Introduction to MCMC using RevBayes

Wade Dismukes, Tracy Heath, Walker Pett

1 Overview

This tutorial is intended to provide a introduction to the basics of Markov chain Monte Caro (MCMC) using the Metropolis-Hastings algorithm. This will provide a brief introduction to MCMC moves as well as prior distributions. We begin with a simple example of estimating the probability distribution of an archer's ability to shoot at a target, and the distance those arrows land from the center. We will simulate data using this example and attempt to estimate the posterior distribution using a variety of MCMC moves.

1.1 Learning Outcomes

- Understand and implement the Metropolis-Hastings MCMC algorithm
- Understand the difference and utility of various MCMC moves
- Begin to develop an intuition regarding the use of different priors

1.2 Required Software

This tutorial requires that you download and install the latest release of RevBayes (?), which is available for Mac OS X, Windows, and Linux operating systems. Directions for downloading and installing the software are available on the program webpage: http://revbayes.com.

The exercise provided also requires additional programs for editing text files and visualizing output. The following are very useful tools for working with RevBayes:

- A good text editor if you do not already have one that you like, we recommend one that has features
 for syntax coloring, easy navigation between different files, line numbers, etc. Good options include
 Sublime Text or Atom, which are available for Mac OSX, Windows, and Linux.
- Tracer for visualizing and assessing numerical parameter samples from RevBayes

2 Introduction

3 Modeling an archer's shots on a target

Introduction to problem. Include within here the distribution the shots are fired under and the first prior distribution. Might be worth showing some similar figures to the ones in Paul's Woods Hole powerpoint here to illustrate points. Also would be a a place to explain the idea of a conjugate prior and why they are useful.

3.1 Tutorial Format

This tutorial follows a specific format for issuing instructions and information.

The boxed instructions guide you to complete tasks that are not part of the RevBayes syntax, but rather direct you to create directories or files or similar.

Information describing the commands and instructions will be written in paragraph-form before or after they are issued.

All command-line text, including all Rev syntax, are given in monotype font. Furthermore, blocks of Rev code that are needed to build the model, specify the analysis, or execute the run are given in separate shaded boxes. For example, we will instruct you to create a constant node called **rho** that is equal to 1.0 using the <- operator like this:

```
rho <- 1.0
```

It is important to be aware that some PDF viewers may render some characters given as Rev commands differently. Thus, if you copy and paste text from this PDF, you may introduce some incorrect characters. Because of this, we recommend that you type the instructions in this tutorial or copy them from the scripts provided.

3.2 Data and Files

On your own computer or your remote machine, create a directory called RB_MyFirstMCMC_Tutorial (or any name you like).

In this tutorial we will be simulating our own data using RevBayes. Explain how to simulate data in Rev. We will be simulating data. Let's assume from the above archery example that our archer's true ability has their arrows landing with a mean of 0 and a variance of 1. Let's say they shoot six arrows. We do this in RevBayes like this:

```
num_arrows <- 6
mu <- 0.0
var <- 1
```

```
arrows <- rnormal(num_arrows, mu, true_var)
```

3.3 Getting Started with MCMC

Explain what MCMC does here.

3.3.1 Metropolis-Hastings algorithm by hand

Go through outline of algorithm steps. explain proposal distributions. Then go into a step-by-step on how to write a MH-algorithm in Rev. First write the functions for the likelihood and the prior.

Likelihood function:

```
function RealPos likelihood(v){
    nu = 0
    for(i in 1:num_arrows){
        nu += (arrows[i] - mu)^2
    }
    l = 1 / dgamma(v, (num_arrows + 2*alpha) / 2, (num_arrows + 2*beta) / 2)
    return l
}
```

Prior function:

```
function RealPos priorVar(v_prime){
          pv_inv = dgamma(v, alpha, beta, log=false)
          pv = 1 / pv_inv # we would like inv gamma distribution
          return pv
}
```

Set the number of iterations, draw intitial values for prior. And then write the for loop defining the algorithm itself. Draw an initial value for our prior:

```
alpha <- 1
beta <- 1
v <- 1 / rgamma(1, a, b)[1]
```

