

H2020 Call 3 Topic 9-2015

e-Infrastructures for Virtual Research Environments (VRE)

EINFRA-9

Open Digital Research Environment Toolkit for the Advancement of Mathematics

Acronym: OpenDreamKit

H2020 Call 3 Topic 9-2015

Date of Preparation: January 12, 2015

Revision: –revision– of 2015-01-12

Work program topics addressed by OpenDreamKit: Challenge TODO: ??, Objective ??: ??, target outcome ??) ??.

Coordinator: Nicolas M. Thiéry

e-mail: Nicolas.Thiery@u-psud.fr

tel/fax: +33 6 77 90 32 79

#	Participant organisation name	Short name	Country
1	Université Paris Sud	UPS	FR
2	Logilab	Logilab	FR
3	Université de Versailles Saint-Quentin	UVSQ	FR
4	Université Joseph Fourier	UJF	FR
5	CNRS Aquitaine	CNRS	FR
6	University of Oxford	UO	UK
7	University of Sheffield	USHEF	UK
8	University of Southampton	USO	UK
9	University of St Andrews	USTAN	UK
10	University of Warwick	UW	UK
11	Jacobs University Bremen	JacU	DE
12	University of Kaiserslautern	UK	DE
13	University of Silesia	US	PL
14	Universität Zürich	UZH	CH
15	Simula Research Laboratory	Simula	NO
16	University of Washington at Seattle	UWS	US

site=PS
site=UV
site=UJF
site=UB
site=UO
site=USH
site=USO
site=SA
site=UW
site=JU
site=UK
site=US
site=ZH
site=SR
site=UWS
acro=OpenDream
inst=H2020
Call 3 Topic
9-2015

Abstract

♠All [WRITE HERE: The abstract can be expanded from the current 770 characters to as much as 2000]♠.

OpenDreamKit will deliver a flexible toolkit that will make it easy to set up Virtual Research Environments, customized to meet the diverse needs of collaborative research in pure mathematics and applications, and built out of a sustainable ecosystem of community-developed open software, databases, and services.

By concentrating the efforts on improving and unifying existing general purpose building blocks, OpenDreamKit will simultaneously maximize sustainability and impact, with a broad range of beneficiaries extending to scientific computing, physics, chemistry, biology, engineering, medicine, earth sciences, and geography, and including researchers as well as teachers, and practitioners in the industry.

♠TO DO: A sentence about the consortium mentioning “developed by users for users/steered by demand”♠

Contents

B.0.1 Excellence	4
B.0.1.1 Objectives	6
Objective 1: Virtual Research Environment Kit	7
Objective 2: Core components	7
Objective 3: Community building across disciplines	8
Objective 4: Updates to Mathematical Software components	8
Objective 5: A sustainable ecosystem of software components	8
Objective 6: Engineering social interactions in open source VREs	9
Objective 7: Next generation mathematical databases	9
Objective 8: Collaborative Research Environments that Transcend Domains	9
Objective 9: Training and dissemination	10
B.0.1.2 Relation to the Work Programme	11
B.0.1.3 Concept and Approach	12
B.0.1.3.1 Mathematics and innovation in the digital world	12
Mathematics is at the heart of innovation	12
Impact of the digital world for research in pure mathematics and applications	12
Virtual Research Environments for Mathematics	14
The diversity of needs	16
B.0.1.3.2 Key concept: a Virtual Research Environment Toolkit	17
Benefit: meeting actual needs in Mathematics	17
B.0.1.3.3 Approach	17
Development models for mathematical software: a historical perspective	18
A unifying concept: executable notebooks	19
Maximizing sustainability and massive impact	19
B.0.1.3.4 Activities	19
Networking activities	20
Joint Research Activities	20
Use of Existing Basic Services	20
Gender analysis	20
B.0.1.3.5 Old material	21
B.0.1.3.6 Linked research and innovation activities	21
DFG Priority Project SPP 1489	21
IPython/Jupyter grant from the Alfred P. Sloan foundation	22
NSF SI2-SSE OCI-1147247	22
HPAC grant from the A.N.R.	22
RADIANT Grant from EU FP7-HEALTH (ref 305636)	22
Cubicweb	23
Simulagora	23
Sage Math Cloud	23
FLINT grant?	23
LMFDB grant	23
Edith Elkind's ERC Starter Grant	24
Ursula Martin's EPSRC Senior Fellowship grant	24

Findstat?	25
KWARC group	25
SCIENCE: Symbolic Computation Infrastructure for Europe	25
HPCGAP: High Performance Computational Algebra and Discrete Mathematics	25
CoDiMa	25
B.0.1.4 Demonstrators	25
B.0.1.4.1 Micromagnetic VRE	25
B.0.1.5 Ambition	27
B.0.1.5.1 Challenges specific to mathematics	27
B.0.1.5.2 Challenges of a community built around multiple existing software projects	28
Innovation Potential	28
B.0.2 Impact	30
B.0.2.1 Expected Impacts	30
B.0.2.1.1 Impacts as listed in the work programme	30
B.0.2.1.2 Improving innovation capacity and the integration of new knowledge	32
B.0.2.1.3 Other impacts (environmental and socially important impacts)	33
B.0.2.1.4 Potential barriers to impact	33
B.0.2.2 Measures to Maximise Impact	34
B.0.2.2.1 Dissemination and Exploitation of Results	34
Long term sustainability	36
B.0.2.2.2 Communication activities	39
B.0.3 Implementation	40
B.0.3.1 Work Plan — Work packages, deliverables and milestones	40
B.0.3.2 Work Package Descriptions	48
WP1: Project Management	48
WP2: Community Building, Training, Dissemination, Exploitation, and Outreach	50
WP3: Component Architecture	55
WP4: User Interfaces	59
WP5: High Performance Mathematical Computing	65
WP6: Data/Knowledge/Software-Bases	70
WP7: Social Aspects	73
B.0.3.3 Management Structure and Procedures	77
B.0.3.3.1 Management	77
B.0.3.3.2 Organizational structure and decision-making	77
B.0.3.3.3 Project roles	77
Coordination Team (CT)	77
Steering Committee (SC)	78
Advisory board (AB):	78
Quality Review Board (QRB)	78
End User Group (EUG)	79
B.0.3.3.4 Project management tools and procedures	79
B.0.3.3.5 Risk management	79
B.0.3.4 Consortium as a Whole	81
B.0.3.5 Resources to be Committed	83
B.0.3.5.1 Travel, dissemination and outreach	83
B.0.3.5.2 Travel, dissemination	83
B.0.3.5.3 Outreach costs	83
B.0.3.6 Resource summaries for consortium member sites	84
B.0.3.6.1 Resources Université Paris Sud	84
B.0.3.6.2 Resources Logilab	84
B.0.3.6.3 Resources Université de Versailles Saint-Quentin	84
B.0.3.6.4 Resources Université Joseph Fourier	85
B.0.3.6.5 Resources CNRS Aquitaine	85
B.0.3.6.6 Resources University of Oxford	85

B.0.3.6.7 Resources University of Sheffield	86
B.0.3.6.8 Resources Southampton	86
B.0.3.6.9 Resources University of St Andrews	86
B.0.3.6.10Resources University of Warwick	87
B.0.3.6.11Resources Jacobs University Bremen	87
B.0.3.6.12Resources University of Kaiserslautern	87
B.0.3.6.13Resources University of Silesia	88
B.0.3.6.14Resources University of Zürich	88
B.0.3.6.15Simula Research Laboratory	88
B.0.3.7 Resources Summary	89
B.0.4 Members of the Consortium	90
B.0.4.1 Participants	90
B.0.4.2 UPS:UNIVERSITÉPARIS SUD (FR)	91
B.0.4.3 Logilab:LOGILAB (FR)	94
B.0.4.4 UVSQ:UNIVERSITÉDE VERSAILLES SAINT-QUENTIN (FR)	97
B.0.4.5 UJF:UNIVERSITÉJOSEPH FOURIER (FR)	100
B.0.4.6 CNRS:CNRS AQUITAINE (FR)	103
B.0.4.7 UO:UNIVERSITY OF OXFORD (UK)	105
B.0.4.8 USHEF:UNIVERSITY OF SHEFFIELD (UK)	107
B.0.4.9 USO:UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHAMPTON (UK)	110
B.0.4.10USTAN:UNIVERSITY OF ST ANDREWS (UK)	112
B.0.4.11UW:UNIVERSITY OF WARWICK (UK)	115
B.0.4.12JacU:JACOBS UNIVERSITY BREMEN (DE)	117
Relevant previous experience:	117
Specific expertise:	118
B.0.4.13UK:UNIVERSITY OF KAISERSLAUTERN (DE)	119
B.0.4.13.1University of Kaiserslautern	119
B.0.4.14US:UNIVERSITY OF SILESIA (PL)	122
B.0.4.15JZH:UNIVERSITÄT ZÜRICH (CH)	126
B.0.4.16Simula:SIMULA RESEARCH LABORATORY (NO)	128
B.0.4.17Third Parties Involved in the Project (including use of third party resources)	129
B.0.5 Ethics and Security	130
B.0.5.1 Ethics	130
B.0.5.2 Security	130

Outline of Project (for Proposers)

♠**TO DO:** *This is the place for various READMEs not included in the final submission*♠

Vision

An internal attempt at specifying our vision through short (unsubstantiated) answers.

> 1) Who are we?

Lead or core developers of some of the major open source components for pure mathematics and applications:

- Computational components: GAP, Linbox, MPIR, Pari, Sage, Singular
- Databases: LMFDB (findstat as well)
- Knowledge management: MathHub

Together with, in a larger scientific domain, lead developers for:

- Collaborative user interfaces (IPython, SageMathCloud)
- Database and Scientific Computing for the industry (Logilab)
- Numerical code optimization/parallelisation (Pythran)

> 2) What is our goal?

Building blocks with a sustainable development model that can be seamlessly combined together to build versatile high performance VRE's, each tailored to a specific need in pure mathematics and application.

> 2.5) What is our strategy?

Maximize sustainability and impact by reusing and improving existing building blocks, and reaching toward larger communities whenever possible. E.g. factoring out our common user interface needs at the level of IPython/Jupyter will save us time (sustainability), and impact the larger scientific computing community. The improvements to the building blocks will impact all their users, whether they use the VRE or not.

> 3) From where do we start?

- Building blocks with a sustainable development model
- Proof-of-concept prototypes of VRE (SMC, Simulagora)
- Experience on combining together some of the building blocks

> 4) How do we connect or differ from other projects?

The other projects focus on either one or a few of the building blocks, or on a specific VRE.

We articulate our work with each of them.

> 5) Why are we excellent?

The consortium puts together recognized experts in all areas and most building blocks that are relevant to the goal. There is simultaneously a variety of point of views and a record of past experiences collaborating together at smaller scale (e.g. GAP-Singular). The approach is bottom up. Most joint tasks consist in bringing together people with a common need. There is experience in community building. Most participants are simultaneously users and developers of their tools.

All of this makes me confident that we will indeed be able to productively collaborate. And do stuff that is first class and useful.

On Sat, Dec 13, 2014 at 11:18:10PM +0100, Wolfram Decker wrote:

```
> 0) What precisely is our starting point and why are we the right people to
> achieve what we promise to do? Are we leaders in the area touched
> by the proposal? How do we connect? Is there some past
> collaborative success?
> 1) You still do not say what we actually will provide. What precisely will
> the VRE offer to its users?
```

I more or less answered those points above. Let me know if I should elaborate.

```
> Who will be its users? Will those already familiar with the involved
> CAS use it? Will it make the CAS more attractive for a much larger
> community?
```

One objective is definitely to make CAS and others more attractive by lowering a lot the entry barrier to access the soft (and db, ...). A typical situation that most of us ran into is, when collaborating with other less tech-savvy mathematicians, to have trouble sharing code, data, and in-the-writing papers with them. SMC was launched with this idea in mind, and the success proves the concept.

At the same time, the improvements in the building blocks will also impact CAS users that are happy with their current user interface / work-flow.

Improvements to IPython will impact a much larger community.

```
> 2) You motivate what we wish to do by the success of SageMathCloud.
> But why do we than need another VRE? How do we differ from
> SageMathCloud?
```

There is no one-size-fits-all VRE. One might want to run a VRE on one's own computer resources for a variety of reason (speed of access, specific resources, privacy, independence, ...). One might want a different combination of software (e.g. a lightweight VRE with only Singular). One might want to focus on data with LMFDB-style database searches, or on interactive computing, or on document writing, or some combination thereof.

```
> Do we have a chance to compete? Or will we rather join forces? In
```

> which way?

We join forces (the plan is to have William/UW in the consortium, as non funded participant). SMC focuses on one specific cloud based VRE. We focus on the building blocks and the glue. Both project are mutually beneficial. See the language p. 14 of the proposal.

> 3) You motivate what we wish to do by the success of LMFDB. But what
> are our connections to this database? Will we enhance it? Will we connect
> it to other stuff we do? Will we create other databases?

LMFDB is a prototype of large scale database. We want to make it easier for other groups of mathematicians to set up similar databases in their area. Reciprocally, like SMC, the LMFDB with benefit back from the improved building blocks.

> 4) Why is Europe in the lead if there is already SageMathCloud?
> Where precisely is Europe in the lead?

Europe is the lead in many of the building blocks.

Description of the call

This is a synthesis of Antonios Barbas' slides describing the Call 3
Topic 9-2015 EINFRA-9: e-Infrastructure for Virtual Research Environment

See file:../Documentation/VirtualEnvironmentsWorkProgramme2014-2015.ppt

```

** Suggested EU contribution per proposal: 2 to 8 M euros ; Total budget: 42 Meuros
** Dates: 14/01/2015
- H2020-EINFRA-2014-1 15/04/2014
- H2020-EINFRA-2014-2 02/09/2014
- H2020-EINFRA-2015-1 14/01/2015(tbc)
** European contacts: Antonios Barbas
    See file:Documentation/Contacts.docx
** Definition:
- Groups of researchers, typically widely dispersed, who are working
  together
- through ubiquitous, trusted and easy access to services for
  scientific data, computing and networking
- in a collaborative, virtual environment:
  > the e-Infrastructures
** Characteristics:
- Address the needs of specific scientific communities { in support of
  e-Science;
- Have users from both academia and industry;
- Involve bottom-up research and develop user-oriented services;
- Are based on e-infrastructures
** Specific challenge:
- Capacity building in interdisciplinary research
- through community-led development and deployment of service-driven
  digital environments
- for large-scale cross-disciplinary research collaboration and data
  interoperability

```


**** Expected impact:**

- More effective collaboration between researchers and increased take-up of collaborative research by new disciplines;
- Easier discovery, access and re-use of data, resulting in higher productivity of researchers;
- Accelerate innovation via access to integrated digital research resources across disciplines;

***** Scope: Proposals are expected to**

Notations: [X]: easy to argue; [?]: we have some lead, but that will take some arguing

- [?] Integrate resources across all layers of the e-infrastructure (networking, computing, data, software, user interfaces) to foster cross-disciplinary data interoperability
- [?] Build on requirements from real use cases, i.e. integrate heterogeneous data from multiple sources and re-use tools and services from existing infrastructures
- [X] Target any area of Science and Technology, especially interdisciplinary ones, including ICT, mathematics, web science and social sciences and humanities
- [X] Use standardised building blocks and workflows, well-documented interfaces and interoperable components;
- [?] Define semantics, ontologies and metadata to enable data citation and promote data sharing, as to ensure interoperability;
- [X] Target easy-to-use functionalities; and indicate the number of researchers they target as potential users;

**** Specific conditions for the Call on e-Infrastructures:**

- [X?] Proposals should be structured around Networking, Service and Joint Research Activities
- [X] The Software to be developed needs to be open source
- [] A Data Management Plan to be developed enabling data preservation, on-line discoverability, authorisation and re-use of data
- [X] Clear Metrics (KPIs) to be proposed and used;
- [?] Open Access to Publications resulting from the project;
- [X] Usefulness of services to the end user community and financial sustainability to be ensured;

**** Where should the emphasis be?**

- [?] Services
- [X] Thinking innovation
With both suppliers or users
- [X] Mainstreaming skills development
- [] Integration between data and computing
- [X] Business plans for financial sustainability
...and partnerships with the private sector
- [] Supporting policies
- [X] open data and software
- [X] Sharing basic operations services and building blocks
- [X] Monitoring performance (KPIs)

**** Simplified funding model**

- Up to 100% for Research and Innovation
- Flat 25% rate for indirect costs (overhead?)

Things to do ...

♠All [WRITE HERE: Request from Nicolas for things to be done by volunteer today:]♠

Things Nicolas asks as the consortium to do TODAY (Friday 9 2015)

- [] Checking whether the overall structure of the narrative makes sense: [WHO]
- [] Checking how much this fulfills all the points raised by Wolfram: [WHO]

The next step is Section 1.3. I will work on this tomorrow evening. It would be helpful to have by then chunks of language on the following points:

- [] Why are VRE's important in math: [WHO]
- [] Why there is a diversity of needs in Mathematics, and we can't have: [WHO] a one-size-fit-all VRE: [WHO]
- [] Language to develop Section 1.3.2: 'A long track of collaboration on: [WHO] software, data, knowledge', in particular on databases and knowledge (see the TODO's there).

I also need volunteers to:

- [] Make a second iteration on Section 1.4: Ambition: [WHO]
- [] Make a first iteration on the work plan, Section 3.1, in particular: [WHO]
 - [] Overall Structure of the Work Plan [WHO]
 - [] How the Work Packages will Achieve the Project Objectives [WHO]
 This should be an easy one!

- [] Write up a detailed description for Objective 6 [Dima]

♠All [WRITE HERE: Review and improve the overall scene setting, in particular in opening sections (1 Excellence, 1.1 Objectives, 1.2 Relation to Work Programme, 1.3 Concept and Approach, 1.4 Ambition, taking into account the call. Some ideas for this below:]♠

Check list for opening statements, objectives, summaries:

- [] Go through the one relevant page (only one page matters [page 4]) of the assessment forms
(http://ec.europa.eu/research/participants/data/ref/h2020/other/call_ptef/ef/h2020-call-ef-ria_ei) and see how we address these points.
- [] Re-read
<https://ec.europa.eu/research/participants/portal/desktop/en/opportunities/h2020/topics/2144-ei>
for context setting and what we are trying to address
- [] Also re-read summary in comments that show on page 2 of the pdf file if compiled with draft comments showing if we have the time.

B.0.1 Excellence

♠ For good or bad, the “pure math” aspect does not appear in this introduction. ♠

♠ All [WRITE HERE: Try to include the following suggestions by Wolfram:

- The mathematics involved has originally not been developed for the application (as for the Radon transform). It was already there, when needed.
- In a ever faster changing world, we need a reasonably ample mathematical algorithmic tool kit to choose from, when the need arises.
- At the beginning, applications have been dominated by inexact but fast numerical methods. With more powerful computers available, exact computer algebra methods have become more and more crucial (examples such as cell phones, internet security.) Will now enter all application areas.
- The things we provide are indispensable for the quick reactions needed and for allowing the potential applicants use the systems we develop (so far only used by specialists) Mention the success of matlab as an important example on the numerical side.

] ♠

♠ All [WRITE HERE: Improve the examples? Something from health care depending on pure maths?] ♠

Improvements of the economy, ecology, health care and society overall are driven through innovation. The key enabling tool for a wide range of innovative advances is mathematical knowledge and algorithms. Examples include the global positioning system (GPS) needing relativistic mathematics, mobile phone connectivity relying on combinatorial optimization algorithm for frequency allocation, and communication security depending on cryptographic methods derived from computational number theory. Engineering, Science and Business innovations that enrich society and mankind are made possible through mathematical foundations which are often developed long before their potential applications. Reciprocally, modern mathematical research is increasingly accelerated by and enabled through collaborative tools, computational environments and online databases. These digital tools have the potential to revolutionise the way research is conducted.

In this project, we will provide mathematicians and scientists with a generic unified toolkit, the Open Digital Research Environment Toolkit for the Advancement of Mathematics (OpenDreamKit), that allows building of specific *Virtual Research Environments* (VREs) for particular tasks and communities.

We will achieve this by focusing on a *toolkit of software components* from which *tailored VREs can be assembled flexibly* to cater for the diversity and evolution of needs in mathematics, science and engineering. We are at a critical point providing an opportunity to do so: collaborative tools for code sharing (e.g. `github`) now allow us to bring together very large communities of open source code developers. Simultaneously the last decade has witnessed the emergence of fundamental open source building blocks, at the forefront of which are computational components like the general purpose mathematical software system Sage and the interactive computing environment Jupyter. Throughout this project we will reuse and extend open source code, and OpenDreamKit will benefit from future open source contributions during and beyond the lifetime of the project. By unifying tools with overlapping functionality, such as Jupyter and Sage, we focus the effort of the computational community onto OpenDreamKit, producing additional economies of scale. Finally, thanks to the “by users for users” model, the development will be steered by the actual needs of the community.

♠ AK [WRITE HERE: Describe two typical combinations, one for the pure mathematician together with the one for the numerical scientist] ♠ In more detail, VREs based on OpenDreamKit can combine symbolic mathematics, automatic code generation, numerical computation, data bases, post-processing and visualisation in a single collaborative workspace. The basic units are executable documents, i.e. data- and code-driven narratives that combine live code, equations, text, interactive dashboards and other rich media. Potential applications include active scientific logbooks, papers, lecture notes, etc., covering the whole lifecycle of a mathematical research project.

This will enable step changes in effective research, research communication, and reproducibility in computational mathematics and science. It will further provide end-to-end toolchains that link fundamental mathematics to domain specific specialised computation, thus bridging the gap between fundamental research

and technology, and paving the way towards faster application, exploitation and commercialisation of basic research.

As part of this project, we will also study the social challenges associated with large-scale open source code development and publications based on executable documents, and implement demonstrator VREs based on OpenDreamKit.

The OpenDreamKit team is a Europe-wide collaboration that brings together a leading body of mathematicians and transdisciplinary computational researchers, with an extensive track record of delivering innovative open source software solutions.

By focusing on a toolkit rather than a monolithic VRE, and by concentrating the efforts on improving and unifying existing general purpose building blocks, and in the forefront `Jupyter`, OpenDreamKit will simultaneously maximize sustainability and broad impact. Indeed, though the primary target users are *researchers in mathematics*, the set of beneficiaries extends to workers in scientific computing, physics, chemistry, biology, engineering, medicine, earth sciences and geography, social sciences and finance, and includes researchers as well as teachers and practitioners in the industry. OpenDreamKit will further foster development models that are mutually beneficial to academia and highly innovative SMEs.

B.0.1.1 Objectives

♠**EC Commentary:** 1-2 pages ♠ ♠**EC Commentary:** Describe the specific objectives for the project, which should be clear, measurable, realistic and achievable within the duration of the project. Objectives should be consistent with the expected exploitation and impact of the project (see section 2). ♠

The specific aims of OpenDreamKit are:

- Aim 1:** Improve the productivity of researchers in pure mathematics and applications by promoting collaborations based on mathematical **software**, **data**, and **knowledge**.
- Aim 2:** Make it easy for teams of researchers of any size to set up custom collaborative Virtual Research Environments tailored to their needs, resources and workflows, supporting the entire life-cycle of computational work in mathematical research, from initial exploration to publication, teaching and outreach.
- Aim 3:** Identify and promote best practices in computational mathematical research, including making results easily reproducible, producing reusable and easily accessible software, sharing data in a semantically sound way and exploiting and supporting the growing ecosystem of computational tools.
- Aim 4:** Maximize sustainability and impact in mathematics, neighbouring fields, and scientific computing.

Our research will cover a wide variety of aspects, ranging from component architecture, user interfaces, deployment frameworks and standardization of software system to support the OpenDreamKit virtual environment toolkit, to social and technical aspects of publication, open source tool and model development, data archival and reproducibility of mathematical experiments.

The concrete objectives of OpenDreamKit are:

- Objective 1:** To develop and standardize an architecture allowing a range of mathematical and data and software components to be combined with off-the-shelf non-mathematical infrastructure to produce specialized VREs for different research communities. The architecture will take the form of standards documents and APIs equipped, where appropriate, with formal or informal mathematical semantics to ensure interactions are mathematically sound. This primarily addresses aim 2, thereby contributing to aims 1 and 3. ♠**TO DO:** *This is a bit long* ♠
- Objective 2:** To develop open source core components for such VREs where existing software is not or not suitable. These components should support VREs running on a variety of platforms, including standard cloud and cluster platforms. This primarily addresses Aim 2, thereby contributing to Aim 1 and 3.
- Objective 3:** To bring together related research communities (such as users of Jupyter, Sage, Singular, and GAP) to symbiotically exploit overlaps in tool creation building efforts, to avoid duplication of effort in different disciplines, and to benefit from best-practice pioneered in one community by sharing it most effectively. This supports Aims 1, 3 and 4.
- Objective 4:** Update a range of existing open source mathematical software systems for seamless deployment and efficient execution within the VRE architecture of objective 1. This fulfills part of Aim 2.
- Objective 5:** Foster a sustainable ecosystem of interoperable open source components developed by overlapping communities, in particular by identifying and promoting software development best practices, and outsourcing development to larger communities whenever suitable. This fulfills part of Aim 3 and 4.
- Objective 6:** Explore the social aspects: how do researchers collaborate in Mathematics and Computational Sciences? What can be the role of Virtual Research Environments? How can collaborators within a VRE be incentivised. to collaborate more productively? This addresses part of Aim 3, 1, and 2.

Objective 7: Identify and extend ontologies and standards to allow easy, safe and efficient storage, reuse, interoperability and sharing of rich mathematical data taking account of provenance and citability. This fulfills parts of Aim 2 and 3.

Objective 8: Demonstrate the effectiveness of Virtual Research Environments built on top of OpenDreamKit components for a number of real-world use cases that traverse domains. This addresses part of Aim 2 and through documenting best practice in reproducible demonstrator documents Aim 3.

Objective 9: Effective Dissemination ♠VP [WRITE HERE: objective:disseminate: write detailed description]♠

Detailed Descriptions of Objectives

Objective 1: Virtual Research Environment Kit

Computational techniques have become a core asset for research in pure mathematics and its applications in the last decades. Mathematics communities have come together to develop powerful computational tools, such as GAP, PARI/GP, Sage or Singular, and valuable on-line services such as the Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences (OEIS <http://oeis.org>) and the ATLAS of Finite Group Representations <http://brauer.maths.qmul.ac.uk/Atlas/v3/>. In building these systems, mathematicians have gained strong experience in collaborative software development, with pioneering work and continuing leadership in Europe.

A number of approaches to linking these resources have been developed, such as the SCSCP protocol from the Framework 6 SCIENCE project, and the incorporation of a variety of free software tools in the Sage system, but the overall model is still that of a single mathematician running programs or interacting with a “notebook” page. The software provides little or no support for other aspects of mathematical research: collaboration, archival, reproducibility or linkage between programs, data and publication. Databases are updated mainly by mathematicians directly, retaining no record of the source of new entries, and providing no way of referring to the actual version of the data used in a particular computation.

The first objective of this project is to *design an architecture* which will allow existing mathematical software systems (suitably updated), off-the shelf non-mathematical tools and a small number of new components to be flexibly combined to produce a VRE that will effectively support collaborative mathematical research throughout its entire life-cycle. This will include software APIs and standards, and frameworks for assuring the semantic consistency of similar mathematical objects in different systems. It will be informed by the outputs of Objective 6, ensuring that the VREs fit the ways that mathematicians actually work.

♠**TO DO:** *Keyword: flexible/versatile virtual environment. Does this belong here*♠

Our research will cover a wide variety of aspects, ranging from software development models, user interfaces, virtual environments, deployment frameworks and novel collaborative tools, component architecture, design, and standardization of software components and databases, to links to publication, data archival and reproducibility of experiments, development models and tools, and social aspects. It will build on the remarkable success of the open source ecosystem and consolidate Europe’s leading position in computational mathematics.

Following the call specifications, all software, data, and publications resulting from this proposal will be open.

Objective 2: Core components

Most of the direct mathematical capabilities of our software will come from existing or updated open source mathematical systems. For instance we will use the power of the GAP Library for computational group theory or PARI/GP for number theory. Generic services such as storage, version control, authentication and resource accounting will come from off-the-shelf components building on standard infrastructures.

Nevertheless, some *new tools* will need to be developed or adapted. One example is a general infrastructure for mathematical databases, covering some of the types of data values and search criteria common in mathematics, but rare outside, and issues such as provenance and citation that are common to most mathematical databases. Other examples include adapting user interface and collaboration tools to support mathematical notation.

Objective 3: Community building across disciplines

Open source tools are developed with great effect across different communities often leading to re-inventions and re-implementations of great ideas by different people not being aware of each other's work. By *fostering a more cross-discipline community*, by *sharing tools where possible* and by *creating generic tools* that can be shared, we will reduce such duplication of effort significantly. This will also lead to better quality software, as the maintenance and development effort can be focused on one tool rather than a wide spread of codes, allow sharing of innovative ideas and practice more efficiently, and substantially increasing productivity of research.

While each of the communities such as the developers of Sage, Singular, and GAP need somewhat special features for their research, they are united through being (i) focussed on mathematical challenges, and (ii) needing a computational workflow. IPython and the Jupyter Notebook are used widely in communities outside mathematics in Science and Engineering that also need the computational workflow and are based on (iii) applications of mathematics. These three common attributes are sufficient to distill the requirements for core features of the virtual research environment described in this proposal. Community building will also help to sustain ongoing and community driven maintenance of a such a tool.

Objective 4: Updates to Mathematical Software components Essential to our vision is leveraging the community's massive decades-long investment in a range of open source mathematical software systems. These systems are complex, widely used and powerful, but generally designed for operation as stand-alone programs, not as part of an integrated VRE. Many are also not well-suited for modern platforms, needing work to better support parallel programming, virtualization and HPC platforms. We will *update these systems to work together* seamlessly and comply with best practice for portability and platform integration.

Objective 5: A sustainable ecosystem of software components

The success of large specialized software like PARI/GP, Singular or GAP in the last decades has shown the viability of the academic open source development model. For a long time, it was bitterly debated whether this model would be able to scale to general purpose systems for pure mathematics. The rapid takeoff of Sage in the last decade has proven the viability of the "developed by users for users" model: despite its large international community of about 150 active developers, it runs on a tiny specific budget, with most activities being funded indirectly by research grants that require specific development.

This has been made possible by reusing existing components wherever possible (including hundreds of specialized open source math libraries and the Python programming language, with its developers' tools and huge library), by spinning off software development (e.g. the Cython compiler) to larger communities whenever possible, and by carefully designing the development workflow.

Yet, long-term critical non-mathematical features such as portability, modularization, packaging, user interfaces, large data, parallelism, or outreach toward related software, have lagged behind. Indeed, they can hardly be implemented as a side product of research projects, and *need to be assigned to a few full time developers*. Regular funding is also needed to better structure the computational mathematics community in Europe, and support its upcoming major widening through training, development workshops and interdisciplinary exchanges.

One purpose of this grant is to initiate this process and invest in the long term to reduce recurrent needs.

The principle is that, with the growth of the user base, a tiny number of institutions or companies will hire full-time developers to support the critical needs of their in-house research or development. Opportunities for such hiring are, for example, actively investigated at the Laboratoire de Recherche en Informatique. It should be noted that, at the scale of a large university, the cost of licenses for analogous commercial software can reach the same order of magnitude as that of a developer.

To reduce the number of required full time developers OpenDreamKit will invest toward factoring out joint needs, and outsourcing or spinning off more components to larger communities. For example, OpenDreamKit will save the mathematics community from duplication of effort, by first outsourcing the development of the user interface of each computational component to Jupyter and make Jupyter stand up to the stringent needs of the community. Later, thanks to its large user base both in academia and industry, Jupyter will continue to thrive without specific funding or major contributions from the mathematics community.

OpenDreamKit will also foster the productivity within the ecosystem by investigating better collaboration processes between components, and identifying, sharing, and promoting software development best practices.

Objective 6: Engineering social interactions in open source VREs Scientists interact in the process of scientific discovery in a variety of ways. In particular, researchers in mathematics and adjacent theoretic disciplines often refer to minds of collaborators as some sort of laboratories. With the advent of internet the volume of scientific communication increased by orders of magnitude. Recent successful massively collaborative online projects to attack mathematical problems, known as *Polymath*, initiated by Gowers and Tao, were just not feasible 20 years ago, that is, before the WorldWideWeb era. Social aspects of interactions of this type are currently being actively investigated.

In many ways the process of designing, development and maintenance of an open-source VRE, in which mathematics and algorithms play a key role, closely resembles *Polymath* efforts. Thus OpenDreamKit VRE is a perfect object to study, as there is plenty of data to analyse, and opportunity to tweak the development workflow to obtain more relevant data, if needed.

On the other hand, recently social aspects of interactions became a focus of attention of a burgeoning field of *algorithmic game theory*, which provides *tools* to engineer environments where all participants are incentivised to contribute to the common good. Finding an optimal way to allocate reputation scores to participants of an online trading platform, such as Ebay, is just one of very many examples where these tools are used. We will investigate optimal ways to allocate reputation scores to developers and users of an open source VRE for their contributions, to facilitate the “mutual crowdsourcing” that is taking place as the VRE evolves, using the OpenDreamKit VRE as a testing ground.

Another set of tools deals with questions of stability of coalitions and collective decision making. These are applicable to the questions of stability of the community of developers of open source VREs—it is well-known that open source projects are often unstable: forks are created quite often, developers leave, etc., which frequently results in a waste of resources. We will investigate stability of OpenDreamKit and ways of improving it using the tools of cooperative game theory.

Objective 7: Next generation mathematical databases Mathematics has a rich notion of data: it can be either numeric or symbolic data; knowledge about mathematical objects given as statements (definitions, theorems or proofs); or software that computes with these mathematical objects.

All this data is really a commons, and should be maintained as such. Much of this proposal, and the prior work of many of the experts involved, is concerned with open source mathematical software, through permissive licensing of their work.

The objective described here is to *build infrastructure*, enabling mathematicians to collaboratively build this commons, while fostering a virtuous circle of interoperability between these different types of data: one mathematician might implement an algorithm, to be run later on numerical data collected by another scientist.

Objective 8: Collaborative Research Environments that Transcend Domains

♠NL [WRITE HERE: Examples and references to the corresponding tasks?]♠

We will create a number of *demonstrators* to highlight the power of OpenDreamKit. The demonstrators will highlight the applicability of OpenDreamKit across mathematics, engineering and science. They will act so as to provide recipes for state-of-the-art computational infrastructure tools, and provide avenues for ensuring the repeatability of mathematical analysis.

In particular, we will create a *repository of interactive notebooks* across a range of application domains (e.g. engineering mechanics, biology and physics). The notebooks will demonstrate a variety of numerical and symbolic techniques in self-contained executable documents. We expect these exemplars to feed in to education at high schools and universities (both undergraduate and postgraduate level). They will also provide a resource for outreach and self-study.

Our demonstrator notebooks will also act as demonstrators of the features developed in OpenDreamKit. Having been incorporated and developed by this project, they can be re-executed to serve both as a regression test and to form part of the documentation of OpenDreamKit.

Objective 9: Training and dissemination ♠VP [WRITE HERE: objective:disseminate: detailed description + title]♠

The success of any research software or service is strongly linked to its ability to attract and retain a large number of users. The different communities (Sage, Gap, PARI/GP, Singular, Jupyter, ...) have each developed sustainable networks. For example, Sage has accumulated thousands of users in under 10 years. This has been achieved thanks to a very strong community building philosophy, especially through the organization of “Sage-Days” all over the world. The first Sage-Days was held in 2006; to date there have been at least 63 of them, including 10 during 2014, as well as Sage Education days, Sage Bug days, Sage Doc days, Sage Days aimed specifically at women, and more. Many of the OpenDreamKit project members have been involved in these events either as organizers or participants, and are convinced that they are a most efficient way to promote our software. More precisely, our objective is to create a constant dialogue between the different communities, through frequent workshops, conferences, user groups, and mailing lists. By building on existing tools, we intend to involve the communities in the development process itself in the spirit of open-source software.

We also intend to reach a larger crowd of researchers by minimising technical (non-research) obstacles to access existing tools: building better documentation and tutorials, developing easy-to-install distributions, enabling easy web and cloud access, better user interfaces, better interactions between different software. We will run a series of workshops to inject additional momentum into the process. By doing this, our objective will be to *help the communities to grow* themselves and interact together using our work.

B.0.1.2 Relation to the Work Programme

OpenDreamKit addresses the topic “E-infrastructures for Virtual Research Environments (VRE)” under E-Infrastructures-2015 call. In the table below we explain how this project addresses the specific challenge and the scope of that topic, as set out in the work program.

Specific challenge	OpenDreamKit contribution
Empower researchers through development and deployment of service-driven digital research environments, services and tools tailored to their specific needs.	OpenDreamKit will empower researchers in mathematics and applications by developing a service-driven tool, based on software, knowledge and data integration. Tailored to the researchers' specific needs and workflows, the VRE will support the entire life-cycle of computational work in mathematical research. It will improve the productivity within the community by investigating better collaboration processes, and identifying, sharing and promoting software development best practices.
VRE should integrate resources across all layers of the e-infrastructure (networking, computing, data, software, user interfaces)	OpenDreamKit will indeed integrate resources across all layers of the e-infrastructure : software development models, collaborative tools, data, component architecture, deployment frameworks, standardization, social aspects, but also fostering collaboration inside the community, community enlargement and links with other scientific communities.
VRE should foster cross-disciplinary data interoperability.	OpenDreamKit will foster a sustainable ecosystem of interoperable source components developed by overlapping communities, and data interoperability between different fields of mathematics.
VRE should provide functions allowing data citation and promoting data sharing and trust.	The project will allow an easy, safe and efficient storage, reuse and sharing of rich mathematical data, taking account of provenance and citability. It will allow data sharing in a semantically sound way, and make software sustainable, reusable and easily accessible.
Scope	OpenDreamKit contribution
Each VRE should abstract from the underlying e-infrastructures using standardized building blocks and workflows, well documented interfaces, in particular regarding APIs, and interoperable components	We will use building blocks with a sustainable development model that can be seamlessly combined together to build versatile high performance VREs, each tailored to a specific need in pure mathematics and application. We will develop and demonstrate (WP3) a set of APIs enabling components such as database interfaces, computational modules, separate systems such as GAP or Sage to be flexibly combined and run smoothly across a wide range of environments (cloud, local, server etc.). Through well defined APIs, we will enable discovery of subsystems, functionality, documentation and computational resources.
The VRE proposals should clearly identify and build on requirements from real use cases	OpenDreamKit will be built on the requirements from the real use cases, including those involving industrial stakeholders. At the end of the project, the effectiveness of the VRE will be demonstrated for a number of real use cases from different domains.
They should re-use tools and services from existing infrastructures and projects at national and/or European level as appropriate.	OpenDreamKit project brings together and integrates already existing tools and interactive scientific computing environments : GAP, Sage, LinBox, PARI/GP, Singular and IPython, connected to databases, that will allow a huge gain in efficiency and productivity, enabling a large-scale collaboration on software, knowledge, and data.
Where data are concerned, projects will define the semantics, ontologies, the <i>what</i> metadata, as well as the best computing models and levels of abstraction (e.g. by means of open web services) to process the rich semantics at machine level, as to ensure interoperability.	We will investigate patterns to share data, ontologies, and semantics across computational systems, possibly connected remotely. We will leverage the well established semantics used in mathematics (categories, type systems) to give powerful abstractions on computational objects.

B.0.1.3 Concept and Approach

♠EC Commentary: 5-8 pages ♠ ♠EC Commentary: – Describe and explain the overall concept underpinning the project. Describe the main ideas, models or assumptions involved. Identify any trans-disciplinary considerations; – Describe and explain the overall approach and methodology, distinguishing, as appropriate, activities indicated in the relevant section of the work programme, e.g. Networking Activities, Service Activities and Joint Research Activities, as detailed in the Part E of the Specific features for Research Infrastructures of the Horizon 2020 European Research Infrastructures (including e-Infrastructures) Work Programme 2014- 2015;

– Describe how the Networking Activities will foster a culture of co-operation between the participants and other relevant stakeholders.

– Describe how the Service activities will offer access to state-of-the-art infrastructures, high quality services, and will enable users to conduct excellent research.

– Describe how the Joint Research Activities will contribute to quantitative and qualitative improvements of the services provided by the infrastructures.

– As per Part E of the Work Programme, where relevant, describe how the project will share and use existing basic operations services (e.g. authorisation and accounting systems, service registry, etc.) with other e-infrastructure providers and justify why such services should be (re)developed if they already exist in other e-infrastructures. Describe how the developed services will be discoverable on-line.

– Where relevant, describe how sex and/or gender analysis is taken into account in the project's content. ♠

♠ALL [WRITE HERE: Introduction to the section highlighting the structure and pointing to where the different points above, and the points in the reviewers check list are addressed.] ♠

B.0.1.3.1 Mathematics and innovation in the digital world

Mathematics is at the heart of innovation ♠ALL [WRITE HERE: Finalize, possibly changing the examples for variety, ...] ♠

We live in an innovation-driven society. The key enabling tool for our advances is mathematics. For just a few examples, the global positioning system (GPS) needs relativistic mathematics, ♠TO DO: Insert “health” keyword ♠ Computer Assisted Tomography (CAT scanning) is based on solving mathematical inverse problems mobile phone connectivity depends on combinatorial optimization algorithms and Delaunay triangulations for frequency allocation, while the modern communications infrastructure relies on cryptographic algorithms derived from number theory. At the core of each of these innovations there is the underpinning mathematical that is implemented through algorithms. Such innovations have been made possible by investments into pure and applied research in mathematics. Engineering and business innovation is then founded upon these fundamentals to enrich society with the benefits of the mathematical insights. ♠TO DO: show how in the three examples ♠.

♠The math community embraces and fosters innovation in technology ♠

The mathematics research community itself has always been at the core of new technology, from Newton's innovations in reflecting telescopes, to Turing and von Neumann's roles as the founding fathers of Computer Science. The generality of mathematical ideas has been applied to generate important technological advances. In 1945 Alan Turing wrote of his design for the NPL ACE computer, that

There will be positively no internal alterations to be made even if we wish suddenly to switch from calculating the energy levels of the neon atom to the enumeration of groups of order 720.

. Foreshadowing the dominance of mathematical abstraction (and its implementations in computation) in modern science and technology.

Even in more practical areas, such as in web standards, mathematicians have lead the way. MathML was the first XML recommendation, while planetmath.org adopted Web 2.0 standards even before Wikipedia. The theory of high performance computing (HPC) is underpinned by mathematical models of concurrency, as well as a major driver of innovation in domain being Computer Algebra System research. Many now standard programming paradigms (iterators, comprehensions, generics) appeared originally there.

Impact of the digital world for research in pure mathematics and applications

Exploration tools are crucial for research in pure mathematics From their early days, computers have been used in pure mathematics, either to prove theorems (e.g. the four color theorem) or, like the telescope for astronomers, to explore new theories. By now the experimental method, based on exact computer aided calculations, has now been added to the standard toolbox of the pure mathematician, and its usage has grown to the point that certain areas of mathematics now completely depend on it.

Experiments lead to new conjectures which may have a deep impact on the future development of mathematics. An outstanding example is the Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer conjecture which is one of the Clay Millenium Problems. Databases relying on computer calculations such as the Small Groups Library or the Modular Atlas in group and representation theory provide indispensable tools for researchers. A constructive way of understanding proofs of deep theorems yields algorithmic tools to deal with highly abstract concepts. These tools make the concepts available to a broader class of researchers, with many potential applications. A prominent example from algebraic geometry is the desingularization theorem of Hironaka, for which Hironaka won the Fields Medal, and its algorithmization by Villamayor.

Spectacular theoretical breakthroughs such as the recent complete resolution of Serre's conjectures, directly inspired by Wiles' proof of Fermat's last theorem, are based on interdisciplinary approaches. Current developments on the algorithmic side allow one to conquer cross-connections between different areas of mathematics also computationally and, thus, to arrive at cutting-edge applications which previously were inconceivable.

♠ *Computational maths is interdisciplinary by nature* ♠ ♠ **TO DO:** *This could go in a section on interdiscilinary* ♠

The field of computational mathematics allows us to compute in and with a multitude of mathematical structures. It is interdisciplinary in nature, with links to quite a number of areas in mathematics, with applications in mathematics and other branches of science and engineering, and with constantly new and often surprising developments. Quite a number of these developments, in fact the creation of whole subareas of the field, have been initiated by European researchers who made crucial contributions at all levels. These include the design of fundamental algorithms, the development of major computer algebra systems (♠ **TO DO:** *this is a bit redundant with below* ♠), applications of the computational methods in various fields, and the creation of widely used databases.

