

§1 Localization of modules (Atiyah-Macdonald §3)

A ring, $S \subseteq A$ mult. subset, M an A -module.

Def $S^{-1}M \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{ m/s \mid m \in M, s \in S \} / \sim$ where

$$m_1/s_1 \sim m_2/s_2 \stackrel{\text{def}}{\iff} \exists s_3 \in S \text{ s.t. } s_3 s_1 m_2 = s_3 s_2 m_1.$$

Addition $m_1/s_1 + m_2/s_2 := (s_2 m_1 + s_1 m_2)/s_1 s_2$ ①

A -module structure $a \cdot m/s := (am)/s$. ②

It can be checked that ① and ② are well-defined and make $S^{-1}M$ into an A -module. Moreover, any $f: M \rightarrow N$ induces a map $S^{-1}f: S^{-1}M \rightarrow S^{-1}N$ by $m/s \mapsto f(m)/s$.

Prop 1 S^{-1} is an exact operation $\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{If } M \xrightarrow{f} N \xrightarrow{g} P$

is exact, then $S^{-1}M \xrightarrow{S^{-1}f} S^{-1}N \xrightarrow{S^{-1}g} S^{-1}P$

is exact as well.

Proof $g \circ f = 0 \implies S^{-1}g \circ S^{-1}f = 0$, so

$$\text{Im}(S^{-1}f) \subseteq \ker(S^{-1}g).$$

It is left to show \supseteq .

So assume $(S^{-1}g)(n/s) = g(n)/s = 0$. This means

there is a $t \in S$ s.t. $t \cdot g(n) = g(tn) = 0$

Hence $tn \in \ker(g) = \text{Im}(f)$
by assumption.

Say $tn = f(m)$. Then we have

$$(S^{-1}f)(m/st) = f(m)/st = tn/st = n/s$$

and the proof is complete. \square

Prop 2 There is an isomorphism

$$S^{-1}A \otimes_A M \xrightarrow{\sim} S^{-1}M \quad (*)$$

$$(a/s) \otimes m \longmapsto (am)/s.$$

Proof One first checks that $S^{-1}A \times M \rightarrow S^{-1}M$
 $(a/s, m) \longmapsto (am)/s$

is well-defined and A -bilinear. Hence the map $(*)$ exists.

Since $1/s \otimes m \longmapsto m/s$, it is surjective. We need to see

injectivity: Assume $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i/s_i \otimes m_i \longmapsto 0$.

We can also write this element as

$$\sum_i a_i \prod_{j \neq i} s_j / s \otimes m_i = 1/s \otimes m,$$

$$\text{with } s = \prod_{i=1}^n s_i \text{ and } m = \sum_i a_i \prod_{j \neq i} s_j \cdot m_i$$

By assumption, $m/s = 0$, i.e. $t \cdot m = 0$ for some $t \in S$.

$$\text{Then } 1/s \otimes m = t/s_t \otimes m = 1/s_t \otimes (tm) = 0$$

and we win. \square

In particular, $S^{-1}M$ is an $S^{-1}A$ -module via

$$a/s \cdot m/t := am/st.$$

Example / Cor 3 1) $f: M \rightarrow N$ an A -linear map.

$$\text{Then } \ker(S^{-1}f) \cong S^{-1}\ker(f), \quad \operatorname{coker}(S^{-1}f) = S^{-1}\operatorname{coker}(f)$$

$$\text{and } \operatorname{Im}(S^{-1}f) \cong S^{-1}\operatorname{Im}(f)$$

2) $M_1, M_2 \subseteq N$ two submodules. Then

$$S^{-1}M_1 \cap S^{-1}M_2 = S^{-1}(M_1 \cap M_2) \quad \text{and}$$

$$S^{-1}M_1 + S^{-1}M_2 = S^{-1}(M_1 + M_2).$$

Some further properties (Check there!):

$$1) \quad S^{-1}(S^{-1}M) \cong S^{-1}M.$$

$$\begin{aligned} 2) \quad S^{-1}M \otimes_A N &\xrightarrow{\sim} S^{-1}M \otimes_A S^{-1}N \\ &\xrightarrow{\sim} S^{-1}M \otimes_{S^{-1}A} S^{-1}N \\ &\xrightarrow{\sim} S^{-1}(M \otimes_A N) \end{aligned}$$

uniquely characterized by $m \otimes n \mapsto m \otimes n$ for $m \in M$
 $n \in N$.

