

Algebraic geometry 1 Exercise sheet 10

Solutions by: Eric Rudolph and David Čadež

21. Dezember 2023

Exercise 1.

1. Since X is closed and irreducible, it is of the form $X = \{p_0\}$ for some (Eric thinks unique) $p_0 \in \mathbb{A}^n_k$. That means $X \cong \operatorname{Spec}(k[x_1, \dots x_n]/p_0)$. Denote $A = k[x_1, \dots x_n]/p_0$.

Swely po also does the trick

By assumption there is a chain of specializations $p_0 \subset \cdots \subset p_d$ inside X.

Let $Z \subseteq X \cap V(f_1, \ldots, f_r)$ be a irreducible component. Thus it is the closure of a minimal prime ideal in $A/(f_1, \ldots, f_r)$.

By Krull's principal ideal theorem we have $\dim(A/(f_1,\ldots,f_r)) \geq d-r$.

Denote minimal prime ideals in $A/(f_1, \ldots, f_r)$ with $q_1, \ldots q_l$.

(Eric thinks that there is a unique generic point here again, since X is sober, so there should only be one of these prime ideals, right?)

We argue that

$$\dim(A/(f_1,\ldots,f_r)/q_i) = \dim(A/(f_1,\ldots,f_r)).$$

for any j.

That follows from A being catenary. If there existed a maximal chain in $A/(f_1, \ldots, f_r)$ that starts at q_j we could simply extend it below to get a maximal chain in A. Since all maximal chains in A are of the same length, we get that all maximal chains in $A/(f_1, \ldots, f_r)$ are also of the same length.

Since Z is an irreducible component, we have $Z = \overline{\{q_i\}} \subseteq \operatorname{Spec}(A/(f_1, \dots, f_r))$.

Therefore any maximal chain in Z is exactly as long as the longest chain in $A/(f_1,\ldots,f_r)$. And the longest chain in $A/(f_1,\ldots,f_r)$ is at least of length d-r.

rengun a-r.

2. The diagonal $\triangle \subseteq \mathbb{A}^n_k \times \mathbb{A}^n_k$ can be defined as $V(x_i \otimes x_i \mid i=1,\dots n) \subseteq \operatorname{Spec}(k[x_1,\dots,x_n] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} k[x_1,\dots,x_n])$.

(Should there not be a minus instead of \otimes in the above expression?)

The diagonal is induced by the sing map $e[x_1,...,x_h] \otimes_{\chi} e[x_1,...,x_h] \longrightarrow e[x_1,...,x_h]$ $x_i \otimes x_j \longmapsto x_i x_j$ This has kernel generated by $1 \otimes x_i - x_i \otimes 1$. $\Rightarrow b = V(1 \otimes x_i - x_i \otimes 1)$.

Using exercise above we get that any irreducible component of $X \cap Y_{\bullet} \cong$ $(X \times Y) \cap V(x_i \otimes x_i \mid i = 1, \dots n)$ has dimension at least d + e - n.

3. Let $\tilde{X} = \overline{f^{-1}(X)}$ and $\tilde{Y} = \overline{f^{-1}(Y)}$ as in the hint.

We have $\dim(\tilde{X}) = d + 1$ and $\dim(\tilde{Y}) = e + 1$. By the previous exercise we have $\dim(X \cap Y) \ge d + 1 + e + 1 - (n+1) = (d+e-n) + 1 \ge 1$.

Therefore there exists $0 \neq x \in \tilde{X} \cap \tilde{Y}$.

Questions from Eric:

Why is X irreducible (to be able to use part 2) and why does the dimension increase by 1 when we go to affine space?

