

Aim Introduce maximal ideals, PIDs, prime factorization and power series rings.

§ 1 Fields

Lem 1 A ring.

1) For ideal $\mathfrak{o} \subseteq A$: $\mathfrak{o} = A \Leftrightarrow 1 \in \mathfrak{o} \Leftrightarrow \exists \text{ unit } u \in \mathfrak{o}$.

2) For $x \in A$: $x \in A^\times \Leftrightarrow (x) = A$.

Proof 1) \Rightarrow immediate. \Leftarrow Assume $u \in \mathfrak{o}$ is a unit, $a \in A$ arbitrary.

Then $au^{-1} \cdot u \in \mathfrak{o}$ (ideal property)

2) $(x) = A \xLeftrightarrow[1] 1 \in (x) \Leftrightarrow$ can write $1 = x \cdot y$

$\Leftrightarrow x \in A^\times$.

□

Recall A field $\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} A \neq 0$ & $A^\times = A \setminus \{0\}$.

Lem 2 $A \neq 0$ ring. Then

A field $\Leftrightarrow (0)$, A only ideals in A

Proof \Rightarrow Let $\mathfrak{o} \subseteq A$ ideal, $0 \neq x \in \mathfrak{o}$. Then $(x) \subseteq \mathfrak{o}$.

A field $\Rightarrow (x) = A$ (by Lem 1) $\Rightarrow \mathfrak{o} = A$.

\Leftarrow If $0 \neq x \in A$, then $(x) \neq 0$, hence $(x) = A$,

hence $x \in A^\times$. □

Defn An ideal $m \subseteq A$ maximal $\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} m \neq A$ and no ideal σ satisfies $m \subsetneq \sigma \subsetneq A$.

Cor 3 $m \subseteq A$ maximal $\Leftrightarrow A/m$ is a field.

Proof Let $\sigma \subseteq A$ any ideal, let $\pi: A \rightarrow A/\sigma$ projection map.

Then $\{ \text{ideals } \bar{b} \subseteq A/\sigma \} \xrightarrow{1:1} \{ \text{ideals } \sigma \subsetneq b \subseteq A \}$

$$\bar{b} \mapsto \pi^{-1}(\bar{b}) \quad (*)$$

$$\pi(b) = b/\sigma \mapsto b$$

Thus 1st $m \neq A \Leftrightarrow A/m \neq 0$

2nd For $m \neq A$: $\nexists m \subsetneq \sigma \subsetneq A \Leftrightarrow \nexists (0) \subsetneq \sigma \subsetneq A/m$

$\stackrel{\text{lem 2}}{\Leftrightarrow} A/m \text{ field.} \quad \square$

§2 PID's

Def Principal ideal domain (PID) $\stackrel{\text{def}}{=}$ integral domain A s.t. every ideal $\sigma \subseteq A$ is principal, i.e. $\sigma = (f)$ for some $f \in A$.

Examples 1) \mathbb{Z} , $K[T]$ with K field

2) $A := \mathbb{C}[\varepsilon]/(\varepsilon^2)$ is no integral domain.

Claim The ideals in A are (0) , $(\bar{\varepsilon})$, A
where $\bar{\varepsilon} = \varepsilon + (\varepsilon^2)$ residue class of ε .

Proof First note that $A^\times = \{a + b\bar{\varepsilon} \mid a \in \mathbb{C}^\times\}$.

Namely $(a+b\bar{\varepsilon})(a-b\bar{\varepsilon}) = a^2$ shows \supseteq while the implication
$$\left(1 = (a+b\bar{\varepsilon})(c+d\bar{\varepsilon}) = ac + (ad+bc)\bar{\varepsilon} \implies \begin{cases} ac = 1 \\ ad+bc = 0 \end{cases}\right)$$
shows \subseteq .

Now let $0 \neq \sigma \subseteq A$ ideal. If there is $a+b\bar{\varepsilon} \in \sigma$, $a \neq 0$,
then $\sigma = A$ by Lem 1. Or, $\sigma = \{b\bar{\varepsilon}\} = (\bar{\varepsilon})$. \square

Def A principal ideal ring $\stackrel{\text{def}}{=}$ ring A s.t. any ideal $\sigma \subseteq A$ is principal.

