

Back to lifting criteria:

Proposition Formal smoothness is local on the source.

Theorem (Gruson-Raynaud)

Let A be a ring and M be an A -module. Let $A \rightarrow B$ be a faithfully flat map. If $M \otimes_A B$ is projective B -module, then M is a projective A -module.

Rmk - projectivity satisfied faithfully flat descent.

~ We showed being finite projective satisfies faithfully flat descent.

Let $f: X \rightarrow S$ be a morphism of schemes, let $X = \bigcup_{i \in I} U_i$ open cover with $U_i = \text{Spec } A_i$ such that $f|_{U_i}: U_i \rightarrow S$ is formally smooth,

Fix a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T_0 & \xrightarrow{u_0} & X \\ i \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ T & \xrightarrow{u} & S \end{array} \quad \text{with } T = \text{Spec } B$$

and $T_0 = \text{Spec } B_{\mathcal{I}} \quad \text{s.t.} \quad \mathcal{I}^2 = 0.$

By hypothesis

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T_0 \cap U_i & \xrightarrow{u_0} & U_i \\ i \downarrow & \ell_i \nearrow & \downarrow \\ T \cap U_i & \xrightarrow{u} & S \end{array}$$

has a lift ℓ_i

Question Do the ℓ_i glue to
a map $T \rightarrow X$?

Definition

Let G be a group.

- 1) A G -pseudo-torsor is a set P with action $G \times P \rightarrow P$ s.t. $\forall p_1, p_2 \in P \exists! g \in G$ with $g \cdot p_1 = p_2$.

- 2) A G -pseudo-torsor P is a G -torsor if $P \neq \emptyset$.

Let T be a topological space and G a sheaf of groups

- 1) A G -pseudo-torsor is a sheaf P over T with an action

$$G \times P \rightarrow P$$

s.t. $\forall U \subseteq T$ open and $\forall p \in P(U)$

$$\text{the map } G(U) \rightarrow P(U)$$

$$g \mapsto g \cdot p$$

is an isomorphism.

- 2) A pseudo-torsor P is a torsor if for all $x \in T$ $P_x \neq \emptyset$.

Example: Consider $S' = \{ z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |z| = 1 \}$,

let $\pi: S' \rightarrow S'$ $\pi(e^{i\theta}) = e^{2i\theta} \quad \forall \theta \in \mathbb{R}$.

For all $u \in S'$ let

$$P_u = \{ \sigma: u \rightarrow S' \mid \pi \circ \sigma = \text{id}_u \}.$$

Consider the constant group sheaf

$$G = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}.$$

we have an action:

$$G \times P \rightarrow P$$

$$(e, \sigma) \mapsto \sigma$$

$$(1, \sigma) \mapsto \sigma + \pi i$$

Then P is a G -torsor.

with setup as before

let

$$P: \text{Ouv}_T \rightarrow \text{Sets}$$

$$\{ U \in T \}_{\text{open}} \mapsto \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{Lifts of } U \subseteq T \\ \text{of } U \subseteq T \end{array} \right\}$$

Diagram illustrating the mapping of open sets $U \subseteq T$ to their lifts. The diagram shows a commutative square with a dashed diagonal arrow. The top horizontal arrow is labeled $U_0 \rightarrow T_0 \rightarrow X$. The left vertical arrow is labeled P . The right vertical arrow is labeled $U \subseteq T \rightarrow S$. The dashed diagonal arrow is labeled $U_0 \rightarrow U$.

Claim: P is a
 $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}_0}(u_0^* \mathcal{O}_{X/S}^I, I)$ - torsor.

Remark:

when $X = \text{Spec } A$, $S = \text{Spec } C$

this says

$\text{Der}_C(A, I)$ acts simply transitively
on the set of lifts (see Lecture 6)

Key point: This construction glues.

Definition: A map of torsors P_1, P_2
is a G -equivariant map of sheaves.

