

# Augmented Reality in Gamified Hand Rehabilitation

## Therapeutic Focus: Hand Conditions and AR Gamification

- **Stroke Recovery:** Stroke survivors often have hemiparesis and impaired hand function. AR games can encourage repetitive, task-specific movements essential for neuroplastic recovery <sup>1</sup>. For example, AR exercises might overlay virtual objects that patients grasp and release, retraining coordination. In severe cases, AR can be combined with assistive gloves or orthoses to aid movement while keeping patients engaged in reach-and-grasp games <sup>2</sup> <sup>3</sup>. Gradual difficulty progression and real-time feedback in these games help stroke patients stay motivated as they rebuild strength and dexterity <sup>1</sup> <sup>4</sup>. AR also enables **mirror therapy** principles – displaying a virtual moving hand to encourage the affected hand to move – which has been shown to improve upper-limb function in stroke rehab when delivered in interactive form <sup>5</sup>.
- **Arthritis (e.g. Rheumatoid or Osteoarthritis):** Arthritis causes pain, stiffness, and reduced range of motion in the hands. Gamified AR exercises can gently guide patients through **range-of-motion and grip strength exercises** disguised as games. For instance, a patient with rheumatoid arthritis might see virtual balls or fruits in AR that they squeeze or stretch with their fingers, encouraging joint movement without the focus on pain. Research in juvenile idiopathic arthritis has shown that gesture-controlled games (using devices like Leap Motion) improved hand mobility and engagement <sup>6</sup>. AR's immersive feedback can also distract from pain – a known effect of VR/AR therapies is reducing perceived pain by drawing attention into the game <sup>5</sup>. By providing visual rewards for each movement (e.g. a flower blooming when the hand fully opens), AR games motivate arthritic patients to complete their exercises regularly despite discomfort.
- **Post-Surgical Hand Rehabilitation:** After hand surgery (tendon repairs, fracture fixation, carpal bone surgery, etc.), patients face strict exercise regimens to restore movement. Gamification can be tailored to post-surgical protocols. Early on, when motion is limited, AR can assist with **active-assisted movements** – for example, projecting a virtual guide that the patient follows to safely move within allowed range. As healing progresses, AR games can progressively increase motion targets. Studies indicate that using gamified rehab (e.g. Kinect-based or VR exercises) after upper-limb surgeries produced similar or better outcomes than conventional therapy while increasing patient enthusiasm <sup>7</sup> <sup>8</sup>. In one trial, shoulder surgery patients who used a rehabilitation game system achieved comparable improvements to traditional therapy, and therapists noted the system helped reduce their workload <sup>7</sup>. For hand surgery, an AR headset at home could show a patient a virtual object to pinch or a dial to turn, corresponding to their therapy exercises. This not only makes boring rehab tasks more fun but also provides immediate feedback on performance (e.g. “reach 5 more degrees to hit the target”), which is crucial for proper tendon gliding and joint mobilization.
- **Carpal Tunnel Syndrome (CTS) and Repetitive Strain:** CTS is treated with specific hand and wrist exercises (tendon glides, nerve glides, stretching) to relieve pressure on the median nerve. AR can

gamify these **nerve gliding exercises**. For example, an AR game might present a series of hand postures or wrist motions (like a “follow the leader” for finger movements) that correspond to nerve glides. Patients score points by correctly performing the sequence, ensuring they do exercises fully. A recent study introduced a mixed-reality exercise program for CTS patients with mid-air haptic feedback; it measured hand movement accuracy and showed high usability, suggesting such AR/MR programs are promising for CTS rehab <sup>9</sup> <sup>10</sup>. Gamified AR stretches can also remind office workers to take stretch breaks, potentially preventing carpal tunnel issues. By turning exercises into a game (e.g. guiding a virtual avatar through obstacles by flexing and extending the wrist), AR keeps patients engaged and performing the motions that alleviate nerve compression.

