

## §1 Tensor products ( §2 in Atiyah-Macdonald )

$M, N, P$   $A$ -modules.

Defn  $f: M \times N \rightarrow P$  called  $A$ -bilinear  $\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \forall x \in M, y \in N,$

$f(x, -): N \rightarrow P$ ,  $f(-, y): M \rightarrow P$  are  $A$ -linear.

Like for vector spaces or abelian groups,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{BiHom}_A(M, N; P) &\xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}_A(M, \text{Hom}_A(N, P)) \\ f &\longmapsto [x \mapsto f(x, -)] \end{aligned}$$

Prop 1 Given  $M, N$ , there exist a pair  $(T, g)$  where

·)  $T$  an  $A$ -module

·)  $g: M \times N \rightarrow T$   $A$ -bilinear

s.t. for all  $P$  and all  $A$ -bilinear  $f: M \times N \rightarrow P$ ,

there is a unique  $A$ -linear  $h: T \rightarrow P$  s.t.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M \times N & \xrightarrow{g} & T \\ & \searrow & \downarrow h \\ \neq & & P \end{array} \quad \text{commutes.}$$

The pair  $(T, g)$  is unique up to unique isomorphism. It is called a tensor product of  $M$  and  $N$  over  $A$ .

Example 2 Say  $M = A^m$  and  $N = A^n$ . We have

$$\text{Bilin}_A(A^m, A^n; P) \cong M_{m \times n}(P) \quad (*)$$

$$f \mapsto (f(e_i, e_j))_{i=1, \dots, m, j=1, \dots, n}$$

(This is the matrix representation of bilinear maps.)

Put  $T = A^{m \times n}$ , call its standard basis  $(e_{ij})$ .

Let  $g: A^m \times A^n \longrightarrow A^{m \times n}$  be the unique bilinear map that satisfies  $g(e_i, e_j) = e_{ij}$ .

Its matrix representation is precisely  $(e_{ij})_{ij}$ . Then  $(A^{m \times n}, g)$

is a tensor product of  $A^m$  and  $A^n$ :

Given  $f$  as in  $(*)$ , the  $A$ -linear map

$$h: A^{m \times n} \longrightarrow P, \quad e_{ij} \mapsto f(e_i, e_j)$$

is the unique one that satisfies  $f = h \circ g$ .

Observation  $g$  is not surjective, but  $\text{Im}(g)$  generates  $T$  as  $A$ -module.

(The latter has to be the case by the universal property.)

Proof of Prop 1 1) Consider the (huge!) module

$$\tilde{T} := \bigoplus_{(x,y) \in M \times N} A \cdot e_{(x,y)} \quad \text{and the map}$$

$$\tilde{g} : M \times N \rightarrow \tilde{T}, \quad (x,y) \mapsto e_{(x,y)}$$

Here,  $\tilde{g}$  is just a map of sets, no further properties.

Note that if  $f : M \times N \rightarrow P$  is any map,

then there is a unique  $A$ -linear map  $\tilde{h} : \tilde{T} \rightarrow P$

s.t.  $f = \tilde{h} \circ \tilde{g}$ , namely  $\tilde{h}(e_{(x,y)}) = f(x,y)$ .

2) Let  $U \subseteq \tilde{T}$  be the smallest  $A$ -submodule s.t. the

composition  $g = [\pi : \tilde{T} \rightarrow \tilde{T}/U] \circ \tilde{g}$  is an  $A$ -bilinear map.

Concretely,  $U$  is the submodule generated by

$$e_{(x, y_1 + y_2)} - e_{(x, y_1)} - e_{(x, y_2)}, \quad e_{(x, ay)} - ae_{(x, y)}$$

$$e_{(x_1 + x_2, y)} - e_{(x_1, y)} - e_{(x_2, y)}, \quad e_{(ax, y)} - ae_{(x, y)}$$

$$\text{for } a \in A, \quad x, x_1, x_2 \in M, \quad y, y_1, y_2 \in N. \quad \textcircled{c}$$

$$\text{Put } T = \tilde{T}/U.$$

3) Claim  $(T, \gamma)$  is a tensor product.

Proof Given  $f: M \times N \rightarrow P$ , let  $\tilde{h}: \tilde{T} \rightarrow P$  be the unique  $A$ -linear map s.t.  $f = \tilde{h} \circ \tilde{g}$ :

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} M \times N & \xrightarrow{\tilde{g}} & \tilde{T} & \xrightarrow{\pi} & T \\ & \searrow f & \downarrow \tilde{h} & \nearrow h & \\ & & P & & \end{array}$$

We need to show that the factorization  $h$  exists. Equivalently, the claim is that  $\tilde{h}(u) = 0 \quad \forall u \in U$ .