Lemma 4 A integral domain, $f, g \in A$. Then

$$(f) = (g) \iff \exists \text{ unit } u \text{ s.t. } g = u \cdot f.$$

Proof $\implies (f) = (g)$ means we can write $g = u \cdot f$, $f = v \cdot g$
with $u, v \in A$.

Then $f = u \cdot v \cdot f$, which implies $(1 - uv) \cdot f = 0$

A integral domain $\implies f = 0$ or $(1 - uv) = 0$.

In first case also $g = 0$, so $f = 1 \cdot g$.

In second case, $uv = 1$, so u a unit.

~~\Leftarrow~~ If $g = u \cdot f$ w/ u unit, then $f = u^{-1} \cdot g$.

Thus $f \in (g)$ and $g \in (f)$, so $(f) = (g)$. \square

Prop A PID. Then $\{ \text{ideals in } A \} \xrightarrow{1:1} A/A^\times$
 $(f) \longmapsto \bar{f}$

Examples Every $\sigma \in \mathbb{Z}$ uniquely of form $n \cdot \mathbb{Z}$ w/ $n \geq 0$

Every $\sigma \in K[T]$ uniquely of form (f) , f monic.

Defn A integral domain. $p \in A$ prime $\stackrel{\text{def}}{=}$

$p \neq 0$, $p \notin A^\times$ & $p \mid ab \implies p \mid a$ or $p \mid b$.

Thm 5 A a PID, $0 \neq f \in A$. Then there exists a unit $u \in A^\times$, prime elements $p_1, \dots, p_r \in A$ and exponents $e_1, \dots, e_r \geq 1$ s.t.

$$f = u \cdot p_1^{e_1} \cdots p_r^{e_r} \quad (\text{Prime factorization of } f.)$$

Furthermore, we may assume that $p_i \nmid p_j$ if $i \neq j$, in which case the pairs (p_i, e_i) are unique up to reordering and up to replacing p_i by $u_i p_i$ with $u_i \in A^\times$.

Proof We need some auxiliary statements first, that are however interesting in themselves.

Step 0 If $f \in A^\times$, then $f = f$ is the unique prime factorization. (If $p \mid f$, then $p \mid f f^{-1} = 1$, so p is a unit and hence no prime element.)

Thus from now on $f \notin A^\times$.

Step 1 Given $f \in A \setminus A^\times$, there exists a maximal ideal m with $f \in m$.

Proof •) Define a chain of ideals as follows:

$$\sigma_0 = (f), \quad \sigma_{i+1} = \begin{cases} \sigma_i & \text{if } \sigma_i \text{ maximal} \\ \text{s.t.h. } \sigma_i \subsetneq \sigma_{i+1} \subsetneq A & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Note $\sigma_0 \subseteq \sigma_1 \subseteq \sigma_2 \subseteq \sigma_3 \subseteq \dots$

•) The union $b = \bigcup_{i \geq 0} \sigma_i$ is again an ideal (check this!)

A PID \Rightarrow Can write $b = (g)$.

•) Then $g \in \sigma_i$ for some i and thus

$$(g) \subseteq \sigma_i \subseteq b = (g), \quad \text{so}$$

$\sigma_i = \sigma_{i+1} = \dots$. This means that σ_i is maximal.

\square Step 1.

Step 2 Let $m = (p)$ be an ideal in PID A .

Assume $m \neq 0$. Then m maximal $\Leftrightarrow p$ prime element.

Proof \Rightarrow .) $m \neq 0 + \text{maximal} \Rightarrow p \neq 0, p \notin A^\times$.

•) Assume $p \mid ab$. This means $\bar{a}\bar{b} = 0$ in A/m .

A/m is a field (by Cor 3), so this implies

$\bar{a} = 0$ or $\bar{b} = 0$, hence $a \in m$ or $b \in m$

which means $p \mid a$ or $p \mid b$. Hence p is prime.

\Leftarrow •) Assume $(p) \subseteq \mathfrak{n}$ is a maximal ideal that contains p (use Step 1). We want to see $(p) = \mathfrak{n}$.