## Target Demographics: Age-Specific Design

- **Children:** Gamified hand therapy for children leverages playfulness to improve adherence. Kids with conditions like cerebral palsy or juvenile arthritis benefit from colorful, story-driven AR games that make therapy feel like play <sup>11</sup> <sup>12</sup>. For example, an AR treasure hunt could require a child to reach, pinch, or point to virtual stars as a form of hand exercise. Designs must consider shorter attention spans – using quick levels, friendly characters, and immediate rewards (sounds, stars, badges) to keep kids engaged. **Cognitive load** should be low; instructions are given with simple visuals or even a cartoon mascot demonstrating the movement. Children also enjoy **competition and challenge**, which are noted as popular play elements in pediatric rehab games <sup>13</sup>. Multi-player options can allow kids to exercise together or with a parent, making therapy a social game rather than a chore. Importantly, equipment needs to fit smaller heads and hands – lightweight AR glasses with adjustable straps and interfaces sized for little hands (or hands-free tracking) improve comfort and safety for children during use.
- **Adults:** For adult users, gamified AR therapy often ties into real-world goals (e.g. regaining the ability to type or cook after a hand injury) and utilizes more sophisticated game mechanics. Adults may appreciate **achievement tracking**, such as progress graphs or unlocking new game levels as their hand function improves. A working-age adult with carpal tunnel might use an AR app during work breaks that turns wrist stretches into a quick game, appealing to their need to balance rehab with a busy schedule. Adults generally handle more complex interfaces than children, so AR therapies can include richer dashboards or customization – for instance, adjusting difficulty or selecting preferred game themes. However, the design should still be **efficient and time-respecting**, given that adults may only have short periods for exercise. Social features like leaderboards or remote group sessions can motivate competitive individuals, whereas narrative-driven solo adventures might engage others. The key is flexibility: some adults will respond to a playful fantasy AR game, while others prefer a straightforward “exercise tracker” aesthetic – a good AR rehab platform lets the user (or therapist) choose the mode that best sustains the user’s motivation.
- **Seniors:** Gamified AR hand therapy for seniors focuses on simplicity, safety, and comfort. Elderly patients may have limited tech familiarity, so the interface should be extremely intuitive – large clear icons, voice instructions, and minimal setup steps. **Visual clarity** is crucial: high-contrast graphics and possibly larger virtual objects help those with visual impairments. Because some seniors have cognitive decline, games should avoid overload: straightforward tasks (like “tap the floating balloon with your index finger”) with one-step instructions are ideal. AR is advantageous for seniors since it keeps them aware of their real environment, reducing disorientation and fall risk compared to fully

immersive VR <sup>14</sup>. Comfort considerations include lightweight hardware – prolonged use of a heavy headset can strain an older person’s neck. Many AR headsets (like HoloLens 2 or Magic Leap) are front-heavy, so for seniors, additional straps or a counterbalance might be needed, or use shorter sessions with breaks. **Motivation for seniors** can be enhanced by incorporating meaningful everyday contexts into the game: for example, an AR cooking game where chopping and stirring motions (rehab exercises) are practiced, since cooking might be an activity they value regaining. Social gamification can also help older adults – guided group exercise sessions in AR or connecting with grandchildren through multi-player AR games can improve uptake. Empathy and encouragement are key: gentle feedback and positive reinforcement (e.g. a calm voice saying “Great job!”) go a long way with senior users. In sum, AR therapy for seniors should be *accessible, gentle, and empowering*, allowing them to perform exercises correctly without frustration.

## Hardware Platforms: AR Headsets for Hand Therapy

Choosing the right AR hardware is vital for effective hand rehabilitation. Key factors include hand-tracking precision, portability (for home use), cost, and the available software ecosystem. **Table 1** compares major AR/MR platforms on these criteria:

AR Device	AR Modality	Hand Tracking & Interaction	Portability	Approx. Cost	Ecosystem & Use
<b>Meta Quest 3</b>	Mixed Reality (VR with passthrough AR) – opaque headset with cameras for color passthrough.	Camera-based hand tracking (20+ points per hand) at ~60 Hz; also supports controllers. Hands are used to pinch, grab virtual objects, etc., with solid accuracy for rehab games.	Standalone, wireless; moderately bulky (515 g) <sup>15</sup> but can be used at home easily. Needs indoor space for safety but AR mode lets user see surroundings.	~\$500 (consumer-level)	Large consumer ecosystem (games, Unity development). Strong developer community – many apps available. Suitable for home therapy apps due to affordability and ease of use.