Set number of iterations of our MCMC and setup writing our ouput:

```
niter = 10000
write("iteration","p","\n",file="archery_MH.log")
write(0,v,"\n",file="archery_MH.log",append=TRUE)
```

Metropolis Hastings Algorithm:

```
for(i in 1:niter){
    v_prime <- runif(n=1, 0, 10.0)[1]

    R <- (likelihood(v_prime) / likelihood(v)) * ( priorVar(v_prime) / priorVar(v))
    u <- runif(1,0,1)[1]
    if(u < R){
        v <- v_prime
    }

    write(rep,v,"\n",file="archery_MH.log",append=TRUE)
}</pre>
```

Here we used a uniform move.

3.3.2 Metropolis-Hastings using RevBayes

Now imagine a new archer arrives on the range and we have no prior belief about what the mean of the distribution of their shots would be, or about the variance of that distribution. Now we need a prior on both the mean and the variance. First, we need to simulate data. Let's say that the new archer is a beginner who tends to shoot to the right of target and with quite high variance:

```
num_arrows <- 6
true_mu <- 1.5
true_var <- 2.0
arrows <- rnormal(num_arrows, true_mu, true_var)</pre>
```

Now that we have data we can precede once again with setting up our model. However, this time we will use the builtin RevBayes tools. Here we use a stochastic node to put a gamma distribution on our precision and then use a deterministic node to transform the precision into variance:

```
alpha <- 1
beta <- 1
p ~ dnGamma(alpha, beta)
v := 1 / p</pre>
```

Now for convience sake let's assume that the mean (conditional on our variance) follows a normal distribution similar to our data model now this prior has one parameters we need to specify, the prior mean, which we will set to 0 for simplicity, again we use a stochastic node to draw the mean from a normal distribution:

```
c = 0
mu ~ dnNormal(c, v)
```

Now set the data model and then clamp the data to that node.

```
a ~ dnNormal(mu, v)
a.clamp(arrows)
```

Now we construct our model:

```
my_model = model(a)
```

We still need to define moves on our parameters. Ensure that you place the move on the stochastic nodes only. Moves cannot be performed on deterministic or clamped nodes. Here we will use what is called a sliding moves (explain what that is) on the mean, μ , and the precision. The weights here represent how often these moves are performed on average per iteration of our MCMC so this case each move is done on average 0.5 times per iteration.

```
moves[1] = mvSlide(mu, delta = 0.1, weight = 2)
moves[2] = mvSlide(p, delta = 0.1, weight = 2)
```

Monitors to keep trach of our MCMC

Finally, assemble our mcmc analysis and run it.

```
mymcmc = mcmc(my_model, monitors, moves)
mymcmc.run(100000, tuningInterval = 0)
mymcmc.operatorSummary()
```

Now try adding a burnin

4 Exercises

4.1 Using different priors

Suppose we know little about the variance of some archer. In that case, we have little prior belief and a very flat prior might be a good choice. Our conjugate prior is convenient here as the Inverse-Gamma distribution is relatively flat when $\alpha = \beta$ are very small. Estimate the posterior density with alpha and beta as very small values (perhaps try 0.001). compare with your previous posterior distribution.

Another flat distribution may be more obvious (the uniform) try this one as well. What are some limitations to the Uniform?

4.2 Defining different MCMC moves

Previously, we had been using random draws from (whatever) distribution for the prior. There are lots of possibilities for doing this. We can test how efficient these moves are at sampling our target distributions. Some are far more efficient than others. Code up all of the moves in RevBayes.

4.2.1 Random draw

introduce weights here. (maybe have an aside explaining using a 2 parameter model?)

4.2.2 Sliding move

Explain about δ (the tuning parameter). What values are good/bad for this? Explain about how to tune and the purpose of it.

4.2.3 Scaling move

Similarly, explain the tuning parameter for this move. Also, this move is asymmetric so explain more about the calculation of the Hastings ratio.

Compare the three different moves in your two parameter model in tracer. Questions include: is one move better than the other according to ESS? what happens when the tuning parameter is set very high? very low? why does this happen?

After show the autotuning option in RevBayes

Version dated: August 30, 2017