Particularly fruitful interactions unfold between computer algebra and algebraic geometry, number theory, combinatorics and group theory. Algebraic algorithms open up new ways of accessing subareas of these key disciplines of mathematics, and they are fundamental to practical applications of the disciplines. Conversely, challenges arising in algebraic geometry, number theory, combinatorics and group theory quite often lead to algorithmic breakthroughs which, in turn, open the door for new theoretical and practical applications of computer algebra.

Collaborative tools are having deep effects on how mathematics research is conducted In the last three decades mathematics research has gone from being a solitary pen-and-paper activity of talented individuals corresponding via lectures, letters, and journal articles to a collaborative, geographically distributed team activity that is supported by e-infrastructures. Simultaneously computational methods have become far more prominent in mathematical research, driven by the availability of computers, high-quality software, and by interest in mathematical problems and proofs that cannot be addressed without massive computer calculations. ♠ *Possible examples, CoFSG and sequelae, Kepler conjecture, hardware verification.* ♠

♠ **TO DO:** *Tradition of collaboration in Mathematics* ♠ Mathematicians have a strong tradition of sharing knowledge openly (arxiv, Wikipedia, ...).

♠ **TO DO:** *Similarly, mathematicians have been building and sharing databases for a long while; the needs for such is growing tremendously, and the process needs to be streamlined.* ♠

Collaboration on Mathematical Software, Data, Knowledge

Today's research is transformed by the Internet and the availability of vast amounts of research data on the Internet in virtual research environments. Arguably, Mathematics is the only science that has not yet benefitted greatly from the systematic interchange of data. At the same time, mathematics has a richer notion of data than other disciplines. Indeed, "mathematical data" consists of three kinds of objects:

- \mathcal{D} : proper (numeric/symbolic) data
- \mathcal{K} : the knowledge about the mathematical objects given as statements (definitions, theorems or proofs; either formal or rigorously informal)
- \mathcal{S} : software that computes (with) the mathematical objects

All three kinds of "data" are equally important for mathematics and are tightly interlinked:

- \mathcal{D} serves as examples for \mathcal{K} or as counterexamples for conjectures in \mathcal{K} ;
- \mathcal{S} computes \mathcal{D} and establishes properties of \mathcal{D} (given as \mathcal{K});
- \mathcal{D} tests \mathcal{S} , \mathcal{S} is verified with respect to \mathcal{K} ;
- theorems and proofs in \mathcal{K} induce and justify algorithms for \mathcal{S} ;
- \mathcal{D} induces conjectures and guides proofs in \mathcal{K} .

Figure 1 instantiates this situation with respect to the \mathcal{DKS} -resources that are already in use in Mathematics. We name just a few paradigmatic systems that are relevant in the scope of the OpenDreamKit project:

1. **Data Repositories/Communities:** Many communities have been collecting and sharing data about the objects they study: e.g.
 - a. The *Open Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences* [<http://oeis.org>] has collected sequences of integers for half a century, it now contains publications about, relations between, programs for, and data on ca. 250.000 sequences and is steadily growing
 - b. The *database of L-Functions, Modular Forms, and related objects* [<http://www.lmfdb.org>] is an extensive database of mathematical objects arising in Number Theory. The associated website aims to become a modern handbook including tables, formulas, links, and references, to these objects, including specific L-functions and their sources.
 - c. ♠Viviane [WRITE HERE: findstat]♠
2. **Knowledge Sources and Repositories** There are many ways to represent mathematical knowledge and involve computers. Systems and resources range from relatively traditional pre-publication systems like
 - a. the *Cornell EPrint archive* [<http://arxiv.org>] has over 1 million \LaTeX -based pre-prints of which ca 10-15% are on mathematics and bordering areas.
 - b. via community-driven Q/A sites like [<http://mathoverflow.net>] with almost 40 thousand questions answered
 - c. to mathematical encyclopedias like [<http://planetmath.org>], which as a Web2.0 site predates Wikipedia,
 - d. the LMFDB website [<http://www.lmfdb.org>] which includes novel ways to present this data, following a principle called *transclusion*, and in the extreme to
 - e. formalizations of mathematical knowledge, e.g. in theorem prover libraries like Mizar [<http://mizar.org>], which has formalized 50 thousand relatively elementary theorems in 40 years or the formalizations of the Feit-Thomson Theorem or the Kepler Conjecture.
3. **Mathematical Software Development and Systems**
 - a. ♠TO DO: Please add three interestingly different paradigmatic software systems here♠

Virtual Research Environments for Mathematics ♠Role of this section: reflecting our knowledge of the context + and explaining that the success of those early VRE's, even if incomplete, showcases their importance and the appetite of the community for these kind of tools. It's exciting. We want to highlight the appetite rather than the need which is hard to define at this point♠




Figure 1: Virtual Research Environments for research in pure mathematics and applications.

♠ In short: maths needs VRE's ♠

Our priority is the delivery of complete Virtual Research Environments (VRE). A VRE supports the entire life-cycle of computational work in mathematical research, from initial exploration to publication, teaching, and outreach. We envisage VREs as the main medium for development and deployment of mathematical research.

Virtual Research Environments are flexible, powerful, unified environments for communication, distribution and implementation of mathematical research.

Initial work shows the potential for this idea, for example, the Virtual Research and Teaching Environment SageMathCloud hosting more than 10k users and 100k projects after just one year). ♠ **TO DO:** *Short description of SMC + link to the section + screenshot* ♠

There is widespread community interest in well-executed *integrated solutions* which can enable large-scale collaboration on Mathematical *software*, *knowledge*, and *data*. This interest is also evidenced by the considerable activity (since the inception of the internet) in a range of online mathematical databases such as the Online Encyclopedia of Integers Sequences, the Atlas of Finite Group Representations, and LMFDB. Other systems such as [polymath](#) and [MathOverflow](#) show the interest among mathematicians in exploring new forms of collaboration, in particular when the tools are well-designed and the balance of effort and reward is correct.

♠ **TO DO:** *Mention as well everyday's collaborative tools like arxiv, Wikipedia, github, each showing some aspects of VRE.* ♠

♠ **TO DO:** *Simulagora* ♠

♠ **TO DO:** *Highlight some other deployed VRE's that would benefit to the sorts of improvements you suggest. You could include Wakari.io and also the tmpnb thing in Nature magazine: <http://www.nature.com/news/ipython-interactive-demo-7.21492>* ♠

♠ **TO DO:** *Reference paragraph 1 of ambition for the typical sharing of papers/code/. . . through version control, but that's a minority* ♠

The diversity of needs ♠**TO DO:** *Mathematicians have a variety of workflows, requiring each a different VRE.*♠

Mathematical research projects and teams that make of computation are extremely diverse, in size, sophistication and requirements:

- At one extreme a project might consist of one researcher, with limited general computing expertise, using a computational tool to compute some data that confirms or refutes a hypothesis, writing up the results as a paper linking to the data and publishing it. Such a user needs a simple system that supports the computational tool of their choice, logging and replay of the computation, automatic incorporation of key data in a mathematical document, data archival and subsequent citation. They will use very little computational resource, and probably have no means of paying for what they do use, and will have limited ability to install software.
- At larger collaborative project might involve five or six researchers at two or three sites, developing a significant extension to a system such as Sage and using it to explore or catalogue examples of the mathematics of interest, and publishing multiple versions of their software and data, and a number of mathematical papers based upon that data. They need a much more sophisticated environment, including communication and collaboration tools, software development tools and so on.
- Still another type of project would be a very large and open-ended collaborative framework such as polymath, but with the capability to attach computations, machine-checked proofs and other computational elements to the discussion and the collaboratively assembled proof. These are just a few of the many forms of computational or collaborative mathematical project that we aim to support.

♠**TO DO:** *Itemize the list of scenario, include Wolfram's comment about having a large array of tools under hand, VREs used by researchers in mathematics, but also used by engineers for instance as a tool, or by students sometimes. So VREs needed w different level of complexity*♠

♠**TO DO:** *Add more scenarios: mathematical collaborations and mathematical research projects involving collaboration also vary widely, as do the skills and needs of individual mathematicians, calling for a highly modular and customizable VRE infrastructure. Some VREs need to support search, other computations.*♠

♠**TO DO:** *Florent scenario : In a typical day of research in Algebraic combinatorics, we needs tools from very different areas of mathematics: linear, commutative and non-commutative algebra, symbolic manipulation, group theory, graph theory, language theory and rewriting techniques for examples... We need to write our own code that glue together the corresponding computing software in a novel way. Easy parallelization and distribution of the computations is required to cope with combinatorial explosion. Last but not the least, we need to visualize the results, which are often large graphs with complicated informations on the node or edges. Of course, we need to be able to exchange with colleagues not only the computed data but also the computation software.* ♠

♠*Specific challenges in maths*♠

♠**TO DO:** *A specific challenge in mathematics comes from the vast yet tightly connected array of concepts involved. The natural ontologies of mathematics are richer, more complex, and more interconnected than for, say, fluid dynamics.*♠

♠**TO DO:** *Also: data and software in math not really patentable, no big money. No real ownership fights.* ♠

♠**TO DO:** *A scenario to illustrate the following point: The development of effective, flexible and easy-to-use VRE technology is especially important for mathematicians, because their research groups tend to be small and can be isolated.*♠

B.0.1.3.2 Key concept: a Virtual Research Environment Toolkit

♠The concept♠

*OpenDreamKit proposes to deliver a flexible **toolkit** that will make it easy to set up Virtual Research Environments, customized to meet the diverse needs of collaborative research in pure mathematics and applications, and built out of a sustainable ecosystem of community-developed open software, databases, and services.*

♠**TO DO:** Alternative/complementary language: In particular, we will focus on developing and improving building blocks of e-infrastructure that can be assembled and re-used flexibly to address a wide range of requirements in mathematics and the applications of mathematics in science and engineering, rather than creating one particular monolithic environment.♠

The ambition of this project, set out below, is to develop tools and techniques that will allow individual researchers or groups to compose a VRE which will provide modern, flexible and reliable support for the whole lifecycle of a mathematical research project, including collaborative exploration, mathematical computations of all scales from tiny to huge, proof, publication and archival. To do this they will need a toolkit from which to assemble Digital Research Environments for the Advancement of Mathematics – the DREAMKit.

The kit will take the form of a collection of compatible components, ready to be connected using extensible documented interfaces both to other bespoke components and to standard infrastructural tools and services. Most of the capabilities of these components will come from existing software – computational tools such as Sage, GAP, Singular and PARI; databases such as LMFDB; user interface tools such as the iPython notebooks (now part of the Jupyter project); existing compute servers, clusters and clouds; typesetting tools such as \LaTeX and so on. Some of these need to be updated to run to best effect in modern environments, most will need adaptation to support the OpenDreamKit interfaces. Some new components may be needed. Groundwork must also be laid for effective consistent maintenance and future development of these components.

Benefit: meeting actual needs in Mathematics The kit will be designed to create VREs that support the ways in which mathematicians actually work together through the lifecycle of a project, informed by recent research into the sociology of mathematical collaboration.

Engineering the social aspects of such systems to maximize their success is an imprecise science. A great deal is learnt from the deployment of any given system and the reaction of the wider community. Historically setting up these infrastructures has required massive ad-hoc efforts: SageMathCloud required 70k lines of bespoke code to integrate its components and each of the databases and collaboration sites is essentially a bespoke program. Unfortunately much of the effort is necessarily *not* on the innovation in the environment, but on the underlying infrastructure. To ensure focus can be placed on the environment itself we require a more flexible and reusable system. Its characteristics must include portability, compatibility, performance, usability, and reproducibility. It should bootstrap our understanding of the social dynamics of user and developer communities.

B.0.1.3.3 Approach

In the previous section, we have analyzed the diverse needs of researchers in pure mathematics and applications, and argued that the concept of a VRE toolkit, as proposed by OpenDreamKit, will match those needs, and have a considerable impact on how mathematical research is conducted.

We now explain our approach to implement this concept, and argue why we are at a critical point providing an opportunity to do so.

♠**TO DO:** *Faire un encadré avec les composants clefs: basic technologies: web, database, openstack ..., computational components, user interfaces♠*

♠**TO DO:** *Key factor: development models and communities that want to collaborate♠*

The key factor is that open source development models and collaborative tools (e.g. github) have reached a maturity allowing for bringing together very large and diverse communities of developers, and fostering large ecosystems of interoperable components.

We will elaborate later in this section how this has specifically affected the development of mathematical software in the last decade, showcasing the sustainability of the “by users for users” development models even for general purpose mathematical computational components. Simultaneously key open source technologies have recently emerged: virtual machines and containers for easy deployment, cloud infrastructure (open stack), web technologies (mathjax, WebGL, ...) for powerful in-browser clients, scalable decentralized databases. Combining this with the interactive computing environment Jupyter. Jupyter (described later in this section), most of the building blocks for constructing VREs are already there, and SageMathCloud proves the feasibility (but 70k of code).

Hence our approach is to join forces with Jupyter and focus on improving those building blocks in order to make it easy to combine them.

♠ **TO DO:** *explain what kind of improvements:*

- *faire ce qu'il faut pour pouvoir composer les composants de calcul et les rendre portables et performants sur une large gamme d'infrastructures.*
- *Improve Jupyter*
-



♠ **TO DO:** *reference for sustainability* ♠

♠ **TO DO:** *Explain the high return on investment.* ♠

♠ **TO DO:** *Recycle stuff below and advertise the last section on maximising sustainability and impact* ♠

Throughout this project we will reuse and extend open source code, and OpenDreamKit will benefit from future open source contributions during and beyond the lifetime of the project. By unifying tools with overlapping functionality, such as Jupyter and Sage, we focus the effort of the computational community onto OpenDreamKit, producing additional economies of scale. Finally, thanks to the “by users for users” model, the development will be steered by the actual needs of the community.

Development models for mathematical software: a historical perspective ♠ *Goal: discussion about development models for mathematical software* ♠

Supporting the experimental method requires spending major efforts on software development. As the sophistication of the required computations increased, supported by the boom of the available computational power, it became vital to share those efforts at the scale of large research communities. European mathematicians have been pioneers and have grown a steady tradition of collaborative open source software development, with specialized systems like GAP, Singular, or PARI/GP playing a major role for decades.

♠ **TO DO:** *fuse those two paragraphs* ♠

Collaborative software innovation by mathematical researchers was key to the development (often in Europe) of many highly successful, open-source, community-developed specialized systems, starting with PARI/GP in Number Theory in 1979, and including GAP in Group Theory or Singular in Algebraic Geometry. This was at a time when much other scientific computing research relied on bespoke Fortran programs used for one calculation and then discarded.

♠ *The emergence of massive collaborative development models and tools is revolutionizing the landscape; innovation is now led by communities, not corporate software* ♠

♠ **TO DO:** *There is redundancy below* ♠

In that period inter-project communication was limited by the lack of interconnection between computers, leading to systems remained limited to specific research topics and non-interoperable. It was left to the corporate world to gather sufficient manpower to develop general purpose systems, that could support a broad range of engineering, scientific and statistical mathematics, through a coherent user interface. These companies (e.g. Wolfram, Mathworks, MapleSoft) were mainly US-based and created a profitable industry.

The modern environment, however, is quite different. A more connected digital world has led to the emergence of Sage. Sage is a truly general purpose computational mathematical system. It is committed to, and draws huge benefits from, the power of open source software a virtual software development environment. It showcases the modern reality that open source software is not just a viable alternative for commercially produced alternatives, but it actually allows for more rapid innovation through providing an open platform

through which the community can deploy and share advances more rapidly. Sage showcases this modern user-driven community approach to development by delivering high quality software to researchers, teachers, and practitioners in mathematics. It is founded on a widespread international community of contributors and developers and builds successfully on a large stack of existing open source software, ranging from the specialized computational systems mentioned above, to Python, a general purpose programming language that is used by millions of programmers worldwide. This flexible, open source architecture then allows it to rapidly assimilate new components such as Jupyter (formerly IPython notebook) as they are developed.

In the 1980s and 1990s the economies of scale favoured the commercial development model for mathematical software: corporate entities could co-locate a large body of expertise and orient it towards one goal. This was difficult for the much larger, but naturally more dissipated, communities of mathematical researchers. However, modern interconnection of researchers (through the infrastructure of the internet and collaborative development environments such as github) means that the balance of these economies of scale has been reversed. Commercial packages can no longer develop fast enough to assimilate the innovation of the wider mathematical community, where there is greater expertise and manpower.

♠**TO DO:** *The material here is somewhat redundant with the language in Objective 5; see where this belongs best to. The previous section being too long, it might be good to move things here.*♠

A unifying concept: executable notebooks A key technology here is Jupyter (formerly IPython), a set of open-source software projects for interactive and exploratory computing. These software projects help make scientific computing and data science reproducible and multilanguage (Python, Julia, R, Haskell, etc.). The main application offered by Jupyter is the Jupyter notebook, a web-based interactive computing platform that allows users to create data- and code- driven narratives that combine live code, equations, text, interactive dashboards and other rich media into a single executable document. Jupyter is already used very widely in research and development, both in academia and industry and the user base grows rapidly.

♠**TO DO:** *Here could be a good spot to cite [The Paper of the Future](#)*♠

Maximizing sustainability and massive impact By focusing on a toolkit rather than a monolithic VRE, and by concentrating the efforts on improving and unifying existing general purpose building blocks, and in the forefront Jupyter, OpenDreamKit will simultaneously maximize sustainability and broad impact. Indeed, even if the primary target users are *researchers in mathematics*, the set of beneficiaries extends to scientific computing, physics, chemistry, biology, engineering, medicine, earth sciences and geography, and include researchers as well as teachers and practitioners in the industry. OpenDreamKit will further foster development models that are mutually beneficial to academia and highly innovative SME's.

♠*Even just the improvements of the components themselves will have a strong impact*♠

B.0.1.3.4 Activities

The activities of the project are planned and structured to develop and promote OpenDreamKit, including new research into architectures, database techniques, parallel algorithms and the sociology of collaborative free software development, as well as engineering work on existing software and networking and community-building activities.

The project inherently spans the disciplines of mathematics and computer science, as well as bringing in results and techniques from social sciences. Exemplar applications may also arise from areas to which symbolic and algebraic computing is applied, such as physics, chemistry, systems biology and engineering.

The project is divided into seven work packages. Work Package ?? covers project management and coordination as usual. Work Package ?? is our main Networking activity including community-building workshops, demonstrator applications and direct dissemination of project results. This covers the following topics from section E of the Work Programme:

- dissemination and/or exploitation of project results and knowledge, contribution to socioeconomic impacts, promotion of innovation ;

- reinforcing partnership with industry: outreach and dissemination activities, transfer of knowledge, activities to foster the use of e-infrastructures by industrial researchers, involvement of industrial associations in consortia or in advisory bodies;
- strengthening of virtual research communities;
- spreading of good practices, consultancy and training courses to new users;
- exchange of personnel and training of staff;

♠ **TO DO:** *reference specific tasks for each bullet-point* ♠

The remaining work packages are Joint Research Activities, dividing up the research needed to design and implement the OpenDreamKit and investigate the best models for its future development. ♠ **TO DO:** *Explain the rationale for the division and how they all come together at the end* ♠

This covers the following topics from section E of the Work Programme:

- higher performance methodologies and protocols, higher performance instrumentation, including the testing of components, subsystems, materials, techniques and dedicated software;
- integration of installations and infrastructures into virtual facilities;
- innovative solutions for data collection, management, curation and annotation;

♠ **TO DO:** *tasks or WPs* ♠

Additional topics addressed include effective software development and maintenance methodologies for systems of free software systems and the design of VREs to best support real mathematical practice.

Since the infrastructure that we are developing is free software, there is no need for formal Service activities. All partners have access to all the software anyway, and development and demonstration can take place on computers already available to the partners.

Networking activities ♠ **[WRITE HERE:** *how the workshops etc are carefully planned to bring everyone together, existing great culture, etc.***]** ♠

Joint Research Activities ♠ **[WRITE HERE:** *here is probably where we say why these are the exact topics that need work if DreamKit is to happen and repeat how much more wonderful it will be than just using existing software. Some kind of description of the software architecture probably belongs here***]** ♠

Use of Existing Basic Services ♠ **[WRITE HERE:** *This is a possible weak point – does anyone know anything about this***]** ♠

Gender analysis All partners will follow inclusive practices in recruiting staff for this project, in inviting the community to our workshops and outreach events and in choosing users to evaluate our demonstrator applications. We will consult with ♠ **TO DO:** *someone – could be the Head of Equality and Diversity at St Andrews if you like, or one of UMs sociology friends* ♠ about any known gender differences in collaborative working and ensure that our collaborative tools properly support open, equitable and inclusive patterns of cooperation. ♠ **TO DO:** *say that we'll report this in some deliverable* ♠.

OpenDreamKit aims to create a framework to make the systems interoperable and synergistic and to give working mathematicians full access to the potential spanned by already-existing systems. Essentially every node in Figure 1 represents a user community, so OpenDreamKit is at its heart a project that also combines researchers and communities.

B.0.1.3.5 Old material

♠**TO DO:** *What the proposal is about*♠ This proposal is about providing mathematicians with the tools to carry out and communicate their research effectively. It will ensure that ideas are distributed and discussed as widely as possible to enable rapid assimilation of these ideas into the pipeline of innovation. Our aim is to develop an ecosystem that exploits the modern computing infrastructure to streamline development and deployment of mathematical advances. We will ensure that the societal benefit of these advances is realized in the shortest possible timescale.

This proposal is about supporting the next generation of innovation in the mathematical computing ecosystem. It is a Europe-wide collaboration that assimilates a leading body mathematicians and computational researchers with a track record of delivering innovative open source software solutions.

In keeping with the Sage strategy, a major focus is on reusing and improving existing components, and reaching toward larger communities whenever possible.

♠Short description of the consortium♠

To achieve this aim, OpenDreamKit brings together lead developers and experts from existing mathematical computational components (LinBox, GAP, Sage, Singular), existing Virtual Research Environments (SageMathCloud, Simulagora), online mathematical databases (LMFDB), mathematics knowledge portals (MathHub) and general purpose interactive computing components (Jupyter).

♠**TO DO:** *Most of the participants are themselves primary users of the infrastructure, with a long track record of community building and dissemination. Thus the governance will naturally be steered by users needs.*♠

Chunks of language that we might want to recycle above:

- i) OpenDreamKit will attack all those challenges upfront, while consolidating Europe's leading position in this field.
- ii) innovate virtual research environment (VRE) development: instead of building an essentially monolithic system like Mathematica or Maple, we will build an open framework for Math VREs, which can be extended by plugins and experimented on as needed, and
- iii) wrest the initiative in the space of computational mathematics from the corporate world into the open source research/innovation community, which is traditionally strong in Europe.

B.0.1.3.6 Linked research and innovation activities

♠**EC Commentary:** *Describe any national or international research and innovation activities which will be linked with the project, especially where the outputs from these will feed into the project;*♠

♠**TO DO:** *For each item below, write a paragraph describing the project and one describing how it connects with this proposal*♠

DFG Priority Project SPP 1489 computer algebra.de

The SPP1489 "Algorithmic and Experimental Methods in Algebra, Geometry, and Number Theory" is a nationwide Priority Project of the German Research Council DFG which commenced in July 2010 and will end in June 2016. The focus of the programme is on the interactions between computer algebra and algebraic geometry, number theory, and group theory. It combines expertise at all levels of research in computer algebra, be it the design of algorithms, the implementation of algorithms, the application of algorithms, or the creation of mathematical databases. The goal of SPP1489 is to considerably further the algorithmic and experimental methods in the afore mentioned disciplines, to combine the different methods across boundaries between the disciplines, and to apply them to central questions in theory and praxis. A fundamental concern of the programme is the further development of open source computer algebra systems with origins in Germany, which in the framework of different projects will be cross-linked on different levels. Of particular interest are interactions with application areas inside and outside of mathematics such as system- and control theory, coding theory, cryptography, CAD, algebraic combinatorics, and algebraic statistics as well as hybrid methods which combine numerical and symbolic approaches.

The work in the SPP1489 has established effective communication channels between the core developers of different computer algebra systems. It is a showcase project for several objectives of this proposal (such as community building and fostering a sustainable ecosystem of interoperable open source components). The experience made in parallelizing mathematical software will be crucial for Work package WP5.

IPython/Jupyter grant from the Alfred P. Sloan foundation <http://ipython.org/sloan-grant.html>

The IPython project received a \$1.15M grant from the Alfred P. Sloan foundation that is supporting IPython development for two years (1/1/2013-12/31/2014), in particular at the University of California, Berkeley and California Polytechnic State University, San Luis Obispo. This grant enabled the project to focus on developing the IPython Notebook as a general tool for scientific and technical computing that is open, collaborative and reproducible. This goes a long way toward Aim ♠**TO DO**: ... and ...♠ of OpenDreamKit, especially given the current rapid evolution of IPython toward its language agnostic avatar Jupyter.

OpenDreamKit will build on the outcome of the Sloan grant, and further develop the critical IPython/Jupyter component in close collaboration with the IPython/Jupyter team. In particular, we plan to hire some of the European developers that are currently funded by the Sloan grant to work in California and wish to later return to Europe.

NSF SI2-SSE OCI-1147247 The OCI-1147247 Collaborative Research grant “Sage-Combinat: Developing and Sharing Open Source Software for Algebraic Combinatorics” is a project funded by the National Science Foundation from June 2012 to May 2015. The grant supports the development of Sage-Combinat, on the USA side, and in areas relevant to the ongoing research of the participants (symmetric functions, Macdonald polynomials for arbitrary Cartan types, crystals, rigged configurations and combinatorial R-matrices, affine Weyl groups and Hecke algebras, cluster algebras, posets, ...), together with relevant underlying infrastructure. The grant funds a yearly Sage Days workshop, and cofunded two others at ICERM and Orsay respectively. The grant also funds a dedicated software development and computation server for Sage-Combinat, hosted in the Sage computation farm in Seattle. Emphasis is placed on the development of thematic tutorials that make the code accessible to new users. The grant also funds graduate student RA support, curriculum development, and other mentoring.

Two of the proposers, Stein and Thiéry, are respectively PI and foreign senior participant to this NSF grant. It funded, through them, some of the development of SageMathCloud as well as of the category framework in Sage; both are key assets for this proposal. The workshop and outreach actions pursued by this NSF grant have proven to be potent tools for connecting researchers and recruiting users and developers. One of the role of this proposal is to support similar community building in Europe.

HPAC grant from the A.N.R. The French national research agency ANR has funded a 4 years project on High Performance Algebraic Computing (HPAC) focused on the development of parallel exact linear algebra. The consortium gathers research groups from LIP6 (Paris 6), LIRMM (Montpellier), LIP (Lyon) and LIG and LJK (Grenoble). The main goals of the project is to first develop high performance exact linear algebra kernels with dedicated parallel runtime, propose a domain specific language for the parallelization of exact linear algebra libraries and their composition, invent new algorithmic solutions for large scale parallelizations. The output of the project is then twofolds: new computational challenges arising in algebraic cryptanalysis will be addressed, and the open-source libraries maintained by each group will not only integrate these advances, but will expose them in a close integration to high level computer algebra softwares. In this process, Sage will start benefitting from the new shared-memory parallel code of LinBox for the linear algebra over a finite field. The scope of this project is mostly focused on shared memory parallelism (except for some challenge computations). Addressing distributed and heterogeneous infrastructures is the next step after this project, that is be addressed in work-package 5 of the this proposal.

RADIANT Grant from EU FP7-HEALTH (ref 305636) <http://radiant-project.eu/>

This EU funded proposal focuses on making available computational and mathematical models to the computational biology communities as rapidly as they are developed with a particular focus on high throughput sequencing techniques. The rapid development of sensorics technology in the biological sciences results

in mathematical challenges in the data analysis. To address these challenges in a timely manner collaborative frameworks for mathematical and computational modelling are required. OpenDreamKit provides the framework for pipeline delivery of methodologies to end users through approachable IPython/Jupyter notebooks.

Cubicweb <http://www.cubicweb.org>

Logilab has been developing CubicWeb since 2001 as FLOSS (Free Libre Open Source Software). CubicWeb is a semantic web framework, that allows to build web applications and web services from an ontology. CubicWeb could be used in OpenDreamKit to build mathematical databases dynamically that will store data, knowledge and software.

Simulagora <http://www.simulagora.com>

Logilab is maintaining Simulagora, a software as a service (SaaS) that builds on free software (FLOSS) to provide its users with a Virtual Research Environment (VRE) that greatly guarantees traceability and reproducibility as well as facilitates group collaboration. Logilab will bring its experience of Simulagora to OpenDreamKit and feed back to Simulagora many of the deliverables available under a free license.

Sage Math Cloud <https://cloud.sagemath.com/>

SageMathCloud provides a collaborative online environment for students, teachers and researchers to interact with Sage and with each other. It has Sage and IPython worksheets, powerful \LaTeX editing features and a full Linux computer, all accessible from a standard web browser. Its main design feature is to enable and promote collaboration between groups of users. It is for example a natural place to host a course, allowing teachers to collaborate with their students using modern tools like Sage and \LaTeX , with facilities for real-time communication through chat, video, and shared editing of documents, programs and worksheets; course material can be provided as worksheets, assignments can be distributed, collected, and returned as well. Launched in 2013, SageMathCloud presently hosts over 100,000 projects and 10,000 weekly active users. This fast adoption by a wide variety of users demonstrates the relevance and the long term impact this kind of collaborative environments can have.

Technically speaking, SageMathCloud is a specific open-source cloud-based Virtual Research and Teaching Environment for mathematics developed since 2013 under the lead of William Stein, with funding from the NSF, and Google's Education Grant program. It's currently deployed at the University of Washington at Seattle, with a business plan in the work for commercial support for massive on line courses, subsidizing a free service for all other academic usage and some further Sage development.

In comparison OpenDreamKit focuses on open source building blocks and architecture to easily set up and deploy custom Virtual Research Environments. On the one hand, SageMathCloud will serve as prototype for OpenDreamKit, paving the way and showcasing important features from the users perspective. On the other hand, basically each and every task undertaken in OpenDreamKit will benefit back SageMathCloud.

FLINT grant?

LMFDB grant http://www2.warwick.ac.uk/fac/sci/math/people/staff/john_cremona/lmf

The L-functions and Modular Forms Database (LMFDB) project originated at a meeting at The American Institute for Mathematics (AIM) in 2007. L-functions are ubiquitous in number theory, and have applications to mathematical physics and cryptography. The simplest example of an L-functions is the Riemann zeta function. Two of the seven Clay Mathematics Million Dollar Millennium Problems deal with properties of these functions, namely the Riemann Hypothesis and the Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer Conjecture, that were conjectured following computational exploration. As well as providing a central repository of data as a resource for researchers, through its website www.lmfdb.org, the LMFDB provides a modern handbook, including tables, formulas, links and references, concerning particular specific L-functions and their sources. Between 2008 and 2012 the LMFDB was funded through a US National Science Foundation (NSF) Focussed Research Grant (FRG) of around \$1M. Since 2013, the funding of the LMFDB has passed to Europe through

a six year £2.2M Programme Grant (grant reference EP/K034383/1) from the UK Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council (EPSRC), held at the universities of Warwick and Bristol, with Professor John Cremona (Warwick) as its Principal Investigator. This grant supports six three-year postdoctoral research fellows, mathematical researchers who work on the mathematical aspects of the project full-time, biannual workshops, equipment and a portion of the investigators' own time.

Almost all contributors to the LMFDB project, including those directly supported by the EPSRC grant and the larger world-wide team of 30-50 contributors of data and code, are pure mathematicians. Most of these have good computational skills, but are not professional programmers or software developers. The LMFDB has a great need to broaden the support it can call upon from software developers, to enhance the project in several ways, including the computation of number-theoretic data but more specifically in supporting the database management and website user interface, in order to make the data more accessible and useful to others. The codebase of the LMFDB project is entirely open source and hosted at GitHub [<https://github.com/LMFDB/lmfdb>], written in python with specialist modules such as flask and pymongo to manage the website and database interface, and Sage for higher-level mathematical computations. It also implements "Knowls", a very fruitful method of presenting mathematical knowledge.

The LMFDB project would therefore benefit greatly from collaboration with OpenDreamKit as it would connect the project with a pool of experts. Joint workshops between the LMFDB and OpenDreamKit will stimulate and develop such collaboration: the LMFDB places great importance on its workshops, which are small gatherings of around 30 invited participants who work throughout one week on certain specific aspects of the project, coming together in plenary sessions to make decisions, plan and collectively approve of proposed developments. As a leading example of the use of databases in mathematical research, the LMFDB will provide OpenDreamKit with a real large-scale prototype around which to develop new ideas about the design and implementation of such databases and their associated software. The feasibility of such collaboration was successfully tried at a workshop at the ICMS in Edinburgh in January 2013 on "Online databases: from L-functions to combinatorics", sponsored by the NSF, AIM and the ICMS.

Edith Elkind's ERC Starter Grant "Algorithms for Making Complex Decisions on Structured Domains" (ACCORD), awarded in 2014, and to be started in March 2015, will develop theoretic tools for analysing and improving situations arising in collaborative environments. It can be viewed as a interdisciplinary project, bringing together methods from computer science, game theory, and economics and political science to quantify complex behaviour of social interactions, and engineer positive outcomes by designing appropriate mechanisms. In particular, it aims to develop a suite of preference aggregation procedures with complex outputs (i.e., partial orders satisfying user-defined structural restrictions) that admit efficient algorithms on realistic inputs and are computationally resistant to dishonest behavior, and to identify a set of guiding principles that can be used to choose an appropriate procedure from this suite for a specific decision-making scenario.

OpenDreamKit VRE appears to be a natural testing ground and a potential virtual laboratory for developing and testing ideas and tools developed, within the framework of the grant, on in a "real life" situation, and the collaboration will be mutually beneficial for both projects.

Ursula Martin's EPSRC Senior Fellowship grant "MathSoMac: The Social Machine of Mathematics" (EP/K040251/2), started in 2014 and to be running for 4 years, brings rigorous methods from social sciences into studying of the crowdsourcing, e.g. large-scale online collaboration, phenomenon in mathematical sciences. Most striking in this regard are recent large scale collaborations, such as the Polymath projects led by Fields medallists Gowers and Tao, which used a blog to coordinate the activities of mathematicians around the world, most recently in work on Bounded Gaps between primes; and the collaboration led by Fields medallist Voevodsky, developing significant portions of mathematics within the framework of new foundations based on homotopy type theory. Martin's project will develop new paradigms to understand these, and new tools to support them, within the framework provided by the larger EPSRC collaboration, SOCIAM, 2013-2018. SOCIAM, a collaboration led by Nigel Shadbolt, Tim Berners Lee and Wendy Hall at Southampton, in collaboration with Martin and De Roure at Oxford, and Robertson in Edinburgh, aims to understand the phenomenon of social machines, defined as purposeful human interactions on the web, and through developing unifying principles, models and tools, to enable the effective co-ordination and

deployment of the burgeoning ecosystem of social machines currently available. The defying example of a social machine is Oxford's Galaxy Zoo project through which thousands of amateurs around the world collaborate to classify galaxies and make new scientific discoveries. SOCIAM aims to answer questions such as how individuals are incentivised to take part, how communities develop and mature, and how the speed and quality of results can be optimised. A more mathematical example is provided by OEIS, the online Encyclopaedia of Integer Sequences, where it is volunteer social mechanisms that determine the nature of the data available and the reliability and reproducibility of outcomes. SOCIAM in turn builds on previous work in Oxford (De Roure, Goble and others) on virtual research environments, such as MyExperiment, to support scientific workflows in laboratory sciences, giving a joined up approach to the management, sharing, and reproducibility of data and results.

OpenDreamKit and VREs in general are natural objects to investigate within the framework of this grant, and conclusions drawn would lead to better understanding of the ways VREs function. This has important potential benefits for OpenDreamKit, and vice versa.

Findstat?

KWARC group

SCIENCE: Symbolic Computation Infrastructure for Europe (FP6 eRII3-CT-026133, 2006–2011) was coordinated by the University of St Andrews (PI Prof Steve Linton) and tackled the fragmentation of the European community of researchers in, and users of, symbolic computation. Among the nine partners were four major system developers (of GAP, Maple, MuPAD and KANT), an international research institute (RISC-Linz) and other groups with specialist expertise. Project activities included symbolic web services, system composability, symbolic grid and cloud computing and a program of visits, workshops and summer schools. One important outcome was a new protocol SCSCP, now used well beyond the original project.

HPCGAP: High Performance Computational Algebra and Discrete Mathematics (EP/G055181, 2009–2014) was a 4-site project coordinated by the University of St Andrews (PI Prof Steve Linton). It aimed at reengineering GAP to support simple, safe and efficient parallel programming on a range of systems from multicore laptops and desktops, through departmental and university clusters to HPC systems. By the end of the project, we had adapted a complex system including a language runtime and over 400 000 lines of interpreted code to enable safe and efficient parallel programs. The proposed project is very timely as the multi-threaded version of GAP is becoming mainstream, and users and package developers need training and support to parallelise their code.

CoDiMa is a new EPSRC funded Collaborative Computational Project in the area of *Computational Discrete Mathematics* (EP/M022641/1). It will begin in 2015 and will be aimed at GAP and Sage community-building activities in the UK, involving a programme of short research visits, workshops and training events. Through CoDiMa, we will have an excellent opportunity to interact with UK user and developer communities of GAP and Sage in order to collect feedback about their requirements and to inform them about OpenDreamKit outcomes.

B.0.1.4 Demonstrators

B.0.1.4.1 Micromagnetic VRE

Micromagnetics is a continuum theory description of the behaviour of the magnetisation vector field at length scales of the order of micrometers and below. It is widely used in the research and development of magnetic data storage media and devices, for magnetic sensing, permanent magnets and healthcare applications such as cancer treatment and diagnostics. The mathematical model is a time dependent nonlinear partial differential equation with multiple length and time scales in the problem, and solution strategies are based on finite difference and finite element space discretisations and sophisticated numerical solution of the equations. As in many other research fields, the groups carrying out the simulations are often not the




Figure 2: A selection of typical visualisation patterns often required in science and engineering. From left to right: a 3d vector field on a 2d domain, a 3d vector field coloured with another scalar field on a 2d domain, a 3d vectorfield on a 3d domain with streamlines, and scalar field plotted on a 2d domain.

code developers, nor have they extensive computational background. More commonly, these are material scientists, engineers and physicists that use the simulation to interpret their experiments and support their device design planning. Industrial users include Seagate, Hitachi, TDK, Samsung, Bosch and Toyota.

Figure 2 shows magnetisation vector fields obtained in typical micromagnetic studies. They relate, from left to right, to a set of interacting magnetic skyrmions in a thin film, a vortex in a thin Nickel film, a vortex in a half-sphere geometry, and the propagation of magnetic excitations (only one component plotted) in a 1d-system.

In this project, we will use the OpenDreamKit components to put together a micromagnetic VRE to (i) demonstrate the power of the approach in a concrete applied research setting, (ii) exploit that experience to evaluate the real value of the structure of this VRE and OpenDreamKit to a large and diverse set of end-users.

In more detail, we will embed the most popular micromagnetic simulation software: the Object Oriented MicroMagnetic Framework [1] within a micromagnetic VRE, complement this with value-adding features, develop a substantial number of executable documents inside this VRE that act as tutorials and documentation, disseminate the software and documents as open source and through workshops for the micromagnetic community. We have chosen the OOMMF simulation package as the target tool because it is widely used (over 1800 recorded publications [2]) and thus provides benefit to a substantial community and will provide plenty of feedback.

We evaluate the value of this demonstrator (WP7.T5), immediately feeding results back into the OpenDreamKit work. This will also be a case study for the sustainability of the approach and tool beyond the life time of this H2020 project.

B.0.1.5 Ambition

♠EC Commentary: 1-2 pages♠

♠EC Commentary: – Describe the advance your proposal would provide beyond the state-of-the-art, and the extent the proposed work is ambitious. Your answer could refer to the ground-breaking nature of the objectives, concepts involved, issues and problems to be addressed, and approaches and methods to be used.

– Describe the innovation potential which the proposal represents. Where relevant, refer to products and services already available, e.g. in existing e-Infrastructures.♠

For most pure mathematicians using computational tools in their research, the state of the art in the beginning of 2015 is still a collection of programs each of which must be installed individually on their desktop or laptop computer, respecting a complicated dependencies graph. Alternatively software may be installed on a departmental server or cluster and used via text-based remote login. The software performs computations (using excellent implementations of extremely sophisticated algorithms) with inputs and outputs usually in a bespoke text-based format. Multiple computations involved in producing a mathematical result must be managed by editing, naming and filing multiple scripts or programs, and there is no automatic support for rerunning computations to check for human or algorithmic error. The results of computations are incorporated into publications by cut-and-paste and collaboration is through exchange of programs and data by email, shared general-purpose file servers or, rarely, a service such as GitHub. Amongst other problems, this approach creates a serious obstacle to the reproducibility of published computational experiments both by other researchers and the authors themselves at a later time.

There are commercial “symbolic computation systems” such as Mathematica or Maple which offer somewhat more modern frameworks, but they lack the specialised algorithms for research work in many fields of pure mathematics, including for instance abstract algebra, number theory and algebraic geometry and they are often not well-suited to support them. ♠AK/MK [WRITE HERE: This statement needs verification. It's not only the lack of algorithms in these areas. Moreover, we want to cater for wider areas of mathematics == made it a bit less precise SL]♠

The need for a more modern, more productive and less error-prone environment for this kind of mathematical research computing is widely acknowledged, but the separate groups developing the systems have individually neither the time nor the expertise to develop it. There have been a number of interesting projects which have explored different aspects of what is needed, in particular SageMathCloud, HPC-GAP, SCIENCE(for all three, see B.0.1.3.6); Sage and its notebooks; Polymath and MathOverflow (see MathSo-Mac entry in B.0.1.3.6); and Recomputation.org. We will build on the experiences, and where useful, on the software, of all of these. ♠AK/MK [WRITE HERE: Cleanups in the list of projects]♠

Our ambitious plan in this project is to learn from, and leapfrog, these piecemeal developments and provide a toolkit of software and interfaces, which supports the whole mathematical research process in a way which is **modern**, **seamless**, **collaborative**, mathematically **rigorous** and **adaptable** to the diverse needs of different mathematical research areas and of different mathematicians and collaborations.

The system will be **modern** in its construction: following best practices in distributed software development, internationalisation, use of web and cloud services, etc.; in its user experience, offering a modern supportive UI that automates all of the routine tasks that it can; and in its support for important new research areas that may cross traditional subdiscipline boundaries. It will combine **seamlessly** a range of software components, hardware resources and databases, so that the user can work, or program with any combination of them in the same way (but, where relevant, can still attribute credit correctly). It will be **collaborative**, with shared projects the norm and discussion and exploration integrated with computation and writing. It will be **rigorous** in that, for instance, data passed between systems will be translated according to its mathematical meaning, not just its textual presentation. Finally it will be **adaptable** allowing an environment to be easily built and deployed to suit anything from a lone researcher tackling a problem for a week or two up to a complex project with subteams and multiple publications.

B.0.1.5.1 Challenges specific to mathematics

Mathematical research, especially pure mathematics, presents some unique challenges to the realisation of this ambition.

- The community mainly consists of individuals or *very* small groups (perhaps a professor and a few students). There are far fewer formal or structured research teams such as you might find in an equipment-intensive science. There are certainly examples of large scale collaborations, for instance the project to prove the Classification of Finite Simple Groups in the 1980s and the Polymath experiments in the last few years, but these are driven by individuals, not defined by formal structures or funding bodies.
- Many top researchers have little or no formal research funding. If they need computational resources, these are limited to what is already available nearby, such as personal laptops or departmental clusters or to what they can access by asking favours of friends.
- Many mathematical computations are highly complex and irregular. Run times are not predictable and simple decomposition paradigms do not work well. Thus, traditional HPC approaches coming from numerical simulations and linear algebra do not apply.
- Mathematical notations have been refined over many centuries to be used by humans with pen, paper and blackboard. Even such simple problems as selecting a sub-expression are hard to handle well on a computer. For instance $a + c$ is naturally seen as a subexpression of $a + b + c$ by a human.
- The mathematical correctness of widely used algorithms hinges on quite complex chains of reasoning. Subtle coding errors may easily produce plausible, but wrong, answers.
- Mathematical data differ in several ways from typical scientific data
 - More often rather than not, data is the result of a computation (and not a measurement of the real world). The role of databases is thus primarily to store results for later search and reuse. Because of this, many issues (semantics, ontologies, reproducibility) involve the software which produced the data as much as the data itself.
 - The stored form of mathematical data (ultimately as strings of bits) is much further from the meaning of the data as perceived by a mathematician than is usual in other sciences. To make the link, many related objects and conventions must be considered and most interesting mathematical objects have multiple representations. Many mathematical theorems are implicit in these forms of representation, so that proving an ontology consistent may be very difficult.