Remark: Any map of G -torsors is
automatically an isomorphism

Definition: Given a topological space
 T and a sheaf of groups G
we let $H^1(T, G) = \{ G\text{-torsors} \} / \sim$

Remark $H^1(T, G)$ is a pointed set with distinguished element being the isomorphism class of the trivial torsor.

Remark If $s \in P(T)$ then the
 $\text{map } G \rightarrow P$
 $g \mapsto g \cdot s$ induces an isomorphism
 of G -torsors.

In other words $P \neq \emptyset$ in $H^1(T, G)$
 $\Leftrightarrow P(T) \neq \emptyset$.

Functoriality of torsors:

Proposition

If $G_1 \rightarrow G_2$ is a map of groups, and P_1 is a G_1 -torsor, then $P_2 := G_2 \times_{G_1} P_1 = G_2 \times P_1 / G_1$ is a G_2 -torsor.

Proof For $x \in T$ we can compute
the stalk $(P_2)_x = G_{2,x} \times_{G_{1,x}} P_{1,x} \simeq G_{2,x}$.

If $s \in P_2(u)$
we want to show

$$G_2(u) \rightarrow [P_2(u)]$$

$$g \mapsto g \cdot s$$

is an isomorphism. we can check
this map is an isomorphism on
stalks.

Remark

In general $P_2(u) \neq [G_2(u) \times_{G_1(u)}^{P_1(u)}]$
since we have to sheafify.

Proposition $H^1(T, G_1 \times G_2) \simeq H^1(T, G_1) \times H^1(T, G_2)$.

Proof There are evident maps

$$P_{1,2} \mapsto (P_{1,2} \times_{G_1}^{G_1 \times G_2}, P_{1,2} \times_{G_2}^{G_1 \times G_2})$$

$$P_1 \times P_2 \hookrightarrow (P_1, P_2)$$

since stalks commute with finite

products one shows the constructions are inverse to each other.

Proposition $H^i(T, \prod_{j \in J} G_j) \subseteq \prod_{j \in J} H^i(T, G_j)$

Proof If P is a $\prod_{j \in J} G_j$ -torsor then $(P_j = P \times^{G_j})_{j \in J}$ is a family of G_j -torsors indexed by $j \in J$.

Given a family of torsors $(P_j)_{j \in J}$. we let $P = \prod P_j$, this is only a pseudo-torsor since some stalks might be empty. Key point: stalks do not commute with infinite products.

Given a diagram

$$D = \begin{array}{ccc} T_0 & \xrightarrow{M_0} & X \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ T & \longrightarrow & S \end{array}$$

we set a torsor

$$P_D \in H^i(T, \text{Hom}(v_0^* \mathcal{R}_{X/S}^i, \mathbb{I})).$$

and we want to show

$$p_j = * \quad \text{in this } H^1.$$

Claim: $u_0^* \mathcal{O}_{X/S}^1$ is projective

Proof $u_0^* \mathcal{O}_{X/S}^1 |_{T_0 \cap U_i}$ is

projective since $U_i \rightarrow S$ is formally smooth. Since $\bigcup T_0 \cap U_i \rightarrow T_0$ is a faithfully flat cover the result follows from (Gruson - Raynaud).

Claim: $H^1(T, \text{Hom}(u_0^* \mathcal{O}_{X/S}^1, \mathbb{I})) = *$

Let $M = u_0^* \mathcal{O}_{X/S}^1$, then M is a direct summand of $\bigoplus_j A$ since it is projective.

Then $\text{Hom}(M, \mathbb{I})$ is a direct summand of $\text{Hom}(\bigoplus_j A, \mathbb{I}) = \prod_j \mathbb{I}$

This gives \hookrightarrow an injection $H^1(T, \text{Hom}(M, \mathbb{I})) \subseteq H^1(T, \prod_j \mathbb{I}) \subseteq \prod_j H^1(T, \mathbb{I})$

We have reduced this to showing

$H^1(T, I) = *$ for all quasi-coherent
sheaves I and affine $T = \text{Spec } B$.