AR Device	AR Modality	Hand Tracking & Interaction	Portability	Approx. Cost	Ecosystem & Use
Microsoft HoloLens 2	True Augmented Reality – transparent visor with holographic overlays.	Advanced fully-articulated hand tracking (25 joint points) at 45–60 Hz. No controllers needed (interaction via gaze, gestures like air-tap, and voice commands). High accuracy tracking (~15–20 mm in finger position) <sup>16</sup> <sup>17</sup> , though limited field-of-view (~52° diag.) means virtual content can move out of view <sup>18</sup> <sup>16</sup> .	Self-contained, untethered; relatively heavy (566 g) but balanced with headband <sup>19</sup> <sup>20</sup> . Designed for on-site use – comfortable for shorter sessions.	~\$3,500 (enterprise-level)	Robust enterprise and research ecosystem. Used in clinical trials and hospital settings (e.g. stroke rehab studies). Fewer off-the-shelf therapy games, but powerful for custom development (supports Unity/MRTK). Excellent for clinics that can invest in high-end AR <sup>21</sup> <sup>14</sup> .

AR Device	AR Modality	Hand Tracking & Interaction	Portability	Approx. Cost	Ecosystem & Use
<b>Magic Leap 2</b>	Augmented Reality – transparent optics with a tethered compute pack.	High-quality hand tracking (approx. 25-point skeletal tracking) at 60 Hz <sup>22</sup> ; also provides a 6DoF controller as an input option <sup>23</sup> <sup>24</sup> . Supports eye tracking and voice. Slightly less tracking precision initially reported for Magic Leap One (~40 mm error) versus HoloLens <sup>16</sup> , but ML2 has improved accuracy and larger field-of-view (~70°) <sup>25</sup> .	Semi-portable: headset (260 g) plus a hip-worn compute module. Lighter on face, so potentially comfortable for longer wear; tether adds slight complexity.	~\$3,300 (enterprise-level)	Growing developer ecosystem (Unity/Unreal support). Targeted at enterprise and healthcare. Some partnerships in surgical visualization and rehab startups (e.g. Strolll uses ML) <sup>21</sup> . Good visuals and superior FOV make it promising for immersive therapy, though cost and smaller user base mean content is more specialized.

AR Device	AR Modality	Hand Tracking & Interaction	Portability	Approx. Cost	Ecosystem & Use
<b>Mobile/ Tablet AR</b> (e.g. iPad or phone with ARKit/ ARCore)	Handheld Augmented Reality through screen (not glasses).	Uses device camera for hand or marker tracking. Limited true hand tracking (some SDKs can detect hand pose via camera). Interaction often via touch or basic gestures captured by camera. Not as natural for fine hand rehab because one hand must hold the device or the view is not hands-free.	Extremely portable (just a phone/tablet). No wearable needed. However, user has to manage device during exercise (could mount it on a stand).	Varies (\$300–\$1000 for consumer device)	Huge app ecosystem (mobile apps). Many <b>exergame</b> and rehab apps exist on tablets. Great accessibility (most patients have a phone), but less immersive. Useful as a low-cost option for home exercise, though hands-free AR glasses provide a more engaging rehab experience.

**Table 1:** Comparison of AR hardware platforms for hand therapy use, highlighting their tracking capabilities, portability, cost, and ecosystem support. *Meta Quest 3* provides an affordable mixed-reality option with good hand tracking and a broad app ecosystem, ideal for home use. *HoloLens 2* and *Magic Leap 2* offer true AR with high-fidelity tracking and enterprise-grade features (eye tracking, voice), making them suitable for clinical environments or advanced home setups, albeit at a high cost. Mobile AR (phones/tablets) is widely accessible but less immersive – often serving as an entry point for gamified rehab.