B.0.1.5.2 Challenges of a community built around multiple existing software projects

Another source of unique challenges for this project is the need to interact with several large and diverse ecosystems of software developers. For instance the GAP package development community, the Sage development community, the wider Python community, the developers of key open-source libraries on which we rely and so on.

These communities exist in a delicate balance between collaboration and competition. For instance the SCIENCEand Sage were simultaneously exploring two different approaches to linking open-source mathematical software. Many technical developments (better IO handling in GAP, for instance) could usefully be shared, and at the end of the day we all want to do better mathematics, but a certain degree of competition is both natural and healthy.

In this project we need to build a sustainable “meta-ecosystem” in which systems may compete to have the best designs or algorithms, but all agree to cooperate on interfaces, bug reporting, testing, etc. to keep the final user experience seamless and reliable.

♠️ **[WRITE HERE: Describe innovation potential]** ♠️

Innovation Potential Nothing similar to the proposed OpenDreamKit VRE has been developed before, so the whole project is aimed at innovation. The closest model is SageMathCloud, essentially the first usable VRE for pure mathematics. It differs from OpenDreamKit in being monolithic (there is just one system, running on a fixed pool of hardware) and isolated (it cannot interwork with other internet tools). Apart from a collaborative document editor it offers no support for publication of data or programs, or citability, or for automatic reproduction of published results. We will move beyond all of these limitations.

There is potential also for specific innovations in this project to have wider applicability. Key tools such as IPython and Jupyter are also used in scientific computing, and we plan to develop generally useful extensions to them.

B.0.2 Impact

♠ALL [WRITE HERE: Check and complement the impact section]♠

The project with its ambitions vision, general and broad approach, and challenging work plan will offer the opportunity to all partners and beyond to complement their research expertise with methodologies and tools not available at their institutions. It will provide pivotal aspects needed for the development of a new generation of scientific leaders prone to interdisciplinarity, high-efficiency and with an open and constructive attitude toward collaborative research and innovation. The diverse nature of the objectives composing the project will also be taken into account to design a successful and multiform dissemination and exploitation strategy.

B.0.2.1 Expected Impacts

♠EC Commentary: Please be specific, and provide only information that applies to the proposal and its objectives. Wherever possible, use quantified indicators and targets.

Describe how your project will contribute to:

- the expected impacts set out in the work programme, under the relevant topic (including key performance indicators/metrics for monitoring results and impacts);
- improving innovation capacity and the integration of new knowledge (strengthening the competitiveness and growth of companies by developing innovations meeting the needs of European and global markets; and, where relevant, by delivering such innovations to the markets;
- any other environmental and socially important impacts (if not already covered above).

Describe any barriers/obstacles, and any framework conditions (such as regulation and standards), that may determine whether and to what extent the expected impacts will be achieved. (This should not include any risk factors concerning implementation, as covered in section 3.2.)♠

B.0.2.1.1 Impacts as listed in the work programme

The following table shows how OpenDreamKit addresses the specific impacts listed in the work programme.

♠TO DO: ALL: Check and add more quantifiable KPIs♠

More effective collaborations between researchers	
OpenDreamKit will strengthen collaborations between European scientific community in different branches of mathematics, through a development of the common e-infrastructure by bringing together software and databases previously separated, and build links with scientific communities in other disciplines (biology, physics, astronomy) that will use this e-infrastructure. The scientific community will considerably increase, by integrating new actors both from academic and non academic sector.	<p>Key performance indicators :</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. By the end of the project, the scientific community in mathematics using the tool will increase by X % 2. The scientific community in other disciplines (biology, physics) using the tool will increase by X% 3. Number of enterprises using the tool will increase by X%

Higher efficiency and creativity in research, higher productivity of researchers thanks to reliable and easy access to discovery, access and re-use of data	
<p>The development of a new integrated tool, replacing 3 previously separated tools, a possibility of real time data sharing, data re-use and simultaneous working will allow an important gain in time and in efficiency, and, by consequence, higher productivity of researchers. Moreover, the exchange of best practice (such as regular audit of codes) will have an important impact on the quality of the research. Finally, the unique tool will allow considerably reducing the costs of further research.</p>	<p>Key performance indicators :</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The e-infrastructure developed by the end of the project will help reducing time of re-search by X% 2. The productivity of researchers will increase by X% 3. Thank to best practice exchange, the quality of research will considerably increase and number of errors will be reduced 4. Better traceability of research 5. Costs for research considerably reduced
Accelerated innovation in research via an integrated access to digital research resources, tools and services across disciplines and user communities	
<p>An integrated access to digital research resources and tools that OpenDreamKit will provide will clearly help accelerating innovation in research across disciplines and communities.</p> <p>The integrated tool will meet the needs and help overcoming the obstacles that are common to several disciplines impacted by the project, and both to academic and non-academic research. Industrial actors, actively involved into the project will directly benefit from the project results.</p>	<p>Key performance indicators :</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Emergence of new, improved research methods in several disciplines using the tool 2. Resolution of series of problems proper to industrial actors in several disciplines
Researchers able to process structured and qualitative data in virtual and/or ubiquitous workspaces	
<p>OpenDreamKit will enable researchers to process different kind of data thanks to an integrated tool interconnected with databases. Efficient data storage will allow further exploitation and re-use of mathematics data for further calculations and thus make data processing more efficient.</p> <p>Le travail sur la sémantique de données est fait plus en amont.</p>	<p>Key performance indicators :</p> <p>Note E.S. – Nicolas, ici, j'ai du mal, car pas sure si on répond vraiment à ce critère.</p>
Increased take-up of collaborative research and data sharing by new disciplines, research communities and institutions	
<p>The project will clearly enhance a take-up of collaborative research and data sharing by and between new disciplines and research communities. The communities already using the parts of the tool, will be enlarged, involving more and more industrial actors and young scientists.</p> <p>By developing the tool, it will reinforce collaborations between different branches of mathematics (both pure and applied). Once developed, the tool will be opened for research in various disciplines, such as biology, physics, astronomy etc.</p>	<p>Key performance indicators :</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Increased collaborations and data sharing between different communities in mathematics 2. Increased collaborations and data sharing between research communities in other disciplines 3. Increased number of users, enlarged research communities

B.0.2.1.2 Improving innovation capacity and the integration of new knowledge

Innovations developed by OpenDreamKit project will meet the needs of the following ecosystem participants:

1. Device / module vendors: hardware manufacturers, equipment manufacturers of smartphones, tablets, laptops;
2. Network providers: service providers, network infrastructure vendors (Avaya, Juniper, Extreme, Cisco. . .)
3. Platform providers
4. Cloud service providers: Software-as-a-Service, Platform-as-Service, Infrastructure-as-a-Service
5. Systeme integrators: end-to-end integration services and value-added services (Accenture, HP, IBM. . .)
6. End users: research communities; IT, healthcare, education and aeronautics stakeholders

Industrial stakeholders will be directly involved into the project and the VRE development, so that the tool will be exactly tailored to their specific needs – that are the same that the scientific community ones. Moreover, this will allow an early time-to-market and will facilitate the technology uptake.

In the table below we have specified different market needs, and the ways the project will address each of them :

Table XX

Needs of markets	How the project will address these needs
Performance gain	The tool will enable its users to combine functionality from XXX other mathematical software programs and programming languages -mainstream programming language Python? -which compilers?
Infrastructure capacity: newly built infrastructures with fast broadband connections are well positioned for adoption	OpenDreamKit will allow different groups of users to work simultaneously, and thus provide a considerably gain in efficiency.
Lower cost of scaling	An open source architecture brings affordability: people and organizations donate towards common goals, and small organizations can gain access to equipment and research talent typically only afforded by the largest firms. Resources integration will reduce considerably the time and the costs of operations.
Going beyond limitations of interconnect technology	An open source architecture brings creativity (=the best minds to solve a problem)
Enabling new applications and features	Through a series of links that will be created between previously separated tools, and data interoperability, the VRE will enable new applications and features
Early time-to-market (TTM): companies are looking for solutions that would improve the speed at which they can procure services to bypass traditional information technology departments	The speed of development will improve tremendously thanks to open source. The implication of industrial stakeholders into the development will allow to deliver a tool the suits the best to their needs, and thus speed-up the time to market and technology uptake.

Easy-to-use service: first-time experiences is crucial to gain acceptance	-Design? Ergonomie? Nice multiuser web-based graphical user interface -integration with learning tools? (example : interactive whiteboard) ♠NT [WRITE HERE: E.S: Nicolas, il faut réfléchir à la façon de rendre l'outil plus attractif pour la jeune génération (=future génération des chercheurs), car c'est la génération Y qui va définir des standards pour la suite - donc plus d'impact et à plus long terme. Réfléchis à la possibilité de rendre l'outil accessible sur mobiles, tablettes etc., mais aussi à la façon " intéressante " de l'enseigner.]♠
---	---

B.0.2.1.3 Other impacts (environmental and socially important impacts)

♠TO DO: Neil: *Can we not be more ambitious than simply replacing the other alternative? By working in an open way we should be able to achieve much more rapid deployment of ideas (like in the RADIANT project I mentioned above).*♠

Here, our mission statement will be the same as for Sage: to provide a viable free open source alternative to Magma, Maple, Mathematica and Matlab.

To make OpenDreamKit a new reference, it is important to focus on the young generation, which will be the future users.

Generation Y phenomenon:

1. generation Y accounts for 30% of the total projected population in 2025
2. key influencers to change in workplace habits
3. easy adaptability to technology -> collaborative work would make them more productive
4. compulsion to check smartphones for emails, texts or social media updates -> adoption of social networks.

B.0.2.1.4 Potential barriers to impact

The following barriers to impact will be overcome using the mitigation strategies provided. These are distinct from the risks to project delivery detailed in Section B.0.3.3.5. ♠ALL: Some other barriers? [WRITE HERE:

♠Table 2.1: Barriers to Impact

Barrier description	Risk level
Users will not use the new VRE environment	High
Contingency plan: A major concern in any proposal of this kind is that the resulting tools will not be adopted by users. This is a particular concern with a 'traditional' community as mathematicians. This project has two pathways to tackle this: (1) The OpenDreamKit is based on prior work, which <i>already</i> has users; (2) The OpenDreamKit will be integrated into the Jupyter and Sage, which <i>already</i> has a significant user base; (3) An end-user group formed at the beginning of the project by the representatives from different disciplines and sectors will provide a precious advice on real user needs and assist in providing VRE sustainability. In addition, the project's communication, dissemination, and exploitation strategy will evolve throughout the project execution to ensure that stakeholder communities are fully aware of the project's progress, potential benefits, and innovative capacity and are engaged in the integration of the final results.	
Dominance of competing frameworks	Medium

Contingency plan: Our strategy is to engage with users and attract new users at the very beginning of the project, understand their requirements and design the domain-specific tools. An international advisory board will allow us to coordinate with the related research activities within and outside of Europe and to promote our framework internationally.

B.0.2.2 Measures to Maximise Impact

♠**TO DO: ALL:** *go through the section and add specific input, relevant to your organisation on dissemination / exploitation activities* ♠ The overall objectives of the dissemination and exploitation strategy are grounded in the project's core values, which are to improve the productivity of researchers in mathematics and neighboring fields by providing them with a unique virtual toolkit for a collaborative research tailored to their needs and requirements both during the project period and after the project completion.

B.0.2.2.1 Dissemination and Exploitation of Results

♠**EC Commentary:** – *Provide a draft 'plan for the dissemination and exploitation of the project's results'. The plan, which should be proportionate to the scale of the project, should contain measures to be implemented both during and after the project.*

Dissemination and exploitation measures should address the full range of potential users and uses including research, commercial, investment, social, environmental, policy making, setting standards, skills and educational training.

The approach to innovation should be as comprehensive as possible, and must be tailored to the specific technical, market and organisational issues to be addressed

– *Explain how the proposed measures will help to achieve the expected impact of the project . Provide a draft business plan for financial sustainability as stated in the Part E of the Specific features for Research Infrastructures of the Horizon 2020 European Research Infrastructures (including e-Infrastructures) Work Programme 2014-2015.*

– *Where relevant, include information on how the participants will manage the research data generated and/or collected during the project, in particular addressing the following issues: What types of data will the project generate/collect? What standards will be used? How will this data be exploited and/or shared/made accessible for verification and re-use (If data cannot be made available, explain why)? How will this data be curated and preserved?*

– *Include information about any open source software used or developed by the project.*

You will need an appropriate consortium agreement to manage (amongst other things) the ownership and access to key knowledge (IPR, data etc.). Where relevant, these will allow you, collectively and individually, to pursue market opportunities arising from the project's results.

The appropriate structure of the consortium to support exploitation is addressed in section 3.3.

– *Outline the strategy for knowledge management and protection. Include measures to provide open access (free on-line access, such as the "green" or "gold" model) to peer-reviewed scientific publications which might result from the project.*

Open access publishing (also called 'gold' open access) means that an article is immediately provided in open access mode by the scientific publisher. The associated costs are usually shifted away from readers, and instead (for example) to the university or research institute to which the researcher is affiliated, or to the funding agency supporting the research.

Self-archiving (also called "green" open access) means that the published article or the final peer-reviewed manuscript is archived by the researcher - or a representative - in an online repository before, after or alongside its publication. Access to this article is often - but not necessarily - delayed ("embargo period"), as some scientific publishers may wish to recoup their investment by selling subscriptions and charging pay-per-download/view fees during an exclusivity period. ♠

The strategy will be presented in the dissemination and exploitation plan, prepared by the Coordinator within the specifically designed WP and implemented with the help of all partners. The planned activities will bear in mind the project's scientific and societal impacts, and build throughout the project to ensure that stakeholder communities (1) are fully aware of the project and its potential benefits, (2) engaged in integration of the VRE in their professional activities, and (3) contribute to the sustainability and improvement of the VRE. In the following table, we summarize the dissemination activities and how they will help to achieve the expected impact among our stakeholders and target audiences.

Table X. Draft 'plan for the dissemination and exploitation of the project's results'.

Dissemination goal	Target audience	Dissemination method	Time frame & frequency
Project identity and profile	T1-T6	Website; flyers/leaflets; videos.	Throughout project, continuous
Broad dissemination	T3, T4, T6, T7	Biannual e-newsletters; press releases; information database; social networks and platforms; news in Nature and other editions in other disciplines; lectures in high schools led by PhD students.	Throughout project, quarterly
Knowledge transfer, information exchange	T1,T2, T3	Organisation of 10 technical workshops; 10 scientific trainings/year; training of 100 PhD students for the infrastructure usage; publications in social aspects; software demonstration during conferences; workshop for PhD students in Africa; participation at the workshop 'Sage and women' in US; participation in FPSac, Isaac international conferences, international congress of mathematical software; participation in annual Python conference; organise X scientific trainings for other scientific communities/projects; news in Nature and other editions in other disciplines; certification by technology clusters.	Throughout project, continuous
Demonstration of advantages and possible applications	T1-T4	Organisation of 10 technical workshops; participation in annual Python conference.	Biannually
Uptake of the VRE by new users	T2-T4	White papers; organise X scientific trainings for other scientific communities/projects; presentation at international conferences; 5 MooCs designed to master students; integration of project results into Master courses and into teacher training courses.	Mo24-, at relevant milestones
Sustainable development beyond the project	T1-T4	Policy events; white papers; participation at conferences.	Mo24-, at relevant milestones

Target Audience:

- T1 Scientific community in mathematics and neighbouring fields (experienced researcher, under-/graduate/post-graduate students);
- T2 Scientific community in other disciplines;
- T3 Other relevant European and national initiatives and projects;
- T4 Industrial end-users;
- T5 Standardization agencies;
- T6 Civil society;
- T7 Public at large.

Post-project Activities: The natural interest of the consortium is to ensure sustainability of OpenDreamKit also after the completion of the project. Therefore, the partners are committed to post-project efforts, which include the following activities:

1. Continue dissemination to scientific community and industrial stakeholders through participation to international conferences (FPSac, Isaac, Python, Sage and Women etc.) and publications.
2. Software demonstration during the conferences.
3. Training of PhD students in mathematics, informatics and other disciplines, both in Europe and all over the world. Gradual incorporation of the OpenDreamKit components into the relevant university courses beyond the OpenDreamKit members home institutions.
4. Expand the OpenDreamKit user base by continuing the research collaboration with existing users and identifying new scientific (specifically from neighbouring fields) and industrial users.
5. Apply for funding at European / national levels for new projects that are to improve and further promote the OpenDreamKit.

♠**TO DO:** *ALL: Please give a look at general exploitation and provide input relevant to your interests / interest of your organisation. In particular, possible commercial exploitation.*♠

Exploitation: To exploit and capitalize on the success of the project, we will undertake the following activities

1. Engaging stakeholders and potential users of OpenDreamKit in Europe for realizing the technology transfer and the innovation potential of the toolkit;
2. Teaching the OpenDreamKit-induced research results as parts of relevant courses at university, which are the home institutions of the consortium members, as well as at the on-going training events and activities (e.g., XXYY);
3. Mentoring and training PhD and postdoctoral students within the project as our contribution to educating excellent interdisciplinary European researchers in the strategically important domain of natural sciences;
4. Applying for European / other relevant funding programmes for new projects which arise due to the maturity of the OpenDreamKit;
5. Supporting spin-offs based on the developed technology by the consortium partners. In this case, the IPR management will be aligned with the corresponding rules set in the Consortium Agreement.

♠**TO DO:** *Prepare a proper description of a business plan*♠

Draft business plan for financial sustainability (as stated in the Part E of the Specific features for Research Infrastructures of the Horizon 2020 European Research Infrastructures (including e-Infrastructures) Work Programme 2014-2015).

Long term sustainability By design (Objective 1), the VRE's promoted by OpenDreamKit will consist of a thin layer on top of an ecosystem of components. Hence, the long term sustainability of those VRE is guaranteed by the sustainability of the ecosystem of components, that is by Objective 5.

The needs in financial support after the end of the project are therefore not very important. We expect that a part of developers positions will be made durable by the partners institutions, thanks to an increase of awareness among them on the necessity of this infrastructure for their own needs.

With the increase of the number of users, more and more research laboratories, teaching institutions, and enterprises will get a need for using this VRE – thus, additional funding will be possible through access provision to other scientific communities, on projects base, or via service delivery.

♠**TO DO:** *None of the two models below really match our situation; investigate for some good picture and language, e.g. in "Economie du Logiciel Libre" of François Élie*♠

Here we propose two possible models of this use:

1. The **GPL model** (see Figure 3): With this model, the vendor is required to make the new code available in source form but it can choose to keep the new code as proprietary and charge for that proprietary software. The vendor can provide the code commercially as part of a larger platform (hardware/software product) for which the companies receives revenue (license fee for the code + fees for technical support, updates and upgrades).
2. The **Third Party Service Model** (see Figure 4) Many users may be willing to employ a third party service for distribution, modifications (debugging) and other support.




Figure 3: The GPL Model

♠ **EC Commentary:** Where relevant, include information on how the participants will manage the research data generated and/or collected during the project, in particular addressing the following issues: What types of data will the project generate/-collect? What standards will be used? How will this data be exploited and/or shared/made accessible for verification and re-use (If data cannot be made available, explain why)? How will this data be curated and preserved? ♠

♠ **TO DO:** E.S. – Nicolas, ici je ne peux pas écrire à ta place. Il faut juste que tu répondes précisément aux questions posées ci-dessus. ♠

Open access policy and data protection. OpenDreamKit will participate in the Open Research Data Pilot and is fully committed to ensure the open access of relevant project results and data. The consortium will comply with the Guidelines on Open Access to Scientific Publications and Research Data in Horizon 2020.

The ambitious and interdisciplinary objectives of the project will result in the production of vast amount of research data (we refer to Figure 1 and the corresponding subsection for more details). The primary results of the project are expected to take the form of an open-source software, which will be available




Figure 4: The Third-Party Services Model

through the project website and publicly available repositories. Moreover, as the project strives towards efficient integration and representation of various research data and reproducibility of the research results, which represents naturally a challenge, the project will generate a detailed description of the data sources with specifics pertaining to data management (metadata standards, policies for access and sharing and for reuse and distribution, plans for archival and preservation, with accompanying deadlines). This information will be presented in the Data Management Plan, to be delivered within the first six months after the project start and subsequently updated throughout.

All scientific publications produced in the framework of the project will be either published in open access journals or self-archived using research data repositories. In addition, we will make all experimental data needed to reproduce/validate the results from scientific publications available through research data repositories (e.g. ZENODO, OpenAIRE).

Intellectual Property Rights Management. IPR management will be described in detail in the Consortium Agreement (CA), which will describe all issues regarding the IPR, confidentiality, know-how, rights on exploitation, the rights and obligations of the each partner. The CA will be prepared by the Coordinator, and then signed by all partners before the start of the project.

Access rights to foreground and background needed for the execution of the project shall be deemed granted, on a royalty-free basis, as of the date of the grant agreement entering into force. Methodology, documents, knowhow, software, and tools will be available to all in order to achieve the project objectives during the project lifetime.

Most of the project results will have joint ownership due to a highly collaborative nature of the project. The CA will specify the terms of the resulting joint ownership, i.e., assignment of shares between joint owners, conditions of use, exploitation and management of jointly used IP.

The CA will also outline rules for publication procedures to ensure that IP can be protected while minimizing publication delay.

The costs related to IPR (including those related to protecting results) and dissemination (i.e., 'gold' open access publications) are included in the project budget of each participating organization.

B.0.2.2.2 Communication activities

♠EC Commentary: *Describe the proposed communication measures for promoting the project and its findings during the period of the grant. Where appropriate these measures should include social media and public events with user participation. Measures should be proportionate to the scale of the project, with clear objectives. They should be tailored to the needs of various audiences, including groups beyond the project's own community. Where relevant, include measures for public/societal engagement on issues related to the project. ♠*

Our intention is to increase the attractiveness of mathematics among young generation and females in particular as well as to improve the impact and maximize the visibility of the project activities on the entire VRE ecosystem. The following strategic access points will be used to maximize visibility:

1. An online presence that explains the OpenDreamKit concept and its applicability in layman's terms and offers significant information (website, social networks, Youtube, press releases).
2. Collaboration with other relevant European and national projects (existing and new ones). We refer to Section [B.0.1.3.6](#) for more details on the linked research and innovation activities. Presentation of the project results on the annual event 'Worldwide meetings of the free software.'
3. Collaboration with European and national mathematical societies, e.g., European Mathematical Society, European Women in Mathematics.
4. Presentations/demonstrations at partner institution-specific, locally organized 'science holiday' and 'days of science'.
5. Vulgarization papers and communication events addressed to people interested by ICT.
6. Involvement in workshops / conferences on e-infrastructures and broad mathematical topics, e.g., Swiss Numerical Analysis Day.

B.0.3 Implementation

♠**TO DO:** *Typical granularity: 5-8 work packages with 3-5 tasks and one deliverable per task; 10 milestones*♠

B.0.3.1 Work Plan — Work packages, deliverables and milestones

♠**EC Commentary:** *Please provide the following:*

- *brief presentation of the overall structure of the work plan;*
- *timing of the different work packages and their components (Gantt chart or similar);*
- *detailed work description, i.e.:*
 - *a description of each work package (table 3.1a);*
 - *a list of work packages (table 3.1b);*
 - *a list of major deliverables (table 3.1c);*
- *graphical presentation of the components showing how they inter-relate (Pert chart or similar).*



Overall Structure of the Work Plan

The work plan is broken down into zeroork packages as shown in Figure 6: WP3 about components, WP4 for user interfaces, WP5 for parallelisation of the components, WP6 for databases and finally WP7 for social aspects. In addition, there is one management work package (WP1) and one general dissemination work package (WP2). The Gantt chart on Page 41 illustrates the timeline for the various tasks for these work packages, including inter-task dependencies.

How the Work Packages will Achieve the Project Objectives

♠**ALL [WRITE HERE:** This needs to explain that we're actually going to meet the objectives. Needs to be done after objectives and WPs.]♠

The project objectives (Section B.0.1.1, page 6) and the corresponding work packages that contribute to achieving those objectives are:

Objective	Purpose	WPs
Objective 1	develop and standardize math soft and data for VRE	WP3, WP5, WP6, WP4
Objective 2	develop core components of the VRE	WP3, WP5 WP6, WP4
Objective 3	bring together communities	WP3, WP2
Objective 4	update a range of softwares	WP3, WP5
Objective 5	foster a sustainable ecosystem	WP3, WP6, WP4, WP5
Objective 6	explore social aspects	WP7
Objective 7	identify and extend ontologies	WP6
Objective 8	effectiveness of the VRE	WP7, WP2
Objective 9	effective dissemination	WP7, WP2

♠the descriptions below must be updated... In doing so, try to reflect some dependencies and information flows on the GANTT chart – demonstrators depend on having something to demonstrate; software and UI designs depend on the first report from social aspects, software implementation depends on designs...
[WRITE HERE:

]♠OpenDreamKit mainly consists of developing independent tools and secondly integrate them into a VRE. The independence of our tasks reflect this fact.

Work Programme for Objective 1: Objective 1 is covered by [WP3](#) (component architecture) but also depends on [WP4](#) (user interface) and [WP5](#) (HPC).

Work Programme for Objective 2: Core components of the VRE are developed with work packages [WP3](#), [WP5](#) [WP6](#) (databases) and [WP4](#).

Work Programme for Objective 3: Different communities will be brought together within the workpackage [WP3](#), especially with [WP3.T2](#) which aims to interface various softwares. The Work package [WP2](#) (dissemination) will also be the occasion for concrete developer meeting from different horizons.

Work Programme for Objective 4: Individual softwares will be updated within work packages [WP3](#) and [WP5](#).

Work Programme for Objective 5: A sustainable ecosystem should be reached [WP3](#), [WP6](#), [WP4](#), [WP5](#). This objective should also benefit from [WP7](#) (social aspects) with [WP7.T4](#).

Work Programme for Objective 6: For Objective 6, we have a dedicated work package [WP7](#) on social aspects. It ranges from analysis of the needs with [WP7.T1](#) to evaluation with [WP7.T5](#).

Work Programme for Objective 7: [WP6](#)

Work Programme for Objective 8: [WP7](#) (social aspects), [WP2](#) (dissemination)

Work Programme for Objective 9: The effective dissemination is achieved with part of [WP2](#). More specifically, members of OpenDreamKit will organize workshops with [WP2.T4](#) or ??.

Work Plan Timing: GANTT Chart showing Task Dependencies and Information Flows

♠**TO DO: ALL**♠ The gant chart is very ugly. As soon as work packages, tasks and deliverables are definitely stabilized we should think about a better picture




Figure 5: Overview Work Package Activities (lower bar shows the overall effort per month)

WP	Title	UPS	Loglab	UVSQ	UJF	CNRS	UO	USHEF	USO	USTAN	UW	JacU	UK	US	UZH	Simula	UWS	total
WP1	Management	28	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	1	2	2	57
WP2	Community Building/Dissemination	15		2		20		8	10	18				24		2		99
WP3	Component Architecture	64	4	8			4	4	6	16								106
WP4	User Interfaces	26	4	2		28		12	16	18		22	2			28		158
WP5	High Performance Mathematical Computing	6	12		52	40		6		18			96					230
WP6	Data/Knowledge/Software-Bases	4	2					12		10	25	36			12			101
WP7	Social Aspects						23	8	6									37
totals		143	24	14	54	90	29	52	40	82	27	60	100	26	13	32	2	788
intended totals																		

Efforts in PM; WP lead efforts light gray italicised

Figure 6: Work Packages

Deliverables

#	Deliverable name	WP	Nature	Level	Due
M1.1	Consortium Agreement	WP1	R	CO	1
M1.6	Establishing basic project infrastructure (websites, wikis, issue trackers, mailing lists, repositories)	WP1	DEC	PU	1
M5.1	Facility to compile Pythran compliant user kernels and sage code.	WP5	DEM	PU	3
M5.17	Turn the Python prototypes for tree exploration into production code, integrate to Sage.	WP5	DEM	PU	3
M7.1	Report on relevant research in sociology of mathematics and lessons for design of OpenDreamKit VRE, part I	WP7	R	PU	3
M3.11	Understand and document SageMathCloud back-end code.	WP3	R	PU	6
M3.1	One-click install Sage distribution for Windows with Cygwin 32bits	WP3	OTHER	PU	6
M3.7	Virtual images and containers	WP3	OTHER	PU	6
M4.1	Active Documents based on sTeX	WP4	R	PU	6
M5.2	Parallelise the relation sieving component of the Quadratic Sieve	WP5	DEM	PU	6
M3.2	Python Interface to micromagnetic OOMMF package completed	WP3	OTHER	PU	9
M4.2	Full-text search (formulae + Keywords) over LaTeX-based documents (e.g. the arXiv subset)	WP4	OTHER	PU	9
M5.4	Improve Pythran runtime support to automatically take advantage of multi-cores and SIMD instruction units and use it in Cython.	WP5	DEM	PU	9
M6.1	Workshop Report	WP6	R	PU	9
M6.2	Heuristic Parser for the OEIS	WP6	OTHER	PU	9
M1.3	Internal Progress Reports, including risk management and quality assurance plan	WP1	R	CO	12
M2.3	Demo: Problems in Physics with Sage v1	WP2	DEM	PU	12
M2.4	Workshop in developing country 1	WP2	DEM	PU	12
M3.5	Support for the SCSCP interface protocol in all relevant components (Sage, GAP, etc.) distribution	WP3	OTHER	PU	12
M4.12	Tools for collaborating on notebooks via version-control	WP4	OTHER	PU	12
M4.3	Distributed, Collaborative, Versioned Editing of Active Documents in MathHub.info	WP4	DEM	PU	12
M4.5	Full featured Jupyter interface for GAP, PARI/GP, Singular	WP4	OTHER	PU	12
M4.7	Sage notebook / Jupyter notebook convergence	WP4	DEM	PU	12
M5.10	Parallel versions of the Singular sparse polynomial division and GCD algorithms.	WP5	DEM	PU	12
M5.11	Extend the existing assembly superoptimiser for AVX and upcoming Intel processor extensions for the MPIR library.	WP5	DEM	PU	12
M5.12	Provide FAT binary support for all new x86_64 processors, build system maintenance and improvements to tuning, profiling and testing subsystems for the MPIR library.	WP5	DEM	PU	12

M5.17	Explore the possibility to interface smoothly Pythran, Cython and Cilk++.	WP5	DEM	PU	12
M5.14	Library design and domain specific language exposing LinBox parallel features to Sage	WP5	R	PU	12
M5.6	Add Sun Grid Engine support to Project Jupyter Hub	WP5	OTHER	PU	12
M5.7	Make Pythran typing better to improve error information.	WP5	DEM	PU	12
M5.8	Take advantage of multiple cores in the matrix Fourier Algorithm component of the FFT for integer and polynomial arithmetic, and include assembly primitives for SIMD processor instructions (AVX, Knight's Bridge, etc.), especially in the FFT butterflies	WP5	DEM	PU	12
M5.9	Parallelise the Singular sparse polynomial multiplication algorithms	WP5	DEM	PU	12
M6.3	Design of Triform (DKS) Theories (Specification/RNC Schema/Examples)	WP6	R	PU	12
M6.4	Python/Sage Syntax Foundation Module in OM-Doc/MMT	WP6	DEC	PU	12
M6.5	Conversion of existing and new databases to unified interoperable system	WP6	DEC	PU	12
M6.6	LMFDB deep modelling: Fragment Identification & Initial Model Design	WP6	R	PU	12
M4.4	Basic Jupyter interface for GAP, PARI/GP, Sage, Singular	WP4	OTHER	PU	14
M4.8	Micromagnetic VRE software completed	WP4	OTHER	PU	15
M1.6	Innovation Management Plan	WP1	R	CO	18
M2.13	Short Course: A short course for lecturers on using OpenDreamKit for delivering mathematical education.	WP2	DEC	PU	18
M4.10	In-place computation in active documents (context/computation)	WP4	DEM	PU	18
M4.11	Facilities for running notebooks as verification tests	WP4	OTHER	PU	18
M4.9	Full-text search (Formulae + Keywords) over Notebooks	WP4	OTHER	PU	18
M5.15	Report on development of designs for the GAP developments – parallel library, interacts to standard infrastructure and Cython-like extensions	WP5	R	PU	18
M5.5	Implement a parallel version of Block-Wiederman linear algebra over GF2 and the triple large prime variant.	WP5	DEM	PU	18
M6.7	LMFDB Data vs. Knowledge vs. Software Validation	WP6	R	PU	18
M6.8	Cross-Validation for OEIS DKS-Theories	WP6	R	PU	18
M4.13	Micromagnetic VRE tutorial and documentation notebooks	WP4	DEM	PU	21
M2.11	Community-curated indexing tool (open source)	WP2	P	PU	24
M2.12	Community-curated indexing service for OpenDreamKit	WP2	DEM	PU	24
M2.5	Workshop in developing country 2	WP2	DEM	PU	24

M3.12	Personal SageMathCloud: single user version of SageMathCloud distributed with Sage.	WP3	OTHER	PU	24
M3.13	Integration between SageMathCloud and Sage's TRAC server	WP3	OTHER	PU	24
M3.3	One-click install Sage distribution for Windows with Cygwin 64bits	WP3	OTHER	PU	24
M3.8	Open package repository for Sage	WP3	OTHER	PU	24
M4.14	Notebook Import into MathHub.info (interactive display)	WP4	DEM	PU	24
M4.15	Demonstrator online portal available	WP4	DEC	PU	24
M4.16	Jupyter extension for 3d vector field visualisation	WP4	OTHER	PU	24
M4.19	Refactorization of Sage's Sphinx documentation system	WP4	OTHER	PU	24
M4.6	Python/Cython bindings for PARI/GP	WP4	OTHER	PU	24
M5.16	Ongoing support of Intel, AMD, ARM, Sparc processors and new Operating System versions	WP5	DEM	PU	24
M5.17	Refactor the existing combinatorics Sage code using the new developed Pythran and Cython features.	WP5	DEM	PU	24
M5.18	Exact linear algebra algorithms and implementations. Library maintenance and close integration in mathematical software for LinBox library	WP5	DEM	PU	24
M6.10	Implementation of Triform Theories in the MMT API	WP6	OTHER	PU	24
M6.11	Python/Sage Computational Foundation Module in OMDoc/MMT	WP6	OTHER	PU	24
M6.9	Shared persistent memoization library for Python/Sage	WP6	OTHER	PU	24
M7.2	Report on relevant research in sociology of mathematics and lessons for design of OpenDreamKit VRE, part II	WP7	R	PU	24
M7.5	Demonstrator: Mechanism for comment on posted Jupyter notebooks.	WP7	DEM	PU	24
M7.7	TRAC add-on to manage ticket prioritisation	WP7	OTHER	PU	24
M2.6	Demo: Problems in Physics with Sage v2	WP2	DEM	PU	30
M4.17	Formula search in CAS programs and Software Modules	WP4	OTHER	PU	30
M2.7	Micromagnetic VRE code and documents source online	WP2	DEC	PU	32
M1.5	Internal Progress Reports, including risk management and quality assurance plan	WP1	R	CO	36
M2.14	Demo: Interactive lecture notes and marking systems based on OpenDreamKit.	WP2	DEM	PU	36
M2.2	Demo: Nonlinear Processes in Biology interactive book	WP2	DEM	PU	36
M2.8	Workshop in developing country 3	WP2	DEM	PU	36
M3.4	Continuous integration platform for multi-platform build/test.	WP3	DEM	PP	36
M3.6	Semantic-aware Sage interface to GAP.	WP3	OTHER	PU	36
M4.18	Exploratory support for live notebook collaboration	WP4	OTHER	PU	36

M4.20	Exploratory support for semantic-aware interactive widgets providing views on objects represented and or in databases	WP4	DEM	PU	36
M6.12	Python/Sage Declarative Semantics in OM-Doc/MMT	WP6	OTHER	PU	36
M6.13	LMFDB algorithm verification with respect to a Tri-formal theory	WP6	OTHER	PU	36
M7.5	Demonstrator: Jupyter Notebook Live Poster	WP7	DEM	PU	36
M2.2	Demo: Classical Mechanics interactive book	WP2	DEM	PU	40
M4.21	Search from Notebooks/Active Documents Interface	WP4	OTHER	PU	42
M7.3	Report on relevant research in sociology of mathematics and lessons for design of OpenDreamKit VRE, part III	WP7	R	PU	42
M7.6	Report on new publication mechanisms, including evaluation of demonstrator projects	WP7	R	PU	42
M2.10	Micromagnetic VRE workshops delivered	WP2	OTHER	PU	44
M2.9	Demo: Problems in Physics with Sage v3	WP2	DEM	PU	44
M1.6	Innovation Management Plan	WP1	R	CO	45
M5.20	Implementations of the GAP developments, ready for release	WP5	OTHER	PU	47
M2.15	Workshop in developing country 4	WP2	DEM	PU	48
M3.10	HPC enabled Sage distribution	WP3	OTHER	PU	48
M3.9	Packaging for major Linux distributions	WP3	OTHER	PU	48
M5.19	Implementations of exact linear algebra algorithms on distributed memory et heterogenous architectures: clusters and accelerators. Solving large linear systems over the rationals is the target application.	WP5	DEM	PU	48
M5.21	final report and evaluation of the GAP developments	WP5	R	PU	48
M6.14	LMFDB integration of algorithms, data and presentation	WP6	R	PU	48
M7.8	Micromagnetic VRE environment evaluation report	WP7	R	PU	48

Milestones

♠ALL [WRITE HERE: Rework the following with two meetings per year (that is 9 total with the Kickoff meeting); and as many milestones (except for the Kickoff); further argue that, given that most of the tasks are independent, there is no specific needs for technical milestones.]♠

The work in the OpenDreamKit project is structured by seven milestones, which coincide with the project meetings. Since the meetings are the main face-to-face interaction points in the project, it is suitable to schedule the milestones for these events, where they can be discussed in detail. We envision that this setup will give the project the vital coherence in spite of the broad mix of disciplinary backgrounds of the participants.

♠ALL [WRITE HERE: Improve the description of the milestones]♠

#	Name	WPs ¹ /Deliverables involved	Mo	Means of Verif.
M1	Kickoff meeting		1	meeting report
Set up the organizational infrastructure, in particular: Web Presence, project TRAC,...				
M2	Consensus		24	Inspection
Reach Consensus on the way the project goes				
M3	Exploitation		36	Inspection
The exploitation plan should be clear so that we can start on this in the last year.				
M4	Final Results		48	Inspection
all is done				

♠**EC Commentary:** *KEY Estimated date Measured in months from the project start date (month 1)*
Means of verification Show how you will confirm that the milestone has been attained. Refer to indicators if appropriate. For example: a laboratory prototype that is 'up and running'; software released and validated by a user group; field survey complete and data quality validated. ♠

¹The work package number is the first number in the deliverable number.

B.0.3.2 Work Package Descriptions

♠PS (Work Package Lead) [WRITE HERE: For WP leaders, please check the following (remove items once completed)]♠

- [] have all the tasks in this Work Package a lead institution?
- [] have all deliverables in the WP a lead institution?
- [] do all tasks list all sites involved in them?
- [] does the table of sites and their PM efforts match lists of sites for each task?
(each site from the table is listed in all relevant tasks, and no site is listed only in the table or only at some task)

id=management

lead=PS

Work Package 1: Project Management

Objectives

Establish and maintain an effective contract, project, and operational management approach, ensuring (i) an effective and timely implementation of the project, (ii) quality control of the results, (iii) risk and innovation management of the project as a whole, as well as (iv) timely and necessary interaction with the EC and other interested parties.

Description

The project will be managed by UPS, which has profound experience in administrating and leading EU funded and national projects. The coordinator together with the WP leaders, will be responsible for monitoring WP status, coordination of work plan updates and annual internal progress reports. The project management structure and roles of partners in the consortium are presented in the next section.

title=Project
and financial
management
id=project-
finance-
management
lead=PS
partners=LL,UV,UJF,UB,UO,USH,USO,SA,UW,JU,UK,US,ZH,SR,UWS

T1 Project and financial management The task includes the following activities

- Preparation and Distribution of the Consortium Agreement;
 - Setup project website, intranet and communication procedures for effective communication;
 - Organization of project review and progress meetings;
 - Establishment and maintenance of external contacts (with the EC, other relevant national / EU projects, other academic and industrial stakeholders) to organize transfer of knowledge, present and promote project results;
 - Progress and Financial Reporting to the EC;
 - Data and IPR Management will be managed in accordance with agreed rules stated in the Consortium Agreement and in accordance with the Data management plan (Task X.Y).♠NT
- [WRITE HERE: Fix the reference to the task]♠

title=Quality
assurance
and risk
management

id=project-
quality-
management

lead=PS

partners=LL,UV,UJF,UB,UO,USH,USO,SA,UW,JU,UK,US,ZH,SR,UWS

title=Innovation
management

id=project-
innovation-
management

lead=PS

partners=LL,UV,UJF,UB,UO,USH,USO,SA,UW,JU,UK,US,ZH,SR,UWS

T2 Quality assurance and risk management A quality assurance plan will be established to ensure coherent and sufficient quality of the work and results. The plan will be developed by UPS, involving all partners, and will be followed up regularly. In addition, the project coordinator with support from the coordination team and quality review board will establish and review annually a risk management plan and self-assessment to ensure that technical barriers / potential risks are identified and corrective measures are put into place on time (M1.3).

T3 Innovation management One of the most important criteria for success for the OpenDreamKit project is to bring the project results into use. Therefore, exploitation routes will be sought whenever possible. In order to create a common understanding within the Consortium about how we can best usher an idea all the way from conception to its realization and exploitation, the Coordinator will be responsible for the preparation and realization of an Innovation Plan to assure that research activities meet the required milestones and the outputs of innovation are fully aligned with the project objectives. All research activities will go through three initial steps where the exploitation opportunity is identified along with the main stakeholders for the exploitation opportunity and an IP owner.

M1. Deliverables: R, dissem.: CO Consortium Agreement

- M1.2: (Month 1; nature: DEC, dissem.: PU)** *Establishing basic project infrastructure (websites, wikis, issue trackers, mailing lists, repositories)*
- M1.3: (Month 12; nature: R, dissem.: CO)** *Internal Progress Reports, including risk management and quality assurance plan*
- M1.4: (Month 18; nature: R, dissem.: CO)** *Innovation Management Plan*
- M1.5: (Month 36; nature: R, dissem.: CO)** *Internal Progress Reports, including risk management and quality assurance plan*
- M1.6: (Month 45; nature: R, dissem.: CO)** *Innovation Management Plan*

♠ VP (Work Package Lead) [WRITE HERE: For WP leaders, please check the following (remove items once completed)]♠

- [] have all the tasks in this Work Package a lead institution?
- [] have all deliverables in the WP a lead institution?
- [] do all tasks list all sites involved in them?
- [] does the table of sites and their PM efforts match lists of sites for each task? (each site from the table is listed in all relevant tasks, and no site is listed only in the table or only at some task)

id=dissem
lead=PS

Work Package 2: Community Building, Training, Dissemination, Exploitation, and Outreach

Objectives

The objective of this work package is to further develop the community at the European scale, foster cross teams collaborations, spread the expertise, and engage the greater community to participate to the definition of the needs, and the implementation and use of the produced solutions. This includes:

- reviewing emerging technologies;
- ensuring awareness of the results in the user community;
- engaging cross communities discussions to foster scientific collaborations and conjoint developments;
- spreading the expertise through workshops and trainings;
- defining individual exploitation plans; and,
- managing existing and new intellectual property.

Description

We will organize regular open workshops (e.g. Sage Days, Pari Days, summer schools, etc.); some of them will be focused on development and coding sprints, and others on training. This is also an occasion to organize cross communities workshops like Sage-Jupyter days.

This work package will also provide general travel budget to fund short to long term visits between the participants, to collaborate on specific features. A typical such visit would bring together an IPython developer with a GAP developer for a couple of days to implement a first prototype of notebook interface to GAP.

We will also make all code, documents, test and build infrastructure for the micromagnetic simulator available, and deliver a number of workshops to the relevant community to disseminate this, and exploit feedback and contributions from them.

