

Algebraic geometry 1

Exercise sheet 8

Solutions by: Eric Rudolph and David Čadež

6. Dezember 2023

Exercise 1.

1. Let $0 \neq f \in I$ be a non-zero element. Since A is a unique factorization domain, we can write

$$f = up_1^{a_1} \dots p_r^{a_r},$$

where p_i are pairwise non-associated primes. Now,

$$I_{(p_i)} = I[(I \setminus (p_i))^{-1}] = (p_i^{k_i})$$

for some $k_i \leq a_i$. Since I is finite locally free,

$$I = \left(\prod_i p_i^{k_i} \right).$$

2.

Exercise 2. Note that for a unique factorization domain A we get by Gauss that also $A[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ is a unique factorization domain. This means that by construction of \mathbb{P}_A^n its local rings are UFD's. Using stacks project, we infer that $\text{Pic}(\mathbb{P}_A^n) \cong \text{CL}(\mathbb{P}_A^n) = \mathbb{Z}$.

We now want to give a concrete argument using the given map.

Note that by definition $\mathcal{O}_A^n(0)$ is just the structure sheaf and since maps of groups send 1 to 1, we found the neutral element of this group. One can also check locally that

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_A^n}(m) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_A^n}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_A^n}(n) = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_A^n}(m+n).$$

This also proves that the given map maps to $\text{Pic}(\mathbb{P}_A^n)$.

It is also quite clear by definition that for $m \neq n$ we have

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_A^n}(m) \not\cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_A^n}(n). \tag{1}$$

It remains to show surjectivity of this map.

Exercise 3.

1. In exercise 2 we showed that all invertible quasicoherent sheaves on \mathbb{P}_k^n are isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_k^n}(d)$ for some $d \geq 0$. So we have to show $f^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_k^m}(1)$ is an invertible sheaf.

Since invertible $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_k^n}$ -modules are same as line bundles, we have to show that locally $f^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_k^m}(1)$ is isomorphic to the structure sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_k^m}$.

By definition $f^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_k^m}(1) = f^{-1}\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_k^m}(1) \otimes_{f^{-1}\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_k^m}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_k^n}$. Pick some $x \in \mathbb{P}_k^n$. Pick small enough affine neighborhood $f(x) \in U \subseteq \mathbb{P}_k^m$ such that $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_k^m}(1)$ is isomorphic to the structure sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_k^m}$ on U . Now pick neighborhood $x \in W \subseteq \mathbb{P}_k^m$ such that $f(W) \subseteq U$.

Then

$$\begin{aligned} f^{-1}\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_k^m}(1)(W) &= \text{colim}_{f(W) \subseteq V \subseteq U} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_k^m}(1)(V) \\ &= \text{colim}_{f(W) \subseteq V \subseteq U} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_k^m}(1)(V) \\ &\cong \text{colim}_{f(W) \subseteq V \subseteq U} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_k^m}(V) \\ &\cong f^{-1}\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_k^m}(W). \end{aligned}$$

So locally $f^{-1}\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_k^m}(1)$ is isomorphic to $f^{-1}\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_k^m}$, so $f^{-1}\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_k^m}(1) \otimes_{f^{-1}\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_k^m}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_k^n}$ is locally isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_k^n}$, which proves that $f^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_k^m}(1)$ is an invertible $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_k^n}$ -module and thus isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_k^n}(d)$ for some $d \geq 0$.

2. At first it was not completely clear to us what the map $f^*: \Gamma(\mathbb{P}_k^m, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_k^m}(1)) \rightarrow \Gamma(\mathbb{P}_k^n, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_k^n}(d))$ is. So we assumed it is the following:

For a global section $s \in \Gamma(\mathbb{P}_k^m, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_k^m}(1))$ we first map it with the restriction

$$\Gamma(\mathbb{P}_k^m, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_k^m}(1)) \rightarrow \Gamma(\mathbb{P}_k^n, f^{-1}\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_k^m}(1)).$$

Denote its image with s' . By definition we have

$$\Gamma(\mathbb{P}_k^n, f^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_k^m}(1)) = \Gamma(\mathbb{P}_k^n, f^{-1}\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_k^m}(1)) \otimes_{\Gamma(\mathbb{P}_k^n, f^{-1}\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_k^m})} \Gamma(\mathbb{P}_k^n, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_k^n})$$

So include s' into $\Gamma(\mathbb{P}_k^n, f^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_k^m}(1))$ as $s' \otimes 1$. By part 1 we have an isomorphism $\Gamma(\mathbb{P}_k^n, f^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_k^m}(1)) \cong \Gamma(\mathbb{P}_k^n, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_k^n}(d))$. We map $s' \otimes 1$ with this isomorphism to obtain $f^*(s)$.

The polynomials y_0, \dots, y_n generate $\Gamma(\mathbb{P}_k^m, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_k^m}(1))$, which is isomorphic to the module of homogenous polynomials of degree 1. So their restrictions generate $\Gamma(\mathbb{P}_k^n, f^{-1}\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_k^m}(1))$. Their images in the tensor product

$$\Gamma(\mathbb{P}_k^n, f^{-1}\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_k^m}(1)) \otimes_{\Gamma(\mathbb{P}_k^n, f^{-1}\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_k^m})} \Gamma(\mathbb{P}_k^n, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_k^n})$$

then also stay generators. And finally isomorphism $\Gamma(\mathbb{P}_k^n, f^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_k^m}(1)) \cong \Gamma(\mathbb{P}_k^n, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_k^n}(d))$ also preserves generating set.