Each platform's suitability varies by context: For example, a clinic might use the HoloLens 2 to overlay therapy exercises on real objects in the therapy gym (benefiting from its markerless tracking and hands-free use), while a patient at home might use a Quest 3 in passthrough AR mode to play therapy games in their living room <sup>14</sup>. Notably, **hand tracking quality** is critical for hand rehab – devices like HoloLens 2 and Magic Leap 2 excel here with fully articulated tracking, enabling fine finger exercises (like virtual piano or pegboard tasks). The Quest's camera-based tracking is slightly less precise but has demonstrated success in rehab games (e.g. controlling an avatar with hand motions in a Quest 2/3 game for wrist rehab <sup>26</sup> <sup>27</sup>). An important consideration is that **controller-based interaction is suboptimal for hand therapy** – patients with limited grip or finger mobility may not handle game controllers well <sup>28</sup>. This makes the controller-free interaction of modern AR headsets a big plus, allowing patients to use natural hand movements.

Finally, **developer ecosystem** matters because therapists and patients need relevant content. The Meta Quest's large user base means more ready-made rehab games or apps can be available (and easier updates). In contrast, HoloLens and Magic Leap, while technically powerful, rely on custom or enterprise apps – often requiring collaboration with developers or purchasing specialized software. Over time, we expect AR hardware to become lighter and cheaper <sup>29</sup>, which will make these therapies more accessible. Already, the cost of AR is trending downwards as consumer AR/MR devices proliferate <sup>29</sup>, suggesting that in the near future even high-end AR rehab could be done on affordable glasses.

## Use Context: Home vs. Clinical AR Therapy

Gamified AR hand therapy can be deployed at home or in clinical settings, but the setup and support differ:

Home Use	Clinical Use
<p><b>Setup &amp; Accessibility:</b> Home AR therapy must be <b>simple and user-friendly</b>. Patients typically use standalone devices (e.g. Quest 3 or a lightweight AR headset) with minimal assembly – ideally “plug and play.” Calibration is often automatic or guided by on-screen prompts, since a therapist isn’t there to adjust settings. The exercises might be packaged in an app with intuitive menus for a layperson. <b>Technical support</b> is remote: apps may include tutorials or a help button. Because home users vary in tech-savvy, designers often include fallback options (like switching to a 2D mode or having printed instructions as backup).</p> <p><b>Environment:</b> At home, space can be a constraint. AR therapy apps for home avoid requiring large areas or special equipment – they often work in a living room or at a desk. Since AR lets users see their surroundings, safety is enhanced (patients are less likely to trip over furniture when they can see it). Nonetheless, apps will instruct on clearing a small safe area if movement is needed. A benefit of AR over VR here is that a patient can perform exercises in context: e.g. seeing their actual hand and a virtual overlay ensures they don’t accidentally hit real objects.</p> <p><b>Monitoring &amp; Data:</b> Without a therapist present, <b>progress tracking</b> is done via the software. AR therapy systems record metrics like range of motion, number of repetitions, and accuracy. These data can sync to a cloud platform for the therapist to review remotely <sup>30</sup> <sup>31</sup>. Some home systems send weekly progress summaries or alerts if the patient’s performance drops. <b>Adherence prompts</b> are common – the AR app might send reminders or incorporate daily “streak” counters and rewards to encourage regular use. In case of any issue or pain, patients might have the option to report feedback through the app, which can notify clinicians.</p> <p><b>Support &amp; Motivation:</b> Family members can be involved at home. For instance, a patient’s spouse might help them don the headset or even join a simple two-player AR game for moral support. Gamification is crucial in home use to replace the motivation a therapist provides. Achievements, leaderboards (comparing to other home users or the patient’s own past scores), and narrative goals keep the patient engaged over weeks of rehab. The home setting also allows <b>high frequency</b> of therapy – short AR game sessions multiple times a day, which has been shown to dramatically increase total rehab dose (e.g. Parkinson’s patients using AR at home did 42 sessions in six weeks versus ~12 normally, with 100% adherence) <sup>32</sup>.</p>	

**Setup & Equipment:** Clinics can leverage more complex setups. A rehabilitation center might have a dedicated AR rehab corner with a HoloLens 2 or Magic Leap 2, external sensors (if needed), or even AR integrated with robotic devices <sup>33</sup> <sup>34</sup>. Therapists set up scenarios tailored to each patient's needs (for example, selecting a hand exercise game appropriate for a post-stroke patient's level). Because skilled staff are present, calibration can be fine-tuned – the therapist can adjust hologram alignment, ensure the hand tracking accurately covers the patient's movement range, and attach any supplementary devices (e.g. an EMG sensor or a finger-tracking ring if used). **Multimodal setups** are possible: clinics might combine AR glasses with physical props (like a real peg board or therapy putty enhanced by AR visuals) to blend physical and virtual practice.