The paint is that $0 \in X \cap Y$. So there exists some irreducible component containing it. X itself need not be exercise 2. irreducible. The increase of clim can be checked on an open cover, where $A^{n+1}(S_0) \to P^n$ is give by $A^{n+1} \to A^n = X \mid u_i = X \times A^n$. It is enough to show that there exists a cover $X = \bigcup_i \operatorname{Spec}(A_i)$ of X by open affines such that $f^{-1}(\operatorname{Spec}(A_i))$ is affine for all i. Therefore it is

Exercise 2.

open affines such that $f^{-1}(\operatorname{Spec}(A_i))$ is affine for all i. Therefore it is enough to show that the hint Molds, since $X = \bigcup_{x \in X} U_x$, where each U_x is an open affine with $x \in U_x$.

Take some $x \in X$. If $x \notin f(Y)$ then by continuity and since f(X) is closed, there exists an affine U_x that is disjoint from f(Y). In this case $f^{-1}(U_x) = \emptyset = D(1)$ the preimage under f is of affine.

If $x \in f(Y)$, then $x \in \operatorname{Spec}(A_k)$ for some k. Now choose some principal open $D(g) \subset Z$ with $f^{-1}(x) \in D(g)$.

We can now take a principal open $D(g') \subset f(D(g))$ such that $D(g') \subset U_k$ and $x \in D(g')$. Then we can show similarly to exercise 4.1 on sheet 8 that

$$f^{-1}(D(g'))$$

is a principal open again, so in particular affine.

v2

Take $x \in |X|$. If $x \notin f(|Y|)$, we can find an open U_x such that $f^{-1}(U_x) = \emptyset$. So assume $x \in f(|Y|)$. Then look at $f^{-1}(x)$. Take an open affine $V_x \subseteq |Y|$ with $f^{-1}(x) \in V_x$. Since f is homeomorphism on its image, we have can take $U_x = f(V_x)$ an affine neighborhood of x such that $f^{-1}(U_x) = V_x$ is affine.

2. Assume that f is universally closed. We want to show that f is integral, surjective and universally injective. By the first part of this exercise we get that f is affine. It says on The Stacks project that maps that are affine and unversally closed are also integral. The other two properties follow immediately from the assumptions.

On the other hand, assume that f is integral, surjective and universally injective. We now from algebra 1 that integral maps are closed and we Lach of details -0.5°C.

learned in this course that the property of a morphism being integral is stable under base change. If you put these two facts together you get that integral maps are universally closed.

We also know from The Stacks project that the property of a map of schemes being surjective is stable under base change, so f surjective already implies f unviversally surjective. All in all, we get that f is unviversally bijective.

Universally bijective + universally closed => -0.5P. 3.5/4

Exercise 3.

1. We have a map $k \to \Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$. For any $f \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ we can define $k[x] \to \Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ by $x \mapsto f$

Pick some $f \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ and define the induced $g: X \to \mathbb{A}^1_k$.

First observe that: g(X) does not contain the generic point of \mathbb{A}^1_k if and only if $k[x] \to \Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ with $x \mapsto f$ is not injective.

We have a composition $k \to k[x] \to \Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$. So also $X \to \mathbb{A}^1_k \to \operatorname{Spec}(k)$.

Map $X \to \operatorname{Spec}(k)$ is proper.

Map $\mathbb{A}^1_k \to \operatorname{Spec}(k)$ is separated, since it is a map of affine schemes. (Follows from the fact that $k[x] \otimes_k k[x] \to k[x]$ is surjective, and thus $\mathbb{A}^1_k \to \mathbb{A}^1_k \times_k \mathbb{A}^1_k$ a closed immersion.)

Thus by the proposition from the lectures, the map $g: X \to \mathbb{A}^1_k$ is proper. In particular it is closed. Since X is connected, the image g(X) is connected as well.

Using the hint, we can postcompose with open inclusion $\mathbb{A}^1_k \subseteq \mathbb{P}^1_k$ to obtain $X \to \mathbb{A}^1_k \to \mathbb{P}^1_k$. Now the conclusion should be that the image of X in \mathbb{P}^1_k is also closed. Since $\mathbb{A}^1_k \subseteq \mathbb{P}^1_k$ is not closed, the image of X cannot be whole \mathbb{A}^1_k . Therefore it must be a single point.