This work package will complement and lean on a parallel COST network whose role is to build and animate the greater community.

title=Reviewing
emerging
technologies
id=tech-
review

T1 Reviewing emerging technologies In this task, we will produce periodic reviews of emerging technologies and relevant developments elsewhere, and implications for our plans. This include the review of standard components and service for storage and sharing, computational resources, authentication, package management, etc. This may further include negotiating access or shared development when appropriate. This information will be fed to the other work packages, in particular Work Package WP3 Component Architecture.

title=Dissemination
and Commu-
nication
activities
id=dissemination-
communication

T2 Dissemination and Communication activities

♠ VP [WRITE HERE: scale this down as appropriate]♠

This task comprises all forms of direct dissemination and public communication activities such as press releases, creation of the project web-site including visitor analysis and monitoring tools, scientific and technical publications, outreach activities (seminars, keynote talks, media interviews, press releases), pro-motion through social media (e.g. Twitter, Facebook, LinkedIn), creation of advertisement materials such as flyers, posters, and electronic feeds as well as their distribution.

At least two press releases will be generated in the course of the project. ♠VP [WRITE HERE: Neil: this coming year i will do four workshops, although the number may be smaller by the time we are up and running. an additional component that could be integrated here is a 'JMLR Monthly Notices' section that I recently gained approval for. This is a new section the leading machine learning journal that we'll aim to focus on typically smaller advances (less than a full paper). A little like a 'Nature Notices' section but much more informal and open. We don't yet know the best way of doing it, but the mechanism might be similar to ways we'd want to disseminate OpenDreamKit results.]♠

T3 Community building: development workshops

We will organize development workshops all throughout the project. The aim of these workshops is to bring together developers from the different communities to implement some key aspects of OpenDreamKit: user interface, documentation, cross compatibility, etc. These meetings will gather not only participants of OpenDreamKit but also members of the different communities involved. Bringing talented people together is the best way to make actual progress on the different aspects of OpenDreamKit. It is also a way to work within the communities we're reaching and include them in the discussions and development. It fosters collaborations between scientists and developers from different backgrounds to build tools that are needed by all.

Each workshop will be aimed at a specific software (Sage, GAP, SageMathCloud, IPython, Singular, etc.) or be joint meeting between different communities to improve interoperability and joint developments. We are planning to have 4 or 5 such events per year and will produce a activity report every year. More precisely, here is a tentative list of the different events planned so far:

- One Sage workshop per year in Cernay France (near Orsay) where similar gatherings took place before. One of them will be a Sage-Sphinx day, dedicated to documentation.
- One Atelier PARI in Bordeaux per year. The team in Bordeaux has a great experience in organizing this kind of PARI events.
- Two Singular workshops and two GAP workshops in Kaiserslautern over the four years.
- Two workshops dedicated to high performance mathematical computing in relations with WP5. One of them should be in Grenoble and the second one in Bordeaux to foster the work with PARI towards WP5.T1.
- A joint meeting on the topics of SageMathCloud and Jupyter in Simula in relations with WP4.
- A joint event between GAP, Sage, and Singular in ICMS, Edinburgh.
- A joint Jupyter and Sage event in Orsay.
- A joint LMFDB and Sage event in Warwick to work towards WP6.

♠VP [WRITE HERE: Add workshop report to the deliverables]♠

T4 Dissemination: reaching users

As lead developers of OpenDreamKit, most of us consider themselves as both scientists and developers. And we have experience into reducing the gap between those two worlds. We organize trainings, workshops such as Sage-days to promote our tools and bring more users and developers from the scientific world. On the other hand, we're often present to more development-oriented gatherings like PyCon and SciPy to exchange with engineers and foster collaborations.

The aim of this task is to keep this winning strategy on within OpenDreamKit. Three events should be organized in the spirit of Sage-days to gather and train more users and foster scientific development around OpenDreamKit. These conferences usually welcome around 50 participants and have a big impact on the scientific community. One of them will be at CIRM in Marseille, another one at ICMS in Edinburgh and a third one probably in Dagstuhl Germany. In the same spirit, we will also have training sessions organized within the universities (Orsay and Grenoble). We will also run a series of 4 workshops in developing countries especially Africa and South America. Some of these workshops will be joined to CIMPA schools (M2.4, M2.5, M2.8, M2.15). The CIMPA is an international organization based in Nice (France) that promote research in mathematics in developing countries. It organizes each year around 20 schools.

The under-representation of women in the scientific world is even more perceptible when we intersect science with software development. As we know we have many talented women in our community, we will organize some events targeted at women in the spirit of the "Women in Sage" days that happened many times in the US already. We are planing to have two of them in Orsay and at least one in Oxford where some "Women in CS" days already took place.

title=Community
building: de-
velopment
workshops
lead=PS
partners=UB
id=devel-
workshops

title=Dissemination
reaching
users
lead=PS
partners=UB
id=dissemination

title=Introduce
Open-
DreamKit to
researchers
and teachers
id=project-
intro
lead=USH

Apart from this different events, we will also be present at important events of both our scientific community (international mathematical conferences such as FPSAC for combinatorics) and the python / open-source software development community: PyCon, SciPy, EuroPython, etc.

T5 **Introduce OpenDreamKit to researchers and teachers**

In this task, we will develop and deliver materials that will introduce OpenDreamKit to potential users—both researchers and teachers.

Develop a ‘taster’ seminar (1-2 hours) and follow-up short course (1-2 days) on OpenDreamKit for researchers and lecturers in all disciplines [M2.13](#). At Sheffield, this will be added to the set of courses that are offered as part of IT Services’ research support department. As such, it could potentially reach all disciplines. It will also be made publicly available for widespread dissemination and collaborative modification.

Elements of this work will also be integrated with the GP Summer Schools and Roadshows. The Summer School is now in its fourth edition (over 140 students educated). The Roadshows have taken place in Uganda, Colombia and are scheduled for Italy, Australia and Kenya.

These seminars and short-courses will be used to identify potential collaborators who are interested in utilising OpenDreamKit immediately. We will act as consultants to these collaborators in two ways: We will work with lecturers at Sheffield to introduce OpenDreamKit to various disciplines via the production of interactive lecture notes [M2.14](#). The focus for the student here will not necessarily be on programming but rather on interaction with the subject matter via use of OpenDreamKit. Interactive lecture notes are an area where commercial vendors such as MapleSoft and Wolfram Research are spending a lot of time and money developing material. We will provide technical and programming expertise to lecturers—helping them to develop the interactive part of notes while they provide the subject material.

We will work as consultants with researchers at Sheffield to introduce OpenDreamKit to their workflow. Any projects that successfully do this will be promoted as case studies for OpenDreamKit.

id=dissemination-
of-oommf-
nb-virtual-
environment
title=Open
source dis-
semination
of micro-
magnetic
VRE
lead=USO
partners=SR,USH,PS
title=Micromagnetic
VRE dis-
semination
workshops
id=dissemination-
of-oommf-nb-
workshops
lead=USO

T6 **Open source dissemination of micromagnetic VRE** Tasks [WP4.T12](#) and [WP4.T14](#) provide the micromagnetic VRE demonstrator ([B.0.1.4.1](#)) built on top of OpenDreamKit. In this task, we set up of the infrastructure ([M2.7](#)) to encourage and invite code contributions from the micromagnetic community to both code and created notebooks, while automating quality control and maintaining trust effectively.

The source code of the micromagnetic VRE will be made available as open source on public repository hosting sites (such as GitHub/Bitbucket), and announced to the community via appropriate mailing lists and other means. We will set up a publicly accessible Jenkins/Travis continuous integration (CI) system to (i) run regression tests (from [WP3.T8](#) and [WP4.T12](#)) routinely when the micromagnetic VRE code or underlying OOMMF core code changes, (ii) re-execute notebooks (from [WP4.T14](#)) and use them as regression tests (using the outcome of task [WP4.T3](#)), and (iii) re-build downloadable installation files and virtual machine images.

T7 **Micromagnetic VRE dissemination workshops**

We will run a series of workshops ([M2.10](#)) during the evenings of 4 major international meetings on magnetism research² to disseminate the micromagnetic virtual research environment (Sect. [B.0.1.4.1](#) and [T6](#)) in the micromagnetic community. Each conference attracts around 1500 participants, and we expect at least 30 for our workshops at every event. Depending on demand, multiple workshops will be given per conference.

The taught material will include (i) use of the Jupyter-based micromagnetic VRE, and an (ii) introduction to the standard techniques for contributing to open source software (version control, pull requests, testing frameworks) to foster excellence in computational science and to make the micromagnetic VRE project self-sustaining as quickly as possible. In addition, all teaching materials, including videos, will be made available on a website.

²Anticipated most significant international meetings in the appropriate time frame are 61st Conference on Magnetism and Magnetic Materials (MMM2016), October 31–November 4, 2016, New Orleans, Louisiana; 62nd Conference on Magnetism and Magnetic Materials (MMM 2017), November 6–10, 2017, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania; 21st International Conference on Magnetism (ICM 2018), July 16–20, 2018, San Francisco, California; 14th Joint MMM-Intermag Conference (MMM2019), January 14–18, 2019, Washington, DC). Each of those meetings is one week long, and serves as a focal point of networking for the European and international research community. Other training events have been held in the past at these conferences and were well attended.

For each workshop, we request €500 room hire at the magnetism conference location and the travel expenses for two teachers from Southampton to attend the one week international conference, totalling ($€500 + 2 \times €2200 = €4900$) per workshop. There are no other costs.

T8 **Demonstrator: Interactive books**

One of the important elements of VREs is a common flexible writing format which enables the creation of large structured documents. There are many known solutions to that problem, but they usually compromise the interactivity of the notebook interface and typesetting quality of desktop publishing software like LaTeX.

Recently, a few approaches tried to bring both interactivity and the typographic features. The modestly tagged markup language [DocOnce](#) targets the problem of reusability of the document source code for producing traditional LaTeX-based printed books, IPython notebooks, Sphinx documents (with Sage cells), and many other formats. MathBook XML is a lightweight XML application for authors of scientific articles, textbooks and monographs extensively using Sage cells for interactive elements. The Sphinx documentation software has been successfully applied for creation of interactive books containing Sage cells. Additional interactivity is offered using the [Runestone tools](#).

The technical aspects of format for interactive publications is a subject of the task “Structured documents” in [WP4.T6](#). In this task we will demonstrate usability of the results of [WP4.T6](#) in creation of scientific textbooks. Three interactive books will be created:

- Nonlinear Processes in Biology
- Classical and Quantum Mechanics
- Problems in Physics with Sage/Python

The choice of those particular topics has been made for the sake of maximal diversity. The “Nonlinear Processes in Biology” will heavily use numerical solution of ODEs and PDEs. Classical and Quantum Mechanics will demonstrate power of CAS systems working “on par” with numerical tools. The last example will focus mostly on collaborative editing and modularity of content which is produced using VRE technologies.

The main research aspect for this task will be to integrate modern computational tools in classical scientific topics and explore how the VRE environment can accelerate the development and produce electronic documents with significantly enhanced pedagogy.

In particular we will answer following questions:

- When is a fully interactive worksheet required and when is a textbook with executable code cells sufficient?
- How to assemble a classical monograph by reusing independently working building block of text and code?
- What are best tools and practices for using a single source for producing printed and electronic (interactive) textbooks?
- How to collaboratively write reusable course material?
- How can students can benefit from using VRE?

T9 **Demonstrator: Computational mathematics resources indexing service** Beyond official documentation and tutorials, users of mathematical software and VREs learn from a wide array of sources: university courses, Q&A sites, web searches, etc. A simple web search on any major software component yields dozens of non-official tutorials and how-tos in many different languages. However, search engines mostly miss the relevant metadata: how does one find a tutorial on linear algebra in PARI/GP, written at an undergraduate level, in French or Spanish?

This need has been felt by most communities at some point, and each has come up with its own solution: most software components (e.g., GAP, PARI/GP, Sage, ...) simply link material from their official page; Sage has a wiki (<http://wiki.sagemath.org/>) referencing additional resources, and used to host a large number of tutorial worksheets on <http://sagenb.org/>; the recent introduction of public projects in SageMathCloud is sparking approximately the same phenomenon that had previously happened with <http://sagenb.org/>; Ipython host the Notebook Viewer service (<http://nbviewer.ipython.org/>), which renders (without hosting) community-made notebooks; teaching institutions host or link their own collections of pedagogical resources. The list goes on.

These collections are usually incomplete, limited in scope, hard to search, outdated, etc. What the community needs is a community-curated, searchable, metadata-driven, multilingual, platform ag-

title=Demonstrator
Interactive
books
id=ibook
lead=US

title=Demonstrator
Compu-
tational
mathematics
resources
indexing
service
id=index-
librorum-
salvificorum
lead=UV
partners=UB

nostic indexing service whose goal is to reference and rank all the community generated knowledge around a software component or VRE.

The goal of this task is to create the tool (M2.11) powering such service, and to host a (free) community-curated index for OpenDreamKit related resources as a demonstrator (M2.12).

Raw material:

- Documentation improvements: overview, cross links, overview of recent improvements
- Thematic tutorials
- Collections of pedagogical documents
E.g. a complete collection of interactive class notes with computer lab projects for the “Algèbre et Calcul formel” option of the French math aggregation (starting from 2014-2015, only open-source systems will be supported, and Sage is a major player).
- Localization of the Sage user interface and key documents in various European languages.
- Distribution of the documents either in the main distribution of Sage or through the online repository (see collaborative tools).
- Massive online introduction course to Sage, drawing on the sage tutorial/notebooks. Could be “First year Sage course in a box”.
- Taking the opportunity of Python courses to propose Sage as a natural extension for mathematics; an example is French’s “Classes préparatoires” where Python has been recently selected as the language to learn programming³.

M2.1: (Month 36; nature: DEM, dissem.: PU) *Demo: Nonlinear Processes in Biology interactive book*

M2.2: (Month 40; nature: DEM, dissem.: PU) *Demo: Classical Mechanics interactive book*

M2.3: (Month 12; nature: DEM, dissem.: PU) *Demo: Problems in Physics with Sage v1*

M2.4: (Month 12; nature: DEM, dissem.: PU) *Workshop in developing country 1*

M2.5: (Month 24; nature: DEM, dissem.: PU) *Workshop in developing country 2*

M2.6: (Month 30; nature: DEM, dissem.: PU) *Demo: Problems in Physics with Sage v2*

M2.7: (Month 32; nature: DEC, dissem.: PU) *Micromagnetic VRE code and documents source online*

M2.8: (Month 36; nature: DEM, dissem.: PU) *Workshop in developing country 3*

M2.9: (Month 44; nature: DEM, dissem.: PU) *Demo: Problems in Physics with Sage v3*

M2.10: (Month 44; nature: OTHER, dissem.: PU) *Micromagnetic VRE workshops delivered*

M2.11: (Month 24; nature: P, dissem.: PU) *Community-curated indexing tool (open source)*

M2.12: (Month 24; nature: DEM, dissem.: PU) *Community-curated indexing service for OpenDreamKit*

M2.13: (Month 18; nature: DEC, dissem.: PU) *Short Course: A short course for lecturers on using OpenDreamKit for delivering mathematical education.*

M2.14: (Month 36; nature: DEM, dissem.: PU) *Demo: Interactive lecture notes and marking systems based on OpenDreamKit.*

M2.15: (Month 48; nature: DEM, dissem.: PU) *Workshop in developing country 4*

³See the “Annexe” at http://www.education.gouv.fr/pid25535/bulletin_officiel.html?cid_bo=71586

♠UV (Work Package Lead) [WRITE HERE: For WP leaders, please check the following (remove items once completed)] ♠ ♠USH [WRITE HERE: Please specify your engagement in the WP] ♠

- [] have all the tasks in this Work Package a lead institution?
- [] have all deliverables in the WP a lead institution?
- [] do all tasks list all sites involved in them?
- [] does the table of sites and their PM efforts match lists of sites for each task?
(each site from the table is listed in all relevant tasks, and no site is listed only in the table or only at some task)

id=component-
architecture
lead=UV

Work Package 3: Component Architecture

Objectives

The objective of this work package is to develop and demonstrate a set of API's enabling components such as database interfaces, computational modules, separate systems such as GAP or Sage to be flexibly combined and run smoothly across a wide range of environments (cloud, local, server, ...).

Description

This package focuses on the structure of the components that make up a mathematical software and their interactions. Such components can be separate modules inside a unique software, or separate softwares interacting through library calls and/or through APIs (e.g.: web APIs). When combined together, they make up a full VRE.

The architecture of these software components must be:

- **Portable**, to support a wide range of platforms (mobile, desktops, cloud, ...).
- **Modular**, so to ease installing, building, testing, and remixing.
- **Flexible**, so to adapt to different use cases: personal computation, HPC, parallel platforms, ...
- **Open**, in the sense of *open source*, but also more importantly in the sense of clearly documented and open to the user who wants to understand its underpinnings and/or contribute to it. Indeed we must not forget that the working mathematicians needs to know what algorithms the software is going to run to solve a given problem.

T1 Portability In order to achieve maximum availability and accessibility, mathematical software must be developed and tested for a wide range of computer architectures and operating systems. However most of open source development happens in POSIX environments (usually Linux or OS X), and almost exclusively on x86 platforms. The vast majority of the developers of mathematical software does not have the expertise, nor the access to appropriate hardware and software, to insure appropriate testing and porting of components. The best incarnation of this issue is the involved installation procedure for Sage on Windows, a major adoption barrier and common source of complaints by end-user. In this task we will address the common needs of the community in terms of portability layers, building and testing infrastructure.

- Best practices adopted by the larger open source community will be investigated and leveraged, and existing expertise will be shared between the component developers.
- Windows being largely dominant in the desktop/laptop market, a specific focus will be placed on the port of Sage, and therefore all the components included in its distribution (in particular PARI/GP, GAP, Singular, LinBox) to this platform (M3.1, M3.3).
- The deployment of a common infrastructure for multi-platform continuous integration (testing, building and distribution) will be addressed (M3.4).

T2 Interfaces between systems In this task we will investigate patterns to share data, ontologies, and semantics across computational systems, possibly connected remotely. We will leverage the well established semantics used in mathematics (categories, type systems, ...) to give powerful abstractions on computational objects.

id=portability
title=Portability
lead=UV
partners=PS

title=Interfaces
between sys-
tems
id=interface-
systems
lead=PS
partners=UV,UO

We will build upon the work already done in the EU FP6 project 26133 “SCIENCE – Symbolic Computation Infrastructure for Europe” (<http://www.symbolic-computing.org/>) on the Symbolic Computation Software Composability Protocol (SCSCP). SCSCP is a remote procedure call protocol by which a computer algebra system (CAS) may offer services to a variety of possible clients, including e.g. another CAS running on the same computer system or remotely; another instance of the same CAS (in a parallel computing context); a simplistic SCSCP client (e.g. C/C++/Python/etc. program) with a minimal SCSCP support needed for a particular application; a Web server which passes on the same services as Web services, etc. A distinctive feature of the protocol is that both instructions and data are represented in the OpenMath format (<http://www.openmath.org/>; previously supported by the EU JEM Thematic Network; EU project 24969 “ESPRIT” and other projects); moreover, OpenMath support is not limited by existing official OpenMath content dictionaries – private encodings may be easily embedded into SCSCP messages.

SCSCP is already supported by a number of computer algebra systems, including GAP, Macaulay2, Maple, TRIP and others. We will extend support for SCSCP to other relevant systems involved in OpenDreamKit (M3.5). Through its API, we will enable discovery of subsystems, functionality, documentation and computational resources. The user interfaces shall be enabled to automatically choose the best available algorithms and resources to perform a required computation, as well as clearly and intuitively present the available choices to the expert user.

As a first concrete test bed, we will consider the Sage interface to GAP, or more precisely `libGAP`. Like most Sage interfaces, this uses the now classical *handle* design pattern, whereby one can manipulate from Sage an object created and stored in GAP, through a *handle* (a.k.a. *remote objects*). By mapping GAP’s categories to Sage’s categories, in M3.6 we will:

- Implement a modular infrastructure for adapters, based on SCSCP, in order to let the implementation of adapters scale to a large variety of objects.
- Refactor the existing adapters, using this infrastructure to generalize their features. This step by itself will provide adapters for larger categories like semigroups or monoids.
- Merge the adapters into the handles, so that a handle to a GAP group will *automatically* behave like a native Sage group.

A specific challenge will be performance; indeed low level method adapters, e.g. for arithmetic, need to be compiled when most of the interface infrastructure is dynamic by nature.

♠UV [WRITE HERE: Deal with commented out TODO in the source below]♠

T3 Modularization and packaging

♠UV [WRITE HERE: As a task lead, decide on including Logilab in this task (see comments in the source)]♠

In this task we will investigate best practices for composing, sharing and interfacing computational components and data for connected mathematical systems.

We will start with a comparative study of the practices adopted in various open source projects, both inside and outside of OpenDreamKit. This will include reviewing non-mathematical systems, e.g.: operating systems, platforms, web frameworks, cloud and HPC infrastructures. In particular, pushed by cloud computing, containerization and virtualization have become a major trend for distributing complex software, thanks to their ease of installation and configuration. We shall experiment with these technologies by building and distributing virtual appliances for the major components of OpenDreamKit (M3.7).

Once the initial study will have identified the present shortcomings, we will promote a new generation of mathematical software that is capable of scaling to large code bases, large datasets, and massively distributed infrastructures. This task also needs to consider the results of work package WP7 on social issues regarding distributed development, community management, acknowledging contributions, etc.

As an example, Sage has a long history of integrating and distributing large mathematical libraries/-software as a whole, with relatively few attention given to defining and exposing interfaces. Component re-usability is not a main focus for the Sage community, at the same time the non-standard and relatively underused package system discourages writing and maintaining autonomous libraries. These factors have contributed to make the Sage distribution what is usually described as a “monolith” (Sage library code alone, not counting included libraries, makes up for 1.5M lines of code and

title=Modularization
and packaging
id=mod-
packaging
lead=UV
partners=PS

documentation), hard to distribute, to maintain, to port, and to develop with.

On the opposite side, GAP has been distributing community-developed “GAP packages” for a long time, but faces now fragmentation issues, at the code and at the community level. The rudimentary package system adds more technical difficulties to GAP’s development model.

Both models reach the limits of their scalability, and a synthesis is very much needed. Our first experiment will be to enhance Sage’s package system (M3.8), enough to support an open repository of user-contributed code, in the same spirit of modern systems such as Julia (<http://pkg.julialang.org/>) or PyPI (<https://pypi.python.org/>). Once *internal* packaging has been dealt with, the route will be paved to further modularize the Sage distribution, and make sure that the major Linux distributions have standard packages for it (M3.9).

T4 Simulagora integration ♠UV [WRITE HERE: Decide to leave here or move to dissemination?]♠

Every six month deliver a new Simulagora VM image with as many as possible of the software components released over the period. The goal is to prove that the project is improving the component architecture by measuring the time it takes to integrate them.

T5 Component architecture for High Performance Computing and Parallelism As in all other areas of science, properly supporting massively parallel architecture is a major challenge. Many of the computational components have already gone a long way in this direction, and further work will happen there within WorkPackage WP5.

In this task we will investigate and implement parallelism-friendly ways of combining components together, so that calling components can benefit from the parallelism features of called components, with self-adaptation to the environment and cooperative sharing of resources. We will use Sage and its components as a test-bed, by producing an HPC-enabled distribution (M3.10).

T6 Document and modularize SageMathCloud’s codebase From its inception in 2013, SageMathCloud has quickly developed to a full featured VRE. Because of the tight, partly closed source development cycles, SageMathCloud’s codebase has quickly grown in size, with its documentation not always keeping the pace. As a result, it is at the moment very hard for a newcomer to set up a clone service of SageMathCloud just from its sources.

Now that SageMathCloud is [fully open source](#), we need to go through its codebase, understand and document it (M3.11), isolate components that might be reused by other software (e.g.: Jupyter), and make it as portable as possible.

The ultimate goal of this task is to produce a *personal* version of SageMathCloud, to be shipped along with Sage, that a user can run on his own personal computer (M3.12).

T7 Improving the development workflow in mathematical software Truly open software must enable any actor to easily contribute his work to the community. Be it an experienced developer, or a student. Be it for a major software component or for a piece of translation. All the systems involved in OpenDreamKit have developed their own workflows for contributing back, but those are almost exclusively geared toward experienced developers working on large components. When these workflows eventually reach their scalability limits, software development stagnates and major features are delayed. A well known example is given by Sage’s Trac server, where tickets can stay in “needs review” state for a long time before entering the code base. *Upstream* bug reporting and fixing is another major factor of slow development.

This task will seek new ways of accepting contributions to mathematical software in a scalable way. For example we will experiment with integrating bug reporting and contributing features right in the VRE (e.g., in SageMathCloud: M3.13).

♠UV [WRITE HERE: As a task lead, decide on including Logilab in this task. See comment in source.]♠

T8 Python interface for OOMMF micromagnetic simulation library In this task, we create a Python interface (M3.2) for the open source Object Oriented MicroMagnetic Framework (OOMMF [1]). As a result, the OOMMF library will be fully accessible and usable from a Python interface and become a component in the Python/Jupyter eco system of computational tools and in OpenDreamKit. We make use of this component architecture in WP4.T12 to build the micromagnetic VRE demonstrator (Sect. B.0.1.4.1).

In more detail, we will first identify the best option for interfacing from Python to OOMMF core (C++) routines. The technical options include CTypes, Cython, Swig, and Boost-Python, all with particular

id=simulagora-dev
title=Simulagora integration
lead=LL
title=Component architecture for High Performance Computing and Parallelism
id=component-for-HPC
title=Document and modularize SageMathCloud’s codebase
id=extract-smc
lead=PS
partners=UV
title=Improving the development workflow in mathematical software
id=workflow
lead=UV
partners=PS

lead=USO
id=oommf-python-interface
title=Python interface for OOMMF micromagnetic simulation library
partners=SA

advantages/disadvantages. Following analysis of the current OOMMF code layout and compilation model, we will use the most suitable tool, bearing in mind our ambition not to modify the OOMMF code so that the python interface we create remains functional and maintainable with minimal effort while the OOMMF core code is developed further by the OOMMF authors. The interface will expose the C++ objects in Python, providing an architecture component that provides full access to OOMMF's raw capabilities. For clarity, we will refer to this interface as `OOMMF-py-raw`.

Secondly, we will create a user friendly Python library `OOMMF-py` that combines the `OOMMF-py-raw` capabilities in an object orientated and safe-to-use Python library targetting researchers in the magnetic materials community. We will follow the design of the well-received high level Python interface in the Nmag micromagnetic simulation package [8] interface [21]. Unit and regression tests for both component interfaces `OOMMF-py-raw` and `OOMMF-py` are simultaneously developed.

M3.1: (Month 6; nature: OTHER, dissem.: PU) *One-click install Sage distribution for Windows with Cygwin 32bits*

M3.2: (Month 9; nature: OTHER, dissem.: PU) *Python Interface to micromagnetic OOMMF package completed*

M3.3: (Month 24; nature: OTHER, dissem.: PU) *One-click install Sage distribution for Windows with Cygwin 64bits*

M3.4: (Month 36; nature: DEM, dissem.: PP) *Continuous integration platform for multi-platform build/test.*

Sage's *buildbot* is a x86_64/Linux specific platform for continuous building and testing. We will investigate the possibility of evolving it towards a multi-platform tool, and opening it to other mathematical software.

M3.5: (Month 12; nature: OTHER, dissem.: PU) *Support for the [SCSCP](#) interface protocol in all relevant components (Sage, GAP, etc.) distribution*

M3.6: (Month 36; nature: OTHER, dissem.: PU) *Semantic-aware Sage interface to GAP.*

M3.7: (Month 6; nature: OTHER, dissem.: PU) *Virtual images and containers* Creation and distribution of preconfigured cloud oriented virtual machines/containers (e.g. Docker images) for PARI/GP, Sage, SageMathCloud, ...

M3.8: (Month 24; nature: OTHER, dissem.: PU) *Open package repository for Sage* Refactor Sage package and build system to support community-contributed packages installable via the web.

M3.9: (Month 48; nature: OTHER, dissem.: PU) *Packaging for major Linux distributions* Make sure that Sage and all the components it depends on (including GAP, Linbox, PARI/GP, Singular, ...) have standard packages in the main Linux distributions.

♠UV [WRITE HERE: Deal with TODO in the source below (perhaps leave as comment and just remove the word TODO)]♠

M3.10: (Month 48; nature: OTHER, dissem.: PU) *HPC enabled Sage distribution*

M3.11: (Month 6; nature: R, dissem.: PU) *Understand and document SageMathCloud backend code.*

M3.12: (Month 24; nature: OTHER, dissem.: PU) *Personal SageMathCloud: single user version of SageMathCloud distributed with Sage.*

M3.13: (Month 24; nature: OTHER, dissem.: PU) *Integration between SageMathCloud and Sage's TRAC server*

♠HPL/MRK (Work Package Lead) [WRITE HERE: For WP leaders, please check the following (remove items once completed)]♠

- [] do all tasks list all sites involved in them?
- [] does the table of sites and their PM efforts match lists of sites for each task? (each site from the table is listed in all relevant tasks, and no site is listed only in the table or only at some task)

id=UI
lead=SR

Work Package 4: User Interfaces

Objectives

The objective of this work package is to provide modern, robust, and flexible user interfaces for computation, supporting real-time sharing, integration with collaborative problem-solving, multilingual documents, paper writing and publication, links to databases, etc.

Description

Project Jupyter is a set of open-source software projects for interactive and exploratory computing. These software projects help make scientific computing and data science reproducible and multi-language (Python, Julia, R, Haskell, etc.). The main application offered by Jupyter is the Jupyter notebook, a web-based interactive computing platform that allows users to create data- and code-driven narratives that combine live code, equations, narrative text, interactive dashboards and other rich media. These documents provide a complete record of a computation that can be shared with others.

The Jupyter notebook is being used in all areas of academic (including for example University of California, Berkeley, Stanford, MIT, Harvard, Cambridge, Oxford, Imperial College, Southampton, Hamburg, Paderborn, Vienna, Paris, Katowice, and Oslo) and government (NASA JPL, LBL, KBase, White House Hackathon) research as well as industry (Google, IBM, Facebook, Oracle, Otto Group, Microsoft, Bloomberg, JP Morgan, WhatsApp, O'Reilly, Quantopian, Logilab, GraphLab, Enthought, Continuum, Authorea, BuzzFeed, etc.) and journalism (538, New York Times, etc.). Because the architecture and building blocks of Jupyter are open, they are being used to build numerous other commercial and non-profit products and services. The Jupyter Notebook has between 500,000 and 1.5 million individual users worldwide.

In this work package, we will add new functionality to the Jupyter Notebook that fosters excellence in computational science and research. In particular, we will push towards reproducible and effective science by allowing to create structured documents (such as reports, books, theses) from Notebooks, and by allowing those notebooks to be re-executed as self-contained regression tests. We will also unify the notebook infrastructure used in Sage with Jupyter, push forward dynamic documentation exploration capabilities, and work towards concurrent multi-user editing of Notebooks.

The last tasks in this work package are focused on an *application of the Jupyter notebook technology* for education and research.

♠Hans-Petter / Marcin? [WRITE HERE: Say something about notebook for education and planned demonstrators [notebooks]?]♠

To demonstrate the power of the OpenDreamKit environment to accelerate computational science, deliver better value for money and make computational science more robust, we will put together a micromagnetic VRE (B.0.1.4.1) as a demonstrator.

♠POD,JC [WRITE HERE: Somewhere in this package there needs to be a mention of the experience acquired with Knowls, developed for LMFDB. – POD]♠

T1 Uniform notebook interface for all interactive components In this task, we will implement Jupyter interfaces for the interactive computation components of OpenDreamKit, including GAP, PARI/GP, Sage, and Singular. A first release M4.4 will focus on basic functionality, and a second release M4.5

title=Uniform notebook interface for all interactive components
id=ipython-kernels
lead=PS
partners=SR,USH

will cover advanced features like 3D graphics or transparent documentation browsing (as live work-sheets whenever relevant).

Sage itself will require a specific treatment as it already has a notebook interface. Its development started about at the same time as the Jupyter notebook, with similar target features but a different agenda: the Sage notebook had to be available very quickly to solve pressing needs of the Sage community; instead the Jupyter notebook was to take its time and build robust foundations from the ground up. The two projects have exchanged a lot, and the Jupyter notebook, which benefits from a much larger user base and thus developer pool, has mostly caught up with the Sage notebook in terms of functionality. It is thus time for the Sage community to outsource this key but non disciplinary component and phase out the Sage notebook in favor of the Jupyter notebook.

The Sage and Jupyter convergence [M4.7](#) will require:

- Robust migration path and tools for Sage worksheets,
- Support for math, 2D, and interactive 3D scene visualization,
- Import and export of ReST documents, with full support for Sage's specific roles (math, ...),
- Support for remote Sage kernel, typically on the cloud, or running with a different Python version (Sage as a library),
- A migration path for interactive widgets implemented with Sage's `@interact` functionality.

Joint meetings and visits between the developers of Jupyter and of the computing components will be a key asset for this task.

id=notebook-
collab
title=Notebook
improve-
ments for
collaboration
lead=SR
partners=PS,USH,JU,USO

T2 Notebook improvements for collaboration In this task, we will further improve tools for collaboration between authors of shared Jupyter notebooks.

Version control tools, such as Git and Mercurial, have become an integral part of open and collaborative science and software. Version control tools allow reviewing proposed changes via different tools, and resolving conflicting changes with merge tools. Jupyter notebook documents, being text files, are relatively well suited to tracking in version control. However, being structured JSON data, saving and merging are difficult. Tools shall be developed to provide better support for visual diffing and merging of Notebook documents, and integrated into existing version control workflows [M4.12](#).

Given the interactive nature of Jupyter notebooks, live collaboration, where multiple authors work on the document simultaneously, as in Google Docs, is particularly desirable. The addition of potentially shared execution adds both value and challenge to live collaboration. Various projects have added some amount of live sessions from outside (SageMathCloud, Colaboratory), but outside the core project. There are many different aspects of collaboration to explore, including shared or separate execution for authors collaborating on a single notebook, [M4.12](#) to indicate not only authorship, but which author triggered which execution, and other challenges. Various avenues for live session collaboration will be explored for integration into Jupyter itself [M4.18](#).

id=notebook-
verification
title=Reproducible
Notebooks
lead=SR
partners=PS,USO

T3 Reproducible Notebooks In this task, we will develop tools that allow to re-execute notebook documents with automated regression testing. The computed output will be compared against the stored output, and deviations reported as assertion errors.

Notebooks are used in a variety of contexts, like training and teaching material (tutorials, documentation, books) or computer experimentation logbooks, where reproducibility is critical: the notebooks shall remain functional and correct in the long run, even when the underlying computational software or infrastructure changes over time or across platforms.

This task is a critical step toward reproducibility, allowing the notebook author to get an immediate notice when, e.g., a backward incompatible change occurs. It becomes even possible to anticipate such situations upstream by including important notebooks directly in the automated test suite of the computational software, giving an easy way for casual users to contribute regression tests.

Technically speaking, Jupyter notebooks store outputs as rich mime-type structures, with JSON metadata. Using this metadata, it will be possible to express expectations of output, allowing more flexible and powerful tests than direct text comparison [M4.11](#). Prior work has been done in Sage for ReST files, e.g. `sage -t notebook.rst`, and this model will be extended to notebooks.

id=sage-
sphinx
title=Refactor
Sage's
Sphinx
documenta-
tion system
lead=PS
partners=SR,UV

T4 Refactor Sage's Sphinx documentation system Sage, like Python and many other Python based projects, uses the Sphinx documentation system. Due to particularly stringent needs, many layers of customization and adaptations have accumulated over the years, in particular for proper scaling to the sheer size of the Sage documentation (13k pages just for the reference manual).

A deep refactorization (M4.19) is critically needed to get rid of multiple duplication, and foster sustainability by outsourcing back to Sphinx all generic aspects (parallel compilation, index generation, ...).

- T5 Dynamic documentation and exploration system** Introspection has become a critical tool in interactive computation, allowing user to explore on the fly the properties and capabilities of the objects under manipulation. This becomes particularly acute in systems like Sage where large parts of the class hierarchy is built dynamically, and static documentation builders like Sphinx cannot anymore render all the available information.

In this task, we will investigate how to further enhance the user experience. This will include:

- On the fly generation of Javadoc style documentation, through introspection, allowing e.g. the exploration of the class hierarchy, available methods, etc.
- Widgets based on the HTML5 and web component standards to display graphical views of the results of SPARQL queries, as well as populating data structures with the results of such queries,
- M4.20 (Month 36) Exploratory support for semantic-aware interactive widgets providing views on objects of the underlying computational or database components. Preliminary steps are demonstrated in the Larch Environment project (see demo video on <http://www.larchenvironment.com/>) and sage-explorer (<https://github.com/jbandlow/sage-explorer>). The ultimate aim would be to automatically generate LMFDB-style interfaces.

Whenever possible, those features will be implemented generically for any computation kernel by extending the Jupyter protocol with introspection and documentation queries.

- T6 Structured documents**

Jupyter notebook is basically a sequence of cells that contain either text or a program. The complex documents, such as books, articles or reports, require a richer description that covers the the structure of the document and the semantics of its elements. This task will investigate this problem and try to find a way to write these documents using the breakthroughs achieved in the other tasks to this workpackage.

Several technical complementary options can be explored:

- MathHub.info is a portal for reading and interacting with "active documents" (i.e. documents that have an additional semantic layer that supports semantic services like definition lookup, type-inference, unit conversion,...)
- Jupyter notebooks are essentially "programs with documentation" and lack the semantical structure needed by complex documents.
- sTeX is a semantic variant of LaTeX that can be transformed into OMDoc/MMT, which is the native knowledge representation format for active documents and machine-actionable knowledge about math and symbolic programs.

After gathering the needs and the requirements for the writing of complex documents in the mathematical field, we will study these various options, design and build a solution that meets the expectations. This work will be done through an iterative process that tries to enhance little-by-little the already existing softwares.

- T7 Active Documents Portal** We will extend the existing <http://mathhub.info> system to a portal for interacting with active/structured documents (see T6) and run it for internal use in the OpenDreamKit first and later for general use outside the project. MathHub.info already provides very basic sTeX editing and versioning. For OpenDreamKit we will need to extend it with the computational side based on the integrated format from T6.

- T8 Visualization system for 3d data in web-notebook**

The Jupyter notebook is a very attractive environment for building user interfaces for research, but the current support for inline visualization is limited to curve plots and 2d scalar fields. Many scientific simulations need visualization of 3d scalar and vector fields, as shown in figure 2. The amount of data can be tremendous, especially in time-dependent problems computed in a distributed fashion over large-scale computational clusters. Interactive inspection of such simulations can be a valuable tool which accelerates research. For inspection, one does not need to transfer and gather the full dataset at each time step - getting selected computed fields on user request or preprocessing certain quantities like cross sections with some predefined frequency will mostly suffice.

We propose to let computational fluid dynamics (CFD) be a driving application for the development of

id=dynamic-inspect
title=Dynamic documentation and exploration system
lead=PS
partners=SR,USC

title=Structured documents
id=structdocs
lead=JU
lead=JU
partners=SR,USH

id=mathhub
title=Active Documents Portal
lead=JU
title=Visualization system for 3d data in web-notebook
id=cfd-vis
lead=SR
partners=US,PS,U

3d visualization in Jupyter notebooks since CFD is one of the most demanding cases of scientific visualization. Successfully handling CFD makes the tool immediately applicable to a range of other fields such as heat transfer, electromagnetics, material science, and 3d algebraic structures in mathematics. Simulations are started inside the notebook and executed on HPC clusters. This approach will significantly lower the threshold for using parallel computing codes that can be hard to install correctly on local workstations. Such use cases with 3d visualization will greatly extend the potential applications of the Jupyter notebook concept throughout science and engineering.

As example code for a 3d live web notebook with fluid dynamics simulations, we will use the Lattice Boltzmann solver which is under development at the University of Silesia: [Sailfish](#). This code is an advanced Lattice Boltzmann solver designed from the ground up for distributed system of GPU compute clusters. It is implemented predominantly in Python, and it uses run-time code generation techniques to automatically build optimized code for CUDA and OpenCL devices. Since running Sailfish requires specialized hardware, it is reasonable to use it on dedicated HPC installations.

In this task we will first investigate available technologies for fast in-browser visualization techniques for the typical structures to be displayed (isosurfaces, streamlines, vector fields, cross sections, etc.). There exists several solutions which can provide basis for further development. Perhaps the most known, and also advanced is [three.js](#) which provides basis for 3d visualization in a web browser. Three.js is WebGL based, but it also provides canvas based rendering for system which do not support WebGL. It has already been experimentally deployed in Sage Cell Server and SMC projects. Another promising technologies are visualization libraries using exclusively OpenGL. They can be deployed in browser based system by using of the WebGL API which is a restricted subset of the regular OpenGL API. It can be accomplished if visualization is done purely in GPU. [VisPy](#) and [glumpy](#) projects have found GPU-only solutions for common visualization objects (lines, arrows, markers, text, iso-lines, iso-surfaces, text, etc) where data does not exit the GPU. Furthermore, the vispy project already offers an experimental interface with Jupyter notebook that could be extended to cope with our specifications. Through this tight collaboration with the authors, OpenDreamKit could benefit from both dedicated and state-of-the art visualization techniques.

id=mws
title=Math
Search Engine
lead=JU
id=simulagora
title=Simulagora
collaboration
lead=LL
lead=UB
title=Common
option system for various
displays in Sage
id=Sage-
display
partners=UB
lead=USO
title=Case
study: micromagnetic
VRE built from Open-
DreamKit
id=oommf-
py-ipython-
attributes
partners=SR,USH

T9 **Math Search Engine** **♠TO DO:** *MK: write along the following lines We already have a search engine, therefore we only need to build harvesters – i.e. programs that generate keywords and Formula URL/pairs from the contents – M4.2 and M4.9. For M4.17, this is also true in principle, but the formulae in code can take many more forms and the notion of a hit URL is not as clear.♠*

T10 **Simulagora collaboration** Comparing the collaboration workflow of Simulagora, SageMathCloud and GitHub.

T11 **Common option system for various displays in Sage** Given a mathematical object, it often has various possible representation on a computer. From raw text to \LaTeX , from simple 2d picture to a complicated 3d animation.

In this task, we provide a uniform option system for displaying object within Sage (raw text, \LaTeX , tikz, matplotlib, jmol, tachyon, ...). We will implement some of the missing display and will benefit of the work done in task [WP4.T8](#).

T12 **Case study: micromagnetic VRE built from OpenDreamKit**

In this task, we use the OpenDreamKit architecture to assemble a virtual research environment software tailored for the large micromagnetic research community ([B.0.1.4.1](#)).

The micromagnetic VRE will be based on the Jupyter Notebook, the Python interface to the micromagnetic simulation library OOMMF ([WP3.T8](#)), and the additional features added to Jupyter in this work package.

The Jupyter Notebook environment allows to host, execute and document the Python-based OOMMF simulation in an executable document. In this interactive environment, objects can be displayed using various representations, including, for example, textual representation (i.e. strings), bitmap images and SVG (vector graphics) files. We will create functionality so that magnetisation vector field objects can be presented as a rendered 3d and 2d-view of the magnetisation field (Fig. 2), and similar features for scalar fields such as field components and energies for static and time dependent data (linking to [T8](#)). This allows computational steering and the interactive exploration of the behavior of magnetic nanostructures.

Beyond that, the Jupyter Widgets allow the creation of graphical user interface (GUI) elements, and

we will generate code to display these widgets on demand to (i) set up micromagnetic simulations using a GUI, and (ii) assist in common post-processing simulation results. Recent pilot work has shown that it is possible to make Jupyter Widgets interact with the Python interpreter session and this allows to activate a GUI-like (widget based) interface when desired but to quickly return to the interpreter prompt, taking forward the results (data) from the GUI session [22] and providing a continuous path from scripting to GUI. We believe that having the ability to mix and match GUI-based and command driven analysis combines the best of both approaches, caters for users' preferences, and provides significant additional value.

The deliverable for this task is the open source micromagnetic VRE software (M4.8).

- T13 Python/Cython bindings for Pari** PARI is a C-library and GP is its standalone interpreter. Partial Python/Cython bindings are provided by Sage.

The task aims to develop an independent Python/Cython library that would provide bindings for PARI/GP and which would tightly be developed within the PARI/GP team.

The deliverable for this task is M4.6.

- T14 Demonstrator: micromagnetic VRE notebooks**

The purpose of the micromagnetic VRE (T12) is to enable excellent computational research. To maximise the value of this grant's investment for the community, we will not carry out micromagnetic research but instead produce a set of executable notebooks using the micromagnetic VRE to demonstrate its power and applicability.

