this paper was not aware of existence of
152 Zig when he was designing Beast and code hatching
153 concept.

Acknowledgements

154
155 I would like to thank my supervisor, Zbyněk Křivka,
156 for his supervision, and Stefan Koch (on the internet
157 known as UplinkCoder) for the time he spent chatting
158 with me about this project.

```

1  class C {
2
3  @public:
4      Int! x; // Int! == mutable Int
5
6  @public:
7      // Operator overloading,
8          constant-value parameters
9      Int #opBinary(
10         Operator.binaryPlus,
11         Int other
12     )
13     {
14         return x + other;
15     }
16 }
17
18 enum Enum {
19     a, b, c;
20
21     // Enum member functions
22     Enum invertedValue() {
23         return c - this;
24     }
25 }
26
27 String foo( Enum e, @ctime Type T ) {
28     // T is a 'template' parameter
29     // 'template' and normal parameters
30     are in the same parentheses
31     return e.to( String ) + T.#identifier;
32 }
33
34 Void main() {
35     @ctime Type T! = Int; // Type
36     variables!
37     T x = 3;
38
39     T = C;
40     T! c := new C(); // C!? - reference
41     to a mutable object, := reference
42     assignment operator
43     c.x = 5;
44
45     // Compile-time function execution,
46     :XXX accessor that looks in
47     parameter type
48     @ctime String s = foo( :a, Int );
49     stdout.writeln( s );
50
51     stdout.writeln( c + x ); // Writes 8
52     stdout.writeln(
53         c.#opBinary.#parameters[1].type.#identifier
54     ); // Compile-time reflection
55 }

```

Figure 9. Beast features showcase (currently uncompileable by the proof-of-concept compiler)