

# Algebra 1

## Exercise sheet 3

Solutions by: Eric Rudolph and David Čadež

3. Mai 2023

### Exercise 1.

1. Height of the ideal  $(0)$  is obviously 0. Height of  $(f)$  is 1 because of irreducibility of  $f$  (easy to see). For  $(\pi, g)$ , with  $\pi \in A$  prime and  $g \in A[T]$  irreducible in  $(A/\pi)[T]$ , we have a chain  $(0) \subsetneq (g) \subsetneq (\pi, g)$ . So dimension is at least 2. But it obviously cannot be more, there cannot be  $(\tau, f) \subsetneq (\pi, g)$  for some prime  $\tau \in A$  and  $f \in A[T]$  irreducible in  $(A/\tau)[T]$ . We also cannot have  $(f_1) \subsetneq (f_2)$  for irreducible  $f_1, f_2 \in A[T]$ . So we easily excluded all possible chains of length more than 2.
2. Pick any  $a = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i u^i \neq 0$ . If  $a_0 \neq 0$ , then it is invertible anyway in  $k[[u]]$ . Else let  $j$  be the smallest with  $a_j \neq 0$ . Since we treat  $u$  as invertible, we can multiply  $a$  with  $(u^{-1})^j$  and get an invertible element in  $k[[u]]$ . Thus  $a$  is invertible in  $A[u^{-1}]$ . Since we can look at  $A[u^{-1}] = A[T]/(uT-1)$ , we deduce that the ideal  $(uT-1) \subseteq A[T]$  is maximal. Also, ideal  $(uT-1)$  is obviously of height 1.

### Exercise 2.

1. Assumption of  $k$  being algebraically closed means that the only irreducible polynomials are those of degree 1.  
Since  $k$  is a field,  $k[x]$  is a PID and thus every maximal ideal in  $k[x, y] = k[x][y]$  has height 2. Only maximal ideals in  $k[x][y]$  are therefore  $(\pi, g)$  with  $\pi \in k[x]$  prime and  $g \in k[x][y]$  whose image in  $(k[x]/\pi)[y]$  is irreducible. Because  $k$  is algebraically closed,  $\pi$  must be of degree 1. That means  $k[x]/\pi = k$ . So  $g$  must be an irreducible polynomial in  $k[y]$ , and thus of degree 1, which is exactly what we want to show. Leading coefficients can be 1 because  $k$  is a field and we can just multiply with their inverses.
2. First write  $k[x, y, T]/(xT-1)$  and  $k[u, v, T]/(uT-1)$ .

First we note that  $\phi(xT - 1) = 0$  and so  $\phi(T) = T$ . For sure there exist more elegant ways, but for injectivity we can suppose  $\phi(g) = f(uT - 1) = 0 + (uT - 1)$ . Then  $\phi(g) = f(\phi(xT - 1)) = 0$ . So it remains to show that  $\phi$  is injective as a mapping  $k[x, y, T]/(xT - 1) \rightarrow k[u, v, T]$ . That is true since it does not decrease the degrees of polynomials.

For surjectivity it is enough to show  $u, v, T \in \text{im}(\phi)$ . Of course  $\phi(x) = u$  and  $\phi(T) = T$ . We want  $v = \phi(t)$  for some  $t$ . We multiply with  $u$  and get  $uv = u\phi(t) = \phi(xt)$ . Putting it all on one side we get  $\phi(xt - y) = 0$ . We get  $xt - y = 0$  and so  $t = Ty$ . Really  $\phi(Ty) = Tuv = v$ . So it is also surjective.

3.

**Exercise 3.** Let  $n = \dim A$ .

Let  $p_0 \subsetneq p_1 \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq p_n$  prime ideals in  $A$ . Then we can increase this chain with  $p_{n+1} = p_n + (T)$  and get strictly longer chain. To see that  $p_{n+1}$  is still prime, we take  $ab \in p_{n+1}$ . So it is of the form  $ab = \gamma_0 + \gamma_1 T$  for  $\gamma_0 \in p_n$  and  $\gamma_1 \in A$ . Write  $a = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 T$  and  $b = \beta_0 + \beta_1 T$  for  $\alpha_0, \beta_0 \in A$ ,  $\alpha_1, \beta_1 \in A[T]$ . We get that  $\alpha_0 \beta_0 = \gamma_0 \in p_n$  and thus either  $\alpha_0 \in p_n$  or  $\beta_0 \in p_n$ . If former, then  $a \in p_{n+1}$ , otherwise  $b \in p_{n+1}$ . This proves the lower bound.

Let  $p_0 \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq p_k$  be a chain in  $A[T]$ . Look at the chain

$$p_0 \cap A \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq p_k \cap A. \quad (1)$$

Since every prime ideal  $p_1 \in A[T]$  is either  $pA[T]$  or directly above  $pA[T]$  (meaning there are no other prime ideal above  $pA[T]$  and below  $p_1$ ), where  $p = p_1 \cap A$ . Therefore we cannot have a chain (with strict inclusions) of more than two prime ideals in  $A[T]$  that would contract to the same prime ideal in  $A$ . Then we immediately see that in the chain 1 at most two consecutive elements can be the same, therefore  $k \leq 2n + 1$ .

**Exercise 4.**

1. Of course  $S \subseteq \iota_S^{-1}((S^{-1}A)^*)$ . We also easily see that for  $ab \in \iota_S^{-1}((S^{-1}A)^*)$  we have  $\iota_S(a)\iota_S(b)$  invertible and thus each of them must be invertible. So the set  $\iota_S^{-1}((S^{-1}A)^*)$  is saturated by itself.

Take now  $a \in \iota_S^{-1}((S^{-1}A)^*)$ . That means there exist  $r \in A$  and  $s \in S$  such that

$$\frac{r}{s} a = \frac{1}{1}.$$

So there exists  $t \in S$  such that  $t(ra - s) = 0$  from which we get  $tra = ts \in S$  and thus  $a \in \tilde{S}$ , which proves the other inclusion.

2. Because  $S \subseteq T$ , we have  $\iota_T(S) \subseteq (T^{-1}A)^*$ . By universal property there exists a unique map  $\iota: S^{-1}A \rightarrow T^{-1}A$  with  $\iota_T = \iota \circ \iota_S$ .
3. If  $\iota$  is isomorphism, then it preserves invertible elements and thus

$$\bar{S} = \iota_S^{-1}((S^{-1}A)^*) = \iota_S^{-1}(\iota^{-1}((T^{-1}A)^*)) = \iota_T^{-1}((T^{-1}A)^*) = \bar{T}.$$

If  $\bar{S} = \bar{T}$ , then from uniqueness and universal property of  $\iota$  it follows that  $\iota = \text{id}$ .