But  $\tilde{h}(z) = 0$ , where  $z$  is any of the generators from @, because  $f$  is bilinear. The claim follows.  $\square$

Definition/Notation We write

$$(M \otimes_A N, (x, y) \mapsto x \otimes y)$$

for the tensor product of  $M$  and  $N$  over  $A$ .

Elements of the form  $x \otimes y$  are called elementary tensors.

They generate  $M \otimes_A N$  as  $A$ -module.

Example  $e_1 \otimes e_1 + e_1 \otimes e_2 = e_1 \otimes (e_1 + e_2) \in A^2 \otimes_A A^2$  is elementary, while  $e_1 \otimes e_1 + e_2 \otimes e_2$  is not (if  $A \neq 0$ ).

## §2 Properties

Functoriality Let  $\phi: M_1 \rightarrow M_2$ ,  $\psi: N_1 \rightarrow N_2$  be  $A$ -linear maps. Consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M_1 \times N_1 & \xrightarrow{\quad} & M_1 \otimes_A N_1 \\ (\phi, \psi) \downarrow & \searrow & \downarrow \phi \otimes \psi \\ M_2 \times N_2 & \xrightarrow{\quad} & M_2 \otimes_A N_2 \end{array}$$

The diagonal map is  $A$ -bilinear, so factors through a unique  $A$ -linear  $\phi \otimes \psi: M_1 \otimes_A N_1 \rightarrow M_2 \otimes_A N_2$ .

It is given on elementary tensors by  
 $(\phi \otimes \psi)(x \otimes y) = \phi(x) \otimes \psi(y)$ .

Quotients Special case: Let  $U \subseteq M$  be an  $A$ -submodule.

Then  $M \otimes_A N \rightarrow M/U \otimes_A N$  is surjective because it is surjective on elementary tensors and these are generators.

How can we describe the kernel? Answer:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{BilHom}(M/U, N; P) &= \{ \phi \in \text{BilHom}(M, N; P) \mid \phi|_{U \times N} = 0 \} \\ &= \{ h \in \text{Hom}(M \otimes N, P) \mid h \circ (U \otimes N \rightarrow M \otimes N) = 0 \} \\ &= \text{Hom}(M \otimes N / \text{Im}(U \otimes N \rightarrow M \otimes N), P). \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Thus } M/U \otimes_A N \xrightarrow{\sim} M \otimes_A N / \text{Im}(U \otimes_A N \rightarrow M \otimes_A N)$$

$$(x+U) \otimes y \longmapsto x \otimes y$$

Example 3 Together with Example 2, this gives a completely general description for  $M \otimes_A N$ :

Choose presentations  $M \cong A^{\oplus I}/U$ ,  $N \cong A^{\oplus J}/V$ . Then

$$M \otimes_A N \cong A^{\oplus I} \otimes_A A^{\oplus J} / \text{Im}(U \otimes_A A^{\oplus J}) + \text{Im}(A^{\oplus I} \otimes_A V)$$

Concrete example:

$$A = \mathbb{Z}, \quad M = \mathbb{Z}/u\mathbb{Z}, \quad N = \mathbb{Z}/v\mathbb{Z}, \quad u, v \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Let  $e, f$  be generators of  $M, N$ .

$$\text{Example 2: } \mathbb{Z} \cdot e \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z} \cdot f \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{Z} \cdot e \otimes f.$$

$$\text{Im}((u\mathbb{Z} \cdot e) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z} f \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} e \otimes f) = u \cdot \mathbb{Z} e \otimes f.$$

$$(ue) \otimes f \longmapsto u \cdot e \otimes f$$

$$\text{Im}(\mathbb{Z} e \otimes (v \cdot \mathbb{Z} f) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} e \otimes f)$$

$$e \otimes vf \longmapsto v \cdot e \otimes f$$

Conclusion:  $\mathbb{Z}_e/u\mathbb{Z}_e \otimes \mathbb{Z}_f/v\mathbb{Z}_f$

$$\cong \mathbb{Z}_{e \otimes f} / (u, v) \mathbb{Z}_{e \otimes f} \cong \mathbb{Z} / \gcd(u, v) \mathbb{Z}.$$