3) Universal property:

Let N be an $S^{-1}A$ -module ($\triangleq A$ -module s.t. any $s \in S$ acts bijectively.) Then any A -linear $f: M \rightarrow N$

factors uniquely through $S^{-1}M$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M & \xrightarrow{\quad} & S^{-1}M \\ & \searrow f & \downarrow \exists! \\ & & N \end{array}$$

Remark This holds much more generally: Let $A \rightarrow B$ be an A -algebra, M an A -module, N a B -module.

Then

$$\text{Hom}_A(M, N) \cong \text{Hom}_B(B \otimes_A M, N)$$

$$\phi \mapsto [b \otimes m \mapsto b \cdot \phi(m)].$$

Example: Consider $0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\cdot n} \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/n \rightarrow 0$,

Apply $\mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} -$:

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Q} \xrightarrow{\cdot n} \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}/n \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{is again exact.}$$

Example: If $M \cong A^{\oplus I}$ is free, then $S^{-1}M \cong (S^{-1}A)^{\oplus I}$
because $S^{-1}A \otimes_A -$ and $(\)^{\oplus I}$ commute.

E.g. $\{p\mathbb{Z}_{>0}\}^{-1} \mathbb{Z}[T] \cong \mathbb{Z}[p^{-1}][T]$
(consider as \mathbb{Z} -module)

But S^{-1} does not in general commute w/ infinite products:

$$\mathbb{Z}[[T]][p^{-1}] \subsetneq (\mathbb{Z}[p^{-1}]][[T]].$$

because e.g. $1 + T/p + T^2/p^2 + T^3/p^3 + \dots \notin \text{LHS.}$

§2 Passing to local maps

Notation $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } A$. Then $M_{\mathfrak{p}} := (A - \mathfrak{p})^{-1}M$ called localization of M at \mathfrak{p} . It is an $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -module.

Prop 4 Let M be an A -module. Equivalent:

(1) $M = 0$

(2) $M_{\mathfrak{p}} = 0 \quad \forall \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } A$

(3) $M_{\mathfrak{m}} = 0 \quad \forall \mathfrak{m} \in \text{Max Spec } A$.

Proof (1) \Rightarrow (2) \Rightarrow (3) is clear. Assume (3), let $x \in M$.

We want to see $x = 0$. Consider the annihilator ideal of x : $\text{Ann}(x) := \{a \in A \mid a \cdot x = 0\}$.

Now $M_{\mathfrak{m}} = 0 \Rightarrow x/1 = 0$ in $M_{\mathfrak{m}}$

Def of $S^{-1}M$ $\xrightarrow{\quad} \exists s \in (A - \mathfrak{m})$ s.t. $s \cdot x = 0$
 $\Rightarrow \text{Ann}(x) \not\subseteq \mathfrak{m}$.

We have this for all \mathfrak{m} , so $\text{Ann}(x) = A$, in partic

$$x = 1 \cdot x = 0.$$

□

Prop 5 (Converse to Prop 1) Let $M \xrightarrow{f} N \xrightarrow{g} P$ be s.d. $g \circ f = 0$. Assume for all $m \in \text{MaxSpec}(A)$, $M_m \xrightarrow{f_m} N_m \xrightarrow{g_m} P_m$ is exact.

Then $M \xrightarrow{f} N \xrightarrow{g} P$ is exact.

Proof By Prop 1, localization is an exact operation, so

$$\ker(g_m) / \text{Im}(f_m) = (\ker(g) / \text{Im}(f))_m. \quad @.$$

By assumption, $@ = 0 \quad \forall m$. Thus Prop 4 implies

$\ker(g) / \text{Im}(f) = 0$, i.e. that $M \rightarrow N \rightarrow P$ is exact. \square

Cor 6 Let $f: A^{\oplus J} \rightarrow A^n$ be a map s.d.

$$I_n(f) := (\det(f_Q) \mid Q \subseteq J, |Q| = n)$$

↖ quadratic minor w/ columns J.

equals the unit ideal. Then f is surjective.

(Remark This answers an open question from Lecture 7.)

