

## §1 Matrices $A$ any rng.

Recall from prev. lecture:  $\text{Hom}_A(A^m, A^n) \cong M_{n \times m}(A)$

$$f \longmapsto (f(e_1) \ \dots \ f(e_m))$$

$\uparrow$   
view as column vectors

In particular, the general linear group of  $A$

$$\text{GL}_n(A) := M_n(A)^\times := \{ S \in M_n(A) \mid \exists T \text{ s.t. } ST = 1_n \}$$

agrees with the automorphism group  $\text{Aut}_{A\text{-Module}}(A^n)$ .

For a matrix  $S \in M_n(A)$ , one defines by the usual formulas

$$\det(S), \text{tr}(S) \in A$$

$$\text{char}(S, X) := \det(X \cdot 1_n - S) \in A[X].$$

There are  $\text{GL}_n(A)$ -conjugation invariants, just like for vector spaces.

Lemma 1 The matrix  $S \in M_n(A)$  is invertible

$$\iff \det(S) \text{ lies in } A^\times, \text{ i.e. is invertible.}$$

Proof  $\Rightarrow$  If  $S \cdot T = 1_n$ , then  $\det(S) \cdot \det(T) = 1$

$\Leftarrow$  If  $\det(S) \in A^\times$ , then we may write down its inverse:

$$S^{-1} = \frac{1}{\det(S)} \hat{S}$$

where  $\hat{S} = (t_{ij})$  is the adjoint matrix

$$t_{ij} = (-1)^{i+j} \det(S_{ji})$$

← leave out  $j$ -th row  
and  $i$ -th column.

The point is that definition of  $\hat{S}$  does not involve further division. Moreover, the check that  $S \cdot \hat{S} = \det(S) \cdot 1_n$  is purely algebraic and holds in any ring.  $\square$

For an ideal  $\sigma \subseteq A$  and an  $A$ -module  $M$ , we define

$$\sigma M := (a \cdot m \mid a \in A, m \in M)$$

This is an  $A$ -submodule. Moreover, the construction is compatible with  $A$ -linear maps: Any  $f: M \rightarrow N$  restricts to a map  $f: \sigma M \rightarrow \sigma N$ .

Observation:

$$(f: M \rightarrow N \text{ surjective}) \Rightarrow (\bar{f}: M/\sigma M \rightarrow N/\sigma N \text{ surjective})$$

Cor 2 Assume  $f: A^m \rightarrow A^n$  is surjective. Then  $m \geq n$ .

In particular,  $A^m \cong A^n \Leftrightarrow n = m$ . ( $A \neq 0$ )

Proof Pick any max ideal  $\mathfrak{m} \subseteq A$ . Then  $\mathcal{K} := A/\mathfrak{m}$  is

a field and  $\overline{f}: A^m/m^m = \mathbb{K}^m \rightarrow A^n/m^n = \mathbb{K}^n$

surjective by the observation. Now we are in the case of vector spaces, and the claim is clear.  $\square$

Recall An  $(i \times i)$ -minor of an  $(n \times m)$ -matrix  $S$  is an  $(i \times i)$ -matrix that arises by striking  $n-i$  rows &  $m-i$  columns. Write  $S_{I,J}$   $I \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}$ ,  $J \subseteq \{1, \dots, m\}$ ,  
 $|I| = |J| = i$

for the minor of rows  $I$  and cols  $J$ .

Cor 3 Let  $S: A^m \rightarrow A^n$  be an  $A$ -linear map. Let

$I(S) = \left( \det(S_{I,J}) \mid |I| = |J| = n \right)$ . Then

$S$  surjective  $\Rightarrow I(S) = A$ .

Proof Assume  $S$  surjective, let  $\mathfrak{m} \subset A$  be a max ideal.

By previous observation,  $(S \bmod \mathfrak{m}): \mathbb{K}(\mathfrak{m})^m \rightarrow \mathbb{K}(\mathfrak{m})^n$  is a surjective map of  $\mathbb{K}(\mathfrak{m})$ -vector spaces. Hence

$(S \bmod \mathfrak{m}) \in M_{n \times m}(\mathbb{K}(\mathfrak{m}))$  has an invertible  $(n \times n)$ -minor, meaning  $\det(S_{I,J}) \notin \mathfrak{m}$  for suitable  $I, J$ .

Thus  $I(S) \not\subset \mathfrak{m}$ . This applies to all max ideals  $\mathfrak{m}$ ,  
so  $I(S) = A$ .  $\square$

Remark The converse implication  $I(S) = A \Rightarrow S$  surjective holds as well. We will discuss this in detail soon.

Example  $A^m \rightarrow A$ ,  $e_i \mapsto f_i$  being surjective is equivalent to  $(f_1, \dots, f_m) = A$ .