We will create executable notebook documents (M4.13) within the micromagnetic VRE including (i) a new tutorial on computational micromagnetics with OOMMF, (ii) the complete documentation of the OOMMF-Py library (WP3.T8), and (iii) a set of typical micromagnetic case studies. The tutorial, in terms of content, will take guidance from the tutorial provided for Nmag [20] and will introduce the additional features of the Jupyter-driven micromagnetic VRE. We expect this substantial and executable documentation of the micromagnetic VRE to become the standard resource that introduces researchers to computational micromagnetics, in particular through the online portal (T15).

- T15 Online portal for micromagnetic VRE demonstrator**

Recently, a TeMPorary Jupyter Notebook (TMPNB) has been made available (at <http://tmpnb.org>) that allows anybody to open this URL and use their very own Jupyter notebook for quick calculations and tests online. We will provide such a portal (M4.15) which provides the micromagnetic VRE for anonymous use. This service allows users to execute the demonstrator tutorial and documentation notebooks (T14) and run the calculations in real time on the web server, without having to install any software on their own machine. This webservice will be based on Docker [6] virtualisation technology and we will make available the scripts to create VirtualBox [29] images, and Docker containers. The same virtual machine images can also be used for Cloud hosted computing services.

We request €6000 to purchase a machine to provide these services (shared memory, 64 cores, 128GB RAM, Solid-state drive (SSD) to make the system more responsive).

M4.1: (Month 6; nature: R, dissem.: PU) Active Documents based on sTeX

M4.2: (Month 9; nature: OTHER, dissem.: PU) Full-text search (formulae + Keywords) over LaTeX-based documents (e.g. the arXiv subset)

M4.3: (Month 12; nature: DEM, dissem.: PU) Distributed, Collaborative, Versioned Editing of Active Documents in MathHub.info

M4.4: (Month 14; nature: OTHER, dissem.: PU) Basic Jupyter interface for GAP, PARI/GP, Sage, Singular

M4.5: (Month 12; nature: OTHER, dissem.: PU) Full featured Jupyter interface for GAP, PARI/GP, Singular

M4.6: (Month 24; nature: OTHER, dissem.: PU) Python/Cython bindings for PARI/GP

M4.7: (Month 12; nature: DEM, dissem.: PU) Sage notebook / Jupyter notebook convergence

M4.8: (Month 15; nature: OTHER, dissem.: PU) Micromagnetic VRE software completed

M4.9: (Month 18; nature: OTHER, dissem.: PU) Full-text search (Formulae + Keywords) over Notebooks
We want to be able to search over the Jupyter-based Notebooks from T6.

M4.10: (Month 18; nature: DEM, dissem.: PU) In-place computation in active documents (context/computation)

M4.11: (Month 18; nature: OTHER, dissem.: PU) Facilities for running notebooks as verification tests

lead=UB
title=Python/Cython
bindings for
Pari
id=pari-
python
lead=USO
title=Demonstrator
micromag-
netic VRE
notebooks
id=oommf-
tutorial-and-
documentation
partners=SR,PS

lead=USO
id=oommf-
nb-ve
title=Online
portal for
micromag-
netic VRE
demonstrator
partners=SR,JU

M4.12: (Month 12; nature: OTHER, dissem.: PU) *Tools for collaborating on notebooks via version-control*

M4.13: (Month 21; nature: DEM, dissem.: PU) *Micromagnetic VRE tutorial and documentation notebooks*

M4.14: (Month 24; nature: DEM, dissem.: PU) *Notebook Import into MathHub.info (interactive display)*

M4.15: (Month 24; nature: DEC, dissem.: PU) *Demonstrator online portal available*

M4.16: (Month 24; nature: OTHER, dissem.: PU) *Jupyter extension for 3d vector field visualisation*

M4.17: (Month 30; nature: OTHER, dissem.: PU) *Formula search in CAS programs and Software Modules*

M4.18: (Month 36; nature: OTHER, dissem.: PU) *Exploratory support for live notebook collaboration*

M4.19: (Month 24; nature: OTHER, dissem.: PU) *Refactorization of Sage's Sphinx documentation system*

M4.20: (Month 36; nature: DEM, dissem.: PU) *Exploratory support for semantic-aware interactive widgets providing views on objects represented and or in databases*

M4.21: (Month 42; nature: OTHER, dissem.: PU) *Search from Notebooks/Active Documents Interface* Often it is important to have some local context to inform search, therefore search from the notebook/active documents interface is an interesting and useful development target.

♠UJF (Work Package Lead) **[WRITE HERE: For WP leaders, please check the following (remove items once completed)]**♠

- [X] have all deliverables in the WP a lead institution?
- [X] do all tasks list all sites involved in them?
- [] does the table of sites and their PM efforts match lists of sites for each task?
(each site from the table is listed in all relevant tasks, and no site is listed only in the table or only at some task)

id=hpc
lead=UJF

Work Package 5: High Performance Mathematical Computing

Objectives

The objective of this work package is to improve the performance of the computational components of OpenDreamKit, in particular on massively parallel architectures. This includes notably:

- Fine grained High Performance Computing on many-cores architectures.
- Coarse grained or embarrassingly parallel computing on grids or on the cloud.
- Compilation of high level interpreted code to optimized parallel native code.
- Develop novel HPC infrastructure in the context of combinatorics.

A key aspect will be to foster further sharing expertise and best practices between computational components.

Description

As in all other areas of science, properly supporting massively parallel architectures is a major challenge. Many of the computational components in OpenDreamKit have already gone a long way in this direction. For example, parallel versions of the GAP kernel for a range of architectures were developed during the 2009-2013 EPSRC “HPCGAP” project. The expertise gained there was then transferred to the ongoing Singular-HPC project, in particular through the rehiring of one of the developers of HPC-GAP. The French ANR HPAC project (2012-2015) has also widely contributed to design parallel exact linear algebra kernels which is a core component for most HPC applications. The LinBox library, used in sage, has benefited from this experience on the multi-core processing aspects.

In this work package, we will build on this momentum to further implement HPC support in the component Tasks [T1](#), [T2](#), [T3](#), [T5](#) and [T4](#).

Many of the computational components of OpenDreamKit, notably Sage and GAP use a high level interpreted language for their library. Performance is achieved by rewriting or compiling critical sections into a lower-level language. Sage uses the Cython Python-to-C compiler; GAP has some more basic support. In Tasks [T2](#), [??](#) and [??](#), we will also boost performance by further developing and applying such compilation tools, allowing the application programmer to retain their high level approach.

T1 PARI PARI is a C library mainly oriented toward arithmetic and number theory.

It currently supports both POSIX threads or MPI but lacks interfaces for parallelism. More precisely, it should be easier from an external package or software (e.g. Sage) to better exploit PARI parallel features.

On the other hand, most basic algorithms in the PARI library (e.g. integer factorization) are currently implemented using only one core. To make better use of multi-core architecture and more generally parallel architectures, we will devise a generic parallelization machinery to allow individual implementations to scale gracefully between single core / multicore / massively parallel machines while avoiding code duplication.

The deliverables for this task are [M5.2](#) and [M5.5](#).

T2 GAP Thanks to the HPCGAP project, almost the full functionality of GAP can be safely run on multi-core architectures, and there is support for simple but effective parallel programming, with protection from most of the more serious pitfalls that can trouble the novice parallel programmer. Experimental versions of GAP also exist for a number of distributed-memory architectures.

title=PARI
id=hpc-pari
lead=UB
partners=UB

title=GAP
id=hpc-gap
lead=SA
partners=SA

In this task we will continue to develop the GAP infrastructure to offer performance improvements to real end users on a wide range of modern hardware. This will be achieved by a number of synergistic developments in the system

- a library of parallel algorithms for algebraic computation. This will include general purpose skeletons applicable to many problems; distributed data structures suitable for orchestrating distributed memory computations; and implementations of specific parallel algorithms for key mathematical tasks. ♠SL/AK [WRITE HERE: maybe list some parallel algorithms for algebraic computation]♠
- interfaces between GAP and standard cloud and HPC infrastructure. This work will be based on WP3.T5. ♠SL/AK [WRITE HERE: Say more, batch schedulers, authentication for SCSCP servers, storage management, checkpointing]♠
- adaptation of the cython and/or pythran technology to allow the performance of critical GAP language subroutines to be increased close to that of C code without the programmer cost of a full C implementation.

title=Linbox
id=hpc-linbox
lead=UJF
partners=UJF

T3 Linbox Most intensive mathematical computations rely heavily on exact linear kernels and their ability to harness parallel computers, grids or clusters. The LinBox library, already delivers high sequential efficiency for mathematical software such as Sage. The parallelization of the library for multi-core architectures has been initiated in the A.N.R. HPAC project and successfully set the building blocks for high performance algebraic computing. The task here is to address the remaining challenges for the use of such kernels through a general audience mathematical software, such as Sage. A first aspect focuses on code design and domain specific languages allowing to expose an abstraction of the parallel infrastructure and the parallel features of the code through the stack of libraries, and support the composition of parallel routines. More generally the second aspect concerns the development of new parallel algorithms and implementations, that are still barriers in the development of High performance mathematical applications. Lastly, the third part addresses the specificities of distributed computing, with a close focus on communications and heterogeneous infrastructures.

title=Singular
lead=UK
id=hpc-singular
partners=SA

T4 Singular The unique challenge of parallelizing Singular has been that it is a decades-old project, with a codebase exceeding 300,000 lines of code and an enormous existing investment of development effort. This makes a wholesale manual rewrite or reengineering approach infeasible. We therefore use a multi-pronged approach: First, we have created automated source-to-source translation tools that take existing C/C++ code as input and generate thread safe code as output. Secondly we are also adding facilities to the C/C++ code and the Singular interpreter to safely access shared memory. These facilities ensure in particular that common pitfalls of concurrent programming, such as data races and deadlocks, cannot occur. For this, we leverage approaches that have already been successfully used for HPC-GAP and whose principles are well-understood.

To supplement the above existing work, we propose to add very fine-grained parallelism to some key components of Singular. These include writing a multi-threaded implementation of the Singular multivariate polynomial arithmetic, of the main quadratic sieve implementation for integer factoring and parallelisation of the FFT based integer and dense polynomial multiplication algorithm. These key components are used extensively for Singular's overall workload, including in the Groebner basis engine and polynomial subsystems. Performance increases through fine-grained parallelisation of key components such as these will provide extensive benefits to virtually all users of Singular on multi-core machines.

title=MPIR
id=hpc-mpir
lead=UK
partners=UK

T5 MPIR MPIR (Multiple Precision Integers and Rationals) is the core library in Sage for bignum arithmetic. It is used extensively by a majority of the core C/C++ libraries in Sage, and by Sage directly via Cython. MPIR is a fork of the GMP (Gnu Multi-precision) library, with many independent implementations of the core algorithms (including a faster FFT and division code, better superoptimisation on some common 64 bit processors and native MSVC support). It consists of around 250,000 lines of code, much of which is assembly primitives and very low level, highly optimised C code.

Maintenance of MPIR is not merely a matter of updating the build system. Rather, every time a new processor is released by AMD, Intel, Sparc or ARM, significant development has to be invested in hand-optimising and then superoptimising assembly code for the new processors. This gives up to a 12x performance increase over optimised C code, due to the specialised nature of bignum arithmetic,

which is in some sense a worst case for C compilers. Indeed without continuous effort, MPIR would not even run on new operating systems and processors, let alone run fast. This is a unique problem that assembly libraries have.

As a successful and key component of Sage, we believe it is time to invest in maintenance and improvement of MPIR by hiring an assembly expert who can work full time on the project after MPIR's lead assembly expert sadly passed away recently. Significant challenges exist, such as optimising for SIMD instruction sets. Without investment into maintenance, assembly superoptimisation, processor support, fat binary support, etc. this key component of Sage will fall behind, to the detriment of Sage as a whole and the numerous other standalone libraries that make use of MPIR.

T6 HPC infrastructure for combinatorics

Several members of the projects are experts in combinatorics a field where Sage is clearly a world leader [30]. This particular research field has several specific features which makes it interesting from the HPC point of view.

The most important feature is that the goal of research is mostly to design and to understand properties of algorithms. As a consequence, much more often than in other field, the researcher needs to program. However, this is not his ultimate goal so the programming environment must be very expressive for fast prototyping.

At the same time, the problems often require relatively large computations; algorithms of exponential complexity are extremely common, and combinatorial explosion is the main obstacle to many experiments. Hence the programming environment must make no compromise on efficiency.

Finally, embarrassingly parallel problem are extremely common, and more often than not problems that are not embarrassingly parallel reduce to the exploration of a large tree. Hence the programming environment must minimize the extra work needed to get from a serial program to a parallel one in these simple situations.

Through this task we will provide a concrete, practical, and highly demanding use cases for the infrastructure developed in this work package. In particular, they will serve to evaluate the benefits of tasks ??, T7, WP3.T3, and WP3.T5. In particular, we will provide a mixed C/Python implementation that will be integrated within Sage and replace most of the Sage-combinat code.

In a second and more exploratory direction, some experiments [31] shows that the large tree exploration problem is very easily solved in C++ using the new Intel Cilk++ [32, 33] technology (See for example: <https://github.com/jfromentin/nsgtree> and <https://github.com/hivert/IVMPG>). We would like to explore the possibility to interface smoothly Pythran, Cython, and Cilk++.

T7 Pythran Cython is a extended-Python to C compiler that was originally developed for Sage and is now a thriving project of its own.

Pythran and Cython are similar in spirit but have complementary feature sets: Pythran can heavily optimize high level Numpy constructs and Cython has broader Python support. In this task, we will investigate the opportunity and feasibility of a convergence between Cython and Pythran.

- depending on the code at hand, one strategy or the other would be automatically selected.
- The optimized runtime of Pythran can be used through Cython.

An effort will be made to improve more and more the parallelism in the Pythran runtime pythran-cython.

This work will be achieved through a close collaboration between the Pythran developers hired for OpenDreamKit and Cython developers involved in the Sage project. It should quicken Sage execution time at least on Numpy centric codes, while not putting an extra burden on the developers. Preliminary discussions with the Cython community have already taken place and received a very favorable feedback.

Adding Pythran support in Sage will be done not only for Sage code but also for Sage users code thanks to compilation facilities in the notebook interface. pythran-sage

Internally, Sage uses Cython for compiling the critical sections of its libraries. In this task, we will explore opportunities to benefit from Pythran compilation within the Sage library to benefit Pythran compile time optimisation. A specific challenge is that the Sage library uses quite heavily object-oriented programming.

This task will strongly benefit from Task ??, while providing in return a real life large-scale use case

title=HPC
infrastructure
for combinatorics
id=hpc-combi
lead=PS
partners=PS,UB

title=Pythran
id=pythran
lead=UJF
partners=UJF,LL

title=Sun
Grid Engine
Integration
in Project
Jupyter Hub
lead=USH
id=hpc-
jupyter
partners=USH

for it.

A first step to support object-oriented programming will be to make *Pythran* type inference more accurate, which will also improve error feedback provided for the user *pythran*-typing.

T8 Sun Grid Engine Integration in Project Jupyter Hub The Sun Grid Engine is a commonly used High Performance Computing scheduler. It is used, for example, on the institutional HPC systems in both Sheffield and Manchester Universities as well as the regional N8 HPC facility, a system shared by the 8 most research intensive universities in the North of England. In this task, we will develop and demonstrate a Sun Grid Engine notebook spawner for Project Jupyter, allowing users to access Jupyter notebooks on the HPC cluster. This will enable the interactive analysis of output data products on the cluster where they were generated and are stored, via a user-friendly web interface SGE-jupyter.

M5.1: (Month 3; nature: DEM, dissem.: PU) Facility to compile *Pythran* compliant user kernels and sage code.

M5.2: (Month 6; nature: DEM, dissem.: PU) Parallelise the relation sieving component of the Quadratic Sieve

M5.3: (Month 3; nature: DEM, dissem.: PU) Turn the Python prototypes for tree exploration into production code, integrate to Sage.

M5.4: (Month 9; nature: DEM, dissem.: PU) Improve *Pythran* runtime support to automatically take advantage of multi-cores and SIMD instruction units and use it in *Cython*.

M5.5: (Month 18; nature: DEM, dissem.: PU) Implement a parallel version of Block-Wiederman linear algebra over $GF2$ and the triple large prime variant.

M5.6: (Month 12; nature: OTHER, dissem.: PU) Add Sun Grid Engine support to Project Jupyter Hub

M5.7: (Month 12; nature: DEM, dissem.: PU) Make *Pythran* typing better to improve error information.

M5.8: (Month 12; nature: DEM, dissem.: PU) Take advantage of multiple cores in the matrix Fourier Algorithm component of the FFT for integer and polynomial arithmetic, and include assembly primitives for SIMD processor instructions (AVX, Knight's Bridge, etc.), especially in the FFT butterflies

M5.9: (Month 12; nature: DEM, dissem.: PU) Parallelise the Singular sparse polynomial multiplication algorithms

M5.10: (Month 12; nature: DEM, dissem.: PU) Parallel versions of the Singular sparse polynomial division and GCD algorithms.

M5.11: (Month 12; nature: DEM, dissem.: PU) Extend the existing assembly superoptimiser for AVX and upcoming Intel processor extensions for the *MPiR* library.

M5.12: (Month 12; nature: DEM, dissem.: PU) Provide FAT binary support for all new x86_64 processors, build system maintenance and improvements to tuning, profiling and testing subsystems for the *MPiR* library.

M5.13: (Month 12; nature: DEM, dissem.: PU) Explore the possibility to interface smoothly *Pythran*, *Cython* and *Cilk++*.

M5.14: (Month 12; nature: R, dissem.: PU) Library design and domain specific language exposing *LinBox* parallel features to Sage

M5.15: (Month 18; nature: R, dissem.: PU) Report on development of designs for the GAP developments – parallel library, interacts to standard infrastructure and *Cython*-like extensions

M5.16: (Month 24; nature: DEM, dissem.: PU) Ongoing support of Intel, AMD, ARM, Sparc processors and new Operating System versions

M5.17: (Month 24; nature: DEM, dissem.: PU) Refactor the existing combinatorics Sage code using the new developed *Pythran* and *Cython* features.

M5.18: (Month 24; nature: DEM, dissem.: PU) Exact linear algebra algorithms and implementations. Library maintenance and close integration in mathematical software for *LinBox* library

M5.19: (Month 48; nature: DEM, dissem.: PU) Implementations of exact linear algebra algorithms on distributed memory et heterogenous architectures: clusters and accelerators. Solving large linear systems over the rationals is the target application.

M5.20: (Month 47; nature: OTHER, dissem.: PU) Implementations of the GAP developments, ready for release

M5.21: (Month 48; nature: R, dissem.: PU) *final report and evaluation of the GAP developments*

♠MK (Work Package Lead) [WRITE HERE: For WP leaders, please check the following (remove items once completed)]♠

- [X] have all the tasks in this Work Package a lead institution?
- [X] have all deliverables in the WP a lead institution?
- [] do all tasks list all sites involved in them?
- [] does the table of sites and their PM efforts match lists of sites for each task?
(each site from the table is listed in all relevant tasks, and no site is listed only in the table or only at some task)

id=dksbases
lead=JU

Work Package 6: Data/Knowledge/Software-Bases

♠POD [WRITE HERE: improve consistency of the short description w.r.t. the Databases work package (in particular provenance w.r.t. traceability)]♠

Objectives

The objectives of this work package are: to design and implement interfaces that can be used for a wide range of mathematical data and to standardise metadata allowing for interoperability, searching, documentation, traceability, versioning and visualisation.

Description

♠POD [WRITE HERE: Still too much flux in the introduction to properly write the database work package]♠ Many mathematical databases now exist, but their internal structure does not reveal this richness. This weakness prevents the formulation of new conjectures, the testing of new hypotheses, and generally an exploratory approach to mathematical data. The past has shown that such an approach can be fruitful:

- both the Riemann Hypothesis and the Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer conjectures resulted from exploratory L -function computations, and now stand among the seven Clay Millenium Problems;
- the Monstrous Moonshine conjecture finds its origin in a numerical coincidence between dimensions of representations of the Monster group and coefficients of the j -function, and its conclusion eventually led to Borchers' Fields medal.

Therefore to facilitate future advances, we need ways to represent DKS in the same systems, and – since current computational/experimental mathematics involve extensive DKS – we need a new kind of "database", which we will call Mathematical Data/Knowledge/Software-bases.

This complexity is on vivid display in the *L-functions and Modular Forms database* project (LMFDB): while the general shape of the functional equation of an L -function is dependent on a lot of theoretical knowledge, it also requires parameter data and the coefficients of the associated Dirichlet series. Once this is obtained, highly optimised (and heavily parallelizable) algorithms can be run to compute values of this function.

We propose in this work package to design and build an infrastructure that would make it easy for either individual mathematicians or a distributed collaboration to manage and use such interlinked mathematical data. This work would provide part of the backend to WP4, and would draw on previous work with the LMFDB and FindStat (which will be treated as prototypes for our purposes, to serve as exemplars to other projects) and in return will substantially enhance their capabilities. Prerequisites should be kept to a minimum (depending on contributors' and users' needs and goals), and in particular would not require any background in databases to contribute new data or perform queries.

- Polytopes in Polymake
- graphs, graph properties
- Finite groups (Max)
- Lattices

title=Survey
of existing
databases
id=data-
assessment
lead=ZH

partners=JU,SA,UW,US

- T1 Survey of existing databases** All the systems considered in this proposal (GAP, Sage, PARI, Singular) include data as part of their regular distribution. In this task, we will survey existing databases, the technology used to implement them, how they were linked to the rest of the existing infrastructure and the functionalities offered. We will also select additional external data and projects to add to this effort, aiming to maximise the impact of our work.
- T2 Formulation of requirements and design of new infrastructure when appropriate** ♠MK [WRITE HERE: Rewrite database requirements design task – done second pass, Michael have a look?] ♠
 Ontologies are the canonical method used to implement databases that require significant data interchange. However, because of the extreme reification present in mathematics (relations between objects themselves become objects of study), there are specific obstacles compared to the usual semantic web model of publishing.
 Drawing on semantic web/Linked Open Data experience of the Logilab group, specialised to mathematics through the OMDoc/MMT work of the Bremen group, we will design a decentralised infrastructure for OpenDreamKit. This infrastructure would allow modular collaborations, through decentralised hosting of data without the need to merge everything centrally.
 We will organise a workshop associated to this task.
- T3 Triform Theories in OMDoc/MMT** OMDoc/MMT is a representation language for mathematical knowledge and documents. Carette and Farmer have developed the notion of biform theories (K/S) in a uniform representational approach; our work here would extend this along the data axis, which will require a specialised but integrated treatment.
- T4 Computational Foundation for Python/Sage (or some CAS)**
 In the OMDoc/MMT world a foundation is a logical base language that gives the formal meaning to all objects represented/formalized in it. We have created a very initial computational foundation for Scala and implemented it in the MMT API. This can be used to execute (or verify) computations directly in OMDoc/MMT and thus forms the basis for various integration tasks for OMDoc/MMT biform theories that integrate Scala computations. Here we propose to develop a somewhat more complete computational foundation for Python and/or parts of Sage (coverage to be determined). Bi/Triform theories come in three parts:
- syntax: what operators/types are there, how do they nest,
 - computation: what does the computation relation look like (sometimes called operational semantics). The declarative semantics of a computational foundation can be given as an OMDoc/MMT theory morphism into another foundation (e.g. a set theory);
 - ♠MK [WRITE HERE: there are supposed to be three parts, but i can't think of the third – POD] ♠
- T5 OEIS Case Study (Coverage and automated import)** In this case study we test the practical coverage of the trifunctional modules, by transforming an existing, high-profile database (the Online Sequence of Integer Sequences [http://www.oeis.org]) into OMDoc/MMT. The OEIS has about 250 thousand sequences, with formulae, descriptions, definitions, references, software, etc. in a structured text file (but no standardized format for formulae and references), so we expect to get 250 k theories. Having the OEIS in OMDoc/MMT form allows to do Knowledge Management services (presentation, definition lookup, formula search, ...) in MathHub (see WP4). The OEIS is a good case study, since the data is licensed under a Creative Commons license which allows derived works. The large size will allow statistically significant semantic cross-validation of the heuristic transformation process and thus achieve a significant community resource.
- T6 FindStat Case Study (triformal theories)** In this task we would develop triformal theories for the FindStat project to test the design from T4. Similarly to the previous task, in this case study, we first develop a thorough OMDoc/MMT model, which should only involve a handful of MMT theories (combinatorial collections, maps, statistics,...), each with a few hundred realisations. Together with WP4, this will again allow for easier knowledge management services, and in particular improved search services.
 This Task will be co-developed with T4, it will validate the design of triformal theories and be iterated to test the design changes.
- T7 LMFDB Case study (triformal theories)** In this task we would develop triformal theories for an exemplary part of the LMFDB project to test the design from T4. We will identify a fragment of the LMFDB that we want to model and design the model. Then we will perform cross-validation of the three

id=data-
design
lead=JU
partners=ZH,US,S
title=Formulation
of require-
ments and
design of
new infras-
tructure when
appropriate
title=Triform
Theories in
OMDoc/MMT
id=data-
triform
lead=JU
partners=ZH
title=Computational
Founda-
tion for
Python/Sage
(or some
CAS)
id=data-
foundationCAS
lead=JU
partners=ZH,SA
title=OEIS
Case Study
(Coverage
and au-
tomated
import)
id=data-
OEIS
lead=JU
title=FindStat
Case Study
(triformal
theories)
id=data-
findstat
lead=JU
partners=ZH
title=LMFDB
Case study
(triformal
theories)
id=data-
LMFDB
lead=JU
partners=ZH,UW

title=Memoization
and produc-
tion of new
data
id=data-
memo
lead=SA
partners=US,PS,UW

model parts against each other (essentially model-based testing of software and inference). Finally, we will pick an algorithm from the LFMDB and verify it against its specification and the computational foundation developed in [T4](#).

- T8 Memoization and production of new data** Many CAS users run large and intensive computations, for which they want to collect the results while simultaneously working on software improvements. GAP retains computed attribute values of objects within a session; Sage currently has a limited `cached_method`. Neither offers storage is not persistent across sessions or supports publication of the result or sharing within a collaboration. We will use, extend and contribute back to, an appropriate established persistent memoization infrastructure, such as `python-joblib`, `redis-simple-cache` or `dogpile.cache`, adding features needed for storage and use of results in mathematical research. We will design something that is simple to deploy and configure, and makes it easy to share results in a controlled manner, but provides enough assurance to enable the user to rely on the data, give proper credit to the original computation and rerun the computation if they wish to.
- M6.1: (Month 9; nature: R, dissem.: PU) Workshop Report**
- M6.2: (Month 9; nature: OTHER, dissem.: PU) Heuristic Parser for the OEIS**
- M6.3: (Month 12; nature: R, dissem.: PU) Design of Triform (DKS) Theories (Specification/RNC Schema/Examples)**
- M6.4: (Month 12; nature: DEC, dissem.: PU) Python/Sage Syntax Foundation Module in OMDoc/MMT**
- M6.5: (Month 12; nature: DEC, dissem.: PU) Conversion of existing and new databases to unified interoperable system**
- M6.6: (Month 12; nature: R, dissem.: PU) LMFDB deep modelling: Fragment Identification & Initial Model Design**
- M6.7: (Month 18; nature: R, dissem.: PU) LMFDB Data vs. Knowledge vs. Software Validation**
- M6.8: (Month 18; nature: R, dissem.: PU) Cross-Validation for OEIS DKS-Theories**
- M6.9: (Month 24; nature: OTHER, dissem.: PU) Shared persistent memoization library for Python/Sage**
- M6.10: (Month 24; nature: OTHER, dissem.: PU) Implementation of Triform Theories in the MMT API**
- M6.11: (Month 24; nature: OTHER, dissem.: PU) Python/Sage Computational Foundation Module in OMDoc/MMT**
- M6.12: (Month 36; nature: OTHER, dissem.: PU) Python/Sage Declarative Semantics in OMDoc/MMT**
- M6.13: (Month 36; nature: OTHER, dissem.: PU) LMFDB algorithm verification with respect to a Triformal theory**
- M6.14: (Month 48; nature: R, dissem.: PU) LMFDB integration of algorithms, data and presentation**

♠DP (Work Package Lead) [WRITE HERE: For WP leaders, please check the following (remove items once completed)]♠

- [] have all the tasks in this Work Package a lead institution?
- [] have all deliverables in the WP a lead institution?
- [] do all tasks list all sites involved in them?
- [] does the table of sites and their PM efforts match lists of sites for each task?
(each site from the table is listed in all relevant tasks, and no site is listed only in the table or only at some task)

id=social-
aspects
lead=UO

Work Package 7: Social Aspects

Objectives

The processes by which mathematical knowledge and mathematical software are developed, validated and applied are quite distinctive. In other sciences, the universe provides “ground truth” and the scientific texts or theories can be validated against that by experiment. In mathematics the text is the ground truth. The traditional model of mathematical research is a mathematician, or a small group of mathematicians, standing around a blackboard, producing a proof they would “clean up”: removing all traces of the process by which it had been discovered and then submit the “clean” text to their peers for review.

Mathematicians have adopted new technology in a range of ways: email and shared documents are used to collaborate on problem-solving and writing; larger “crowdsourcing” [25, 27], arrangements pull together diverse experts; symbolic computation tackles huge routine calculations; and computers check proofs that are just too long and complicated for any human to comprehend. This technology is both revealing (since email messages, version control systems and bulletin boards can be analyzed) and altering the ways in which mathematicians collaborate.

In an EPSRC funded project “The Social Machine of Mathematics” Martin and others are bringing rigorous methods from the social sciences together to study these processes. This brings up the following objectives:

- to bring the insights of this and similar projects into the design of OpenDreamKit VRE, ensuring that it supports the ways in which mathematicians really work, and not just the way software developers or indeed mathematicians, think they do;
- to extend this work to study the collaborative processes of free open source (mathematical) software development to produce guidelines for best practice and ideas for how existing processes can extend to a “system of systems”.

Description

“Crowdsourcing” – fine grained collaborative development of ideas, proofs or software is a common theme to both objectives. The purpose of a VRE is to allow effective crowd-sourcing of computationally supported mathematical theorems, while free software development is inherently a collaborative process, and we wish to study the best ways of allowing it to scale.

In a sense mathematics has been a crowdsourced endeavour since at least the foundation of the Royal Society in the seventeenth century. The first scientific journals were published collections of letters received, posing questions and observations and offering solutions. Although limited to the speed of physical post, this model had much in common with the public email lists that underpinned collaborative software development in the 1990s.

In recent years, the internet and critical tools such as distributed version control have supported much more widespread and finer-grained crowdsourcing in software development, and even more recently in mathematics in online mathematics communities, such as Math-overflow [18] and in Polymath Projects [25, 27]. Effectively supporting and encouraging “Mutual crowdsourcing” is the main driving force in developing and maintaining of any large-scale open-source virtual research environment.

In this workpackage we will take on board and continue the study of crowdsourcing from the ongoing project in Oxford, and broaden it to study software development more deeply.

title=Social
Science Input
to Design
id=social-
input
lead=UO
partners=UO,PS

T1 Social Science Input to Design The purpose of this task is to ensure that the design of OpenDreamKit VRE reflects the lessons learned by social scientists studying the ways in which mathematicians actually collaborate and work. Since UO and Martin in particular are already central in the community working in this area, we are well placed to ensure that this happens.

As soon as the project begins, team members at UO will combine their own work with a review of the public literature, and identify and meet with key research groups in this area, to distill relevant current knowledge for use in the design phases of other parts of the project. They will present the lesson learned at project meetings and workshops and deliver it as a report social-report in month 3.

After that, they will monitor the further development of this area and ensure that any new insights are communicated promptly to the rest of the project. This will be synthesised for archival purposes into two further reports social-report-two and social-report-three in months 24 and 42.

title=Implications
of VREs for
Publication
id=social-
output
lead=USH
partners=UO

T2 Implications of VREs for Publication

A key aspect of the OpenDreamKit VRE is support for the full life-cycle of mathematical research, up to, and after publication of results. While it is necessary to support established models of publication which are central to mathematical practice and academic life, it is also appropriate to explore whether new models for the distribution of mathematical results might be enabled by VRE technologies and more effective for new forms of mathematical results.

The current model for distribution of scientific output stems from an era when the printing press was dominant. The process has become formalized through peer review and publication of journals. The PDF format widely used for distribution of documents reflects the *status quo*, that a scientific paper is written as if for printing and remains an unchanging document. In scientific blogging we are seeing that more rapid propagation of ideas can occur when the constraints of the printed format are relaxed, however, these routes lack the formalization that ensures (usually) fair attribution of ideas and commentary.

We will prototype and evaluate tools and ideas for distribution of scientific knowledge that don't rely on a static format and allow for the full spectrum of scientific debate. The tools will encourage proper credit attribution through encouraging sharing of attribution for ideas, software and data. This will integrate with work in WorkPackage ?? concerning attribution and citability for mathematical databases. Current ideas for tools we might prototype include live posters for distribution of knowledge, designed for integration with either large touch screens or smaller tablets social-poster and augmentations to the Jupyter project with facilities for providing comment on notebooks to encourage debate on mathematical and computational ideas jupyter-comment.

title=Survey
on software
development
id=datacollection

T3 Survey on software development We will survey the data needed to assess development models of large-scale academic open-source projects, such that the probable correlation between the size of the atomic contribution vs. the speed of the contribution making it into the code, and collect appropriate statistical data. The latter will require non-trivial amount of programming work, even only for the test system, Sage.

title=Mechanism
Design for
free software
development
id=decisionmaking

T4 Mechanism Design for free software development

While crowdsourced open source software development has become an incredibly powerful force in recent years, it still has limitations. Open source projects tend to be fragile, in the community sense, and suffer from disagreements that ultimately result in "forks" and the resulting repetition of effort. We will analyse this in a setup of cooperative game theory, and try to design finely tuned systems of incentives and rewards for contribution, to increase the stability of the community and its useful output.

We will focus on three areas: prioritisation of bugfixes and feature requests to achieve reliable and useful systems; effective cooperation between multiple collaborative projects and making decisions about the strategic direction of the system.

We will use prioritisation as a testbed to identify the appropriate incentives for all participants which encourage sustained development of the most important parts of the system. To this end, we will use ideas from the burgeoning field of mechanism design [19] and in particular on recent research on crowdsourcing in algorithmic mechanism design [26]. While doing so, we will apply outcomes to

a case study system — Sage. We will apply preference and opinion aggregation techniques [4] to develop a community prioritisation scheme for Sage bugs and features requests, which are presently being maintained on the Sage TRAC server [3] and implement them as a TRAC [28] add-on social-tracaddon. Trusting results of computer computations is crucial for usability; channels for communicating bug reports and fixes need to be carefully analysed from social point of view. Commercial closed source computer algebra and other computational systems often fail to react to bug reports in a timely manner, and seemingly are falling into the short-sighted trap of hiding bugs from potential and current users [7], Open source systems are only marginally better in this way, as recent computer security scares, such as the one around Bash [5], indicate. A game-theoretic analysis of this situation will be attempted.

A key strength of free and open-source software models is the ability to build upon pre-existing software. GAP, PARI/GP, Singular and especially Sage have made heavy use of this. Problems arise over time, however, as the priorities of the developers of the systems diverge. Bugs reported by so-called “downstream” systems may not be given the same priority, or even believed, as bugs reported by direct users of the “upstream” system, for instance, or incompatible changes made that are acceptable to the direct user community, but not to a dependent system. We will explore how sociological insights can be used to reduce these problems.

Problems with decision making arise because development of open-source academic software is task-driven, where tasks (also known as tickets) are posted on a website, and their priorities are set in an ad hoc manner. This latter might be good enough for simple bug fixing, for more elaborate task this often leads to delays etc. We would like to investigate an voting-driven approach, where the priorities are being voted on by the developer community, and possibly the people who completed tasks are incentivised in some form (e.g. by reputation scores, as on MathOverflow).

- T5 Evaluation of Micromagnetic VRE** We will use the micromagnetic VRE demonstrator (WP4.T14), its dissemination workshops (WP2.T7) and interactions with its users and contributors in the micromagnetic community to evaluate, reflect and report on the project, taking into account technical and social aspects.

A survey will be developed and used to gather user input and feedback on usefulness of the provided capabilities, with particular focus on the capabilities of the micromagnetic VRE to (i) enable new and better science, to (ii) allow to make progress effectively, to (iii) carry out computational science reproducibly, to (iv) collaboratively enable trust and to (v) become a self-sustained project from community contributions. Amongst other channels, we will target attendees of the micromagnetic VRE dissemination workshops (WP2.T7) to gather data.

All results and insights will be summarised in a public document (oommf-nb-evaluation) and reported at appropriate workshops and conferences to share the lessons learned from this Jupyter-based VRE for micromagnetics. We will create a manuscript for journal publication, summarising the demonstrator project and this evaluation. An important point of this publication is to provide a reference that can be cited by publications making use of the new micromagnetic VRE, to allow tracking of uptake and development of this VRE beyond the life time of this H2020 project.

M7.1: (Month 12; nature: R, dissem.: PU) *Report on relevant research in sociology of mathematics and lessons for design of OpenDreamKit VRE, part I*

M7.2: (Month 24; nature: R, dissem.: PU) *Report on relevant research in sociology of mathematics and lessons for design of OpenDreamKit VRE, part II*

M7.3: (Month 42; nature: R, dissem.: PU) *Report on relevant research in sociology of mathematics and lessons for design of OpenDreamKit VRE, part III*

M7.4: (Month 36; nature: DEM, dissem.: PU) *Demonstrator: Jupyter Notebook Live Poster*

M7.5: (Month 24; nature: DEM, dissem.: PU) *Demonstrator: Mechanism for comment on posted Jupyter notebooks.*

M7.6: (Month 42; nature: R, dissem.: PU) *Report on new publication mechanisms, including evaluation of demonstrator projects* ♠ Some deliverables from the mechanism design stuff [WRITE HERE:]♠

M7.7: (Month 24; nature: OTHER, dissem.: PU) *TRAC add-on to manage ticket prioritisation*

M7.8: (Month 48; nature: R, dissem.: PU) *Micromagnetic VRE environment evaluation report*

title=Evaluation
of Micromag-
netic VRE
lead=USO
id=oommf-
nb-evaluation
partners=UO,PS

♠ **TO DO:** *Milestones need to be discussed and then described here.* ♠

♠**TO DO:** *Check this for any necessary changes.*♠

B.0.3.3 Management Structure and Procedures

B.0.3.3.1 Management

The project will be coordinated by the University Paris-Sud (UPSud), represented by Prof. Nicolas Thiery (Project Coordinator), who has experience in successfully managing several research projects on the main OpenDreamKit topics. Pioneer in community-developed open source software for research in this field, Thiery founded in 2000 the Sage-Combinat software project involving 50 researchers in Europe and abroad. This project has grown under his leadership to be one of the largest organized communities of Sage developers.

The Project Coordinator will be assisted by a part-time (50%) Project Manager, that will be hired for this project and located in the European Affairs and Technology Transfer Office (SAIC) of the UPSud. Additional feedback and expertise will be brought by Financial, Legal and European affairs officers from SAIC.

B.0.3.3.2 Organizational structure and decision-making

♠Eugenia **[WRITE HERE: Add organisational structure figure]**♠

The organizational structure, shown in the Figure XXXXXXXX, has been designed to enable efficient coordination of the OpenDreamKit project — a VRE integrating several previously separated tools and software and involving both academic actors and industrial stakeholders.

We have designed the management structure and procedures to deal in a flexible manner with the following challenges:

- to integrate all consortium members and to mobilize their expertise, knowledge and networks at every stage of the project;
- to give the maximum attention to the end-users needs and requirements;
- to continuously involve expertise and knowledge of relevant stakeholders and their networks, and
- to efficiently coordinate the project implementation in a collaborative environment and ensure its sustainability.

The coordinator is acting as an intermediary between the Partners and the European Commission. The coordinator will oversee the project planning, monitor that the execution is carried out in time and that the objectives are achieved and closely interact with the project officer for the project monitoring and delivering the performance indicators. The Project Manager will ensure an efficient day-to-day management of the project, reporting, feedback to partners on administrative, financial and legal issues, follow-up of the resources allocation and consumption, communication inside and outside the consortium.

The resources of all partners will be mobilized by decentralisation of responsibilities through the assignment of leadership for work packages. A clear task sharing obtains efficient decision making mechanisms and a sound financial management will safeguard the achievement of the project's objectives.

B.0.3.3.3 Project roles

The following bodies will form the organizational structure of the OpenDreamKit project : Coordination Team (MT), Steering Committee (SC), Advisory Board (AB), End User Group (EUG) and Quality Review board (QRB).

Coordination Team (CT)

Members: The CT is composed of the Work Package leaders and headed by the Project Coordinator, assisted by the Project Manager.

Responsibilities: CT is an executive body in charge of the project implementation and monitoring. It takes operational decisions necessary for the smooth execution of the project.

Tasks:

1. Monitoring the timely execution of the tasks and achievement of the objectives;
2. Preparing of scientific and financial progress reports;
3. Controlling the WP progress by assessing it through technical reports developed by the WP partners;
4. Making proposals to the Steering Committee of re-allocation of tasks, resources and financial needs for the fulfilment of the work plan;
5. Preparing the drafts and validating the project deliverables to be submitted to the Commission; Meetings : Project Coordinator and Project Manager can meet any time and at least twice a week. They will meet Work-Package leaders every 6 months. If necessary, extra-meetings will be arranged.

Steering Committee (SC)

Members: The SC is chaired by the Project Coordinator and includes one representative from each partner organization.

Responsibilities: The SC is the decision-making body in charge of its strategic orientation. It takes decisions on scientific orientations of the project, re-allocation of resources, consortium changes, intellectual property rights

Meetings: Every 6 months. If necessary, extra-meetings will be arranged. Written minutes of each meeting will be produced, which shall be the formal record of all decisions taken. A procedure for comment and acceptance is proposed.

Voting procedure: The SC shall not deliberate until all Members are present or represented. Each Member shall have one vote. The SC will work on consensual decisions as much as possible and resort to voting only if unavoidable. Voting decisions shall be taken by a majority of two-thirds (2/3) of votes.

Advisory board (AB):

Members: top level experts from partner organizations and external, including both experts from the project scientific area, and experts on legal and social matters.

Responsibilities: to give an independent opinion on scientific and innovation matters, in order to guaranty quality implementation of the project, efficient innovation management and project sustainability. Meetings : on the demand of the Steering Committee.

Quality Review Board (QRB)

Members: The QRB will be composed of 2 senior researchers from the Consortium, 2 representatives of the End User Group and 2 experts from the Advisory Board. It will be chaired by one of the professors within the Consortium. All members will be appointed at kick-off meeting of the project.

Responsibilities: to monitor the quality of the Deliverables, the whole 'production process' and to recommend improvements during the project to the SC.

Meetings: before publications and reports of the project.

End User Group (EUG)

Members: end-users of the VRE, internal and external to the consortium, from different disciplines and both from academic and industrial sector. They are actively involved into the project execution, and work in close interaction with the project coordinator.

Responsibilities: the EUG is the main actor of the innovation management within the consortium, as they have a deep understanding of both market and technical problems, and awareness of opportunities. The EUG also plays a main role in ensuring the VRE sustainability.

Tasks: to control the project execution from the point of view of the end user needs and requirements, to test the tool and to detect its potential shortcomings at the early stages, to propose adaptation measures. Meetings : the EUG will have regular virtual meetings, and will meet physically at least once a year.

B.0.3.3.4 Project management tools and procedures

Project partners and management bodies will communicate through especially dedicated project web platform, maintained by the Project Manager. WP leaders will at least monthly monitor progress of participants of their WP, and participants will inform their WP leaders when problems are encountered. Major problems will be discussed in (teleconference) meetings with the project Coordinator and Project Manager. Each WP leader will be free to organize extra-meetings with WP partners, if necessary. Scientific and financial progress reports will be collected, assembled and transmitted to the Project coordinator by the WP leaders through the web platform. On basis of the Progress Reports, the Coordination Team will monitor progress of the project, identify bottlenecks and find solutions for these problems. Where needed, adaptations to the project plan will be made, with the aim to ensure the delivery of the project results as agreed with the EC. Major adaptations need to be approved by the Steering Committee. If necessary, the SC can submit reports to the QRB for opinion.

Finally, the End Users Group, working in close cooperation with the project coordinator, will ensure the efficient innovation management. They will carefully monitor the new opportunities, in order to give, if necessary, new orientations to the project. For legal aspects, they will have a feedback from legal officers from the Coordinator's European Affairs and Technology Transfer office (SAIC), specialized in Intellectual Property.