Proof By Prop 5, it suffices to show that f_m is surjective for all max ideals m . But A_m is a local ring, so $A_m^\times = A_m \setminus mA_m$. Hence

$I_n(f) = (1) \implies \exists Q \subseteq J$ (depending on m)
 s.t. $\det(f_Q) \in A_m^\times$. Hence

$f_{Q,m} = f_{m,Q} = f_m \circ \text{inc}_Q$ is an isomorphism,
 so f_m surjective.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 A_m^n & \xrightarrow{\text{inc}_Q} & A_m^{\oplus J} \\
 & \searrow \cong & \downarrow \circ \\
 f_{m,Q} & & A_m^n
 \end{array}$$

□

Nakayama's Lemma is an equivalent way of stating this by applying it to $\text{coker}(f)$:

Cor 7 (Nakayama's Lemma) Let A be a local ring with max ideal m and M a fin. gen. A -module. Then

$$M/mM = 0 \implies M = 0.$$

Proof Choose a presentation (\mathcal{I} need not be finite)

$$A^{\oplus \mathcal{I}} \xrightarrow{f} A^{\oplus n} \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow 0.$$

Recall that $M/\mu M = \mathcal{K}(\mu) \otimes_A M$ and that

$\mathcal{K}(\mu) \otimes_A -$ is right exact. So

$$\mathcal{K}(\mu)^{\oplus \mathcal{I}} \xrightarrow{f \bmod \mu} \mathcal{K}(\mu)^n \longrightarrow \underbrace{M/\mu M}_{=0} \longrightarrow 0$$

is again exact, meaning $(f \bmod \mu)$ is surjective.

Thus there is $Q \subseteq \mathcal{I}$, $|Q| = n$, s.th. $\det(f_Q) \notin \mu$

Since A local, this means $\det(f_Q) \in A^\times$ and we

conclude from Cor 7 that f is surjective. This

means $M = \text{coker}(f) = 0$ as $d = d$. \square

Cor 8 (Variant) A any rng, N a fin gen A -module,

$M \xrightarrow{f} N$ an A -linear map s.th.

$$f \bmod \mu : M/\mu M \longrightarrow N/\mu N$$

surjective for all max ideals $\mu \subseteq A$. Then f surjective. \square

Example Consider $M = \mathbb{Z} \hookrightarrow N = \mathbb{Q}$ which is not surjective. But for all primes $p \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}/p\mathbb{Q} = 0$$

is surjective. This shows that the assumption for N being fin. gen. in Cor. 7 and 8 is necessary.

§3 Flatness

Defn A -module M is flat $\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \forall$ exact $N \rightarrow P \rightarrow Q$,

$$M \otimes_A N \rightarrow M \otimes_A P \rightarrow M \otimes_A Q \text{ is again exact.}$$

Examples 1) A is flat as A -module.

2) If $(M_i)_{i \in I}$ are all flat, then $\bigoplus_{i \in I} M_i$ is flat.

In particular, any free module $M \cong A^{\oplus I}$ is flat.

3) By Prop. 1 and 2, $S^{-1}A$ is flat. More generally,

if M is flat, then $S^{-1}M$ is flat (see the properties of \otimes and S^{-1} on page 4.)

Example Let $f \in A$ be regular (i.e. $A \xrightarrow{f} A$ injective).

Then $A/(f)$ is not flat as A -module:

$$A/(f) \otimes_A (A \xrightarrow{f} A) \cong A/(f) \xrightarrow{0} A/(f)$$

is not injective anymore. (Of course, $A/(f)$ is flat as $A/(f)$ -module.)

Prop 9 Let M be an A -module. Equivalent:

(1) M is flat as A -module

(2) $M_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is flat as $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -module $\forall \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } A$

(3) $M_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is flat as $A_{\mathfrak{m}}$ -module $\forall \mathfrak{m} \in \text{MaxSpec } A$.

Proof (1) \Rightarrow (2): Let $N \xrightarrow{f} P \xrightarrow{g} Q$, be an exact sequence of $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -modules. It holds that

$$\begin{aligned} M \otimes_A N &\xrightarrow{\sim} M_{\mathfrak{p}} \otimes_{A_{\mathfrak{p}}} N && (\text{see page 4}) \\ m \otimes n &\longmapsto m/1 \otimes n \end{aligned}$$

and similarly for P, Q . So (2) follows from (1).

(2) \Rightarrow (3) is clear.

(3) \Rightarrow (1): Let $N \xrightarrow{f} P \xrightarrow{g} Q$ be ex seq of A -modules. By assumption,

$$M_m \otimes_{A_m} N_m \longrightarrow M_m \otimes_{A_m} P_m \longrightarrow M_m \otimes_{A_m} Q_m$$

is exact. It holds that

$$M_m \otimes_{A_m} N_m = (M \otimes_A N)_m \quad (\text{see page 4})$$

and similarly for P, Q , so we can apply Prop 5 to conclude that

$$M \otimes_A N \longrightarrow M \otimes_A P \longrightarrow M \otimes_A Q$$

is exact. \square