## §2 The elementary divisor theorem

Lemma 4 Let  $S, T \in M_{n \times m}(A)$ . Assume there are  $L \in GL_n(A)$ ,  $R \in GL_m(A)$  s.th.  $LSR = T$ . Then  $L$  and  $R$  induce isomorphisms

$$\bar{L}: \text{coker}(S) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{coker}(T)$$

$$R|_{\ker(T)}: \ker(T) \xrightarrow{\sim} \ker(S).$$

Proof The middle square commutes, hence the dotted arrows exist:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \ker(S) & \rightarrow & A^m & \xrightarrow{S} & A^n & \rightarrow & \text{coker}(S) \\ \downarrow R^{-1}|_{\ker(S)} & & \downarrow R^{-1} & & \downarrow L & & \downarrow \bar{L} \\ \ker(T) & \rightarrow & A^m & \xrightarrow{T} & A^n & \rightarrow & \text{coker}(T) \end{array}$$

$R, L$  isomorphisms  $\Rightarrow R|_{\ker(T)}, \bar{L}$  isomorphisms.  $\square$

Conclusion We can classify finitely presented  $A$ -modules (to some degree) by classifying the double cosets

$$GL_n(A) \backslash M_{n \times m}(A) / GL_m(A).$$

Write  $S \sim S' \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \exists L \in GL_n(A), R \in GL_m(A) \text{ s.t.}$   
 $S' = L S R.$

Thm 5 (Elementary Divisor Thm) Let  $A$  be a PID and  $S \in M_{n \times m}(A)$ . Then there are unique up to units  $a_1 | a_2 | \dots | a_k \in A$ ,  $k = \min\{n, m\}$ , s.t.

$$S \sim \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & & & \\ & \ddots & & \\ & & a_k & \\ & & & 0_{(n-k) \times m} \end{pmatrix} \text{ resp. } S \sim \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & & & \\ & \ddots & & \\ & & a_k & \\ & & & 0_{n \times (m-k)} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thm 6 (Structure Thm for fin. gen. modules over PIDs)

Let  $A$  be a PID and  $M$  a fin. gen.  $A$ -module. Then there are unique  $l, r \geq 0$  and unique up to units  $a_1 | a_2 | \dots | a_p \neq 0$  s.t.

$$M \cong A/(a_1) \oplus \dots \oplus A/(a_p) \oplus A^r$$

Proof of Thm 6 Since  $M \Rightarrow$  f.g., can find a surjection  $\varphi: A^m \rightarrow M$ .

$A$  PID  $\Rightarrow A$  noetherian  $\Rightarrow \ker(\varphi) \Rightarrow$  f.g.,  
so can find  $S: A^n \rightarrow \ker(\varphi)$ , which  
means  $M \cong \operatorname{coker}(S)$ .

By Lem 4,  $M$  up to isomorphism only depends on  $S$   
up to equivalence  $\sim$ . So by Thm 5, may assume  
 $S$  diagonal with elementary divisors  $a_1 | a_2 | \dots | a_\ell \neq 0$ ,  
 $a_{\ell+1} = \dots = a_k = 0$ . Then

$$M \cong A/(a_1) \oplus \dots \oplus A/(a_\ell) \oplus A^{m-\ell}$$

The uniqueness is part of Exercise Sheet 4.  $\square$

Proof of Thm 5 Write  $g = \gcd$  in the following, see  
the appendix for a recap on the gcd.

Claim Put  $a_1 := g(S)$ . Then there  $\Rightarrow$

s.t.h.  $S \sim \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & & & \\ \vdots & & S_1 & \\ 0 & & & \end{pmatrix}$   $S_1 \in M_{(n-1) \times (n-1)}(A)$

Proving this claim proves the theorem:

•) If  $L \in M_n(A)$ ,  $R \in M_m(A)$  are any, then  $g(S) \mid g(LSR)$

because the gcd of some elements divides all their linear combinations. If  $L \in GL_n(A)$ ,  $R \in GL_m(A)$ , then also

$$g(LSR) \mid g(L^{-1}LSRR^{-1}) = g(S), \text{ so } g(S) = g(LSR)$$

Thus if  $S \sim \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & \\ & S_1 \end{pmatrix}$  as in the claim, then

$a_1 = g(S) = g\begin{pmatrix} a_1 & \\ & S_1 \end{pmatrix} \mid g(S_1)$ . Then an inductive argument implies existence of  $a_1 \mid a_2 \mid \dots \mid a_k$ .

•) The same argument however shows the uniqueness:

Namely, if  $S \sim \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & \dots & a_k \\ & & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  or  $\begin{pmatrix} a_1 & \dots & a_k & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ ,

then  $a_1 = g\begin{pmatrix} a_1 & \dots & a_k \\ & & 0 \end{pmatrix} = g(S)$ , so  $a_1$  is

uniquely determined up to unit.

Moreover, if  $\begin{pmatrix} a & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & & & \\ 0 & S_1 \end{pmatrix} \sim \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & & & \\ 0 & \tilde{S}_1 \end{pmatrix}$ , then

$S_1 \sim \tilde{S}_1$ , so uniqueness of  $a_2 \mid \dots \mid a_k$  follows again by induction.

It is left to prove the claim, which requires the:

Construction of suitable  $(2 \times 2)$ -matrices:

•) Let  $a, b \in A$ , not both  $= 0$ .