Since we did not exactly understand why should composition $X \to \mathbb{P}^1_k$ be closed, we decided to rather show that $X \to \mathbb{A}^1_k$ cannot be surjective, as that would imply \mathbb{A}^1_k being universally closed over $\operatorname{Spec}(k)$ (which we've shown during the lectures to be false). Good!

Instead of doing it abstractly, we can show that $X \to \mathbb{A}^1_k$ being surjective would imply $\mathbb{A}^2_k \to \mathbb{A}^1_k$ being closed.

By the universal property of \mathbb{A}^2_k we get a map $X \to \mathbb{A}^2_k$, induced by $X \to \mathbb{A}^1_k$. So we have a map $X \to \mathbb{A}^2_k \to \mathbb{A}^1_k$. Denote $\alpha \colon X \to \mathbb{A}^2_k$ and $\beta \colon \mathbb{A}^2_k \to \mathbb{A}^1_k$. If α would be surjective, then for any $U \subseteq \mathbb{A}^2_k$ we would have $(\beta \circ \alpha)(\alpha^{-1}(U)) = \beta(U)$. Since $\beta \circ \alpha$ is closed by assumption, this would prove that β is closed. That is not true, so $g = \beta \circ \alpha$ is not surjective.

This is actually more elegant. How the list.

If X - A' was proper + svjective, this would imply that A' is also proper, which is false.

We've shown that the image of $X \to \mathbb{A}^1_k$ is a single point. Since this point is closed, it is not the generic point. This shows that $k[x] \to \Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ induced by $f \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ is not injective.

2. We have a map $k \to \Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$. It cannot be 0, since X is locally finite type over $\operatorname{Spec}(k)$. So it is injective.

It is also surjective, since for any $f \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ the map $k[x] \to \Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ defined by $x \mapsto f$ is not injective. Therefore $k \cong \Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$.

+ X is connected

Exercise 4.

1. Let $\{Z_i\}_{i\in I}$ be all closed subschemes Z_i such that $f\colon X\to S$ factors through Z_i . Since equalizers exist in category of schemes, we take im(f)to be the equalizer $\operatorname{eq}(Z_i \rightrightarrows S)$ this is actually a bit subtle on Z_i is an infinite collection Let $\operatorname{im}(f)$ be the schematic image of $f\colon X\to S$. We have a factorization $f=i\circ f',$ where $f'\colon X\to \operatorname{im}(f)$ and $i\colon \operatorname{im}(f)\to S$ closed immersion. Then $\mathcal{O}_S \to f_*(\mathcal{O}_X)$ factors as $\mathcal{O}_S \to i_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{im}(f)} \to f_*(\mathcal{O}_X)$. This shows

Show that ideal sheaf of a closed immersion is indeed a quasi-coherent sheaf. $\sqrt{}$

that ideal sheaf of the image is indeed contained in the kernel of $f^{\#}$.

Let $i: \operatorname{im}(f) \to S$ be a closed immersion. We have a surjection $\mathcal{O}_S \to$ $i_*\mathcal{O}_Z$.

Pick a point $x \in S$ and an affine open neighborhood $x \in U = \operatorname{Spec}(A) \subseteq S$. We obtain a map $A \to i_* \mathcal{O}_Z(U)$.

Denote the kernel $I = \ker(A \to i_* \mathcal{O}_Z(U))$.

Since $\mathcal{O}_S \to i_*\mathcal{O}_Z$ surjective implies $A \to i_*\mathcal{O}_Z(U)$ surjective, we have $i_*\mathcal{O}_Z(U) \cong A/I$.

We want to show the ideal sheaf is equal to \tilde{I} . Pick any $f \in A$.

Since $i_*\mathcal{O}_Z$ is a quasi-coherent \mathcal{O}_S -module, we have $i_*\mathcal{O}_Z(D(f)) = i_*\mathcal{O}_Z(U)[f^{-1}] =$ $(A/I)[f^{-1}].$

Kernel of $A[f^{-1}] \to (A/I)[f^{-1}]$ is then $I[f^{-1}]$. This shows that ideal sheaf isomorphic to \tilde{I} on U and thus a quasi-coherent sheaf.