•) A PID \Rightarrow Can write $\mathfrak{n} = (q)$. By first half of this proof, q is prime. By the Lem 6 below, $(p) = (q)$ and we are done. \square

Lem 6 A integral domain, $p, q \in A$ prime elements s.t. $p \mid q$. Then also $q \mid p$, meaning $q = \text{unit} \cdot p$.

Proof $p \mid q$ means we may write $q = x \cdot p$.

Then q prime implies $q \mid x$ or $q \mid p$.

Claim $q \mid x$ impossible.

Indeed, $x = q \cdot y$ implies $q = q \cdot y \cdot p$, hence

$(1 - yp) \cdot q = 0$. Since A is integral domain, this implies $1 = yp$. But p is not a unit by assumption, so this is impossible, proving the claim \square

We conclude that $q \mid p$. Lem 4 now implies

$q = \text{unit} \cdot p$ as stated \square

Step 3 Given $0 \neq f \in A \setminus A^\times$, there exists a prime factorization as in the theorem.

Proof Similar to Step 1, define a sequence of elements in the following way:

$$f_0 = f, \quad f_{i+1} = \begin{cases} f_i & \text{if } f_i \in A^\times \\ f_i/p_i & \text{if } f_i \notin A^\times \text{ and if} \\ & (p_i) \text{ is a max. ideal that contains } f_i \\ & \text{(use Step 1)} \end{cases}$$

As in Step 1, the chain

$$(f_0) \subseteq (f_1) \subseteq (f_2) \subseteq \dots$$

becomes stationary. Say $(f_{n-1}) \subsetneq (f_n) = (f_{n+1})$.

Then $f_n \in A^\times$ (by defn. of the sequence of f_i),

$$f = f_n \cdot p_0 \cdots p_{n-1},$$

and the p_i are prime (Step 2).

Using Lem 6, we group the p_i w.r.t. the equivalence relation $p_i \sim p_j$ if $p_i \mid p_j$.

$$\implies f = u \cdot (p'_1)^{e_1} \cdots (p'_r)^{e_r} \text{ with } p'_i \nmid p'_j \\ \text{if } i \neq j.$$

Step 4 Uniqueness as claimed in theorem.

Assume $u \cdot p_1^{e_1} \cdots p_r^{e_r} = v \cdot q_1^{f_1} \cdots q_s^{f_s}$.

Induct over $\sum_{i=1}^r e_i =: n$.

.) If $n=0$, i.e. $u = v \cdot q_1^{f_1} \cdots q_s^{f_s}$, then all factors on RHS are units, hence $s=0$.

.) If $n > 0$, using prime property of p_1 , there is $1 \leq j \leq s$ s.t. $p_1 \mid q_j$. By Lem 6, this means $q_j = w \cdot p_1$ for some $w \in A^\times$.

As A is an integral domain, we may divide by p_1 and obtain

$$u p_1^{e_1-1} p_2^{e_2} \cdots p_r^{e_r} = v \cdot w \cdot q_1^{f_1} \cdots q_j^{f_j-1} \cdots q_s^{f_s}$$

Now conclude by induction.

□ Thus

Cor 7 A a PID, not a field. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \{\text{max ideals } \subset A\} &\xrightarrow{1:1} \{\text{prime elements } p \in A\} / A^\times \\ (p) &\longmapsto p \end{aligned}$$

Defn A any rng, $\sigma, \tau \subseteq A$ ideals.

$$\text{Sum: } \sigma + \tau \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{a+b \mid a \in \sigma, b \in \tau\} = (\sigma \cup \tau)$$

$$\text{Product: } \sigma \cdot \tau \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (a \cdot b \mid a \in \sigma, b \in \tau)$$

Cor 8 In a PID, we can define the greatest common divisor (gcd) and the least common multiple (lcm) of any two non-zero elements.

They satisfy:

$$(f) \cdot (g) \stackrel{(*)}{=} (f \cdot g)$$

$$(f) + (g) = (\gcd(f, g))$$

$$(f) \cap (g) = (\text{lcm}(f, g))$$

Prk $(*)$ is in fact true in any rng.

§3 Power series

A any rng.

$$A[[T]] := \prod_{i=0}^{\infty} A \cdot T^i = A^{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}}$$

power series
rng over A

$$= \left\{ \text{possibly infinite expressions } \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i \cdot T^i \right\}$$

Remark 1) The difference between the infinite direct sum $\bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty} A \cdot T^i$ and the infinite product $\prod_{i=0}^{\infty} A \cdot T^i$ is that in the former all but fin many coefficients are required to vanish.