**Environment:** In clinics, AR therapy can happen in a therapy gym or private office. Space is usually available for full upper-limb motions. Clinics may also mount additional cameras or use motion-capture alongside AR for precise monitoring <sup>35</sup> <sup>36</sup>. A controlled environment means AR can incorporate real objects safely – e.g. placing virtual markers on a real therapy table for the patient to touch, or showing holograms around real-world obstacles for advanced retraining of functional tasks. Clinical AR use can thus simulate Activities of Daily Living with both real and virtual elements, under supervision. Since therapists are present, if a patient becomes confused or the tech glitches, immediate help is available.

**Supervision & Personalization:** A big advantage in clinic is **real-time supervision**. The therapist can watch the AR display (some systems even let the therapist see what the patient sees on a tablet screen) and give immediate cues: “open your hand a bit more to grab that virtual cup.” Therapists also tailor difficulty on the fly – if a game is too easy, they might increase the level or add resistance (some setups might combine AR with a smart glove providing haptic resistance). Therapists ensure that the patient's movements are biomechanically correct, preventing bad habits. They can also incorporate AR as part of a hybrid session: for example, 10 minutes of AR gaming to warm up, followed by manual therapy techniques, then another AR game to reinforce a skill. The presence of a professional allows **adaptive therapy** that is harder to achieve in standalone home systems.

**Data & Clinical Monitoring:** Clinics often use AR systems that log detailed performance data. This data can be more complex than at home, potentially integrating with medical records. A therapist might review a patient's performance metrics after each session – e.g. hand tremor amplitude tracked over time, or speed of task completion – to adjust the rehabilitation plan. In clinical trials and research, AR data is invaluable for objective outcome measures <sup>36</sup> <sup>37</sup>. Moreover, multiple patients can be managed: a therapist could run a group session where each patient uses an AR headset for hand exercises, allowing **group therapy gamification** (patients see each other's scores or collaborate in an AR task). This social element in clinic can boost motivation while still being overseen by healthcare providers.

</td></tr></table>

**Key differences:** Home AR therapy emphasizes *accessibility, simplicity, and remote engagement*, enabling high-dose, everyday practice. Clinical AR therapy capitalizes on *professional guidance, advanced equipment*, and integrated care. Both contexts benefit from the motivating power of gamification, but they implement it differently: at home the software drives the experience entirely, whereas in clinic, it's a tool in the therapist's hands (literally and figuratively) to enhance traditional therapy. In practice, a hybrid model is emerging – patients do supervised AR sessions in clinic and continue with a prescribed AR game program at home, with data flowing between the two. This continuity can accelerate rehab progress while maintaining safety and efficacy <sup>32</sup> <sup>38</sup>.



## Game Mechanics & Experience Design

Designing the game mechanics and user experience properly is what makes AR therapy effective. A balance is needed between fun and function: the games must be engaging but also therapeutically rigorous. Below are key aspects of game design for AR hand rehabilitation:

### Solo vs. Multiplayer Engagement

**Solo Play:** Most current AR rehab games are single-player, focusing on the individual's progress. Solo mode allows a *tailored pace* – the game can adjust difficulty to the user's ability in real time. For example, if a patient is struggling to make a full fist, the solo game might adapt by enlarging the virtual object they are trying to squeeze or slowing down the required motion. Narrative elements are often used to keep solo players engaged: the user could be the “hero” of an AR story (e.g. casting spells by performing hand exercises, or repairing a virtual machine by gripping and turning holographic parts). This gives purpose to repetitive movements. **Feedback** in solo play is personalized – the system analyzes the user's performance and might say “Great job! You improved your range by 5° today,” reinforcing small wins.

