

Greedy algorithms

A typical algorithm:

Greedy algorithms

A typical algorithm:

- 1 Make some decision(s)

Greedy algorithms

A typical algorithm:

- ① Make some decision(s)
- ② Problem is broken into k subproblems (n_1, \dots, n_k)

Greedy algorithms

A typical algorithm:

- ① Make some decision(s)
- ② Problem is broken into k subproblems (n_1, \dots, n_k)
- ③ Solve k subproblems recursively

Greedy algorithms

A typical algorithm:

- ① Make some decision(s)
- ② Problem is broken into k subproblems (n_1, \dots, n_k)
- ③ Solve k subproblems recursively
- ④ Combine decision(s) from (1) with solutions from (3), to output solution

Greedy algorithms

GREEDY:

Greedy algorithms

GREEDY:

- 1 Make greedy choice g

Greedy algorithms

GREEDY:

- 1 Make **greedy choice g**
- 2 Problem is reduced into **one** subproblem

Greedy algorithms

GREEDY:

- ① Make **greedy choice g**
- ② Problem is reduced into **one** subproblem
- ③ Solve subproblem recursively $\Rightarrow SOL_{sub}$

Greedy algorithms

GREEDY:

- ① Make **greedy choice** g
- ② Problem is reduced into **one** subproblem
- ③ Solve subproblem recursively $\Rightarrow SOL_{sub}$
- ④ Combine choice from (1) with solution from (3), to output solution **SOL**

Greedy algorithms

GREEDY:

- ① Make **greedy choice** g
- ② Problem is reduced into **one** subproblem
- ③ Solve subproblem recursively $\Rightarrow SOL_{sub}$
- ④ Combine choice from (1) with solution from (3), to output solution **SOL**

Correctness:

Theorem

If we have that

- ① *greedy choice is part of an **OPT** solution*
- ② *$SOL = g \cup SOL_{sub}$ is a **feasible** solution*

*then SOL is an **optimal solution** (i.e., greedy alg is correct).*

Greedy algorithms: Correctness

Theorem

If we have that

- ① *greedy choice is part of an **OPT** solution*
- ② *$SOL = g \cup SOL_{sub}$ is a **feasible** solution*

*then SOL is an **optimal solution** (i.e., greedy alg is correct).*

Greedy algorithms: Correctness

Theorem

If we have that

- ① *greedy choice is part of an **OPT** solution*
- ② *$SOL = g \cup SOL_{sub}$ is a **feasible** solution*

*then SOL is an **optimal solution** (i.e., greedy alg is correct).*

Proof: By induction on the input size:

Greedy algorithms: Correctness

Theorem

If we have that

- ① *greedy choice is part of an **OPT** solution*
- ② *$SOL = g \cup SOL_{sub}$ is a **feasible** solution*

*then SOL is an **optimal solution** (i.e., greedy alg is correct).*

Proof: By induction on the input size:

- $n = 1$: From (1), $SOL = g = OPT$.



Greedy algorithms: Correctness

Theorem

If we have that

- ① *greedy choice is part of an **OPT** solution*
- ② *$SOL = g \cup SOL_{sub}$ is a **feasible** solution*

*then SOL is an **optimal solution** (i.e., greedy alg is correct).*

Proof: By induction on the input size:

- $n = k$: Up to size k , GREEDY computes **OPT**.



Greedy algorithms: Correctness

Theorem

If we have that

- ① *greedy choice is part of an **OPT** solution*
- ② *$SOL = g \cup SOL_{sub}$ is a **feasible** solution*

*then SOL is an **optimal solution** (i.e., greedy alg is correct).*

Proof: By induction on the input size:

- $n = k + 1$: Because of inductive step, SOL_{sub} is **optimal solution** of the subproblem in GREEDY.

Greedy algorithms: Correctness

Theorem

If we have that

- ① greedy choice is part of an *OPT* solution
- ② $SOL = g \cup SOL_{sub}$ is a *feasible* solution

then SOL is an *optimal solution* (i.e., greedy alg is correct).

Proof: By induction on the input size:

- $n = k + 1$: Because of inductive step, SOL_{sub} is *optimal solution* of the subproblem in GREEDY.

If we "contract" g in *OPT* in (1), and remain feasible,

$$OPT = g \cup OPT', \quad (1)$$

we get an optimal solution OPT' of the subproblem in GREEDY, i.e.,

$$OPT' = SOL_{sub} \quad (2)$$

Greedy algorithms: Correctness

Theorem

If we have that

- ① greedy choice is part of an *OPT* solution
- ② $SOL = g \cup SOL_{sub}$ is a *feasible* solution

then SOL is an *optimal solution* (i.e., greedy alg is correct).

Proof: By induction on the input size:

- $n = k + 1$: Because of inductive step, SOL_{sub} is *optimal solution* of the subproblem in GREEDY.

If we "contract" g in *OPT* in (1), and remain feasible,

$$OPT = g \cup OPT', \quad (1)$$

we get an optimal solution OPT' of the subproblem in GREEDY, i.e.,

$$OPT' = SOL_{sub} \quad (2)$$

Cobining (1), (2) we get the theorem.

Greedy algorithms: Correctness

Theorem

If we have that

- ① *greedy choice is part of an **OPT** solution*
- ② *$SOL = g \cup SOL_{sub}$ is a **feasible** solution*

*then SOL is an **optimal solution** (i.e., greedy alg is correct).*

Observations:

Greedy algorithms: Correctness

Theorem

If we have that

- ① *greedy choice is part of an **OPT** solution*
- ② *$SOL = g \cup SOL_{sub}$ is a **feasible** solution*

*then SOL is an **optimal solution** (i.e., greedy alg is correct).*

Observations:

- Usually (2) is trivial, GREEDY is designed to satisfy it.

Greedy algorithms: Correctness

Theorem

If we have that

- ① *greedy choice is part of an **OPT** solution*
- ② *$SOL = g \cup SOL_{sub}$ is a **feasible** solution*

*then SOL is an **optimal solution** (i.e., greedy alg is correct).*

Observations:

- Usually (2) is trivial, GREEDY is designed to satisfy it.
- Here are two approaches to prove (1):

Greedy algorithms: Correctness

Theorem

If we have that

- ① *greedy choice is part of an **OPT** solution*
- ② *$SOL = g \cup SOL_{sub}$ is a **feasible** solution*

*then SOL is an **optimal solution** (i.e., greedy alg is correct).*

Observations:

- Usually (2) is trivial, GREEDY is designed to satisfy it.
- Here are two approaches to prove (1):
 - ① Show that GREEDY is always **ahead** (i.e., partial solution built with greedy choices is better than *any* other partial solution, up to the end).

Greedy algorithms: Correctness

Theorem

If we have that

- ① *greedy choice is part of an **OPT** solution*
- ② *$SOL = g \cup SOL_{sub}$ is a **feasible** solution*

*then SOL is an **optimal solution** (i.e., greedy alg is correct).*

Observations:

- Usually (2) is trivial, GREEDY is designed to satisfy it.
- Here are two approaches to prove (1):
 - ① Show that GREEDY is always **ahead** (i.e., partial solution built with greedy choices is better than *any* other partial solution, up to the end).
 - ② Show that from *any* **OPT** solution (where greedy choice g may not be the first one), we can derive another optimal solution OPT' where g is its first choice, performing a series of **exchanges**.