Court Orders not Comphied with by DPW -- and Plans which were not Implemented

TO CAN

Nom-Compliance with Paragraph 1 of Order of March 17, 1978, enjoining the Commonwealth and County Defendants to provide suitable community living arrangements for the Pennhurst residents and those retarded persons on its waiting list....

DPW withdrew its Pennhurst Dispersal Plan March, 1979 which, although rejected by Judge Broderick as inadequate, planned for 151 community placements. Aug. 1979 Commonwealth makes tentative budget allocations for fiscal year, 1979-80, which fund only placements for 61 school age children. Regional DPW Offices and Counties developed Sept. - Dec. placement plans, but these were never acted 1979 upon by DPW. Feb.-Mar. Negotiations between DPW and ARC to seek agreement on an order of implementation 1980 do not succeed, and case is appealed to U.S. Supreme Court. DPW backs away from its previous plan to move Mar. 1980 all school age children by June, 1980. DPW does not present to the Court its proposed Apr. 1980 implementation order as it had said it would do. Commonwelath makes tentative allocations for Apr. 1980 fiscal year, 1980-81, which provide fewer community placements (111) than DPW had previously offered during the final stages of the unsuccessful negotiations of Mar. -Apr. (200, including 55 school age children still not placed). Commonwealth does not accept Special Master's Apr.-May invitation to review and revise the proposed 1980 implementation order from the Master's Office, April 25, envisaging 450 placements. Commonwealth does finally submit a proposed order for placement of 150 Pennhurst residents and 100 other plaintiff class members, May 14. Commonwealth had agreed to submit by this time June, 1980 its own Community Placement Schedule -- this has not yet been received. (Sept. 1980) Commonwealth's tentative allocations to the 5 July, 1980 S.E. Counties -- discussed in Dr. Howse's letter of July 8 to the county administrators --

ICF/MR funds came through.

indicated that 65 placements for Pennhurst residents would be all that could be arranged unless