**Multiplayer & Social Gamification:** Incorporating social elements can boost motivation through competition or cooperation. In a multiplayer AR therapy game, two or more patients (or a patient and therapist, or patient and family member) could see the same virtual scenario and interact. For instance, in a clinic two stroke patients might “race” their virtual cars by performing forearm pronation/supination exercises – the car moves only when they rotate their hand correctly. This friendly competition can spur greater effort. Alternatively, cooperative play can have users collaborate: imagine a game where a patient and their caregiver work together to assemble a virtual puzzle, each performing complementary hand tasks. Social interaction not only makes therapy fun but also addresses the psychosocial aspect of recovery (reducing feelings of isolation). Even when physically alone, patients can feel a social connection via **leaderboards or shared challenges** – for example, a senior at home might see that they rank 3rd that week among users in their age group for exercise consistency, prompting pride and continued participation. It's important, however, to calibrate competition so it remains encouraging rather than discouraging for those with more severe limitations. Many platforms allow turning off competitive features if they cause anxiety. In summary, adding multiplayer or community features taps into humans' natural social drive to encourage adherence and enjoyment in rehab.

### Feedback Systems and Motivation Loops

A core benefit of gamifying therapy is the rich feedback loop it provides to the user:

- **Real-Time Feedback:** AR glasses can give instantaneous visual and auditory feedback on performance. If a patient's movement is too slow or off-target, the game can highlight the error – e.g. a virtual hand outline might appear to show the correct motion, or a gentle “buzz” sound might indicate the target wasn't reached. Conversely, successful movements trigger positive feedback like celebratory sounds, points, or an object changing color to show success <sup>39</sup> <sup>40</sup>. This real-time guidance helps patients correct movements on the fly, which is crucial for motor relearning <sup>4</sup>.
- **Scoring and Achievements:** Points, scores, and achievement badges are classic gamification tools. Rehab games often assign points for each exercise repetition done with proper form. This turns exercise into a game of high score – patients may do extra repetitions to earn more points without

even realizing they are exercising more. Achievements (badges for milestones like “1000 grasp-and-release actions completed” or “Played 7 days in a row”) provide intermediate goals and a sense of accomplishment. These elements leverage **intrinsic motivation** by making therapy feel like an attainable challenge rather than a medical task. Importantly, the points correlate with therapeutic goals (e.g. more points for greater range of motion), ensuring that “winning” the game also means meaningful progress.

- **Adaptive Difficulty:** A well-designed AR rehab game includes a *dynamic difficulty adjustment*. As the patient improves, the game introduces new challenges to keep them in the sweet spot between boredom and frustration <sup>4</sup>. For example, once a post-surgery patient can comfortably perform 10 wrist extensions, the game might add a slight resistance (via a haptic glove or simply by requiring a faster motion) to continue building strength. Conversely, if a task is too hard – say a stroke patient cannot reach to a certain height – the game can temporarily lower the target so they experience success and remain motivated, then gradually raise it as they gain ability. This individualized pacing is a known driver of adherence <sup>4</sup>, as it keeps patients feeling challenged but capable.
- **Narrative and Goals:** Integrating a story or meaningful goal structure can significantly enhance engagement. Rather than doing “3 sets of 10 finger bends,” an AR game might frame it as “help the virtual gardener open and close their hand to plant seeds across the field.” The patient’s hand movements directly advance a narrative (the field gets planted and flowers grow as they do their reps). Story-driven rehab taps into the same engagement people feel with video game storylines or completing a quest. It provides context to the exercises, making them more enjoyable and giving the patient something to “look forward to” each session (what happens next in the story?). Some rehab games use levels or chapters – completing all your exercises might unlock the next level (say, moving from a virtual kitchen scenario to a garden scenario in the story). **Goal-setting** is also built-in: the game can set daily goals (e.g. score 500 points today, beat your personal best, or complete a specific virtual task). Achieving these goals releases dopamine hits of accomplishment, reinforcing the habit. Therapists often can adjust these goals behind the scenes to align with therapy objectives, ensuring the *game goals = therapy goals*.
- **Multi-Sensory Feedback:** Aside from visual and score feedback, AR therapy can use audio (cheers, guiding narration) and even haptic feedback. While AR glasses themselves may not provide haptics, some setups include peripherals: for example, a wearable glove that vibrates when the patient meets a target or ultrasonic “mid-air” haptics that give a touch sensation when interacting with virtual objects <sup>9</sup> <sup>41</sup>. This multi-sensory approach enriches feedback – someone with impaired sensation in their hand might *hear* or *feel* when they’ve moved correctly, not just see it. This can improve motor learning by engaging more senses and confirming success through multiple channels.