Our management structure and procedures will ensure that our network of 16 partners from both academic and industrial sectors is focused at achieving the promised deliverables, efficiently managing the innovation process and largely opening the VRE to its final users. The 15 EU-partners will sign a Consortium Agreement, in which operational rules and decision making procedures will be laid down. The international partner will work with a bi-lateral agreement with the Coordinator.

B.0.3.3.5 Risk management

The risk in the project execution as planned is carefully assessed and managed. We base our plans on long standing experience, and we bring together the world's experts in the relevant tools and techniques.

A key feature of this project is the involvement of a wide set of partners from multiple domains. While this ensures complementary coverage of a wide set of skills and provides robustness in different ways, we will have to ensure that all partners work as closely knit team.

Our open source approach means that all our code and outputs are open and visible to anybody at sites like github and bitbucket throughout the project. In particular, it is common for users of computational software to use the most leading edge versions, thus beta-testing code in-between major releases. This results in risk reduction: where our design decision or technical approaches are controversial, this will be detected early by those users, giving the consortium useful feedback to consider.

The project coordinator will, with support from the Coordination Team and Quality Review Board, create a Risk Management Plan [M1.3](#) as part of the Management Work Package, which will be reviewed annually.

Risk	Level with/without mitigation	Mitigation measures
Recruitment of highly qualified staff	High/Medium	Great care was taken identifying pool of candidates to hire from, and coordinating with currently running projects to rehire personnel with strong track record. Typically, we will rehire European postdocs that are currently funded by the Sloan grant to work on Jupyter in California and wish to come back to Europe.
<p>♠NT [WRITE HERE: Not sure about this line (HF) – remove?]</p> <p>Harming the future academic career of young researchers by overwhelming them with technical work</p>	High/Low	Great care will be taken in distinguishing PhD and postdocs that wish to pursue an academic carrier and full time developers, and assigning to the former tasks with a strong research aspect that will lead to publications (typically in computer science).
Different groups not forming effective team	Medium/Low	Long track record of working collaboratively on code across multiple sites; Aggressive planning of project meetings, work-shops and one-to-one partner visits to facilitate most effective teamwork, combining face-to-face time at one site with remote collaboration.
Implementing infrastructure that does not match the needs of end users	High/Low	Most of the members of the consortium are themselves end-users with a diverse range of needs and points of views; hence the design of the proposal and the governance of the project is naturally steered by demand; besides, because we provide a toolkit, users have the flexibility to adapt the infrastructure to their needs.
Lack of predictability for tasks that are pursued jointly with the community	Medium/Low	The PI's have a strong experience managing community-developed projects where the execution of tasks depends on the availability of partners. Some tasks may end up requiring more manpower from OpenDreamKit to be completed on time, while others may be entirely taken care of by the community. Reallocating tasks and redefining work plans is common practice; this anyway happens to cater for a fast evolving context. Such random factors will be averaged out over the large number of independent tasks.

♠NT/Eugenia [WRITE HERE: Impredictability]

B.0.3.4 Consortium as a Whole

♠EC Commentary:

- *Describe the consortium. How will it match the project's objectives? How do the members complement one another (and cover the value chain, where appropriate)? In what way does each of them contribute to the project? How will they be able to work effectively together?*
- *If applicable, describe the industrial/commercial involvement in the project to ensure exploitation of the results and explain why this is consistent with and will help to achieve the specific measures which are proposed for exploitation of the results of the project (see section 2.3).*
- *Other countries: If one or more of the participants requesting EU funding is based in a country that is not automatically eligible for such funding (entities from Member States of the EU, from Associated Countries and from one of the countries in the exhaustive list included in General Annex A of the work programme are automatically eligible for EU funding), explain why the participation of the entity in question is essential to carrying out the project*



♠TO DO: Convert site names to standard abbreviations♠

The consortium brings together:

1. Lead or core developers of a cross-section of the major open source computational components for pure mathematics and applications: GAP (St. Andrews, Oxford), LinBox (Grenoble), MPIR (Kaiserslautern), PARI (CNRS Aquitaine, Versailles), Sage (Orsay, Versailles, CNRS Aquitaine, Oxford, Warwick, Zürich), Singular (Kaiserslautern).
2. Lead developers of a major online mathematical database: LMFDB (Warwick, Zürich).
3. Experts in mathematical knowledge management (Bremen).
4. The lead developers of the closest thing currently existing to a Virtual Research Environment for mathematics: SageMathCloud (Seattle). Because of the key role of Sage in several aspects of the project, and the relevance of SageMathCloud as a forerunner of the types of systems we want to build, the participation of this group is essential, even though they are not eligible for Horizon 2020 funding. They have agreed to provide the benefit of their experience on an unfunded basis, since they wish to remain closely involved in all developments in mathematical VREs.
5. Experts and major “promoters” of the Jupyter collaborative user interfaces for interactive and exploratory computing in a variety of scientific domains (Southampton, Simula, Sheffield, Silesia).
6. Lead developers of the Pythran system for automatic conversion of Python to C++ and experts in numerical code optimization/parallelization ([Logilab](#), Grenoble)
7. A company specialized in open-source based Database and Scientific Computing for industry (Logilab); it develops in particular its own virtual environment Simulagora.
8. Leading researchers in the sociology of mathematical research and collaboration. In particular the coordinating partner of the “Social Machine of Mathematics” project which has been studying how mathematicians collaborate.

There are many existing points of contact between these groups and communities, although many of them are also new to one another. This, together with the fact that each community is internally collaborative and part of the broader free software community gives us confidence in their ability to work together.

♠ALL [WRITE HERE: Long track record of collaborations between many of the sites. Some of the language below can be used.

Writing interfaces between computer algebra systems from different areas and collaborative software development are important themes within the DFG Priority Project SPP1489. As in the SAGE community, networking measures include the regular exchange of developers and the regular organization of software

workshops (coding sprints) which bring whole teams together for solution finding and intense code writing. Particular tight collaborations exist between the GAP and the SINGULAR communities, with major GAP-SINGULAR developers meetings taking alternately place at St Andrews, Kaiserslautern, and Aachen. See <http://www.computeralgebra.de/>.]♠

The exact role of each partner in each work package is defined elsewhere, but in general terms:

- Groups 1, 2, and 3 will collaborate to design the OpenDreamKit VRE architecture – the set of interfaces and standards that allow components to be assembled into bespoke VREs for particular projects or areas. This architecture will be informed by the experience of 7 and 4; by the sociological understanding of 8 and from a **diverse range of real world use cases from all areas of scientific computing, in academia and industry** drawn from their own user bases and contributed by 7 and 4. They will be supported in this work by 4, 5 and 6 which bring respectively expertise in the key technologies SageMathCloud and Jupyter and the cython technology (Seattle).
- All the participants will make use of the OpenDreamKit VRE, providing feedback to the developers and contributing later to the development of demonstrator projects (Objective 8). They will also all participate actively in dissemination (Objective 9) activities.
- Group 5 will host and mentor core Jupyter developers to improve this key technology (Objective 2), while 1, 2, and 3 will update their mathematical software components (Objective 4) to comply with the newly developed interfaces. 6 will be a key asset for this work, providing expertise in massive parallelism and HPC, bringing in and further developing the specific Pythran optimization technology and providing expertise for development of related technologies in other components. ♠ALL [WRITE HERE: Experience in developing sustainable large open source software]♠
- Group 7 will further bring in expertise in semantic databases, distribution of large software, and open source based business models.
- Groups 1, 4, and 5 already have strong experience in community building and engagement (Objective 3) for instance through the very active user and developer communities around GAP and Sage. These communities and the dissemination to them of the availability new free software constitute the primary exploitation route for this project. ♠ALL [WRITE HERE: Experience in community building, engagement, dissemination]♠

B.0.3.5 Resources to be Committed

♠NT [WRITE HERE: Rework this section]♠

B.0.3.5.1 Travel, dissemination and outreach

This community building nature of this grant proposal requires a large number of staff exchanges, work shops with project partners and workshops engaging the wider community in addition to the usual management and project review meetings. For dissemination, we need to target the computer science and focussed community and their conferences as well as the domains benefitting from OpenDreamKit, such as Mathematics and Science.

B.0.3.5.2 Travel, dissemination

We use the following guidelines for expected travel expenses: €2200 for attendance of a typical one week international conference outside Europe (including travel, subsistence, accommodation and registration), €1200 for a corresponding conference in Europe, €750 for a one-week visit to project partners, for example for coding sprints and one-to-one visits for particular research. We expect a similar cost per week when hosting visitors. For the 6-monthly project meetings, we expect on average a cost of €400 for travel, accommodation and subsistence.

For a partner site with one investigator and one full time researcher, we expect that that both will attend all of the 9 project meetings that take place every 6 months (cost of $9 * 2 * 400 = €7200$), and that the site spends €2000 per year to host external visitors contributing to the project (total €8000). We expect the investigator and research together to do 4 one-week visits to other sites (each at €750, totals €12000).

For dissemination, we expect the researcher to attend on average 1 international conference and 2 European meetings per year (totals €18400) and the investigator to attend one international and one European gathering (totals €13600).

Where there are multiple investigators per site, they will share the travel and associated costs outlined above. Where there are multiple researchers, or researchers not employed for the full 48 months, the travel budget is reduced accordingly.

B.0.3.5.3 Outreach costs

We also request €1000 per year per partner to pay for open access publication charges.

We request funds for outreach activities such as workshops that facilitate community building, disseminate best practice and encourages sustained contributions of the community to the project and beyond the lifetime of the funding. For a one-week workshops reaching out to the community, we cost these at about 400 EUR per participant to provide accommodation and catering. A workshop for 15 people will thus cost about €6000. Participants donate their time will fund their own travel. The particular budgeted cost will depend on the local availability of accommodation and will thus vary from workshop to workshop. We use creative means to increase value and improve community building where possible, for example by cooking food ourselves as done in for this recent workshop <http://wiki.sagemath.org/days57>.

Details are given in the tables below and in the work packages.

♠All [WRITE HERE: Give details in work packages on what workshops are planned]♠

♠All [WRITE HERE: List workshop expenses and travel expenses in tables below]♠.

♠EC Commentary: Will get help from Orsay's grant services♠

♠EC Commentary: Please provide the following:

- a table showing number of person/months required (table 3.4a)
- a table showing 'other direct costs' (table 3.4b) for participants where those costs exceed 15% of the personnel costs (according to the budget table in section 3 of the administrative proposal forms)



Summary of staff effort

♠**EC Commentary:** Please indicate the number of person/months over the whole duration of the planned work, for each work package, for each participant. Identify the work-package leader for each WP by showing the relevant person-month figure in bold.♠

B.0.3.6 Resource summaries for consortium member sites

B.0.3.6.1 Resources Université Paris Sud

Paris Sud requests 12 person months for the project coordinator (Nicolas Thiéry), 6 person months for two researchers (Florent Hivert, and Samuel Lelièvre) and for the lead PI (Viviane Pons), 48+36 months for two full time developers, and 24 months for a part time project manager for the full duration of the project.

	Cost (€)	Justification
Travel	103,000	Travel (see B.0.3.5.2)
Publication charges	1,000	Open access publication charges (see B.0.3.5.3)
Other goods and services	82,000	8 developer workshops WP2.T3 , and 4 training and dissemination workshops WP2.T2
Audit	4,000	Audit cost
Total	190,000	

Table 4: Overview: Non-staff resources to be committed at UPS (all in €)

B.0.3.6.2 Resources Logilab

♠Logilab [WRITE HERE: Please complete section and table. See “Guidelines for completion of partner specific resource summary” in latex comments in H2020/resources.tex.]♠

	Cost (€)	Justification
Travel	?,???	Travel (see B.0.3.5.2)
Publication charges	?,???	Open access publication charges (see B.0.3.5.3)
Equipment	?,???	
Other goods and services	?,???	Workshops
Audit	?,???	Audit cost
Total	?,???	

Table 5: Overview: Non-staff resources to be committed at Logilab (all in €)

B.0.3.6.3 Resources Université de Versailles Saint-Quentin

Université de Versailles Saint-Quentin requests 12 person months for the lead PI (Luca De Feo) and 2 person months for a researcher (Nicolas Gama). Because of its small size and geographical proximity to Paris Sud, Université de Versailles is not going to hire any full-time personnel for the project.

	Cost (€)	Justification
Travel	12,600	Travel (4 EU conferences, 4 one week visits to project partners, 12 project meetings)
Total	12,600	

Table 6: Overview: Non-staff resources to be committed at UVSQ (all in €)

B.0.3.6.4 Resources Université Joseph Fourier

♠UJF [WRITE HERE: Please complete section and table. See “Guidelines for completion of partner specific resource summary” in latex comments in H2020/resources.tex.]♠ UJF requests 12 person months for an engineer (Pierrick Brunet) starting in month 0 and working on task WP5.T7, 24 person months for another engineer starting in month 12 and working on task WP5.T3, 12 person months for the lead PI (Clément Pernet) and 6 person months for PI (Jean-Guillaume Dumas). The lead PI will take on all management responsibilities. The engineers will not be employed for the whole project duration, and the PIs will carry out all tasks for the project in the remaining period.

	Cost (€)	Justification
Travel	60,850	Travel (see B.0.3.5.2)
Publication charges	4,000	Open access publication charges (see B.0.3.5.3)
Equipment	24,000	A large multicore server with multiple accelerators to experiment heterogeneous computing; 2 laptops
Other goods and services	30,000	2 developer workshops: HPC and Pythran
Audit	4,000	Audit cost
Total	122,850	

Table 7: Overview: Non-staff resources to be committed at UJF (all in €)

B.0.3.6.5 Resources CNRS Aquitaine

CNRS Aquitaine requests 12 person months for the lead PI Vincent Delecroix and the PARI/GP head Karim Belabas, 6 person months for the other PI (Bill Allombert, Adrien Boussicault and Loic Gouarin) and a 48 person months for a full time developer working on tasks WP5.T1, WP5.T6, WP4.T11 and WP4.T13.

	Cost (€)	Justification
Travel	56,700	Travel (see B.0.3.5.2)
Publication charges	1,000	Open access publication charges (see B.0.3.5.3)
Equipment	0	
Other goods and services	111,000	1 HPC workshop WP2.T3, 4 Ateliers Pari WP2.T3, 4 dissemination workshop in developing countries WP2.T4
Audit	4,000	Audit cost
Total	172,700	

Table 8: Overview: Non-staff resources to be committed at CNRS Aquitaine (all in €)

B.0.3.6.6 Resources University of Oxford

University of Oxford requests 24 person months for a co investigator (Dmitrii Pasechnik), who will be employed for the whole duration of the project, 2.4 person months for the lead PI (Ursula Matrin) and 2.4 person months for the other co investigator (Edith Elkind) to work on WP7, of which 2 person months for WP1 (Management). From his total involvement, Pasechnik will take 4 person months to work on WP3. Martin and Elkind both hold personal fellowships (funded by EPSRC (UK) and by ERC, respectively) on topics closely related to the project, enabling them to take part in the project only at a fraction of the full cost; however, they will need funding to travel to project meetings. Open access publication charges will be met by the host institution.

	Cost (€)	Justification
Travel	25,000	Travel (see B.0.3.5.2)
Equipment	3,000	Laptop and a large display for a co investigator
Total	28,000	

Table 9: Overview: Non-staff resources to be committed at UO (all in €)

B.0.3.6.7 Resources University of Sheffield

♠USHEF [WRITE HERE: Please complete section and table. See “Guidelines for completion of partner specific resource summary” in latex comments in H2020/resources.tex.]♠

	Cost (€)	Justification
Travel	?,???	Travel (see B.0.3.5.2)
Publication charges	?,???	Open access publication charges (see B.0.3.5.3)
Equipment	?,???	
Other goods and services	?,???	Workshops
Audit	?,???	Audit cost
Total	?,???	

Table 10: Overview: Non-staff resources to be committed at USHEF (all in €)

B.0.3.6.8 Resources Southampton

Southampton requests 32 person months for a researcher (expected to start in month 4 of the project), 6 person months for the lead PI (Hans Fangohr) and 2 person months for the co investigator (Ian Hawke). The lead PI will take on all management responsibilities. The researcher will not be employed for the whole project duration, and the PIs will carry out all tasks for the project in the remaining period.

	Cost (€)	Justification
Travel	51,500	Travel (see B.0.3.5.2)
Publication charges	4,000	Open access publication charges (see B.0.3.5.3)
Equipment	10,000	HPC Workstation (6k) to host OOMMF-NB web server, WP4.T15 , and two high performance laptops (2x2k)
Other goods and services	19,600	4 Dissemination workshops overseas (travel for teachers & room hire), WP2.T7
Audit	5,200	Audit cost
Total	86,300	

Table 11: Overview: Non-staff resources to be committed at Southampton (all in €)

B.0.3.6.9 Resources University of St Andrews

St Andrews requests 9.6 person months for the lead PI (Steve Linton), 24 person months for the co-investigator (Alexander Konovalov) and 48 person months for the researcher (Markus Pfeiffer). The lead PI will take on all management responsibilities.

	Cost (€)	Justification
Travel	76,800	Travel (see B.0.3.5.2)
Publication charges	4,000	Open access publication charges (see B.0.3.5.3)
Equipment	15,000	Compute servers for parallel software development and testing (tasks WP5.T2 , WP3.T5)
Other goods and services	17,200	2 dissemination workshops (room hire and subsistence for external participants; task WP2.T3)
Audit	4,300	Audit cost
Total	117,300	

Table 12: Overview: Non-staff resources to be committed at USTAN (all in €)

B.0.3.6.10 Resources University of Warwick

Warwick requests 24 person months for a researcher expected to start around month 6 of the project to work on WP6, and 3 person months for the lead PI (John Cremona) for WP2 (Management) and WP6. The researcher will not be employed for the whole project duration, and the PI will carry out any remaining tasks for the project. The PI, who is also PI on the LMFDB grant, will be able to use alternative funding for conference attendance, and only requires travel support for project meetings and visiting other sites. The workshop to be hosted will be joint with the LMFDB project and part-funded by the LMFDB grant. Open access publication charges will be met by the host institution.

	Cost (€)	Justification
Travel	9,600	Project meetings and partner site visits; investigator co-funded by LMFDB grant (see B.0.3.5.2)
Equipment	4,000	laptops for investigator and researcher
Other goods and services	12,000	Hosting one workshop co-funded by LMFDB project
Total	25,600	

Table 13: Overview: Non-staff resources to be committed at UW (all in €)

B.0.3.6.11 Resources Jacobs University Bremen

♠JacU [WRITE HERE: Please complete section and table. See “Guidelines for completion of partner specific resource summary” in latex comments in H2020/resources.tex.]♠

	Cost (€)	Justification
Travel	53,600	Travel (see B.0.3.5.2)
Publication charges	4,000	Open access publication charges (see B.0.3.5.3)
Equipment	14,000	for two web/compute servers for WP4.T7 ; they need 256 GB RAM each for the math search engine from WP4.T9
Audit	4,300	Audit cost
Total	75,900	

Table 14: Overview: Non-staff resources to be committed at JacU (all in €)

B.0.3.6.12 Resources University of Kaiserslautern

♠UK [WRITE HERE: Please complete section and table. See “Guidelines for completion of partner specific resource summary” in latex comments in H2020/resources.tex.]♠

	Cost (€)	Justification
Travel	?,???	Travel (see B.0.3.5.2)
Publication charges	?,???	Open access publication charges (see B.0.3.5.3)
Equipment	?,???	
Other goods and services	?,???	Workshops
Audit	?,???	Audit cost
Total	?,???	

Table 15: Overview: Non-staff resources to be committed at UK (all in €)

B.0.3.6.13 Resources University of Silesia

♣US [WRITE HERE: Please complete section and table. See “Guidelines for completion of partner specific resource summary” in latex comments in H2020/resources.tex.]♣

	Cost (€)	Justification
Travel	?,???	Travel (see B.0.3.5.2)
Publication charges	?,???	Open access publication charges (see B.0.3.5.3)
Equipment	?,???	
Other goods and services	?,???	Workshops
Audit	?,???	Audit cost
Total	?,???	

Table 16: Overview: Non-staff resources to be committed at US (all in €)

B.0.3.6.14 Resources University of Zürich

Zurich will employ one person associated with the project, Paul-Olivier Dehaye. Twelve person-months will be dedicated to [WP6](#) and spread over the four years, with an extra one for the management ([WP1](#)). He will devote additional time to these efforts, paid from other sources (University of Zurich and Swiss Science Foundation).

He will lead tasks [WP6.T1](#), and assist for [WP6.T2](#), [WP6.T3](#), [WP6.T4](#), [WP6.T6](#) and [WP6.T7](#). He is in charge of deliverables [M6.5](#), [M6.6](#) and finally [M6.7](#).

	Cost (€)	Justification
Travel	26,800	Travel (see B.0.3.5.2)
Publication charges	4,000	Open access publication charges (see B.0.3.5.3)
Equipment	2,000	laptop for investigator
Total	32,800	

Table 17: Overview: Non-staff resources to be committed at the University of Zurich (all in €)

B.0.3.6.15 Simula Research Laboratory

Simula requests 28 person months for research activities to lead Work package 4 and contribute to its specific tasks. We also dedicate 4 person months for management as well as dissemination and communication activities (to be split equally). These activities will be mainly performed by the lead PI with the extensive support of the local management team.

	Cost (€)	Justification
Travel	56,200	Travel (see B.0.3.5.2)
Publication charges	4,000	Open access publication charges (see B.0.3.5.3)
Other goods and services	8,000	Organisation of the Jupyter workshop
Audit	3,500	Audit cost
Total	71,700	

Table 18: Overview: Non-staff resources to be committed at Simula (all in €)

B.0.3.7 Resources Summary

♠**TO DO:** Table 3.4.a: insert here table from Figure 3, and transpose; see Table 3.4.a in the word template
 ♠**TO DO:** The work package leader will usually have the largest investment
 ♠**TO DO:** This table is in the wrong place in the proposal - before workpackages, not after

Table 19: Overview: Resources to be committed (all in €)

♠ EC Commentary: Please complete the table below for each participant if the sum of the costs for 'travel', 'equipment', and 'goods and services' exceeds 15budget table in section 3 of the proposal administrative forms).
Other direct cost items

	Cost (€)	Justification
Travel		
Equipment		
Other goods and services		
Total		

Management Level Description of Resources and Budget

♠**TO DO:** This needs to be updated in line with the rest of the project.

The project will employ XX person-months of effort over YY years, comprising ...

♠**EC Commentary:** *This section is not covered by the page limit.
The information provided here will be used to judge the operational capacity.*♠

B.0.4 Members of the Consortium

B.0.4.1 Participants

♠**EC Commentary:** *Please provide, for each participant, the following (if available):*

- *a description of the legal entity and its main tasks, with an explanation of how its profile matches the tasks in the proposal;*
- *a curriculum vitae or description of the profile of the persons, including their gender, who will be primarily responsible for carrying out the proposed research and/or innovation activities; this includes a description of the profile of the to-be-recruited personnel*
- *a list of up to 5 relevant publications, and/or products, services (including widely-used datasets or software), or other achievements relevant to the call content;*
- *a list of up to 5 relevant previous projects or activities, connected to the subject of this proposal;*
- *a description of any significant infrastructure and/or any major items of technical equipment, relevant to the proposed work;*
- *any other supporting documents specified in the work programme for this call.*



B.0.4.2 UPS:UNIVERSITÉ PARIS SUD (FR)

The Université Paris-Sud is among the 40 top universities worldwide in the 2013 Shanghai ranking, and is one of the two best French research universities. With about 27000 students, 1800 permanent teaching staff and 1300 permanent research scientists from national research organizations (CNRS, Inserm, INRA, Inria), it is the largest campus in France.

Since 2006, scientists from the University were awarded two Fields medals, one Nobel Prize and a number of other international (European Inventor Award 2013, Wolf Prize 2010, Holweck Prize 2009, Japan prize 2007) and national prizes. The Université Paris-Sud has a complete array of competences, ranging from the purest of exact sciences to clinical practices in medicine, covering life and health sciences, legal sciences and economics. Research at the Université Paris-Sud, an essential part of academic understanding, is complemented by research activities with a high valorisation potential. Research contracts and partnership with companies make the Université Paris-Sud a key actor and a major player in French research. The Université is located close to the Plateau de Saclay, the largest cluster of public and private R&D institutions in France (with ca. 16000 research staff), and is one of the core members of the University Paris Saclay – a world class university and a world-renowned research and innovation hub.

In the context of this project, the Université Paris-Sud is the home of one of the largest group of Sage developers worldwide. It's a member of the Open Source Thematic Group of the Systematic Paris Region Systems and ICT Cluster. The University also hosts a major research group (VALS) working on proof assistants (Coq) and another on Human Centered Computing, which will facilitate reaching toward those communities.

The Université Paris-Sud will lead the project (WP1), host the majority of the man power for WP3 Component Architecture, and lead tasks on or around the Sage computational system (WP3.T6, WP3.T2, WP4.T1, WP4.T4, WP4.T5, WP5.T6, ???). Finally, it will lead WP2 and in particular host or coorganize many of the community building, training, and dissemination actions.

[height=1.3cm]PS

•
,

Curriculum vitae of the investigators

[type=leadPI,PM=6,salary=4200,gender=female]Viviane Pons Maître de Conférences at the Laboratoire de Recherche en Informatique, Viviane Pons is a young researcher in Algebraic Combinatorics. She defended her thesis in 2013 and has 3 papers in international journals and 3 communications in international conferences including a talk at PyCon US 2015. Before committing herself to research, she spent two years working in industry as a Java and web developer.

She discovered Sage during her first sage-days in 2010 and has since been an active user and contributor with 10 (co)authored tickets improving the support of combinatorial objects into Sage. She is very involved in the promotion of Sage, participating in Sage-Days and proposing Sage introduction tutorials or Sage presentations in various conferences. She is also one of the main developers of the project FindStat dedicated to databases in combinatorics. [type=PI,PM=6,salary=9000,gender=male]Florent Hivert

Professor at the Laboratoire de Recherche en Informatique, Florent Hivert is a senior researcher in Algebraic Combinatorics with 29 papers in international journals and 15 communications in international conferences.

With 100 tickets (co)authored and as many refereed, Hivert is himself a core Sage developer, with contributions including key components of the Sage infrastructure (documentation, automated test, combinatorics infrastructure, parallelism, ...), specialized research libraries. [type=PI,PM=12,salary=7500,gender=male]Nicolas M. Thiéry Professor at the Laboratoire de Recherche en Informatique, Nicolas M. Thiéry is a senior researcher in Algebraic Combinatorics with 15 papers published in international journals. Among other things, he is a member of the permanent committee of FPSAC, the main international conference of the domain, and has collaborators in Canada, India, and in the US where he spent three years (Colorado School of Mines, UC Davis). He also coorganized fourteen international workshops, in particular Sage Days, and the semester long program on "Automorphic Forms, Combinatorial Representation Theory and Multiple Dirichlet Series" hosted in Providence (RI, USA) by the Institute for Computational and Experimental Research in Mathematics.

Algebraic combinatorics is a field at the frontier between mathematics and computer science, with heavy needs for computer exploration. Pioneer in community-developed open source software for research in this field, Thiéry founded in 2000 the Sage-Combinat software project (incarnated as MuPAD-Combinat until 2008); with 50 researchers in Europe and abroad, this project has grown under his leadership to be one of the largest organized community of Sage developers, gaining a leading position in its field, and making a major impact on one hundred publications⁴. Along the way, he coauthored part of the proposal for NSF Sage-Combinat grant OCI-1147247, and coorganized or taught at a dozen training and dissemination actions (workshops, summer schools, ..), in America, Africa, Europe, India.

⁴<http://sagemath.org/library-publications-combinat.html>,
[library-publications-mupad.html](http://sagemath.org/library-publications-mupad.html)

<http://sagemath.org/>

With 150 tickets (co)authored and as many refereed, Thiéry is himself a core Sage developer, with contributions including key components of the Sage infrastructure (e.g. categories), specialized research libraries (e.g. root systems), thematic tutorials, and two chapters of the book “Calcul Mathématique avec Sage”. [type=R,PM=6,gender=male]Samuel Lelièvre

Maître de conférences since 2006 at Laboratoire de mathématique d’Orsay, Université Paris-Sud, Samuel Lelièvre is an established researcher in Dynamics and Geometry, with 10 papers published in international journals including Annales scientifiques de l’École normale supérieure, Crelle, GAFA, Geometry and Topology. He participated in three ANR projects, and has collaborators in France, Israel, the UK, the USA. His research in Dynamics and Geometry often involves explicit and experimental approaches, for which he writes code in order to explore combinatorial objects such as square-tiled surfaces, translation surfaces, group actions, group presentations.

He uses and actively promotes Sage since 2010. He is in the top 15 contributors of the Ask-Sage questions and answers site. He coorganized six international meetings including two Sage Days, presented Sage at PyCon-FR-2011 in Rennes, supervised Sage tutorials twice at the GDR-IM yearly school for French PhD students at the interface of Mathematics and Computer Science, and at the CIMPA/ICPAM school Bobo2012 on Discrete Mathematics (Bobo Dioulasso, Burkina Faso, 2012).

[type=res,PM=48,salary=5500]NN [type=res,PM=36,salary=5500]NN We will hire two full time experienced software developers to work under the leadership of Nicolas Thiéry on the technical tasks pursued by Paris Sud, in particular in WP3 Component Architecture and WP4 User Interface. When relevant, the mentoring will be complemented by Luca De Feo (UVSQ), or experts of the tasks at hand from the larger community. The fellow will have a strong software engineering experience, ideally in the Python ecosystem. We further require good communication and team working skills, in particular to work in tight collaboration with international open-source developer communities.

[type=res,PM=24,salary=3932]NN We will hire an experienced part time project manager to help with the overall management during the whole duration of OpenDreamKit.

Publications, achievements

1. Lead of the Sage–Combinat software project.
2. Coauthoring of the open source book “Calcul Mathématique avec Sage”, the first of its kind comprehensive introduction to computational mathematics in Sage for education.
3. 500 tickets contributed to Sage.

Previous projects or activities

1. Home of six Sage Days (week-long training and development workshops).
2. Coorganizer of six other Sage Days.
3. Founder and regular organizer of a bimonthly Sage User Group meeting in the greater Paris area.

Significant infrastructure

The Université Paris Sud hosts the lead developers of the open source cloud infrastructure Stratuslab and its reference infrastructure (400 cores). The participants are regular users of this infrastructure, and in close contact with the developers.

Paris Sud also hosts the WILDER platform, an experimental wall-sized high-resolution interactive touch-screen for conducting research on collaborative human-computer interaction and the visualization of large datasets.

♠VP [WRITE HERE: Complete check list below – delete completed items if you wish]♠

- [] checked that sum of person months put into finance request is the same as sum of person months associated with the Work Packages (in proposal.tex, as defined as part of the \begin{workpackage} command.

Take into account person months associated with work package 1, time of all staff to be hired and work on the project (including investigators). Figure 5 helps with a quick check of the sums over

different work packages.

- [X] completed site specific resource summary in resources.tex, including table of non-staff costs. This is compulsory (EU regulations) if the non-staff cost exceed 15% of the total cost, and is likely to be the case for most of the partners. We ask everybody to do it, to be consistent and show transparently how we have planned our total budget.
- [X] Have all our tasks a designated lead institution? Check in the Work Packages that all the tasks you are involved in have a dedicated lead party. If the lead party is "USO", then use:
`\begin{task}[lead=USO]`
- [] Have all our deliverables a designated lead institution [using the 'lead=' key]?
- [X] In the "Members of the consortium section", have we addressed "a description of the legal entity and its main tasks, with an explanation of how its profile matches the tasks in the proposal"? See Entry for Paris Sud and Southampton as examples.
- [X] In the Members of the consortium section, have we given descriptions of all the people we intend to hire (even if we don't know who that is yet).
- [] Do all our tasks include us in the list of sites involved?

B.0.4.3 Logilab:LOGILAB (FR)

Logilab (<http://www.logilab.fr/>) is a french SME focused on using the web and free software to help scientists. It has been in business since 2000 and counts over 20 engineers and PhDs proficient in software engineering, knowledge representation, design and management of IT infrastructure, etc.

[height=1.3cm]LL

•
,

Logilab invests 15% of its turnover in research and development and has been part of several R&D projects at the national and european levels, always to provide technical expertise and support to the other partners.

In the context of this project, Logilab will innovate to support the partners with tools and infrastructure, including open databases to flexibly store mathematical objects, user interfaces to visualize complex mathematical properties, fluid workflow tools to ease large-scale collaboration, etc.

Curriculum vitae

♠Logilab [WRITE HERE: Check the PM and roles for Florent, Olivier, Serge, Julien]♠

[type=PI,PM=2]Florent Cayré Engineer with a Master Degree from École Centrale de Paris (top French engineering school), Florent Cayré spent six years in SNECMA as an engineer conceiving the numeric tools for the turbines design and then was head of the group "Méthodes de conception de turbines ; aérothermique et combustion": collaborative R&D programs, development and integration of various numeric tools (Python, C++, C, Fortran). He co-funded the SecondWeb company: development of complex Web applications based on CubicWeb platform.

Head of the science computing department of Logilab since 2012,x Florent is responsible for team management, strategic vision, projects monitoring, technical expertise, etc. He developed several tools for defining and managing computations, and producing enhanced result reports through IPython notebooks. [type=lead PI,PM=4]Olivier Cayrol Engineer with a Master Degree from École Centrale de Lyon (top French engineering school) Olivier Cayrol spent three years at the R&D department of PSA-Peugeot Citroën, as developer and project manager on the modelling and simulation of electronic embedded car control devices.

Co-founder and deputy-CEO of Logilab, he designed and developed the system that generates the Logilab's documents from ReST data sources. This system is based on several free softwares such as Sphinx or reportlab, and defines numerous extensions for answering the specific needs. [type=PI,PM=10]Julien Cristau Master Degree and PhD from University Paris VII. Research on automata and linear games. As a Debian Developer since 2007 he maintained key components such as the X11 windowing system and acted as a Debian Release Manager since 2011.

Working as a software engineer in the R&D department of Logilab since 2011, he developed software using many different languages and systems, helped release and distribute software on many different platforms, maintained parts of the infrastructure and trained other people. [type=R,PM=12,gender=male]Serge Guelton

Serge Guelton holds an engineering degree in Computer Science and telecommunication from Télécom Bretagne and a PhD in compilation and parallelism. He's been working as an expert engineering in various INRIA teams, and as a lead developer in several strat-ups.

He's the lead developer of the Pythran project, a Python-to-C++ compiler for high-performance scientific kernels.

[type=res,PM=30]NN ♠Logilab [WRITE HERE: Logilab will hire/allocate a full time developer for working on ...]♠

Publications, products, achievements

1. CubicWeb is a semantic web framework that is available under the LGPL license and received a DataConnexion prize from Etalab (the french government team dedicated to Open Data)
2. Logilab has been contributing to free software since its creation in 2000 and is known for it in France and several other countries. It authored PyLint, the static Python code checker used worldwide, and has always had at least one Debian Developer on staff, thus supporting the largest free software distribution used by millions of people.
3. At OBHM 2013, the 19th Annual Meeting of the Organization for Human Brain Mapping, Logilab presented a poster which explains the work done using CubicWeb on brain imaging and genetics data in collaboration with INRIA, INSERM and the CEA during the Brainomics project co-financed by Agence nationale de la Recherche.

Previous projects or activities

1. Logilab was a member of the consortium for the ASWAD EU project, with a role of software developer. The project demonstrated the interest of free software for setting up workflows in public administrations.
2. Logilab was a member of the consortium for the KIDDANET EU project, with a role of software developer. The project implemented a proxy to protect kids browsing the internet.

3. Logilab was a member of the consortium for the PYPY EU project, with a role of software developer. The project implemented a Python interpreter in Python, to explore new ways to compile and optimize the execution of Python code.
4. Logilab was a member of the consortium for the OpenHPC french project, with a role of software developer. The project advanced the state of the art of free software for high performance simulation.
5. Logilab was a member of the consortium for the BRAINOMICS french ANR project, with a role of software developer. The project advanced the state of the art of shared databases for the brain imaging and genetics data.
6. Logilab was a member of the consortium for the THALER french ANR project, with a role of software developer. The project advanced the state of the art of free software for high performance simulation of molecular dynamics.

Significant infrastructure

Logilab is maintaining its own infrastructure, using virtualisation techniques and tools such as OpenStack, SaltStack, Docker, etc.

♠NK [WRITE HERE: Who is the lead from Logilab? (Enter below in TOWRITE)]♠

♠Logilab [WRITE HERE: Complete check list below – delete completed items if you wish]♠

- [] checked that sum of person months put into finance request is the same as sum of person months associated with the Work Packages (in proposal.tex, as defined as part of the `\begin{workpackage}` command).

Take into account person months associated with work package 1, time of all staff to be hired and work on the project (including investigators). Figure 5 helps with a quick check of the sums over different work packages.

- [] completed site specific resource summary in resources.tex, including table of non-staff costs. This is compulsory (EU regulations) if the non-staff cost exceed 15% of the total cost, and is likely to be the case for most of the partners. We ask everybody to do it, to be consistent and show transparently how we have planned our total budget.
- [] Have all our tasks a designated lead institution? Check in the Work Packages that all the tasks you are involved in have a dedicated lead party. If the lead party is "USO", then use: `\begin{task}[lead=USO]`
- [] Have all our deliverables a designated lead institution [using the 'lead=' key]?
- [] In the "Members of the consortium section", have we addressed "a description of the legal entity and its main tasks, with an explanation of how its profile matches the tasks in the proposal"? See Entry for Paris Sud and Southampton as examples.
- [] In the Members of the consortium section, have we given descriptions of all the people we intend to hire (even if we don't know who that is yet).

- [] Do all our tasks include us in the list of sites involved?

B.0.4.4 UVSQ:UNIVERSITÉ DE VERSAILLES SAINT-QUENTIN (FR)

Université de Versailles – Saint-Quentin-en-Yvelines

PRiSM Laboratory. The research teams of the PRiSM laboratory (Parallélisme, Réseaux, Systèmes et Modélisation) are involved in two main scientific themes of UVSQ: Mathematics and Computer science on one hand, “Design, Modelization and Implementation of Systems” on the other hand. These two directions are not separated from each other, as shown by many collaborations with other labs, and the participation of many PRiSM teams to both directions. Within the “Mathematics and Computer Science” theme, the PRiSM teams study cryptology and security, models for algorithms and operational research. All the teams also participate to the “Design, Modelization and Implementation of Systems” theme, with a particular focus on communication systems (networks and telecommunication), embedded systems, mobile systems, high speed networks, and database systems.

PRiSM is home to the “Cryptology and Information Security”. In its research activities, the cryptography team aims at widely covering the various themes of academic research in cryptology, public key and secret key cryptography, cryptanalysis, security of implementations, number theory, multivariate cryptography, hash functions, etc. The cryptology team brings its specificity in the computer science courses at UVSQ and, since several years, the university offers several teaching programs with a part devoted to cryptology and information security. In particular, the research graduate program “Applied Algebra” offers a full course in cryptology. It has been complemented by a professional graduate program, called SeCReTS (Security of Contents, Networks, Telecommunications and Systems). Many activities of the team, require the use of advanced computer algebra. For this, the team has a long history of using computer algebra systems (GAP, Pari, Maple, Magma, ...). In recent years, with the arrival of young researchers, and with the affirmation of Sage in research and teaching, the team has moved from a pure user perspective to a contributor one, taking active part in the development of computer algebra software.

Curriculum vitae of the investigators

[PM=12,type=leadPI,gender=male]Luca De Feo got his PhD in 2010 at Ecole Polytechnique. He was appointed Maître de Conférences at Versailles-St-Quentin-en-Yvelines University in 2011. His research interests cover Algorithmic Number Theory, Computer Algebra, Cryptology and Automated deduction, and he has already published 8 papers in international journals or refereed international conferences.

He is an active Sage contributor, with a dozen of tickets co-authored and about as much reviewed. He is also active in promoting the use of Sage for research and for teaching: most of his papers feature a publicly available Sage implementation, he teaches Sage to undergraduate and graduate students, he participates and organizes various events for the introduction of Sage to beginners and young researchers. [PM=2,type=R,gender=male]Nicolas Gama got his PhD in 2009 at École Normale Supérieure. He was appointed Maître de Conférences at University of Versailles-St-Quentin-en-Yvelines in 2010. His research interests cover Lattice reduction algorithms, Theory of computer sciences, Algorithmic Number Theory, and Cryptology. He has already published 12 papers in international journals or refereed international conferences.

He developed a fork of the NTL library to ease the development of parallel lattice algorithms, and added various blockwise lattice primitives, tools like high dimensional gaussian sampling over lattices and modulo lattices which can be directly used to implement the most recent lattice-based schemes. This fork is scheduled to be merged with the main branch of NTL, and the wrapper library for Sage should then be updated accordingly.

Publications, achievements

Recent publications:

1. A. Becker, N. Gama and A. Joux; Solving shortest and closest vector problems: The decomposition approach. ANTS 2014.
2. L. De Feo, J. Doliskani, É. Schost; Fast arithmetic for the algebraic closure of finite fields. ISSAC '14. ACM, 2014. pp 122-129.
3. N. El Mrabet and N. Gama, Efficient Multiplication over Extension Fields, WAIFI 2012.
4. L. De Feo, É. Schost; Transalpyne: a language for automatic transposition. ACM SIGSAM Bulletin, 2010, 44 (1/2), pp. 59-71.

Software:

1. newNTL. It is a high-performance, portable C++ library providing data structures and algorithms for manipulating signed, arbitrary length integers, and for vectors, matrices, and polynomials over the integers and over finite fields. <http://www.prism.uvsq.fr/~gama/newntl.html>.
2. FFAST, a C++ library for Fast Arithmetic in Artin-Schreier Towers. <http://github.com/defeo/FFAST>.

Previous projects or activities

Current grants:

1. ANR CLE (2013-2017): Cryptography from Learning with Errors. The goal is to propose fast and secure symmetric protocols based on the LWE problem.
2. DIGITEO project ARGC (2013-2016): "Fast arithmetic for geometry and cryptography". The project explores fast algorithms and implementations for algebraic geometry and curve-based cryptography.
3. DIGITEO project IdealCodes (2014-2016): IdealCodes (<http://idealcodes.github.io/>) spans the three research areas of algebraic coding theory, cryptography, and computer algebra, by investigating the problem of lattice reduction.

♠LDF [WRITE HERE: Complete check list below – delete completed items if you wish]♠

- [X] checked that sum of person months put into finance request is the same as sum of person months associated with the Work Packages (in proposal.tex, as defined as part of the `\begin{workpackage}` command).

Take into account person months associated with work package 1, time of all staff to be hired and work on the project (including investigators). Figure 5 helps with a quick check of the sums over different work packages.

- [X] completed site specific resource summary in resources.tex, including table of non-staff costs. This is compulsory (EU regulations) if the non-staff cost exceed 15% of the total cost, and is likely to be the case for most of the partners. We ask everybody to do it, to be consistent and show transparently how we have planned our total budget.
- [X] Have all our tasks a designated lead institution? Check in the Work Packages that all the tasks you are involved in have a dedicated lead party. If the lead party is "USO", then use: `\begin{task}[lead=USO]`
- [X] Have all our deliverables a designated lead institution [using the 'lead=' key]?
- [] In the "Members of the consortium section", have we addressed "a description of the legal entity and its main tasks, with an explanation of how its profile matches the tasks in the proposal"? See Entry for Paris Sud and Southampton as examples.

- [] In the Members of the consortium section, have we given descriptions of all the people we intend to hire (even if we don't know who that is yet).
- [X] Do all our tasks include us in the list of sites involved?

B.0.4.5 UJF:UNIVERSITÉ JOSEPH FOURIER (FR)

The Université Joseph Fourier (UJF) is a research intensive university in an international and high tech environment with 16 600 full time students including 1 200 in a doctoral program, 1 500 lecturers and researchers and 1 500 administrative and technical staff. Ranked 150th at the latest Shanghai ranking, 5th french university, and top 75 natural sciences and mathematics. UJF laboratories have successfully contributed to the FP7 european with 105 projects, 26 of them being coordinated by UJF. Under H2020, UJF continues to actively participate with already 4 projects.

[height=1.3cm]UJF

•
;

The UJF partner gathers two teams from the Laboratoire Jean Kuntzmann (CASYS team, with Jean-Guillaume Dumas) and the Laboratoire d'Informatique de Grenoble (MOAIS, Clément Pernet). The CASYS team is specialized in Algebraic computations, cryptology, codes and hybrid symbolic-numeric dynamical systems. The MOAIS team is specialized in programming and scheduling design on distributed resources for applications based on interactive simulation. The software developed by this partner is significant. It includes the exact arithmetic library Givaro, the exact linear algebra libraries fflas and LinBox, and the parallel programming runtime XKAAP. Relying on this expertise, the UJF site will be coordinating the tasks related to High Performance Mathematical Computing, forming Work Package 5: [WP5.T1](#), [WP5.T2](#), [WP5.T3](#), [WP5.T4](#), [WP5.T5](#), [WP5.T6](#), [WP5.T7](#), [WP5.T8](#). It will also be in charge of the task [WP5.T3](#) on the development of high performance exact linear algebra with the LinBox library.

