

# Algebra 1

## Exercise sheet 5

Solutions by: Eric Rudolph and David Čadež

11. Mai 2023

### Exercise 1.

Define  $\varphi: A \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^n A/a_i$ .

Lets show that it is injective. Pick  $a \in A$  with  $\varphi(a) = 0$ . Then  $a \in a_j$  for every  $j = 1, \dots, n$ . Since intersection of these ideals is trivial, we get  $a = 0$ .

So  $A$  is isomorphic to the image  $\varphi(A)$ . The image is a submodule of the module  $\bigoplus_{i=1}^n A/a_i$ . The direct sum  $\bigoplus_{i=1}^n A/a_i$  is noetherian because it is the sum of noetherian modules. And the submodule of a noetherian module is obviously also noetherian.

### Exercise 2.

**Exercise 3.** In this exercise  $\otimes$  will be written instead of  $\otimes_A$ .

1. With the condition  $\Phi((\dots, 0, n_i \otimes m, 0, \dots)) = (\dots, 0, n_i, 0, \dots) \otimes m$  and additivity of  $\Phi$  we can define it on each summand in  $\bigoplus_{i \in I} (N_i \otimes M)$  separately. Using universal property we get unique maps

$$\begin{aligned} N_i \otimes M &\rightarrow \left( \bigoplus_{i \in I} N_i \right) \otimes M \\ n \otimes m &\mapsto (\dots, 0, n, 0, \dots) \otimes m. \end{aligned}$$

They define a unique map

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi: \bigoplus_{i \in I} (N_i \otimes M) &\rightarrow \left( \bigoplus_{i \in I} N_i \right) \otimes M \\ \sum_{i \in I} (n_i \otimes m) &\mapsto \left( \sum_{i \in I} n_i \right) \otimes m. \end{aligned}$$

Note that the definition is given on elementary tensors. It then extends linearly to all elements of the sum of tensor products. By construction it also satisfies the given condition.

Let us now construct the inverse. Using universal property of the tensor product  $(\bigoplus_{i \in I} N_i) \otimes M$  on the map (it is clearly bilinear)

$$\begin{aligned} (\bigoplus_{i \in I} N_i) \times M &\rightarrow \bigoplus_{i \in I} (N_i \otimes M) \\ (\sum_{i \in I} n_i, m) &\mapsto \sum_{i \in I} (n_i \otimes m). \end{aligned}$$

We get a unique map

$$\begin{aligned} (\bigoplus_{i \in I} N_i) \otimes M &\rightarrow \bigoplus_{i \in I} (N_i \otimes M) \\ (\sum_{i \in I} n_i) \otimes m &\mapsto \sum_{i \in I} (n_i \otimes m). \end{aligned}$$

It is clearly an inverse of  $\Phi$ .

2. The map

$$\begin{aligned} A/\mathfrak{a} \times M &\rightarrow M/\mathfrak{a}M \\ (a + \mathfrak{a}, m) &\mapsto ma + \mathfrak{a} \end{aligned}$$

Lets check it is well defined. If  $a_1 + \mathfrak{a} = a_2 + \mathfrak{a}$ , then  $m(a_1 - a_2) \in \mathfrak{a}$  and  $ma_1 + \mathfrak{a} = ma_2 + \mathfrak{a}$ .

It is clearly also bilinear.

So by universal property it gives a unique map

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi: A/\mathfrak{a} \otimes M &\rightarrow M/\mathfrak{a}M \\ (a + \mathfrak{a}) \otimes m &\mapsto am + \mathfrak{a}M. \end{aligned}$$

Let us show the injectivity and surjectivity of  $\varphi$ .

Injectivity: Suppose  $am \in \mathfrak{a}M$ . Then  $am = a_1m_1$  for some  $a_1 \in \mathfrak{a}$  and  $m_1 \in M$ . Calculate

$$\begin{aligned} (a + \mathfrak{a}) \otimes m &= (a(1 + \mathfrak{a})) \otimes m \\ &= (1 + \mathfrak{a}) \otimes am \\ &= (1 + \mathfrak{a}) \otimes a_1m_1 \\ &= a_1(1 + \mathfrak{a}) \otimes m_1 \\ &= (a_1 + \mathfrak{a}) \otimes m_1 \\ &= 0 \otimes m_1 \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Surjectivity: Take any  $m + \mathfrak{a}M \in M/\mathfrak{a}M$ . Then  $\varphi((1 + \mathfrak{a}) \otimes m) = m + \mathfrak{a}M$ .

We could also construct the inverse

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma: M/\mathfrak{a}M &\rightarrow A/\mathfrak{a} \otimes M \\ m + \mathfrak{a}M &\mapsto (1 + \mathfrak{a}) \otimes m. \end{aligned}$$

**Exercise 4.**

- i) We can construct  $A$ -module  $\text{Sym}_A^2(M)$  explicitly as

$$\text{Sym}_A^2(M) = (M \otimes_A M)/K,$$

where  $K = (\{m \otimes n - n \otimes m \mid m, n \in M\})$  is a submodule of  $M \otimes_A M$  generated by the set in parenthesis. With this we “make  $M \otimes_A M$  commutative”.

Define also a map

$$\begin{aligned} \iota: M \times M &\rightarrow \text{Sym}_A^2(M) \\ (m, n) &\mapsto m \otimes n + K. \end{aligned}$$

It is bilinear by the definition of the tensor product. By the definition of  $K$  we have  $m \otimes n + K = n \otimes m + K$ , so it is also symmetric.