Overall, feedback and motivation loops in AR rehab create a **positive reinforcement cycle**: the patient performs a movement, gets immediate feedback, sees their progress (points or narrative outcome), which motivates them to perform more movements. This cycle is critical for turning a typically tedious exercise regimen into an engaging activity the patient wants to repeat. Indeed, studies highlight that real-time feedback, challenge, and increasing difficulty are key motivators for patient adherence in AR/VR rehab <sup>42</sup>.

<sup>4</sup> .

## Accessibility Features and Comfort Considerations

To ensure AR therapy is effective for all users, developers must include accessibility and comfort features:

- **Calibration to Ability:** Patients' abilities can vary widely (for example, a stroke patient with nearly full hand motion vs. one with very limited finger movement). AR therapy systems often start with a calibration or assessment. They measure the user's current range of motion, strength (sometimes via external sensors or how fast they can move in AR), and precision, then adjust the game parameters accordingly. If a senior can only bend their thumb 30 degrees, the AR game's tasks will be set to that range initially so the patient can succeed and not be discouraged. Difficulty can then scale up as ability improves. This personalization makes the therapy accessible to individuals with severe limitations – the game meets them where they are.
- **UI/UX for All Abilities:** The user interface in AR rehab apps needs to be simple and readable. This includes using **large fonts and high-contrast colors** for any text or menus, benefiting users with low vision. Voice output is often available – the system may read out instructions ("Now open your hand as if giving a high-five") in addition to visual cues. Likewise, voice input can be helpful: some AR glasses allow patients to say commands (e.g. "start exercise") if they have difficulty using hand gestures to navigate menus. For users who cannot speak or hear, visual signals and on-screen menus remain available – multi-modal interface design ensures nobody is excluded. Additionally, the interface should minimize the need for precise interactions like tapping tiny buttons in mid-air; gaze selection (looking at a menu item for a second to activate it) or very simple gestures (air tap anywhere to select) are preferred for those with tremors or coordination issues.
- **Fatigue and Breaks:** Rehabilitation can be tiring, especially for someone with limited endurance or chronic pain. Gamified systems integrate break reminders or self-paced modules. For example, a game might be broken into 5-minute mini-games rather than one 30-minute session. After each mini-game, the system can encourage the patient to rest ("Take a short break! Resume when ready."). Some AR systems monitor performance for signs of fatigue – if reaction time is slowing or movements become inconsistent, the game might proactively suggest a pause. Ensuring the patient doesn't over-exert is important for safety and for maintaining a positive experience. In a clinical setting, the therapist will watch for fatigue; at home, the software must take on that role.
- **Physical Comfort of Device:** Wearing AR headsets can cause discomfort if not addressed. As mentioned, weight and fit matter – devices like HoloLens 2 have padding and an adjustable headband; still, users may need to acclimate. Many rehab programs start with short sessions (5-10 minutes) and build up tolerance. The AR experience should avoid causing **eye strain or motion sickness**. AR generally has lower sickness incidence than VR since the real world is visible <sup>14</sup>, but issues can arise if virtual elements jitter or lag. High frame rates and stable tracking are therefore critical – any drift between a virtual object and the real world hand can not only reduce therapeutic benefit but also be discomfiting. Developers might limit the amount of heavy graphics or motion in view, focusing on the hand and a few interactive elements rather than overwhelming augmented reality scenes. If a user does feel dizzy or tired in the eyes, the system should allow an easy exit or a switch to a less intense mode (e.g. a 2D version on a tablet as fallback).
- **Safety and Ergonomics:** Accessibility also means ensuring exercises don't put the user at risk. AR can guide proper ergonomics – for instance, showing a virtual *ghost hand* demonstrating the correct

wrist alignment to avoid strain. If the patient moves dangerously (e.g. hyperextending a joint or making a compensatory shoulder movement that could cause issues), the system can detect it (with sensors or camera vision) and warn or stop the exercise. This kind of feature acts like a “digital therapist” guarding the patient when they exercise alone. Some programs even employ **virtual boundaries** – if a patient should not move beyond a certain range (common after surgery early on), the AR game will simply not require beyond that range and will inform the patient if they attempt it.