$(a, b) = (g(a, b))$  implies there are

$r, s$  with  $ra + sb = g(a, b)$ . Then necessarily

$(r, s) = 1$ , e.g. by the 2<sup>nd</sup> description in lem 6.

•) This means there are  $u, v$  s.t.  $ur + sv = 1$ ,

so  $\begin{pmatrix} r & s \\ -v & u \end{pmatrix} \in GL_2(A)$ .

•) If  $\begin{pmatrix} r & s \\ -v & u \end{pmatrix} \in GL_2(A)$ , then

$g(ra + sb, -va + ub) = g(a, b)$  for all  $a, b \in A$ .

(This is a special case of  $g(S) = g(LSR)$   
 $\forall L, R$ .)

Proof of the claim: Apply the following algorithm.

If  $S = 0$ , then we are done.

Otherwise, swap rows/cols s.t.  $s_{11} \neq 0$  and proceed as follows:



1) Pick  $T = \begin{pmatrix} r & s \\ -v & u \end{pmatrix} \in GL_2(A)$  s.t.  $rs_{11} + ss_{21} = g(s_{11}, s_{21})$ .

Via  $\begin{pmatrix} T & \\ & 1_{n-2} \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $S \sim \begin{pmatrix} g(s_{11}, s_{21}) & & * \\ \tilde{s}_{21} & & * \\ * & & * \end{pmatrix}$ .

2) via  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -\frac{\tilde{s}_{21}}{g(s_{11}, s_{21})} & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $S \sim \begin{pmatrix} g(s_{11}, s_{21}) & * \\ 0 & * \\ * & * \end{pmatrix}$

3) Repeat for first column:  $S \sim \begin{pmatrix} g(1^{st} \text{ col}) & * \\ 0 & * \\ \vdots & * \\ 0 & * \end{pmatrix}$

4) Same with top row by right multiplication:  $S \sim \begin{pmatrix} g(1^{st} \text{ col}, 1^{st} \text{ row}) & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \tilde{S} \\ 0 & \end{pmatrix}$

5) Let  $a :=$  top left corner. If  $a = g(s)$ , we are done.

Otherwise, there is some  $\tilde{S}_{ij}$  s.t.  $g(a, \tilde{S}_{ij})$  divides

$a$  properly. In this case, add col of  $\tilde{S}_{ij}$  to 1st column

and start over at 1). The new top left corner obtained

in step 4) properly divides  $a$ , so the algorithm

terminates after finitely many iterations.  $\square$  Claim + Thm.

Example 1  $\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 3 \\ 4 & 5 \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{-2} \sim \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 3 \\ 5 & 5 \end{pmatrix} \quad @$

$\gcd(2, 3, 5) = 1$ , so not yet done in upper left corner.

$$\sim \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 3 \\ 3 & 3 \\ 5 & 5 \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{-1} \sim \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 3 \\ 1 & 3 \\ 5 & 5 \end{pmatrix} \sim \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 3 \\ 2 & \\ 5 & 5 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\sim \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 3 \\ & -6 \\ & -10 \end{pmatrix} \sim \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \\ & -6 \\ & -10 \end{pmatrix} \sim \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \\ & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

In ptic,  $\text{coker} \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 3 \\ 4 & 5 \end{pmatrix} \cong \mathbb{Z}/2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}.$

Remark At @, one could have continued more directly.

The order here follows instead the algorithm on the previous page.

Example 2  $\begin{pmatrix} 2 & \\ & 3 \end{pmatrix} \sim \begin{pmatrix} 2 & \\ 3 & 3 \end{pmatrix} \sim \begin{pmatrix} -1 & -3 \\ 3 & 3 \end{pmatrix}$

$$\sim \begin{pmatrix} -1 & -3 \\ & -6 \end{pmatrix} \sim \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \\ & 6 \end{pmatrix}. \quad \text{This reflects the isomorphism } \mathbb{Z}/6 \cong \mathbb{Z}/2 \times \mathbb{Z}/3.$$

§ Appendix on the gcd: For  $0 \neq a \in A$  any,  $\pi \in A$  prime,

put  $v_\pi(a) := \sup \{n \geq 0 \mid \pi^n \mid a\}$ .

Let  $PI/\sim := \{ \pi \in A \text{ prime} \} / A^\times$ .

Thus  $a = \text{unit} \cdot \prod_{\pi \in PI/\sim} \pi^{v_\pi(a)}$  is the prime factorization of  $a$ .

Lemma 6 The following three definitions of the gcd, which is only defined up to unit, coincide:

1)  $(a, b) = (g_1(a, b))$

2)  $g_2(a, b) = \prod_{\pi \in PI/\sim} \pi^{\min\{v_\pi(a), v_\pi(b)\}}$

3)  $g_3(a, b) = \text{any element of } A \text{ s.t.}$

$$c \mid a, c \mid b \implies c \mid g_3(a, b).$$

Proof  $g_2 = g_3$  is clear. For  $g_1 = g_2$ :

$(a/g_2, b/g_2) = A$  because  $a/g_2$  and  $b/g_2$  have

no common prime factor, so are not contained in a

common max ideal. Thus  $(a, b) = (g_2)$   $\square$