Let now  $(-, -): M \times M \rightarrow N$  be any symmetric bilinear map.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M \times M & \xrightarrow{(-, -)} & N \\ \downarrow i & \nearrow f & \uparrow \Phi \\ M \otimes_A M & \xrightarrow{j} & \text{Sym}_A^2(M) \end{array}$$

First we use that  $(-, -)$  is bilinear, which gives us unique  $f: M \otimes_A M \rightarrow N$ . Using  $f \circ i = (-, -)$  and that  $(-, -)$  is symmetric we calculate

$$f(m \otimes n) = (m, n) = (n, m) = f(n \otimes m)$$

which gives  $f(m \otimes n - n \otimes m) = 0$  and thus  $K \subseteq \ker f$ , where  $K$  is as in the definition of  $\text{Sym}_A^2(M)$ . Thus it factors through the quotient  $\text{Sym}_A^2(M)$  uniquely. We get a unique  $\Phi: \text{Sym}_A^2(M) \rightarrow N$  for which  $(-, -) = \Phi \circ \iota$ . Note that  $j \circ i = \iota$ , since they were both defined in the obvious way.

We construct  $\Lambda_A^2(M)$  similarly:

$$\Lambda_A^2(M) = (M \otimes_A M)/L,$$

where  $L = (\{m \otimes m \mid m \in M\})$  is the submodule generated by these “diagonal elements”. The map  $M \times M \rightarrow \Lambda_A^2(M)$  is defined in the obvious way

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma: M \times M &\rightarrow \Lambda_A^2(M) \\ (m, n) &\mapsto m \otimes n + L. \end{aligned}$$

It is bilinear and alternating by the definition of  $L$ . We prove that any alternating bilinear  $(-, -): M \times M \rightarrow N$  factors through  $\Lambda_A^2(M)$  the exact same way as above.

- ii) Let  $\{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$  be the basis of the free module  $A^n$ . First construct the basis of  $A^n \otimes_A A^n$ . It is as we would expect  $S = \{a_i \otimes a_j \mid i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}\}$ . It is clear if we observe where isomorphism below sends the basis elements

$$\left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^n A\right) \otimes_A \left(\bigoplus_{j=1}^n A\right) \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^n (A \otimes_A \bigoplus_{j=1}^n A) \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \bigoplus_{j=1}^n A.$$

So  $A^n \otimes_A A^n$  are again free modules with the basis  $S$ . Since quotients does not preserve the property of being free, we have to change this basis first. But quotienting by a submodule generated by some subset of the basis does preserve the property of being free. Define maps

$$\alpha: A^n \otimes_A A^n \rightarrow A^n \otimes_A A^n$$

$$a_i \otimes a_j \mapsto \begin{cases} a_i \otimes a_j - a_j \otimes a_i & i < j \\ a_i \otimes a_j & i \geq j \end{cases}$$

It is defined on a basis and extends uniquely to all elements.

Surjectivity: Pick any  $a_i \otimes a_j$ . If  $i \geq j$ , then  $\alpha(a_i \otimes a_j) = a_i \otimes a_j$ , otherwise

$$\alpha(a_j \otimes a_i + a_i \otimes a_j) = a_i \otimes a_j.$$

So the image contains all basis elements, which proves surjectivity.

Injectivity:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n b_{ij} \alpha(a_i \otimes a_j) &= \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \sum_{j=1}^i b_{ij} a_i \otimes a_j + \sum_{j=n+1}^n b_{ij} (a_i \otimes a_j - a_j \otimes a_i) \right) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} (b_{ij} - b_{ji}) a_i \otimes a_j + b_{ii} a_i \otimes a_i + \sum_{j=n+1}^n b_{ij} a_i \otimes a_j \right) \end{aligned}$$

So using that  $a_i \otimes a_j$  form a basis we deduce that  $b_{ij} = 0$  for  $i \leq j$ . And for  $i > j$  we have  $b_{ij} = b_{ji}$  which gives  $b_{ij} = 0$  for those  $i, j$  as well.

So  $\alpha$  maps basis  $\{a_i \otimes a_j \mid i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}\}$  to images of these elements. Denote this new basis with  $S' = \{\alpha(a) \mid a \in S\}$ .

We observe that  $K$  is the submodule generated by basis elements (of our new basis)  $a_i \otimes a_j - a_j \otimes a_i \in S'$ . There are  $\frac{n(n-1)}{2}$  of these elements

So  $\alpha: A^n \otimes_A A^n \rightarrow A^n \otimes_A A^n$  restricts to an isomorphism

$$\text{Sym}_A^2(A^n) = (A^n \otimes_A A^n)/K \longrightarrow A^{n \times n}/A^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}}.$$

The rank of module on the left is  $n^2 - \frac{n(n-1)}{2} = \frac{n(n+1)}{2}$ , so that must also be the rank of  $\text{Sym}_A^2(A^n)$ .

For the case of  $\Lambda_A^2(M)$  we do not have to shift the basis. If we quotient  $A^n \otimes_A A^n$  by the submodule generated by  $\{a_i \otimes a_i \mid i \in \{1, \dots, n\}\}$  the tensor product becomes antisymmetric:

$$0 = (a_i + a_j) \otimes (a_i + a_j) = a_i \otimes a_j + a_j \otimes a_i.$$

So the basis of  $\Lambda_A^2(M)$  is  $\{a_i \otimes a_j \mid i < j\}$ . Simply counting the basis gives us the rank  $\frac{n(n-1)}{2}$ .