Curriculum vitae

[type=leadPI,PM=12,salary=6500,gender=male]Clément Pernet Associate Professor at the joint Inria-LIG research group MOAIS, Clément Pernet is a junior researcher in Computer Algebra, parallel computing and coding theory with 16 papers published in international journals or refereed international conferences. He is associate editor of the ACM transactions on Mathematical Software and has co-organized 10 conferences, including 2 sage-days and the 2012 edition of ISSAC, the leading conference in computer algebra.

Since he was a post-doc at University of Washington, under the supervision of William Stein, head of the Sage project, he has had many contributions to Sage on the exact linear algebra and the symbolic computation tools. He co-authored the book "Calcul Mathématique avec Sage" with the chapter on Linear algebra. Clément Pernet is the founder and lead developer of the fflas-ffpack library, kernel for dense linear algebra over a finite field, delivering high performance computation to LinBox and Sage. He is a core contributor to the LinBox library and contributed to the m4ri library.

[type=PI,PM=6,salary=7850,gender=male]Jean-Guillaume Dumas Professor at the Laboratoire Jean Kuntzmann, Jean-Guillaume Dumas is a senior researcher in Computer Algebra with 40 papers published in international journals or refereed international conferences. Among other things, he is vice-president of ACM Special interest group on symbolic and algebraic manipulations (SIGSAM), department chair within his Laboratoire (6 research teams, 130 members) and has collaborators in USA, Canada, Ireland, Germany and Luxembourg; he has also co-organized fifteen international conferences.

Computer Algebra is a field at the frontier between mathematics and computer science, with heavy needs for computer exploration. Jean-Guillaume Dumas is the main developer of the LinBox and Givaro C++ libraries (libgivaro1, libgivaro-dev, libgivaro-doc, liblinbox0, liblinbox-dev in Debian) used, e.g., by Sage respectively as its exact linear algebra and its finite fields.

Along the way, he coauthored part of the proposal for NSF-INRIA grant QOLAPS on Quantifier elimination, Optimization, Linear Algebra and Polynomial Systems and he is the director of the French ANR program on High-Performance Algebraic Computations.

[type=R,PM=12,gender=male]Pierrick Brunet Junior Research and Development Engineer at INRIA Grenoble, Pierrick Brunet is working on compilation of C/C++ OpenMP program to C/C++ programs with calls to specific OpenMP runtimes.

With about 25% of commits in the Pythran [\[B.0.4.5\]](#) project, Pierrick is one of the core devs of this project which compile a subset of the Python language to native Python modules.

♠Pierrick Brunet [\[WRITE HERE: add ref to where Pythran is described\]](#)♠

[type=res,PM=24,salary=4200,gender=]Unknown Full time developer on LinBox, and its integration into Sage. The recruited person should master C++ programming and library development in general. A good knowledge on scientific computing and in particular linear algebra is also required.

Publications, products, achievements

Software projects

fblas-ffpack: An open-source C++ library offering dense linear algebra kernels over a finite field. In the same spirit as the numerical BLAS (Basic Linear Algebra Subroutines), and LAPACK libraries, it delivers high performance for the most commonly used routines of scientific computing: matrix multiplication, solving linear systems, computing echelon forms, determinants, characteristic polynomials, etc. This library has set the standard approach for high performance exact dense linear algebra. It is currently used in Sage, and has inspired the design of similar routines in most commercial computer algebra softwares: maple, magma, etc.

LinBox: An open-source C++ middleware library for exact linear algebra. It uses fblas-ffpack for its dense finite field linear algebra part and extends its functionalities to other computation domains (integers, rationals, polynomial rings) and type matrices (sparse and structures matrices, black-box matrices). LinBox is integrated in Sage.

Pythran: An open-source Python-to-C++ optimizing compiler offering an high performance runtime for Scientific Python kernels. Dynamicity of the Python language is not compliant with static compilation. That's why only a subset of the Python language is supported by Pythran. Thanks to these restrictions, Pythran generate code up to 3000 faster than original module. Pythran takes advantage of multi-cores and SIMD instruction units and, thanks to type inference, it requires little annotations. Its runtime supports a growing subset of the Numpy package.

Selected Publications

1. Coauthoring of the open source book "Calcul Mathématique avec Sage", the first of its kind comprehensive introduction to computational mathematics in Sage for education.
2. Parallel computation of echelon forms (with J-G. Dumas, T. Gautier and Z. Sultan). *In Proc. Euro-Par'14* (2014), LNCS 499–510. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-319-09873-9_42.
3. Pythran: Enabling static optimization of scientific python programs (Serge Guelton, Pierrick Brunet, Alan Raynaud, Adrien Merlini, and Mehdi Amini.) *Proceedings of the Python for Scientific Computing Conference (SciPy)* June 2013.
4. Fast Computation of Hermite Normal forms of random integer matrices (with W. Stein). *J. of Number Theory* **130.7** (2010), 1675–16833. DOI: 10.1016/j.jnt.2010.01.017
5. Dense Linear Algebra over Word-size Prime Fields (with J.-G. Dumas and P. Giorgi). *Trans. on Math. Software* **35.3** (2008), 1–42. DOI: 10.1145/1391989.1391992.

Previous projects or activities

1. Direction of the ANR program on High-Performance Algebraic Computations 2012-2015.
2. Participation to the NSF-Inria associate teams QOLAPS (with NCSU, USA)
3. Coordination of a CNRS PEPS grant (parallel computer algebra)
4. Organization of the ISSAC'12 conference, the main international conference in computer algebra, and of PASCO'15 a satellite conference on parallel computer algebra.

♠CP [WRITE HERE: Complete check list below – delete completed items if you wish]♠

- [X] checked that sum of person months put into finance request is the same as sum of person months associated with the Work Packages (in proposal.tex, as defined as part of the `\begin{workpackage}` command).

Take into account person months associated with work package 1, time of all staff to be hired and work on the project (including investigators). Figure 5 helps with a quick check of the sums over different work packages.

- [X] completed site specific resource summary in resources.tex,

including table of non-staff costs. This is compulsory (EU regulations) if the non-staff cost exceed 15% of the total cost, and is likely to be the case for most of the partners. We ask everybody to do it, to be consistent and show transparently how we have planned our total budget.

- [X] Have all our tasks a designated lead institution? Check in the Work Packages that all the tasks you are involved in have a dedicated lead party. If the lead party is "USO", then use:
`\begin{task}[lead=USO]`
- [X] Have all our deliverables a designated lead institution [using the 'lead=' key]?
- [X] In the "Members of the consortium section", have we addressed "a description of the legal entity and its main tasks, with an explanation of how its profile matches the tasks in the proposal"? See Entry for Paris Sud and Southampton as examples.
- [X] In the Members of the consortium section, have we given descriptions of all the people we intend to hire (even if we don't know who that is yet).
- [X] Do all our tasks include us in the list of sites involved?

B.0.4.6 CNRS:CNRS AQUITAINE (FR)

Bordeaux is an important center of studies and research in France with approximately 50,000 students, 2,000 PhD students and 5,000 researchers. The University of Bordeaux was founded in the XVth century and nowadays the city regroups two universities, dozens of schools and 100 research laboratories with partner institution such as CNRS, Inserm, INRA and INRIA.

[height=1.3cm]UB

•
•

The Institut Mathématiques de Bordeaux (IMB) is a leading institution in Number Theory. It is the home of the software PARI/GP and the Journal de Théorie des Nombres de Bordeaux.

The city of Bordeaux also hosts two important young laboratories for computer science: Laboratoire Bordelais d'Informatique (LaBRI) and INRIA-Bordeaux.

In the context of this proposal, Bordeaux has a long standing experience in Algorithmic Number Theory and two significant hardware infrastructures (Plafrim and Avakas). The CNRS Aquitaine main task in this project is the extension of PARI/GP in relation with the other software components and the .

The CNRS Aquitaine site will lead the development of PARI/GP within workpackages [WP4](#), [WP5](#), [WP2](#). It will also play an important role in [WP5](#) with respect to combinatorics and the organization of workshop in favor of developing countries in [WP2](#).

Curriculum vitae

Note that for purely administrative reasons, Loïc Gouarin will be attached to CNRS Aquitaine but is naturally based at Paris sud.

[type=lead,PM=12,salary=4700,gender=male]Vincent Delecroix CNRS researcher at the LaBRI (Bordeaux, France) since October 2013, Vincent Delecroix is a junior researcher in Dynamical Systems with strong links with Combinatorics and Number Theory. He published 7 articles in international journals with several collaborators around the world (England, Mexico, United-States).

Since 2010 he is an important contributor of Sage with 30 tickets authored and around 50 reviewed. He organized several Sage days and Sage workshops in Bordeaux, Marseille, Orsay, Perpignan, Bobo Dioulasso (Burkina Faso), Saint-Louis (Sénégal).

[type=PI,PM=12,salary=9800,gender=male]Karim Belabas Professor of Mathematics in Bordeaux (France) since 2005, Karim Belabas is a senior researcher in Number Theory, with particular interest in computational and effective aspects. Karim has published about 25 articles in international journals, including papers in Duke and Compositio (one of which co-authored with Manjul Bhargava, 2014 Fields Medal), and edited a book on "Explicit methods in number theory".

Karim was head of the Pure Math teaching department in Bordeaux from 2010 to 2014 and is vice-head of the Institut de Mathématiques de Bordeaux since 2015. He has held a grant from the French ANR worth 200 kEuros (ALGOL project, 2007–2011) and was part of a 2.5MEuros Marie-Curie Research Training Network (GTEM project 2006–2010); he was responsible for three deliverables and supervision of an early stage researcher during her PhD in the Work Package "Constructive Galois Theory". He has (co-)organized 8 international conferences, including a special Trimester at IHP in 2004 and an influential recurrent workshop on "Explicit methods" in Oberwolfach (every two years since 2007) and 5 PARI/GP Ateliers. He has (co-)supervised 11 PhD students and about 15 masters students.

Karim is a leading computational number theorist in France. He is the project leader for the PARI/GP free computer algebra system since 1995, which has had a major impact on hundreds of publications. He is one of the system's main developers (about 60000 lines of code written, most of the documentation, and 1300 bug-tracking tickets authored). [type=PI,PM=6,salary=6000,gender=male]Bill Allombert Research ingénieur in Bordeaux. He has a great expertise in algorithmic number theory and is one of the main developers of PARI/GP. He is the developer of the GP2C compiler to convert GP code into pari code, and the GALPOL database (database of polynomial with prescribed Galois groups).

He also has 5 articles in international articles.

He is a regular contributor of the software GAP and the debian project.

[type=R,PM=6,salary=5700,gender=male]Adrien Boussicault Maître de Conférences at the LaBRI (Laboratoire Bordelais de Recherche en informatique), Adrien Boussicault is a young researcher in Algebraic and Enumerative Combinatorics. He has 8 papers in international journals.

He is a young contributor in Sage. He wrote 3 tickets to implement combinatorial objects. He co-organized Sage-Combinat Days 57.

[type=R,PM=6,gender=male,salary=5600]Loïc Gouarin Research Engineer since 2005 at CNRS and more specifically since 2010 at the Laboratoire de Mathématique d'Orsay, Université Paris-Sud, Loïc Gouarin develops scientific computing software in different fields like Lattice-Boltzmann methods, Stokes solvers for fluid particles interaction, ...

He is also director of the “GdR Calcul” and co-director of the “Réseau Calcul”. These two entities form the “Groupe Calcul” of the CNRS whose role is to animate the scientific and high performance computing community in France, in particular by organizing conferences, meetings, and seminars. In this context, he organizes himself 3 to 4 training and development workshops per year, and promotes the use of Python for teaching and research in France.

Technically speaking, and for purely administrative constraints within CNRS, Loïc Gouarin will be attached to the CNRS Aquitaine.

[type=R, PM=48]NN We will hire a research engineer to work at Bordeaux under the leadership of Professor Karim Belabas and Doctor Vincent Delecroix on the tasks of WP5, WP3 and WP4. He or she will work on the following tasks

- parallelization of some low-level algorithms in PARI/GP,
- creation of Cython/Python bindings for PARI/GP,
- implementation of a mixed C/Python library for iteration of combinatorial objects and its integration in Sage,
- display and graphics capabilities of combinatorial objects in Sage. In particular uniformize options between various display components (raw text, pretty text, \LaTeX , tikz picture, matplotlib, ...).

Publications, products, achievements

Some recent publications :

1. Karim Belabas, Eduardo Friedman, *Computing the residue of the Dedekind zeta function*. Math. Comp. 84 (2015), no. 291, 357–369.
2. The PARI Group; PARI/GP version 2.7.0, Bordeaux, 2014, <http://pari.math.u-bordeaux.fr/>.
3. Karim Belabas et al. *Explicit methods in number theory. Rational points and Diophantine equations*, 179 pages, Panoramas et Synthèses 36, 179p., 2012.
4. Bill Allombert, Yuri Bilu and Amalia Pizarro-Madariaga, *CM-Points on Straight Lines*, to appear in “Analytic Number Theory” (dedicated to H. Maier), Springer.
5. Vincent Delecroix, *Cardinality of Rauzy classes* Ann. Inst. Fourier, 63 no 5 (2013), p. 1651-1715.
6. Jean-Christophe Aval, Adrien Boussicault, Mathilde Bouvel, Matteo Silimbani *Combinatorics of non-ambiguous trees*, Advances in Applied Mathematics 56 (2014), p. 78-108.

Previous projects or activities

Current grants:

1. ANR PEACE (2012-2015) Goal: The discrete logarithm problem on algebraic curves is one of the rare contact points between deep theoretical questions in arithmetic geometry and every day applications. On the one side it involves a better understanding, from an effective point of view, of moduli space of curves, of abelian varieties, the maps that link these spaces and the objects they classify. On the other side, new and efficient algorithms to compute the discrete logarithm problem would have dramatic consequences on the security and efficiency of already deployed cryptographic devices.
2. ERC starting grant ANTICS (2011-2016) Goal: “Rebuild algorithmic number theory on the firm grounds of theoretical computer science”. Challenges: complexity (how fast can an algorithm be?), reliability (how correct should an algorithm be?), parallelisation.

Significant infrastructure

1. The Plafrim is a regional federation hosted at INRIA Bordeaux (in partnership with the LaBRI and IMB). It has an important cluster devoted to experimental code (1188 cores).
2. The Mésocenter de Calcul Intensif Aquitain (MCIA) is localized in Bordeaux. It hosts the Avakas cluster (3328 cores, 38 TFlops) and the M3PEC cluster (432 cores).

B.0.4.7 UO:UNIVERSITY OF OXFORD (UK)

The University of Oxford is in the top ten universities worldwide in the Shanghai 2013 and 2014 rankings (the Universities of Cambridge and Oxford are the only non-US university there). It employs over 10,000 staff and has a student population of over 21,000. Most staff are directly appointed and managed by one of the University's 130 departments or other units within a highly devolved operational structure - this includes 5,900 'academic related' staff (postgraduate research, computing, senior library, and administrative staff) and 2,820 'support' staff (including clerical, library, technical, and manual staff). There are also over 1,600 academic staff (professors, readers, lecturers), whose appointments are in the main overseen by a combination of broader divisional and local faculty board/departmental structures.

The Department of Computer Science is one of the longest established Computer Science departments in the country. Formerly known as the University Computing Laboratory, it is home to a community of world-class research and teaching. Research activities encompass core Computer Science, as well as Security, Algorithms, computational biology, quantum computing, computational linguistics, information systems, software verification and software engineering.

The department is home to undergraduates, full-time and part-time Master's students, and has a strong doctoral programme. Students are highly skilled and motivated, and as practice shows, easily start contributing to open-source projects such as Sagemath.

[height=1.3cm]UO

•
.

Curriculum vitae

[type=PI,PM=2,gender=female]Ursula Martin

Professor Ursula Martin has recently joined the University of Oxford, where she holds a Professorship, in conjunction with a Senior EPSRC Fellowship, on a joint arrangement between the Department of Computer Science and the Mathematical Institute. Her current research concerns social and computational techniques for creating mathematics, building on a significant track record at the interface of mathematics and computing. Prior to this she worked at Queen Mary University of London, where as Vice Principal for Science and Engineering she led strategic change, and was active in knowledge transfer activities and developing young staff.

Her work is characterized by strongly interdisciplinary collaboration in new problem domains at the interface of mathematics and computer science, identifying novel interactions between theory and practice, with real-world problems inspiring scientific advance. Major achievements include results linking randomness and symmetry, new unifying explanations of the power of computational logic, and new practical techniques for using computational logic and algebra in industry.

The work to be undertaken in the Work Package 5 (Social Aspects) fits very well into her current project, which concerns crowdsourced mathematics: the overarching goal is to understand and extend the human and computer creation of mathematics. It is mostly funded by her 2014 EPSRC Advanced Fellowship (EPSRC awards only one or two of these annually in Computer Science) is a partnership of industry, government and international academia. [type=PI,PM=2,salary=8000,gender=female]Edith Elkind is an Associate Professor at the Department of Computer Science of the University of Oxford. She is a leading expert in algorithmic game theory, computational social choice and voting theory, and in multiagent systems. In 2014 she received an ERC Starting Grant for the project "Algorithms for Complex Collective Decisions on Structured Domains". The settings considered in the present proposal provide a natural application domain for tools to be developed in the ERC project.

Edith holds a PhD (2005) from Princeton; before coming to Oxford in 2013 she held a Singapore National Research Foundation Fellowship totalling € 1.6M, graduating 3 PhD students and supervising a number of postdoctoral researchers. She chaired/is chairing program committees of major international conferences, such as AAMAS, and is an associate editor of a number of major journals, such as Artificial Intelligence Journal. [type=leadPI,PM=24,salary=7000,gender=male]Dmitrii Pasechnik is a Senior Research Fellow at the Department of Computer Science of the University of Oxford, where he also holds a Lectureship at Pembroke College. Before moving to Oxford in 2013, he taught mathematics for 8 years in Nanyang Technological University (Singapore). While there, he was successful in receiving individual grant funding totalling over € 500K, graduated 2 PhD students, supervised post-doctoral researchers, and co-organized a 2-months research program at Singapore Institute for Mathematical Sciences on a range of topics in computational mathematics, involving over 100 participants.

He works on a wide area of interconnected topics, related to computational algebra and optimization, combinatorics, algorithm, symbolic computing, and game theory, and authored over 70 papers on these topics, several of them using Sage and/or its components, such as GAP.

He is an active Sage developer, and regularly contributes, himself or together with his undergraduate or graduate students, new or improved Sage interfaces to various mathematical packages and databases. He taught a Sage-based undergraduate course while at Nanyang, and has good understanding of the overall Sage development process, as well as of development of other open-source software and databases, including their social/community aspects.

Publications, products, achievements

1. U. Martin. Computational logic and the social. J.Logic & Computation (2014) [doi:10.1093/logcom/exu036]
2. U. Martin, A. Pease. Mathematical Practice, Crowdsourcing, and Social Machines, in Springer LNCS vol. 7961 (2013) [doi:10.1007/978-3-642-39320-4_7]
3. G. Chalkiadakis, E. Elkind, M. Wooldridge. Computational Aspects of Cooperative Game Theory, Morgan & Claypool, 2011 [doi:10.2200/S00355ED1V01Y201107AIM016]
4. E. Elkind, D. Pasechnik, Y. Zick. Dynamic weighted voting games, in Proc. AAMAS 2013 [http://dl.acm.org/citation.cfm?id=2484920.2485]
5. S.H. Chan, H.D.L. Hollmann, D. Pasechnik. Sandpile groups of generalized de Bruijn and Kautz graphs and circulant matrices over finite fields, J. Algebra 421(2015) [doi:10.1016/j.jalgebra.2014.08.029]

Previous projects or activities

1. U. Martin holds an EPSRC Senior Fellowship, 2014-2017, to study the social machine of mathematics.
2. E. Elkind will hold an ERC Starter Grant, 2015-2020, to study and develop algorithms for collective decision making on structured domains.
3. D. Pasechnik supervised students contributing, and significantly contributes himself, to Sagemath, to OEIS, and to GAP.

Significant infrastructure

Oxford has world-class computational facilities, including numerous HPC clusters and a dedicated centre, Advanced Research Computing, to support HPC users. Another dedicated facility, Oxford's e-Research Centre, facilitates digital research and drives innovation in technology. Last but not the least, the library of Oxford University is one of the most complete libraries in the UK.

♠DP [WRITE HERE: Complete check list below – delete completed items if you wish]♠

- [] Have all our tasks a designated lead institution? Check in the Work Packages that all the tasks you are involved in have a dedicated lead party. If the lead party is "USO", then use:
`\begin{task}[lead=USO]`
- [] Have all our deliverables a designated lead institution [using the 'lead=' key]?
- [] In the "Members of the consortium section", have we addressed "a description of the legal entity and its main tasks, with an explanation of how its profile matches the tasks in the proposal"? See Entry for Paris Sud and Southampton as examples.
- [] In the Members of the consortium section, have we given descriptions of all the people we intend to hire (even if we don't know who that is yet).
- [] Do all our tasks include us in the list of sites involved?

B.0.4.8 USHEF:UNIVERSITY OF SHEFFIELD (UK)

The University of Sheffield is a leading Research University in the United Kingdom that was ranked 69th in the World in the most recent 2014 QS World University Rankings and was ranked in the top ten for the most recent UK wide research assessment exercise. Professor Lawrence is based in The Sheffield Institute for Translational Neuroscience (SITraN) and the Department of Computer Science at the University of Sheffield have a unique partnership based on two shared professorial appointments, Professor Winston Hide and Professor Neil Lawrence. SITraN is a world leading research centre for neurodegenerative disease, located in a purpose built building on the University of Sheffield campus adjacent to the Medical School at Sheffield Teaching Hospitals NHS Trust Royal Hallamshire Hospital.

The Department of Computer Science was ranked 5th across UK departments in "Research Quality" by the recent UK-wide Research Evaluation Framework. It has a particular history of working with data with internationally leading groups in Machine Learning, Speech and Language Processing.

[height=1.3cm]USH

•
;

Curriculum vitae

[type=leadPI,PM=6,salary=10000,gender=male]Neil Lawrence Neil Lawrence received his bachelor's degree in Mechanical Engineering from the University of Southampton in 1994. Following a period as an field engineer on oil rigs in the North Sea he returned to academia to complete his PhD in 2000 at the Computer Lab in Cambridge University. He spent a year at Microsoft Research in Cambridge before leaving to take up a Lectureship at the University of Sheffield, where he was subsequently appointed Senior Lecturer in 2005. In January 2007 he took up a post as a Senior Research Fellow at the School of Computer Science in the University of Manchester where he worked in the Machine Learning and Optimisation research group. In August 2010 he returned to Sheffield to take up a collaborative Chair in Neuroscience and Computer Science.

Neil's main research interest is machine learning through probabilistic models. He focuses on both the algorithmic side of these models and their application. He has a particular focus on applications in personalized health and computational biology, but happily dabbles in other areas such as speech, vision and graphics.

Neil was Associate Editor in Chief for IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence (from 2011-2013) and is an Action Editor for the Journal of Machine Learning Research. He was the founding editor of the JMLR Workshop and Conference Proceedings (2006) and is currently series editor. He was Programme Chair for AISTATS 2012 and has served on the programme committee of several international conferences. He was an area chair for the NIPS conference in 2005, 2006, 2012 and 2013, Workshops Chair in 2010 and Tutorials Chair in 2013. He was general chair of AISTATS in 2010 and AISTATS Programme Chair in 2012. He was Program Chair of NIPS in 2014.

Neil is a strong advocate of open source software in machine learning and has given many invited talks on the subject. Since 2004 his research group has made all their implementations available, most recently using Python and IPython as the main medium for communicating their work. Their Gaussian process python software framework⁵ is becoming a standard platform for research in these methods and underpins a series of Summer Schools and four day road shows that Neil has led in the area.⁶ [type=R, PM=6, salary=7500,gender=male]Michael Croucher is a Research Software Engineer at The University of Sheffield. He received his bachelor's degree in Theoretical Physics from The University of Sheffield in 1999 and completed his Theoretical Physics PhD there in 2005. He subsequently took up a research-support post in The University of Manchester's IT Services department before being appointed as Head of Application Software Support for the Faculty of Engineering and Physical sciences.

Michael is passionate about improving the quality of research software. He enables researchers to ask larger and more complex research questions by improving the software they develop. By teaching and demonstrating fundamental software engineering principles, he assists academic colleagues in producing robust, reproducible, fast and correct software.

He achieves these aims via a number of means:

Consultancy: He works directly on research code written in various languages. For a sample of recent client testimonials, see http://www.walkingrandomly.com/?page_id=5122

Outreach: He is the author of <http://www.walkingrandomly.com/> - a blog focused on mathematics and scientific computing with over 400,000 unique visitors a year. The associated twitter account, @walkingrandomly, has almost 3000 followers. He is a fellow of the Software Sustainability Institute, an organisation that promotes and supports research software engineering.

Education: He has taught programming and Software Carpentry classes to hundreds of researchers and uses his contacts with education and industry to arrange specialised teaching events relating to research software.

⁵<https://github.com/SheffieldML/GPy>

⁶<http://ml.dcs.shef.ac.uk/gpss/>

Mentoring: He acts as a 'code-coach' to new researchers, providing code reviews and private tutorials.

Vendor liaison: He has strong relationships with several vendors of scientific software including Mathworks, Wolfram Research, Maplesoft and NAG. These relationships have led to many fruitful collaborations between them and academic colleagues.

High Performance Computing: He has been involved with teams that develop and support Institutional HPC systems such as the Manchester University Condor Pool - a 3000+ CPU core facility made by utilising spare time on hundreds of desktop PCs. In this team, his primary role was to assist researchers in transitioning their workflow from the desktop to the Condor system.

Publications, products, achievements

1. N. Fusi, C. Lippert, N. D. Lawrence and O. Stegle. (2014) "Warped linear mixed models for the genetic analysis of transformed phenotypes" in Nature Communications 5 (4890)
2. J. Hensman, M. Rattray and N. D. Lawrence. (2014) "Fast nonparametric clustering of structured time-series" in IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence
3. M. A. Álvarez, D. Luengo and N. D. Lawrence. (2013) "Linear latent force models using Gaussian processes" in IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence 35 (11), pp 2693–2705
4. N. Fusi, O. Stegle and N. D. Lawrence. (2012) "Joint modelling of confounding factors and prominent genetic regulators provides increased accuracy in genetical genomics studies" in PLoS Computat Biol 8, pp e1002330

Previous projects or activities

1. Organisers of the Gaussian Process Summer Schools (three 3-day workshops on Gaussian process models in python and the IPython notebook).
2. Organisers of five Gaussian Process and Data Science Road Shows (educating on data science and Gaussian process models in Uganda, Colombia, Italy, Australia and Kenya) Each workshop is 3-4 days long.

Significant infrastructure

1. The Sheffield Institute for Translational Neuroscience is a 18 million pound world leading institute for research into neurodegenerative disorders. It houses clinicians, biologists and computationalists under a single roof and contains the Sheffield Microarray and Next Generation sequencing Core Facility. The institute provides an exemplar of how mathematical ideas can be rapidly translated to analysis through provision of appropriate software frameworks.

♠NL [WRITE HERE: Complete check list below – delete completed items if you wish]♠

- [] checked that sum of person months put into finance request is the same as sum of person months associated with the Work Packages (in proposal.tex, as defined as part of the `\begin{workpackage}` command).

Take into account person months associated with work package 1, time of all staff to be hired and work on the project (including investigators). Figure 5 helps with a quick check of the sums over different work packages.

- [] completed site specific resource summary in resources.tex, including table of non-staff costs. This is compulsory (EU regulations) if the non-staff cost exceed 15% of the total cost, and is likely to be the case for most of the partners. We ask everybody to do it, to be consistent and show transparently how we have planned our total budget.

- [] Have all our tasks a designated lead institution? Check in the Work Packages that all the tasks you are involved in have a dedicated lead party. If the lead party is "USO", then use:
`\begin{task}[lead=USO]`
- [] Have all our deliverables a designated lead institution [using the 'lead=' key]?
- [] In the "Members of the consortium section", have we addressed "a description of the legal entity and its main tasks, with an explanation of how its profile matches the tasks in the proposal"? See Entry for Paris Sud and Southampton as examples.
- [] In the Members of the consortium section, have we given descriptions of all the people we intend to hire (even if we don't know who that is yet).
- [] Do all our tasks include us in the list of sites involved?

B.0.4.9 USO:UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHAMPTON (UK)

The University of Southampton (USO) is one of the leading universities in the United Kingdom, was founded in 1952 and is a member of prestigious Russell Group of UK Universities. The University of Southampton has more than 19,000 undergraduate students and 4,000 postgraduates and is an excellent venue for conducting cutting-edge research and for providing high quality education. The university is truly international, drawing students from over 130 different countries and benefiting from a wide and varied culture. It is ranked in the top 1% of universities worldwide (QS world university rankings 2014-15) and in the top 15 of research led universities in the UK, and is participating in a high number of collaborative research projects and related initiatives. To ensure the impact of its research projects, University of Southampton's Research & Innovation Services (R&IS) is responsible for professional protection of IP and supporting commercial development with industry. R&IS has had considerable success, licensing annual revenue in excess of € 1million and launching twelve successful spin-out companies since 2000. The university has a strong track record of working in European projects, especially within the Framework Programme. The EC 6th FP7 Monitoring Report ranked USO 17th out of all higher and secondary education organisations for number of FP7 participations during 2007-2012. Throughout the FP7 USO has received € 132M in research grants and has been involved in 319 projects, including 63 ICT and 8 INFRASTRUCTURES Collaborative Projects.

[height=1.3cm]USO

•
;

The Faculty of Engineering and the Environment (FEE) consists of 370 research postgraduate students and 340 academic and research staff, and is one of the lead engineering faculties in Europe, educating a range of professionals and generating research of the highest quality. Southampton's world-leading engineering ranking is confirmed by being ranked first in the UK for the volume and quality of the research in Electronic Engineering, Electrical Engineering and General Engineering in the latest Research Excellence Framework (REF) 2014. The faculty also hosts the University Technology Centres and Research Framework Agreements with key partners including: Airbus, Rolls-Royce, Lloyd's Register, Microsoft and Network Rail. FEE has a strong background in working on international research projects, including 84 EU FP7 projects worth over € 28M.

In the context of this proposal, Southampton has long standing experience in high performance computer simulation to advance science and engineering, with significant hardware infrastructure, a critical mass of several hundred researchers working in the field, and a dedicated doctoral training center in computational modelling. Southampton's main tasks in this project are the extension and application of the Jupyter Notebook technology in computational materials research to provide a virtual research environment demonstrator for a large research community.

Curriculum vitae

[PM=6,type=leadPI,gender=male]Hans Fangohr Hans Fangohr is Professor of Computational Modelling at the University of Southampton. He has studied Physics with specialisation in Computer Science and Applied Mathematics, gained his PhD in High Performance Computing (2002) in computer science and has since worked on the development of computational tools and application of those in interdisciplinary projects in science and engineering.

He heads the University's interdisciplinary Computational Modelling Group (<http://cmg.soton.ac.uk>), and has more than 100 publications on development of computational methods and applied computer simulation in magnetism, superconductivity, biochemistry, astrophysics and aircraft design.

In 2013, he has attracted € 5m from the UK's Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council (EPSRC) together with additional moneys from industry and his University of Southampton to fund the € 12m Centre for Doctoral Training in Next Generation Computational Modelling (ngcm.soton.ac.uk) in the UK. This flagship activity will train about 75 PhD students (10 to 20 starting every year, first cohort started in September 2014) in the state-of-the-art and best-practice in computational modelling, the programming of existing and emerging parallel hardware and to apply these skills and tools to carry out PhD research projects across a range of topics from Science and Engineering. The centre has chosen IPython as a key tool to deliver this teaching, document and communicate computational exploration and drive reproducible computation to push for excellent computational science.

Hans Fangohr has led the development of the Open Source Nmag software (<http://nmag.soton.ac.uk>), which provides a finite-element micromagnetic simulation suite to a community of material scientists, engineers and physicists who research magnetic nanostructures in academia and industry. He has designed the package in 2005 so that it has an IPython-compatible Python interface, to make the workflow of using the simulation package as accessible as possible to scientists without substantial computational background. He has extensive experience in micromagnetic simulation tool development and use, and due to this an outstanding understanding of the requirements for computational workflows in this micromagnetic research community.

He has deep interest in excellence and innovation in learning and teaching. He has been awarded the prestigious Vice Chancellor's teaching award (£1000) three times (in 2006, 2010, 2013) for initiating and realising three separate

innovations in the university's teaching delivery of computational engineering, and has been voted "best lecturer" and "funniest lecturer" of the year by the students. Other Universities in the UK and elsewhere have adopted his teaching methods and materials. He has attracted grants to further develop learning and teaching activities, and given invited talks at international meetings on efficient learning and teaching of computational methods.

Hans Fangohr is chairing the UK's national Scientific Advisory Committee for High Performance Computing.

[PM=2,type=PI,gender=male]Ian Hawke Ian Hawke is a lecturer in Applied Mathematics at the University of Southampton and a co-director of the € 12m EPSRC Centre for Doctoral Training in Next Generation Computational Modelling. An expert in nonlinear simulations of relativistic matter and numerical techniques, he has taught numerical methods in many contexts for ten years. He has worked on IPython (Jupyter) Notebooks in education, particularly as an instructor on the "Practical Numerical Methods in Python" MOOC, which builds on other open technologies including OpenEdX and GitHub. The initial author of the "Whisky" relativistic hydrodynamics code, he has been a contributor to and maintainer of a range of projects used across the numerical relativity community, including the Einstein Toolkit, the Cactus infrastructure and the Carpet mesh refinement code. His recent research has concentrated on numerical methods for relativistic matter beyond ideal fluids, including modelling sharp transitions and surfaces, relativistic elasticity, and the first nonlinear simulations of relativistic multifluids.

[type=R, PM=32]NN We will hire a post-doctoral senior research fellow to carry out the work at Southampton, under the leadership of and together with Hans Fangohr. The fellow will have a background in computational science, ideally in micromagnetics, combined with solid IPython and Jupyter Notebook experience, and past experience of software engineering. We further require good communication and team working skills, and in particular interest and skill in the development of education materials to best support this part of the project.

Publications, products, achievements

1. Open Source micromagnetic simulation framework Nmag, <http://nmag.soton.ac.uk>, Thomas Fischbacher, Matteo Franchin, Giuliano Bordinon, Hans Fangohr: *A Systematic Approach to Multiphysics Extensions of Finite-Element-Based Micromagnetic Simulations: Nmag* *IEEE Transactions on Magnetics* **43**, 6, 2896-2898 (2007)
2. Other open source contributions to the micromagnetic simulation community: OVF2VTK, higher order anisotropy extensions to OOMMF, OVF2MFM, summarised at <http://www.southampton.ac.uk/fangohr/software/index.html>
3. H. Fangohr. *A Comparison of C, Matlab and Python as Teaching Languages in Engineering* Lecture Notes on Computational Science **3039**, 1210-1217 (2004)

Previous projects or activities

1. EPSRC Doctoral Training Centre in Complex Systems Simulations (<http://icss.soton.ac.uk>), jointly funded by EPSRC and the University of Southampton, € 14m, (2009–2018)

Significant infrastructure

1. The University of Southampton hosts the largest university owned Supercomputer "Iridis 4" in the UK (12,300 cores, 250 TFlops), the hardware (€ 3.75m) is refreshed every 3 years.
2. A community of 200 academics and over 500 researchers and doctoral students are users of this facility and provide a wide network pushing forward excellent computational science in the context of solving real world problems.
3. EPSRC Centre for Doctoral Training in Computational Modelling in the United Kingdom, € 12m. (<http://ngcm.soton.ac.uk>), (2013–2022)

B.0.4.10 USTAN:UNIVERSITY OF ST ANDREWS (UK)

The Centre for Interdisciplinary Research in Computational Algebra (CIRCA) fosters research at the interface of Mathematics and Computer Science including abstract and algorithmic algebra and combinatorics, formal languages and automata, mathematical software and constraint programming. Our success is founded on the close integration of theoretical and algorithmic research and the development and use of state-of-the-art software.

[height=1.3cm]SA

•
,

In 1997, CIRCA became the centre of the development of GAP after the retirement of under Prof. J. Neubüser who initiated the system in mid-1980s in Aachen. This move was supported by EPSRC, EU and Leverhulme grants. Now GAP and its packages comprise over 1 million lines of C and 1.25 million lines of GAP code. The system is distributed freely under the GPL2, and our records show that it has been installed in at least 3000 sites and cited in several thousands publications (see http://bit.ly/gap_citations and <http://www.gap-system.org/Doc/Bib/bib.html>). GAP was used in landmark computations such as the “Millennium Project” to classify all groups of order up to 2000 and the classification of the over 10^{19} semigroups of order 10. It is designed to be natural to use for mathematicians; to be powerful and flexible for experts and to be freely extensible so that it can encompass new mathematics. These objectives have been met and GAP was awarded the ACM/SIGSAM Richard D. Jenks Memorial Prize for Excellence in Software Engineering applied to Computer Algebra in 2008.

Nowadays, as one of the centres of GAP development, CIRCA has excellent contacts with developers and users worldwide. Particularly relevant to this proposal are the Singular and homalg groups at Kaiserslautern, Soicher at QMUL, Praeger and others at UWA, Cooperman and his students at NEU and the other GAP centres in Aachen, Fort Collins and Braunschweig.

CIRCA’s output includes first class results in Pure Mathematics and in Computer Science, recognised by our highly-cited publications in top international venues in both disciplines and our widely used research software. Beyond the individual international connections of the investigators and research staff, CIRCA as a centre has national importance and international standing. CIRCA has been selected to host major conferences such as CP 2010, BCC 2009, PP 2007, and the “Groups St Andrews” series in 2005 and 2013.

Curriculum vitae

[type=leadPI,PM=10,gender=male]Steve Linton is a Professor of Computer Science at St Andrews. He has worked in computational algebra since 1986 and has helped coordinate the development of GAP since its move from Aachen in 1997. He personally wrote key features of GAP, such as workspaces and exception handling, and has overseen the development and releases of the whole system. He directed CIRCA from 2000–2013. He is an editor of AAECC⁷. He has been PI of four major EPSRC grants and coordinated the EU project SCIENCE. He is the general chair of ISSAC 2015, the main conference in computer algebra. [type=PI,PM=24,gender=male]Alexander Konovalov is a Senior Research Fellow in CIRCA and has worked on GAP for more than 10 years. After holding the fellowship at the Vrije Universiteit Brussel in 2006, researching computational group ring theory, he moved to St Andrews in 2007 to join EU project SCIENCE. He leads many aspects of the GAP project, including release preparation, regression testing and liaison with package authors. He has authored 38 papers and 8 GAP packages, and co-organised a number of events, most recently the LMS/EPSRC Short Instructional Course in Computational Group Theory, the HPC-GAP workshop (2013), and the Summer School on Experimental Methodology in Computational Science Research (2014). He is an editor of Journal of Software for Algebra and Geometry and a Fellow of the Software Sustainability Institute. [type=R,PM=48,gender=male]Markus Pfeiffer is a Research Fellow at the University of St Andrews active in the School of Computer Science and the School of Mathematics and Statistics. His unusual breadth of knowledge encompasses expertise in formal language theory, decidability, and algebra, as well as practical computation and programming languages. Since receiving his PhD in 2013 he has been an active researcher in semigroup theory as well as contributing to the GAP system both as a package author, and as a core system developer.

Publications, products, achievements

1. S. Linton, K. Hammond, A. Konovalov, C. Brown, P.W. Trinder, H.-W. Loidl, P. Horn and D. Roozmond, Easy Composition of Symbolic Computation Software using SCSCP: A New Lingua Franca for Symbolic Computation. J. Symbolic Computation, 49 (2013), 95–119.
2. V. Janjic, C.M. Brown, M. Neunhöffer, K. Hammond, S. Linton, H-W. Loidl. Space exploration using parallel orbits: a study in parallel symbolic computing. in Parallel Computing: Accelerating Computational Science and Engineering (CSE). vol. 25, Advances in Parallel Computing, IOS Press, 2013, p. 225–232.

⁷Applicable Algebra and Error Correcting Codes

3. A. Konovalov and S. Linton. SCSCP — Symbolic Computation Software Composability Protocol, Version 2.1.4; 2013. GAP package (<http://alexk.host.cs.st-andrews.ac.uk/scscp/>).
4. V. Slavici, D. Kunkle, G. Cooperman, S. Linton. An efficient programming model for memory-intensive recursive algorithms using parallel disks. In ISSAC 2012: Proceedings of the 37th International Symposium on Symbolic and Algebraic Computation. New York, ACM Press, 2012, p. 327–334.
5. R. Behrends, A. Konovalov, S. Linton, F. Lübeck, M. Neunhöffer. Towards high-performance computational algebra with GAP. Proceedings of the Third International Congress on Mathematical Software: Kobe, Japan, September 13–17, 2010. LNCS 6327, Springer, 2010, p. 58–61.

Previous projects or activities

1. **Multidisciplinary Critical Mass in Computational Algebra and Applications** EP/C523229 2005–2010, £1.1m. Through a range of subprojects this grant developed CIRCA as a broad centre of excellence in computational algebra. We extended GAP, developed new algorithms, and opened up new applications in AI, combinatorics and physics. The project produced over 200 refereed publications in a huge range of venues, and delivered, as intended, a sustainable step change in the scale and breadth of CIRCA's research.
2. **SciENCE: Symbolic Computation Infrastructure for Europe** (FP6 eRII3-CT-026133) 2006–2011, € 3.2m, coordinator (see [B.0.1.3.6](#)).
3. **HPCGAP: High Performance Computational Algebra and Discrete Mathematics** EP/G055181 2009–2014, £1.5m, four sites, coordinator (see [B.0.1.3.6](#)).

Significant infrastructure

CIRCA provides hosting for the GAP website and ftp-servers, runs the automated system to check, fetch and test updates of GAP packages, uses the Jenkins continuous integration system to run regression tests for the development version and release candidates on its computer infrastructure, and manages GAP releases preparation.

♠SL [WRITE HERE: Complete check list below – delete completed items if you wish]♠

- [X] checked that sum of person months put into finance request is the same as sum of person months associated with the Work Packages (in proposal.tex, as defined as part of the `\begin{workpackage}` command).

Take into account person months associated with work package 1, time of all staff to be hired and work on the project (including investigators). Figure 5 helps with a quick check of the sums over different work packages.

- [X] completed site specific resource summary in resources.tex, including table of non-staff costs. This is compulsory (EU regulations) if the non-staff cost exceed 15% of the total cost, and is likely to be the case for most of the partners. We ask everybody to do it, to be consistent and show transparently how we have planned our total budget.
- [] Have all our tasks a designated lead institution? Check in the Work Packages that all the tasks you are involved in have a dedicated lead party. If the lead party is "USO", then use: `\begin{task}[lead=USO]`

SL: all done except possibly for Social Aspects

- [X] Have all our deliverables a designated lead institution [using the 'lead=' key]?
- [] In the "Members of the consortium section", have we addressed "a description of the legal entity and its main tasks, with an explanation of how its profile matches the tasks in the proposal"? See Entry for Paris Sud and Southampton as examples.
- [X] In the Members of the consortium section, have we given descriptions of all the people we intend to hire (even if we don't know who that is yet).
- [] Do all our tasks include us in the list of sites involved?

B.0.4.11 UW:UNIVERSITY OF WARWICK (UK)

The Mathematics Institute at the University of Warwick was ranked 23rd worldwide in the 2013 QS world university subject rankings, and third in the UK in the 2014 Research Excellence assessment. Five members of the Department are Fellows of the Royal Society, and one, Regius Professor Martin Hairer, was awarded a Fields Medal in 2014. Mathematics and Statistics at Warwick currently hold £35.8M in research grants from EPSRC (the next highest in the UK being Cambridge at £22.8M and Oxford at £24.2M). Nine members of the department currently hold ERC grants.