So $g_i = f^*(y_i) \in \Gamma(\mathbb{P}_k^n, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_k^n}(d))$ are generators.

If $d \geq 1$, then g_i always vanish at $0 \in \mathbb{A}_k^{n+1}$.

Take some $(a_0, \dots, a_n) \in V(g_0, \dots, g_m) \subseteq \mathbb{A}_k^{n+1}$. If $a_i \neq 0$ for some i , then the line going through (a_0, \dots, a_n) and 0 would lie in $V(g_0, \dots, g_m)$. Then (g_0, \dots, g_m) would be contained in the set of equations parametrizing this line. Therefore it wouldn't be generating the whole module.

3. If $m < n$, then $\Gamma(\mathbb{P}_k^n, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_k^n}(d))$, which can be identified as a k -module of homogenous polynomials of degree d , cannot be generated by m elements. It is a vector space of dimension $\binom{n+d}{n}$ and $\binom{n+d}{n} > m$ for $d > 0$. Therefore $d = 0$.

Now we show that f must be constant. Suppose $f(\mathbb{P}_k^n)$ has two points. Then we can separate these two point with two independent polynomials $s, t \in k[y_0, \dots, y_m]_1$. Then $s \otimes 1$ and $t \otimes 1$ are independent elements of $\Gamma(\mathbb{P}_k^n, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_k^n})$ -module

$$\Gamma(\mathbb{P}_k^n, f^{-1} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_k^m}(1)) \otimes_{\Gamma(\mathbb{P}_k^n, f^{-1} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_k^m})} \Gamma(\mathbb{P}_k^n, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_k^n}).$$

But $\Gamma(\mathbb{P}_k^n, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_k^n}(0))$ is the trivial line bundle, so it cannot contain two elements which are independent over global sections of line bundle itself.

Exercise 4.

1. Let $U_i = \text{Spec}(A_i)$.

Take a point $x \in U_1 \cap U_2$.

Take a principal open $x \in D(f) \subseteq U_1$ ($f \in U_1$). Then find a smaller principal open $x \in D(g) \subseteq D(f) \subseteq U_2$ ($g \in U_2$).

Now we show that $D(g)$ is also a principal open in U_1 .

Since $D(f) \subseteq U_2$ open, we have a map $\mathcal{O}(U_2) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(D(f))$, which induces $A_2 \rightarrow (A_1)_f$. Denote by $g' = g|_{\text{Spec}((A_1)_f)}$ the image of g under this map. Since $g' \in (A_1)_f$, we can write it as $g' = \frac{h}{f^n}$. Then $D(g) = D(g) \cap D(f) = D(g') \cap D(f) = D(h) \cap D(f) = D(hf)$, where $h, f \in A_1$. This shows that $D(g)$ is also principal open in U_1 .

2. We have to show that the property of being of finite presentation is a local property and that f as defined above is locally of finite presentation.

Let $\text{Spec}(B) \subseteq X$ and $\text{Spec}(A) \subseteq S$ open affines. Pick a point $x \in \text{Spec}(B)$. Then $x \in \text{Spec}(B) \cap \text{Spec}(B_i)$ for some i . Pick some neighborhood $x \in U \subseteq \text{Spec}(B) \cap \text{Spec}(B_i)$ such that U is principal open in $\text{Spec}(B)$ and in $\text{Spec}(B_i)$.

Now take a neighborhood $f(x) \in V \subseteq f(U)$ so that V is principal open in $\text{Spec}(A)$ and in $\text{Spec}(A_i)$. Now take another smaller neighborhood $x \in U' \subseteq f^{-1}(V)$ such that U' is principal open in $\text{Spec}(B)$ and in $\text{Spec}(B_i)$.

So we have $U' \rightarrow V$, where both U' and V are principal opens of $\text{Spec}(B_i)$ and $\text{Spec}(A_i)$ respectively. Since $A_i \rightarrow B_i$ is of finite presentation, then localizations $(A_i)_f \rightarrow (B_i)_g$ (for some $f \in A_i$ and $g \in B_i$) are as well.

So for every point $x \in \text{Spec}(B)$ we can find a principal open neighborhood in $x \in D(f_x)$ and a principal open neighborhood $f(x) \in D(g_x)$ such that $A_{g_x} \rightarrow B_{f_x}$.

Since $\text{Spec}(B)$ is quasi-compact, we have $\text{Spec}(B) = D(f_1) \cup \dots \cup D(f_n)$. Denote $g_1, \dots, g_n \in A$ be the respective elements in A .

We have composition $\text{Spec}(B_{f_i}) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(A_{g_i}) \hookrightarrow \text{Spec}(A)$, which induces a map of rings $A \rightarrow A_{g_i} \rightarrow B_{f_i}$. Since $A_{g_i} \cong A[X]/(Xg_i - 1)$ and $A_{g_i} \rightarrow B_{f_i}$ are of finite presentation by assumption, and being of finite presentation is stable under compositions, we have that $A \rightarrow B_{f_i}$ are of finite presentation for every i .

Now its just commutative algebra to show that $A \rightarrow B$ is of finite presentation as well, so I hope its okay to assume this part. Otherwise we could just rewrite something like Lemma 00EP.