Now we have to show it is in fact maximal such.

Take any quasi-coherent ideal M that factors through $\ker(f^{\#})$. Sheaf M induces a closed subscheme, locally on $Spec(A) \subseteq S$ defined as a closed subscheme $V(M(U)) \subseteq \operatorname{Spec}(A)$.

Sheaf M induces a closed subscheme Z, through which f factors, so we have $X \to Z \to S$. Therefore we obtain a closed inlusion $\operatorname{im}(f) \to Z$ which implies that on each affine ideal Z is contained in im(f).

aduit all limb!

(only finite ones). This limit does happen to coxist in this case the.

-05P.

2. If $f_*\mathcal{O}_X$ would be quasi-coherent, then the statement would hold, since kernels of quasi-coherent sheaves are quasi-coherent.

However, let us assume now only that f is quasi-compact. Since quasicoherentness is a local property, we can assume that S is affine Using that f is quasi-compact we have that

$$X = f^{-1}(Y)$$

is compact, so we can write

$$X = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} U_i$$

as a finite union of open affines.

This gives a map

$$f': \mid U_i \to X \to S.$$

Now $f_*\mathcal{O}_X$ is a subsheaf of $f'_*\mathcal{O}_{X'}$, so $\mathcal{I} = \ker(\mathcal{O}_S \to \mathcal{O}_{X'}).$

$$\mathcal{I} = \ker(\mathcal{O}_S \to \mathcal{O}_{X'}).$$

Therefore, by stacks project the sheaf of ideals is quasi-coherent in this case.

Now the scheme-theoretic image is just the closed subscheme determined

3. Denote $X = \bigsqcup_{n>0} \operatorname{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}/p^n)$ and $f: X \to \operatorname{Spec}(\mathbb{Z})$. For every $n \geq 0$ we have \mathbb{Z}/p^n which has a unique prime ideal, namely (0) if $0 \le n \le 1$ and (p) if $n \geq 2$.

Every $\operatorname{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}/p^n)$ thus has one point. By looking at preimages of $\mathbb{Z} \to$ \mathbb{Z}/p^n we see that all of them are mapped to $(p) \in \operatorname{Spec}(\mathbb{Z})$. So topologically the image should be $\{(p)\}\subseteq \operatorname{Spec}(\mathbb{Z})$ (we thought naively at the start).

We use previous two parts to compute ideal sheaf, from which we can infer closed subscheme im(f). Ideal sheaf is quasi-coherent, so corresponds to some ideal $I \subseteq Z$. We also know ideal sheaf I is contained in the kernel $\ker(\mathcal{O}_{\operatorname{Spec}(\mathbb{Z})} \to f_*\mathcal{O}_X)$ Applying this to global sections we get that I must be contained in the kernel of $\ker(\mathbb{Z} \to \prod_{n>0} \mathbb{Z}/p^n)$. But this map is injective, since every non-zero $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ will be non-zero in some \mathbb{Z}/p^n for big enough n. Therefore I is zero. \checkmark

Ideal I vanishes everywhere, so im(f) is topologically homeomorphism on $\operatorname{Spec}(\mathbb{Z})$ and $\mathcal{O}_{\operatorname{Spec}(\mathbb{Z})} \to \mathcal{O}_{\operatorname{im}(f)}$ is surjective map with trivial kernel, so an isomorphism. Therefore $\operatorname{im}(f) = \operatorname{Spec}(\mathbb{Z})$ (which is very surprising).

3.5/4

Both of these calculations are correct! Topologically, the image is indeed Ep3 but schene-theoretically it isn't.

Let's look at a more geometric example to make this clearer:

Let's look at a more geometric example to make this clearer:

Let's look at a more geometric example to make this clearer:

Let's look at a more geometric example to make this clearer:

Let's look at a more geometric example to make this clearer: V(f)