2) One may define $A[[T_1, \dots, T_{n-1}, T_n]] := A[[T_1, \dots, T_{n-1}]][[T_n]]$

and
$$A[[T_i, i \in I]] = \bigcup_{J \subseteq I \text{ finite}} A[[T_j, j \in J]]$$

just as with polynomial rings.

3) If A is reduced (resp. integral domain), then

$A[[T_i, i \in I]]$ is so as well.

Prop 9 A power series $f = \sum_{i \geq 0} a_i T^i \in A[[T]]$ is a unit $\Leftrightarrow a_0 \in A^\times$ is a unit.

Proof $\Rightarrow (a_0 + a_1 T + \dots)(b_0 + b_1 T + \dots) = a_0 b_0 + \text{higher terms},$

so $A[[T]] \rightarrow A, \sum_{i \geq 0} a_i T^i \mapsto a_0$ is a

rng map. Then it sends units to units.

~~\Leftarrow~~ Assume a_0 is a unit. To show: f is a unit.

Equivalently, $a_0^{-1} f$ is a unit, so we may assume

$f = 1 - g \cdot T$ with $g \in A[[T]]$.

The elements $h_n := 1 + gT + (gT)^2 + \dots + (gT)^n \in A[[T]]$

have the following properties:

- .) $h_n \equiv h_{n+1} \pmod{(T^{n+1})}$ i.e. the coefficients in degrees $0, 1, \dots, n$ agree.
- .) $h_n \cdot f = 1 - (gT)^{n+1}$.

Let h_∞ be the power series with $h_\infty \equiv h_n \pmod{(T^{n+1})} \forall n$

Claim $h_\infty \cdot f = 1$.

Proof Can write $h_\infty = h_n + \varepsilon_n \cdot T^{n+1}$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} h_\infty \cdot f &= (h_n + \varepsilon_n T^{n+1})f = 1 - (gT)^{n+1} + \varepsilon_n \cdot f \cdot T^{n+1} \\ &\equiv 1 \pmod{(T^{n+1})}. \end{aligned}$$

This holds for all n , meaning $h_\infty \cdot f - 1 \in \bigcap_{n \geq 1} (T^n)$.

But $\bigcap_{n \geq 0} (T^n) = (0)$, so $h_\infty \cdot f = 1$. \square

Example •) $(1-T)^{-1} = 1 + T + T^2 + T^3 + \dots$

•) $(1+T)^{-1} = 1 - T + T^2 - T^3 \pm \dots$

•) $F(T) = 1 + T + 2T^2 + 3T^3 + 5T^4 + \dots$ Fibonacci

Then $F(T) = T F(T) + T^2 F(T) + 1$

$$\Rightarrow (1 - T - T^2)^{-1} = F(T)$$

Exercise Prove that $1+T \in \mathbb{Q}[[T]]^{\times}$ has a square root.

Prop 10 K field. The ideals of $K[[T]]$ are (0) and $(T^n), n \geq 1$.

In pbc, $K[[T]]$ is a PID w/ unique (up to unit) prime element T . Its unique max ideal is (T) .

Proof If $\mathfrak{a} \neq (0)$, let $0 \neq f = \sum_{i=n}^{\infty} a_i \cdot T^i$ with $a_n \neq 0$

be s. th. n is minimally possible. Then $f = T^n \cdot \text{unit}$

by Prop 9. Moreover, $T^n \mid g \ \forall g \in \mathfrak{a}$ by minimality,

so $\mathfrak{a} = (T^n)$. Clearly, (T) is maximal. \square

Defn A ring. Jacobson radical $\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{Jac}(A) = \bigcap_{\substack{\mathfrak{m} \subset A \\ \text{max ideal}}} \mathfrak{m}$.

Ex $\text{Jac}(K[[T]]) = (T)$.

$$\text{Jac}(\mathbb{Z}) = (0), \quad \text{Jac}(K[T]) = (0)$$

$$\text{Jac}(K[[\varepsilon]]/(\varepsilon^2)) = (\varepsilon).$$