[height=1.3cm]UW

•
,

Curriculum vitae

[type=leadPI,PM=3,gender=male]John E. Cremona Professor of Mathematics. DPhil (Oxford, 1981) under Birch. Previous posts: Michigan, Dartmouth (US), Exeter, and Nottingham (as chair and Head of Pure Mathematics). Cremona has around 50 publications, including a book and papers in *Compositio* and *Crelle*. He has held grants from EPSRC and other UK sources worth £2.5M as well as € 2.5m from the EU for Marie-Curie Research Training Networks in 2000-2004 and 2006-2010. He was a Scientist in Charge of one of twelve teams in both of these networks, and leader of the work package “Effective Cohomology Computations” in the second, responsible for several deliverables. He has been on the Scientific Committee of 30 international conferences (including several Sage Days), and given many invited lecture series. He co-organised semester-long research programmes at IHP Paris (2004) and MSRI (2011). He has been an editor for five journals including the *LMS Journal of Computation and Mathematics* and the *Journal of the Foundations of Computational Mathematics* (FoCM). He has supervised 16 PhD students, a dozen Masters students, two EU-funded Marie-Curie fellows and currently has three EPSRC-funded postdoctoral research assistants working for the LMFDB project. Cremona has given over 30 invited conference addresses and seminars in 9 countries in the last 10 years; most recently he was a Plenary Speaker at the 2014 FoCM meeting in Montevideo, where he spoke about the LMFDB project to a wide international audience of computational mathematicians.

Cremona’s research includes areas of particular relevance to the current project. His methods for systematically enumerating elliptic curves, which are the subject of a book and numerous papers, have been used to compile a definitive database of elliptic curves which is very widely cited, and now forms part of the LMFDB. Cremona’s experience in managing such computations and the management, publication and electronic dissemination of the resulting large datasets set a standard which large-scale number-theoretical database projects such as the LMFDB now seek to match. Cremona’s experience and reputation in this field have been important for the LMFDB project.

Cremona has been a leading computational number theorist in the UK since his PhD thesis in 1981, following in the tradition of Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer. He has written thousands of lines of code in his C++ library *eclib* (one of the standard packages included in Sage since its inception) which includes his widely-used program *mwrank* for computing ranks of elliptic curves. As well as writing thousands of lines of new python code for Sage, he has also contributed to the active number-theoretical packages *Pari/GP* and *Magma*. [type=R, PM=24]NN We will hire a computational support technician to work at Warwick, under the leadership of Professor John Cremona, on the tasks of Work Package 6 (Data/Knowledge/Software-bases). He or she will develop closer integration between Sage and the LMFDB, as a prototype for similar integration between mathematical software and databases. He or she will have a background in computational science, with experience of software engineering, including front-end web development (HTML5, CSS), will be proficient in Python and web-development libraries (*flask*, *jinja2*), and have knowledge of SQL and NoSQL databases (*SQLite*, *MongoDB*). We further require the person to have good communication and team-working skills, to be able to communicate technical details to casual programmers and able to prioritise and delegate tasks. Experience with Sage and other mathematical software will be an advantage.

Publications, products, achievements

1. The Number Theory research group at Warwick was started only in 2006, but has rapidly risen to international status and one of the largest and most vibrant groups in Europe, comprising 25 members (professors, lecturers, postdoctoral researchers and early stage researchers). Of the group’s members, two (Loeffler and Dokchitser) hold Royal Society Research Fellowships and one (Bartel) a Royal Commission 1851 Fellowship. Loeffler won a Leverhulme Foundation Prize jointly with Zerbes.
2. Several members of the Number Theory group at Warwick are Sage developers, including John Cremona, who has contributed thousands of lines of code to Sage since 2006 both through his *eclib* C++ library and through original Python code which forms part of the Sage library; David Loeffler, who has contributed substantially to the modular forms module in Sage; and postdoc Marc Masdeu, who has worked on the Sage-Flint interface.

Previous projects or activities

1. In 2013 Professors John Cremona and Samir Siksek, together with co-investigators at Bristol, were awarded a six-year major grant of £2.2M from the UK Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council (EPSRC) to support the L-functions and Modular Forms Database (LMFDB) project. This grant funds three postdoctoral researchers at Warwick, computer equipment to host its database and website, and regular LMFDB workshops.
2. Each year Warwick hosts a year-long Warwick EPSRC Symposium focussing on one area of mathematical research. The 2012-13 Number Theory Symposium, organised by John Cremona with Samir Siksek, included six research workshops and a summer school “Number Theory for Cryptography” and raised the international profile of the number theory group substantially.
3. As well as workshops for the LMFDB project, John Cremona has co-organised a Flint-Sage-Days workshop at Warwick with William Hart (now at Kaiserslautern).

Significant infrastructure

Computing infrastructure available to the group is excellent, with seven dedicated machines (over 300 cores) as well as access through Warwick's Centre for Scientific Computing which hosts a 6000-core linux cluster and a 3500-core cluster of workstations.

B.0.4.12 JacU:JACOBS UNIVERSITY BREMEN (DE)

Jacobs University is a private Anglo-Saxon style research university. It opened in 2001 and has an international student body (1320 students from 115 nations as of 2011). The KWARC (KnowlEdge Adaptation and Reasoning for Content [12]) Group headed by *Prof. Dr. Michael Kohlhase* specializes in knowledge management for STEM. Formal logic, natural language semantics, and semantic web technology provide the foundations for the research of the group.

[height=1.3cm]JU

-
- ,

Curriculum vitae

[type=leadPI,PM=6,gender=male]Michael Kohlhase Dr. Michael Kohlhase is full professor for Computer Science at Jacobs University Bremen and an associate adjunct professor at Carnegie Mellon University.

He studied pure mathematics at the Universities of Tübingen and Bonn (1983 - 1989) and continued with computer science, in particular higher-order unification and automated theorem proving (Ph.D. 1994, Saarland University).

His current research interests include knowledge representation for mathematics, inference-based techniques for natural language processing, and computer-supported education. He has pursued these interests during extended visits to Carnegie Mellon University, SRI International, and the Universities of Amsterdam, Edinburgh, and Auckland.

Michael Kohlhase is recipient of the dissertation award of the Association of German Artificial Intelligence Institutes (AKI; 1995) and of a Heisenberg stipend of the German Research Council (DFG 2000-2003). He was a member of the Special Research Action 378 (Resource-Adaptive Cognitive Processes), leading projects on both automated theorem proving and computational linguistics. Michael Kohlhase was trustee of the Conference on Automated Deduction (CADE), Mathematical Knowledge Management (MKM), and the CALCULEMUS conference, he is a member of the W3C Math working group, president of the OpenMath Society, and the general secretary of the Conference on Intelligence Computer Mathematics (CICM).

[PM=6,type=PI,gender=male]Florian Rabe is a post-doctoral research fellow at Jacobs University Bremen. He completed his PhD in 2008 and his habilitation in 2014 and holds the *venia legendi*.

He has worked on the formal representation and management of mathematical knowledge for 10 years. He was a lead researcher in the LATIN project (2009-2012), which produced a highly modular and integrated library of formal languages for knowledge representation. He is currently a principal investigator in the OAF project, which builds on LATIN to produce an archive of libraries of formal mathematical knowledge.

He is the creator and main developer of the MMT language and system, which are the backbone of both LATIN and OAF. MMT has been developed for 8 years with contributions from > 10 people and currently consists of > 30,000 lines of Scala code.

He served in the organization committee of 2 and the program committee of 6 international conferences (2 as track chair) on intelligent computer mathematics, and has organized 4 international workshops on module systems and libraries for mathematical knowledge. He has authored 65 research papers (11 in international journals) and has supervised 17 undergraduate and graduate theses.

[PM=24,salary=5900,type=Res,gender=male]Christian Maeder Dr. Christian Maeder is a research developer at Jacobs University. He has extensive experience in designing and implementing logic-based software system. He is the lead implementor of the HETS system.

[type=JRes,PM=24,salary=5900,gender=male]Mihnea Iancu Mihnea Iancu is a third-year doctoral student at the KWARC group. He is the lead implementor of the MathHub.info system. He has worked extensively on the representation for formal and informal mathematical knowledge in the MMT system.

Relevant previous experience: The KWARC group is the lead implementor of the OMDoc (Open Mathematical Document) format for representing mathematical knowledge [13] and redeveloped its formal core in the OMDoc/MMT format [24]. The latter has been implemented in the MMT system [23, 24] which provides efficient implementations of the computational primitives such as type checking, flattening, and presentation at a logic/foundation-independent level. The group has developed services powered by such semantically rich representations, different paths to obtaining them, as well as platforms that integrate both aspects. *Services* include the adaptive context-sensitive presentation framework provided by the MMT API and the semantic search engine MathWebSearch[15, 14].

Semantic services can be integrated into the documents generated from OMDoc/MMT representations, making them into “active documents”, i.e. documents that are interactive and adaptive to the user and situation. For *obtaining* rich content, the group investigates assisted manual editing [11] as well as automatic annotation using linguistic techniques [9]. Finally, KWARC has developed the MathHub.info portal a community-based library and knowledge management system for flexiformal libraries, which can be used for semantic publishing and eLearning [16, 17, 10].

The OMDoc/MMT knowledge representation format and the MathHub.info system will an important basis for the developments Work Packages 4 and 6.

Michael Kohlhasse has initiated and led the CALCULEMUS! IHP-Research and Training Network and participated in the FP6 IST MoWGLI (Mathematics on the Web: Get it by Logic and Interfaces) project, the FP6 CSA Once-CS (Open Network of Centres of Excellence in Complex Systems), The FP7 EDC project WebALT (Web Advanced Learning Technologies).

Specific expertise:

- Modeling formal structures of mathematical knowledge in a web-scalable way
- Transforming large collections of legacy scientific publications to semantically structured markup
- Designing user interfaces for authoring and interacting with mathematical knowledge

♠MK [WRITE HERE: Complete check list below – delete completed items if you wish]♠

- [x] checked that sum of person months put into finance request is the same as sum of person months associated with the Work Packages (in proposal.tex, as defined as part of the `\begin{workpackage}` command).

Take into account person months associated with work package 1, time of all staff to be hired and work on the project (including investigators). Figure 5 helps with a quick check of the sums over different work packages.

- [x] completed site specific resource summary in resources.tex, including table of non-staff costs. This is compulsory (EU regulations) if the non-staff cost exceed 15% of the total cost, and is likely to be the case for most of the partners. We ask everybody to do it, to be consistent and show transparently how we have planned our total budget.
- [x] Have all our tasks a designated lead institution? Check in the Work Packages that all the tasks you are involved in have a dedicated lead party. If the lead party is "USO", then use: `\begin{task}[lead=USO]`
- [x] Have all our deliverables a designated lead institution [using the 'lead=' key]?
- [] In the "Members of the consortium section", have we addressed "a description of the legal entity and its main tasks, with an explanation of how its profile matches the tasks in the proposal"? See Entry for Paris Sud and Southampton as examples.
- [x] In the Members of the consortium section, have we given descriptions of all the people we intend to hire (even if we don't know who that is yet).
- [] Do all our tasks include us in the list of sites involved?

B.0.4.13 UK:UNIVERSITY OF KAISERSLAUTERN (DE)

B.0.4.13.1 University of Kaiserslautern

The University of Kaiserslautern (UK) is a medium sized university founded in 1970. It currently consists of 12 departments, ranging from mathematics and business studies and economics, computer sciences and electrical and computer technology over mechanical and process engineering, biology, chemistry and physics to architecture, regional and environmental planning, and social sciences. The university has 13,725 students, 3636 of whom are remote study students. The scientific location of Kaiserslautern is also distinguished by the presence of multiple external research institutes of considerable reputation, including two facilities of the Fraunhofer network and the German Research Institution for Artificial Intelligence. All these institutions maintain close links and even share staff with the corresponding departments of UK, which is chairing the Science Alliance Kaiserslautern, a network of these research institutions. The university conducts a number of international collaborations and successfully participated in projects funded under several EU Framework Programs and has gathered comprehensive experience both as coordinator and partner in research networks and projects. Besides projects with national funding, the UK is also very active in the field of international research. In this context, the funding instruments available in the EU Framework Programmes play an important role. In total, the UK is partner to a total of 11 projects (as of January 2015) conducted under the 7th FP and Horizon 2020. Nine further individual projects funded by ERC (2) or Marie-Curie measures (7) are being co-ordinated by researchers at UK. By this involvement to date, UK has procured more than 13 million Euros under the 7th FP.

[height=1.3cm]UK

In the context of this proposal, the *Algebra, Geometry, and Computer Algebra Group* of the Department of Mathematics at UK is widely known for its long tradition in computational algebraic geometry and algebra, with particular emphasis on the development of the computer algebra system *Singular* and its satellites and subsystems such as *FACTORY*, *POLYBORI*, and *PLURAL*. Kaiserslautern's main tasks in this project are to add very fine-grained parallelism to some key components of *SINGULAR* and to work on the maintainance and improvement of *MPIR*.

Curriculum vitae

[PM=2, type=leadPI,gender=male]Prof. Dr. Wolfram Decker

Wolfram Decker is a professor of mathematics at TU Kaiserslautern. He formerly was a research fellow at Berkeley with a NATO grant, a visiting researcher at Kyoto with a JSPS grant, and a professor at Saarbrücken, Germany. Decker has more than thirty publications including two books on computational algebraic geometry and papers in *Compositio*, *Crelle*, and *Mathematische Annalen*. He has held several grants in four different priority programmes of the German Research Council DFG and is now coordinator of the priority programme SPP 1489 "Algorithmic and Experimental Methods in Algebra, Geometry, and Number Theory". He was also coordinator of the European algebraic geometry network *EuroProj* (1996–1999) and Chair of the programme management committee of the European algebraic geometry network *EAGER* (2000–2004). He held seven grants for EU Highlevel Scientific Conferences and (co-)organized about 50 conferences, summer schools, workshops, and coding sprints. He was Chair of the Minisymposium on Computer Algebra during the third ECM. Decker has supervised 13 PhD students. He has been a frequent lecturer at the African Institute of Mathematics (AIMS) at Cape Town, and he has run 8 schools on computational algebraic geometry in different countries.

Decker's research interests lie in areas of algebraic geometry and computer algebra. In addition to writing theoretical papers, he is a leader in mathematical software development and has written thousands of lines of code himself. He has made contributions to the systems *MACAULAY2* and, much more substantially, *SINGULAR*. Since 2009 he is the head of the *SINGULAR* development team. Current tasks of the team include crosslinking *SINGULAR* to other systems, most notably to *GAP*, and parallelizing *SINGULAR*. These tasks are fundamental to the **MathVRE** project.

[PM=2,type=PI,gender=male]Dr. William Hart

William Hart is a postdoctoral researcher at the University of Kaiserslautern. He is the lead developer of the *Flint* and *MPIR* projects as well as the main author and maintainer of the *BSDNT* bignum library, the *Nemo* and *ANTIC* libraries and a contributor to various other software packages.

Before coming to Kaiserslautern, held a prestigious five year Career Acceleration Fellowship "Algorithms in Algebraic Number Theory" at Warwick University in the UK. He has been involved in a number of high performance computing records, including computation of congruent numbers (subject to the BSD conjecture) up to a trillion (10^{12}).

William is the main author of the FFT code for multiplication of large integers and polynomials in both *MPIR* and *Flint*, which are used extensively by the *Sage*, *Singular* and *Macaulay 2* computer algebra systems.

The main focus of William's research has been in algorithms for fast arithmetic, fast integer and polynomial factorisation and to algebraic number theory, including computation of modular equations and class invariants.

[type=res,PM=48]NN [type=res,PM=36]NN We will hire two full time experienced software developers to work under the leadership of Wolfram Decker on adding very fine-grained parallelism to some key components of SINGULAR. The fellows will have past experience of parallelism in software development. We further require good communication and team working skills.

[type=res,PM=12]NN We will hire a full time highly specialized software developer and assembly expert, to work under the leadership of William Hart on the performance task [WP5.T5](#) for MPIR.

Publications, products, achievements

1. SINGULAR computer Algebra system.
2. Wolfram Decker is coordinator of the DFG Priority Project SPP1489 *Algorithmic and Experimental Methods in Algebra, Geometry, and Number Theory*.
3. FLINT AND MPIR C libraries for number theory and bignum arithmetic.
4. William Hart held an EPSRC Career Acceleration Fellowship EP/G004870/1 from 2008-2013, *Algorithms in Algebraic Number Theory*

Previous projects or activities

1. Member of the DFG Priority Project *Algorithmic Number Theory and Algebra*.

Significant infrastructure

Excellent computing infrastructure (high end servers), access to different types of compute clusters through the IT-Center of the TU Kaiserslautern.

♠WD [WRITE HERE: Complete check list below – delete completed items if you wish]♠

- [] checked that sum of person months put into finance request is the same as sum of person months associated with the Work Packages (in proposal.tex, as defined as part of the `\begin{workpackage}` command).

Take into account person months associated with work package 1, time of all staff to be hired and work on the project (including investigators). Figure 5 helps with a quick check of the sums over different work packages.

- [] completed site specific resource summary in resources.tex, including table of non-staff costs. This is compulsory (EU regulations) if the non-staff cost exceed 15% of the total cost, and is likely to be the case for most of the partners. We ask everybody to do it, to be consistent and show transparently how we have planned our total budget.
- [x] Have all our tasks a designated lead institution? Check in the Work Packages that all the tasks you are involved in have a dedicated lead party. If the lead party is "USO", then use: `\begin{task}[lead=USO]`
- [] Have all our deliverables a designated lead institution [using the 'lead=' key]?
- [x] In the "Members of the consortium section", have we addressed "a description of the legal entity and its main tasks, with an

explanation of how its profile matches the tasks in the proposal"? See Entry for Paris Sud and Southampton as examples.

- [x] In the Members of the consortium section, have we given descriptions of all the people we intend to hire (even if we don't know who that is yet).
- [] Do all our tasks include us in the list of sites involved?

B.0.4.14 US:UNIVERSITY OF SILESIA (PL)

The University of Silesia in Katowice was established in 1968. Now, with 12 faculties and several interdisciplinary schools and centers, over 30000 students and over 2000 academic staff the University is one of the largest in Poland. Students are educated at three educational levels: Bachelor, Master and Doctoral and their achievement are accumulated using European Credit Transfer and Accumulation System (ECTS). Located in the heart of Upper Silesia, Poland's old industrial region with distinct history and cultural identity, the university attracts many scientists and students.

[height=1.3cm]US

The origins of the *Faculty of Mathematics, Physics and Chemistry* date back to the academic year 1968/1969 and coincide with the establishment of the University of Silesia. One of the largest university units, the faculty incorporates, as its name indicates, three separate departments: mathematics, physics and chemistry, each with several divisions and subdivisions carrying out the research and educational activities. There are over 1900 students, both full-time and part-time, educated at three educational levels: Bachelor's, Master's and Doctoral. The Faculty is entitled to grant doctoral degrees in the natural sciences. The Faculty staff consists of 243 academics who are both teachers and researchers.

Curriculum vitae

[type=leadPI,PM=12,salary=2000,gender=male]Marcin Kostur is an assistant Professor at the Institute of Physics, author of over 40 publication cited over 1000 times in the field of statistical physics, solid state physics (Josephson Junction dynamics), microfluidics and biophysics. He is experienced in application of GPU architecture to numerical simulations of stochastic processes in physics. His recent computational interests are focused at the Open Source project "Sailfish" - HPC implementation of Lattice Boltzmann Method on GPU.

He is leader of two projects incorporating computations to the science education:

- Computing in high school science education - iCSE4schools, project funded by Erasmus+, Key Action 2 - Strategic Partnerships", (budget: EUR 263.320, 2014-2017)
- "Computers in Science Education: iCSE" <http://icse.us.edu.pl> (budget: c.a. EUR 1 milion, funded by EFS, 2011-2014)

He is also co-Author and a task coordinator of PAAD (Platforma Analiz i Archiwizacji Danych) founded by POIG program for 2014-2015 with a total budget c.a. of EUR 4 million. The task "Interactive HPC services for science" main goal is to provide interactive interface to HPC infrastructure (heterogenous cluster of 48 nodes, including 24 GPU and 24 Xeon Phi) using innovative technology of "web notebook" interface.

[type=PI,PM=12,salary=2500,gender=male]Jerzy Łuczka Prof. Dr. Jerzy Łuczka (his web page: <http://zft.us.edu.pl/luczka>) is a full professor of physics at the University of Silesia (Katowice, Poland). He heads the Department of Theoretical Physics.

He published more than 150 papers in journals (all on ISI Master Journal List) which have been cited almost 2000 times.

He is Editor of European Physical Journal B, Chairman of the Statistical and Nonlinear Physics Division (European Physical Society), Fellow of the Institute of Physics (United Kingdom) and Outstanding Referee (American Physical Society). He was Co-director of the NATO Advanced Research Workshop "Stochastic Systems. From randomness to complexity", 2002, Erice (Italy) and Member of the Steering Committee of the program : "Stochastic Dynamics: Fundamentals and applications" (European Science Foundation), 2003-2008. He received the DAAD research fellowship (Forschungsaufenthalte für Hochschullehrer und Wissenschaftler) 1995, 2009 and 20012. He was a leader of several Polish and two German-Polish grants. He has collaborators in Germany, Italy and Spain. He has also co-organized international conferences.

Łuczka's research interests lie in areas of stochastic processes in physics, quantum open systems, transport phenomena, physical fundamentals of quantum information. He has teaching experience with Sage in physics, biophysics and econophysics.

[type=PI,PM=12,salary=1400,gender=male]Jan Aksamit got his PhD in 1982 and worked at University of Silesia as research assistant, lecturer and senior lecturer. His skills combine forty 40-years of experience in teaching algebra, classical and quantum mechanics, quantum information, statistical physics, and mathematical methods of physics with proficiency in computing. He has actively participated in the project iCSE (innovative Computing in Science Education), where he created Sage enhanced textbook of Linear Algebra (polish only: <http://visual.icse.us.edu.pl/LA>) using modern interactive technologies. In this project his main task will be to pursue to exploit the vast lecturing experience for creation of interactive demonstrators of VRE.

Publications, products, achievements

1. Sailfish: A flexible multi-GPU implementation of the lattice Boltzmann method Computer Physics Communications Vol.181(9), 2350-2368;2014. Web: <http://sailfish.us.edu.pl>
2. M.Januszewski and M.Kostur. "Accelerating numerical solution of stochastic differential equations with CUDA", Computer Physics Communications, 181(1):183-188, 2010. Web: <https://github.com/marcinofulus/CUDASDE.git>
3. iCSE - course materials materials - http://visual.icse.us.edu.pl/iCSE_main/

Previous projects or activities

Internationalization of research and education is one of the priority directions of development of the University of Silesia. The University scientists are actively engaged in research at the international level, actively participates in European Commission initiatives focused both on educational and scientific development, and implements projects within the LLP/Erasmus+ programme, the Research Fund for Coal and Steel, Framework Programmes, as well as the EU Structural Funds.

The institution has been involved in more than 40 FP7 proposals, of which 15 have been funded.

The Faculty of Mathematics, Physics and Chemistry was engaged in the implementation of several FP6 and FP7 projects, i.e.:

1. HadronPhysics (RII3/CT/2003/506078)
2. FlaviaNet (MRTN-CT-2006-035482)
3. LAGUNA (212343)
4. LHCPhenoNet (612536).

There are following projects which are directly connected to infrastructures for virtual research environments:

1. 2011-2014 - iCSE (innovative Computing in Science Education) - grant from European Social Fund, EUR 1 Million, incorporating computational perspective in teaching of mathematics, physics and chemistry using cloud based Sage system and Python language.
2. 2014-30.11.2015 PAAD (Platform for data analysis and archiving) - EUR 3.8Million, funded is mostly HPC center for research with interactive access based on web based notebook UI.
3. 2014-30.11.2015 CNS: Center of Applied Science - 2nd stage, Infrastructure grant includes EUR 500.000 funding for small HPC and cloud infrastructure for education. Technically this will be extension of research HPC center for educational purposes.

Significant infrastructure

The University of Silesia has finished currently executes grants from ESF in total c.a. Million 120 Euro for infrastructure, laboratories and computing centers. New HPC centers are under construction (PAAD and CNS projects) which will provide necessary hardware for development and implementation of virtual research environments.

♠MK [WRITE HERE: Complete check list below – delete completed items if you wish]♠

- [X] ;-) checked that sum of person months put into finance request is the same as sum of person months associated with the Work Packages (in proposal.tex, as defined as part of the `\begin{workpackage}` command.

Take into account person months associated with work package 1, time of all staff to be hired and work on the project (including investigators). Figure 5 helps with a quick check of the sums over different work packages.

- [] completed site specific resource summary in resources.tex, including table of non-staff costs. This is compulsory (EU

regulations) if the non-staff cost exceed 15% of the total cost, and is likely to be the case for most of the partners. We ask everybody to do it, to be consistent and show transparently how we have planned our total budget.

- [] Have all our tasks a designated lead institution? Check in the Work Packages that all the tasks you are involved in have a dedicated lead party. If the lead party is "USO", then use:
`\begin{task}[lead=USO]`
- [] Have all our deliverables a designated lead institution [using the 'lead=' key]?
- [] In the "Members of the consortium section", have we addressed "a description of the legal entity and its main tasks, with an explanation of how its profile matches the tasks in the proposal"? See Entry for Paris Sud and Southampton as examples.
- [] In the Members of the consortium section, have we given descriptions of all the people we intend to hire (even if we don't know who that is yet).
- [] Do all our tasks include us in the list of sites involved?

♠MK [WRITE HERE: PIC for University of Silesia]♠

B.0.4.15 UZH:UNIVERSITÄT ZÜRICH (CH)

The University of Zurich consistently ranks among the top 15 research institutions in Europe. It is the largest university in Switzerland, with over 26000 students, and offers the most comprehensive academic program of the country. It has close to 600 professors and over 5000 academic staff.

Switzerland ranks high in innovation, competitiveness and research spending, and much of this enthusiasm for research is concentrated around Zurich. UZH also benefits from synergies with the ETH Zurich.

The Mathematics Institute has 17 professors and around 60 PhD students, part of a graduate school run jointly with ETH Zurich. Also joint is a Computational Science program uniting 47 researchers, mostly in the sciences, who make use of computational methods.

[height=1.3cm]ZH

•
,

Curriculum vitae

[type=leadPI,PM=6,gender=male]Paul-Olivier Dehaye Paul-Olivier Dehaye is a Swiss National Science Foundation Assistant Professor at the University of Zurich. After his Phd at Stanford (2006), he has also worked in Oxford, at the Institut des Hautes Etudes Scientifiques and at ETH Zurich. He currently has 13 papers published in international peer-reviewed journals. He is currently supervising three PhD students and one post-doc.

His main research is at the intersection of Number Theory and Combinatorics, and in particular in Random Matrix Theory conjectures. He has additional interests in FLOSS, semantic tools, massive online education and crowdsourcing, all with the view of enabling larger scale mathematical and scientific collaborations. He is also member of the program committee of CICM 2015 (Conference on Intelligent Computer Mathematics).

He is a contributor to the Sage, LMFDB and OpenEdX projects, and has organised two conferences relating to these projects. The first was held in 2013 in Edinburgh, and organised jointly with Nicolas Thiery. Its official title was *Online databases: from L-functions to combinatorics*, and it served as a precursor to some aspects of this grant, by bringing the Sage-Combinat and LMFDB communities together. The second was held in June 2014 in Zurich and organised jointly with Stanford. It aimed at building a community around the open source python-based MOOC platform OpenEdX, and opened a series of conferences now held twice annually.

Dehaye has also taught for two years now a python course using OpenEdX, which aims to bring first year students to the level of potential contributor to Sage. This course also has a project-based component. It is now run locally for a small audience, but could be scaled up in various ways.

Publications, products, achievements

- Dehaye is editor for the LMFDB, and has contributed to the project since its inception (2007). His students are also contributors.
- For several of his papers, Dehaye used extensive computer-assisted experimentation (using mostly the combinatorial components of Sage) to inform the formulation of the eventual theorems, including for instance:
 - Combinatorics of lower order terms in the moments conjecture for the Riemann zeta function*, arXiv:1201.4478
 - Integrality of hook ratios*, arXiv:1111.5959, in *Proceedings of the Formal Power Series and Algebraic Combinatorics 2012 (Nagoya) conference*.
 - A multiset hook length formula and some applications*, with Guoniu Han, in *Discrete Mathematics*, (311) 23–24, pp. 2690–2702, 2011.
 - A note on moments of derivatives of characteristic polynomials*, in *DMTCS Proc. Formal Power Series and Algebraic Combinatorics 2010*, vol. 12.
 - Joint moments of derivatives of characteristic polynomials*, in *Algebra and Number Theory Journal* **2** (2008), no. 1, pp. 31–68.
- Dehaye has been extensively involved in teaching Python and Sage at UZH, through an online platform called OpenEdX. This has led him to organise the first community-driven conference around this (open-source) software, and to develop (together with students) additional tools, such as `edx-presenter`.

Previous projects or activities

Swiss National Foundation PP00P2/138906: Combinatorics of partitions and number theoretic aspects This grant covers research at the intersection of number theory and combinatorics. Some of its aims are to uncover combinatorial structures that lurk in complicated formulas for moments of L -functions (such as the Riemann zeta

function). As such, it is simultaneously a heavy user of numerical methods from analytic number theory and of combinatorial techniques implemented in Sage.

Significant infrastructure

1. The Faculty of Sciences of the UZH benefits from very strong specialized IT support in the form of the S3IT group. They operate for instance a research cloud and a local supercomputer, and provide further assistance for the design of hardware and software systems to further research. They have a pool of software engineers that can be hired on projects such as this one for shorter periods.
2. UZH has a stake in Piz Daint, currently the sixth largest (and most energy-efficient) supercomputer in the world. This supercomputer is now currently expanded.

♠POD [WRITE HERE: Complete check list below – delete completed items if you wish]♠

- [X] checked that sum of person months put into finance request is the same as sum of person months associated with the Work Packages (in proposal.tex, as defined as part of the `\begin{workpackage}` command).

Take into account person months associated with work package 1, time of all staff to be hired and work on the project (including investigators). Figure 5 helps with a quick check of the sums over different work packages.

Only 1 for Zurich in management!

- [] completed site specific resource summary in resources.tex, including table of non-staff costs. This is compulsory (EU regulations) if the non-staff cost exceed 15% of the total cost, and is likely to be the case for most of the partners. We ask everybody to do it, to be consistent and show transparently how we have planned our total budget.
- [X] Have all our tasks a designated lead institution? Check in the Work Packages that all the tasks you are involved in have a dedicated lead party. If the lead party is "USO", then use: `\begin{task}[lead=USO]`
- [X] Have all our deliverables a designated lead institution [using the 'lead=' key]?
- [X] In the "Members of the consortium section", have we addressed "a description of the legal entity and its main tasks, with an explanation of how its profile matches the tasks in the proposal"? See Entry for Paris Sud and Southampton as examples.
- [X] In the Members of the consortium section, have we given descriptions of all the people we intend to hire (even if we don't know who that is yet).
- [] Do all our tasks include us in the list of sites involved?

B.0.4.16 Simula:SIMULA RESEARCH LABORATORY (NO)

Dedicated to tackling scientific challenges with long-term impact and of genuine importance to real life, Simula Research Laboratory (Simula) offers an environment that emphasizes and promotes basic research. At the same time, we are deeply involved in research education and application-driven innovation and commercialisation.

[height=1.3cm]SR

•
,

Simula was established as a non-profit, limited company in 2001, and is fully owned by the Norwegian Ministry of Education and Research. Its research is funded through competitive grants from national funding agencies and the EC, research contracts with industry, and a basic allowance from the state. Simula's operations are conducted in a seamless integration with the two subsidiaries Simula School of Research and Innovation and Simula Innovation.

At its outset, the laboratory was given the mandate of becoming an internationally leading research institution within select fields in information and communications technology. These fields are (i) communication systems, including cyber-security; (ii) scientific computing, aiming at fast and reliable solutions of mathematical models in biomedicine, geoscience, and renewable energy; and (iii) software engineering, focusing on testing and verification of mission-critical software systems, and on planning and cost estimation of large software development projects. Recent evaluations state that Simula has met its challenge and is an acknowledged contributor to top-level research in its focus areas. Specifically, in the 2012 national evaluation of ICT research organized by the Research Council of Norway and conducted by an international expert panel, Simula received the highest average score (4.67) on a 1-5 scale among all evaluated institutions. In comparison, the national average was 3.38. Only five of the 62 research groups evaluated were awarded the top grade (5), and two of these five groups are located at Simula.

Simula is currently hosting one Norwegian Centre of Excellence, Center for Biomedical Computing (2007-2017), and one Norwegian Centre for Research-based Innovation, Certus (2011-2018). In addition, we participate as research partner in another Centre for Research-based Innovation, Centre for Cardiological Innovation (2011-2018), hosted by Oslo University Hospital. These two center-oriented schemes are the most prestigious funding instruments offered by the Research Council of Norway.

Curriculum vitae

[PM = 8, type=leadPI, gender=male]Hans Petter Langtangen Hans Petter Langtangen is director of Center for Biomedical Computing at Simula Research Laboratory, a Norwegian Center of Excellence doing inter-disciplinary research in the intersection of mathematics, physics, computer science, geoscience and medicine. Langtangen is on 80% leave from a position as professor at the Department of Informatics, University of Oslo.

Langtangen received his PhD from the Department of Mathematics, University of Oslo, in 1989, and then worked at SINTEF before being hired as assistant professor at the University of Oslo in 1991. After being promoted to full professor of mechanics at the Department of Mathematics in 1998, he moved in 1999 to a professorship in computer science. In the period 1999-2002 he also held an adjunct professor position at the Department of Scientific Computing at Uppsala University in Sweden. The Simula Research Laboratory was formed in 2001, and Langtangen has since then worked with research and management at this laboratory. The scientific computing activity at Simula has been awarded the highest grade, Excellent, by five panels of top-ranked international scientists in the period 2001-2012.

Langtangen's research is inter-disciplinary and involves continuum mechanical modeling, applied mathematics, stochastic uncertainty quantification, and scientific computing, with applications to biomedicine and geoscience in particular. He has also been occupied with developing and distributing scientific software to make the research results more widely accessible and help accelerate research elsewhere. For over three decades he has been very active with teaching and supervision.

The scientific production consists of 4 authored books, 3 edited books, about 60 papers in international journals, about 60 peer-reviewed book chapters and conference papers, and over 130 scientific presentations. The publications cover fluid flow, elasticity, wave propagation, heat transfer, finite element methods, uncertainty quantification, and implementation techniques for scientific software. Langtangen is on the editorial board of 7 journals and serves as Editor-in-Chief of the leading SIAM Journal on Scientific Computing. He is also a member of the Norwegian Academy of Science and Letters.

[PM=24, type=R]JNN We will hire a post-doctoral senior research fellow to carry out the work at Simula, under the leadership of and together with Hans Petter Langtangen. The fellow will have a background in computational science, combined with profound IPython and Jupyter Notebook experience, and past experience of software engineering. Ideal candidate will also have good communication skills and team working abilities, and in particular interest and skill in the development of education materials to best support this part of the project.

Publications, products, achievements

1. A. Logg, K.-A. Mardal, G. N. Wells et al. Automated Solution of Differential Equations by the Finite Element Method, Springer (2012). [doi:10.1007/978-3-642-23099-8]
2. P. E. Farrell, D. A. Ham, S. W. Funke, and M. E. Rognes. Automated Derivation of the Adjoint of High-Level Transient Finite Element Programs. SIAM J. Sci. Comput. 35-4 (2013), pp. C369-C393
3. H.P. Langtangen. A Primer on Scientific Programming with Python. Texts in Computational Science and Engineering, Springer (2014), 792 pp..
4. M. S. Alnæs, A. Logg, K. B. Ølgaard, M. E. Rognes, G. N. Wells. Unified Form Language: A domain-specific language for weak formulations of partial differential equations, ACM Transactions on Mathematical Software, 40(2) (2014).

Previous projects or activities

1. The Centre for Biomedical Computing, a Norwegian Centre of Excellence, awarded by the Research Council of Norway. Duration: 2007-2017. Budget: 75 MNOK (10 MEUR).
2. The FEniCS Project (www.fenicsproject.org) Duration: 2007–on-going.

Significant infrastructure

The fully owned Simula subsidiary Simula Innovation handles pre-commercial innovation projects, creation and follow-up of company spin-offs, and general support for entrepreneurs.

♠HPL [WRITE HERE: Complete check list below – delete completed items if you wish]♠

- [] Have all our tasks a designated lead institution? Check in the Work Packages that all the tasks you are involved in have a dedicated lead party. If the lead party is "US0", then use: `\begin{task}[lead=US0]`
- [] Have all our deliverables a designated lead institution [using the 'lead=' key]?
- [] Do all our tasks include us in the list of sites involved?

[science-project]

B.0.4.17 Third Parties Involved in the Project (including use of third party resources)

♠EC Commentary: Please complete, for each participant, the table (see page 27 of "VRETemplate.PDF"), or simply state "No third parties involved", if applicable.♠

No third parties involved.

♠TO DO: Or Seattle? See <https://www.iprhelpdesk.eu/node/2549>♠

B.0.5 Ethics and Security

♠**EC Commentary:** *This section is not covered by the page limit.*♠

B.0.5.1 Ethics

♠**EC Commentary:** *If you have entered any ethics issues in the ethical issue table in the administrative proposal forms, you must:*

- *submit an ethics self-assessment, which:*
 - *describes how the proposal meets the national legal and ethical requirements of the country or countries where the tasks raising ethical issues are to be carried out;*
 - *explains in detail how you intend to address the issues in the ethical issues table, in particular as regards: research objectives (e.g. study of vulnerable populations, dual use, etc.), research methodology (e.g. clinical trials, involvement of children and related consent procedures, protection of any data collected, etc.), the potential impact of the research (e.g. dual use issues, environmental damage, stigmatisation of particular social groups, political or financial retaliation, benefit-sharing, malevolent use , etc.)*

- *provide the documents that you need under national law (if you already have them), e.g.:*

- *an ethics committee opinion;*
- *the document notifying activities raising ethical issues or authorizing such activities*

If these documents are not in English, you must also submit an English summary of them (containing, if available, the conclusions of the committee or authority concerned).

If you plan to request these documents specifically for the project you are proposing, your request must contain an explicit reference to the project title♠

B.0.5.2 Security

Please indicate if your proposal will involve:

- activities or results raising security issues: NO
- 'EU-classified information' as background or results: NO

References

- [1] <http://math.nist.gov/oommf/>.
- [2] http://math.nist.gov/oommf/oommf_cites.html.
- [3] .
- [4] F. Brandt et al., eds. *Handbook of Computational Social Choice*. Cambridge University Press, 2015.
- [30] The Sage-Combinat community. *Sage-Combinat: enhancing Sage as a toolbox for computer exploration in algebraic combinatorics*. <http://combinat.sagemath.org>. 2008.
- [5] CVE-2014-6271.
- [6] Docker – An open platform for distributed applications for developers and sysadmin. <http://docker.com><http://docker.com>. 2015.
- [7] Antonio J. Durán, Mario Pérez, and Juan L. Varona. “The Misfortunes of a Trio of Mathematicians Using Computer Algebra Systems. Can We Trust in Them?” In: *Notices of AMS* 61.10 (2014). , pp. 1249–1252.
- [8] T Fischbacher et al. “A systematic approach to multiphysics extensions of finite-element based micro-magnetic simulations: nmag”. In: *IEEE Transactions on Magnetics* 43.6 (2007), pp. 2896–2898.
- [31] Jean Fromentin and Florent Hivert. “Exploring the tree of numerical semigroups”. In: (2013). Hal: [00823339v2](https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-00823339v2).
- [9] Deyan Ginev et al. “An Architecture for Linguistic and Semantic Analysis on the arXMLiv Corpus”. In: *Applications of Semantic Technologies (AST) Workshop at Informatik 2009*. 2009. URL: http://www.kwarc.info/projects/lamapun/pubs/AST09_LaMaPUn+appendix.pdf.
- [10] Mihnea Iancu et al. “System Description: MathHub.info”. In: *Intelligent Computer Mathematics 2014*. Conferences on Intelligent Computer Mathematics. (Coimbra, Portugal, July 7–11, 2014). Ed. by Stephan Watt et al. Lecture Notes in Computer Science. Springer, 2014, pp. 431–434. URL: <http://kwarc.info/kohlhase/submit/cicm14-mathhub.pdf>.
- [11] Constantin Jucovschi and Michael Kohlase. “sTeXIDE: An Integrated Development Environment for sTeX Collections”. In: *Intelligent Computer Mathematics*. Ed. by Serge Autexier et al. LNAI 6167. Springer Verlag, 2010, pp. 336–344. ISBN: 3642141277. arXiv: [1005.5489v1](https://arxiv.org/abs/1005.5489v1) [cs.OH].
- [12] *Knowledge Adaptation and Reasoning for Content*. URL: <http://kwarc.info> (visited on 05/12/2011).
- [13] Michael Kohlase. OMDoc – An open markup format for mathematical documents [Version 1.2]. LNAI 4180. Springer Verlag, Aug. 2006. URL: <http://omdoc.org/pubs/omdoc1.2.pdf>.
- [14] Michael Kohlase, Bogdan A. Matican, and Corneliu C. Prodescu. “MathWebSearch 0.5 – Scaling an Open Formula Search Engine”. In: *Intelligent Computer Mathematics*. Conferences on Intelligent Computer Mathematics (CICM). (Bremen, Germany, July 9–14, 2012). Ed. by Johan Jeuring et al. LNAI 7362. Berlin and Heidelberg: Springer Verlag, 2012, pp. 342–357. ISBN: 978-3-642-31373-8. URL: <http://kwarc.info/kohlhase/papers/aisc12-mws.pdf>.
- [15] Michael Kohlase and Ioan Şucan. “A Search Engine for Mathematical Formulae”. In: *Proceedings of Artificial Intelligence and Symbolic Computation, AISC’2006*. Ed. by Tetsuo Ida, Jacques Calmet, and Dongming Wang. LNAI 4120. Springer Verlag, 2006, pp. 241–253. URL: <http://kwarc.info/kohlhase/papers/aisc06.pdf>.
- [16] Michael Kohlase et al. “The Planetary System: Web 3.0 & Active Documents for STEM”. In: *Procedia Computer Science* 4 (2011): *Special issue: Proceedings of the International Conference on Computational Science (ICCS)*. Ed. by Mitsuhiro Sato et al. Finalist at the Executable Paper Grand Challenge, pp. 598–607. DOI: [10.1016/j.procs.2011.04.063](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procs.2011.04.063). URL: <http://kwarc.info/kohlhase/papers/epc11.pdf>.
- [17] *MathHub.info: Active Mathematics*. URL: <http://mathhub.info> (visited on 01/28/2014).
- [18] *MathOverflow*. URL: <http://mathoverflow.net> (visited on 09/08/2011).

- [19] Noam Nisan. “Introduction to mechanism design (for computer scientists)”. In: *Algorithmic game theory*. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2007, pp. 209–241.
- [20] *Nmag* — *Guided tour*. http://nmag.soton.ac.uk/nmag/0.2/manual/html/guided_tour.html.
- [21] *Nmag micromagnetic simulation suite*. <http://nmag.soton.ac.uk>.
- [22] *Notebook based interactive GUI for common simulation requirements*. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=RhMHgQbP__A. 2014.
- [23] Florian Rabe. *The MMT Language and System*. URL: <https://svn.kwarc.info/repos/MMT> (visited on 10/11/2011).
- [24] Florian Rabe and Michael Kohlhase. “A Scalable Module System”. In: *Information & Computation* 0.230 (2013), pp. 1–54. URL: <http://kwarc.info/frabe/Research/mmt.pdf>.
- [25] Julie Rehmeyer. *Massively Collaborative Mathematics*. Apr. 1, 2010. URL: <http://www.siam.org/news/news.php?id=1731> (visited on 01/08/2015).
- [26] Aleksandrs Slivkins and Jennifer Wortman Vaughan. “Online Decision Making in Crowdsourcing Markets: Theoretical Challenges”. In: *SIGecom Exch.* 12.2 (Nov. 2014), pp. 4–23. ISSN: 1551-9031. DOI: [10.1145/2692359.2692364](https://doi.org/10.1145/2692359.2692364). URL: <http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/2692359.2692364>.
- [32] Software.intel.com. *Intel® Cilk™ Homepage*. <https://www.cilkplus.org/>. 2013.
- [33] Software.intel.com. *Intel® Cilk™ Plus Reference Guide*. <https://software.intel.com/en-us/node/522579>. 2013.
- [27] *The polymath blog*. URL: <http://polymathprojects.org/> (visited on 07/12/2010).
- [28] *Trac Open Source Project*.
- [29] *VirtualBox*. <http://virtualbox.org><http://virtualbox.org>. 2015.