- **Inclusivity Features:** Patients might have co-morbid conditions or disabilities (e.g. a stroke patient might also have some cognitive impairment, or an arthritis patient might have hearing loss due to age). AR therapy apps strive to be inclusive. For cognitive impairment, tasks can be made simpler and repetitive with high consistency. The language used should be clear and jargon-free; icons can supplement text for those who process visuals better. For visual impairment, some systems offer contrast settings or even connect to screen readers. In fact, one advantage of AR is that it can potentially help those with low vision by placing digital objects in high contrast right in their field of view. However, extremely low vision or blindness remains a challenge – those patients might benefit more from physical therapy with tactile feedback or emerging audio/haptic games (a frontier yet to be fully developed in AR rehab).

In summary, the best AR rehab experiences **embrace universal design**: they are usable by the broadest range of patients by adjusting to individual needs. Comfort and safety are not afterthoughts but built into the game design (through pacing, feedback, and ergonomic guidance). When patients are comfortable and feel the game is “made for them,” they are more likely to stick with the therapy consistently, leading to better outcomes.

## Case Studies and Innovations in AR Hand Therapy

Real-world deployments of AR and gamified rehab illustrate the potential of this technology:

- **Strolll – AR Gait & Hand Rehab for Neurological Patients:** *Strolll* is a startup in the UK that leverages lightweight AR glasses (Microsoft HoloLens 2 and Magic Leap) to deliver rehab for Parkinson’s disease and other neurological conditions <sup>21</sup>. Their platform, used in partnership with NHS hospitals, provides **visual and auditory cues in AR** combined with gamified exercises. For example, patients at home wear HoloLens 2 and see virtual markers on the floor to practice stepping (for gait training) and interactive objects that encourage arm swing and hand movement. *Strolll*’s system is cloud-connected – therapists remotely monitor patients’ exercise data and adjust the program <sup>43</sup> <sup>44</sup>. In a pilot with Parkinson’s patients, using AR gamified therapy at home increased engagement from typically 6–12 therapy sessions to 42 sessions over six weeks (since patients were willing to do it independently every day) and achieved 100% adherence <sup>32</sup>. Patients reported higher motivation and felt more independent in doing their exercises, while clinicians saw improvements in gait and balance <sup>44</sup>. This case shows how AR can translate a clinic intervention into a home program without loss of quality – indeed, AR removed barriers like travel and made therapy enjoyable. *Strolll* is now expanding to post-stroke hand and arm rehabilitation using the same AR approach <sup>38</sup>, indicating confidence that these results generalize to upper-limb recovery.
- **AR Stroke Rehabilitation Research:** Beyond startups, academic research has prototyped AR systems specifically for hand/arm stroke rehab. One early example is an AR hand rehabilitation environment that combined a head-mounted AR display with a sensorized glove to assist hand

opening for stroke survivors <sup>1</sup> <sup>45</sup> . In that system, virtual objects were overlaid on the real world and patients practiced reaching and grasping them, while an assistive orthosis helped extend their fingers. The AR provided an engaging context (“grab the virtual ball”) and the glove provided needed support to actually perform the motion. Pilot studies found stroke patients accepted the technology well and showed potential functional gains <sup>46</sup> . More recently, researchers are using devices like HoloLens 2 for stroke rehab – for instance, projecting holographic targets that patients must touch with their real hand, which trains reach, or displaying a virtual arm that mimics the patient’s movement to enhance feedback (a form of **augmented mirror therapy**). These studies often note that AR’s alignment of virtual exercises with the real world can improve motor performance compared to conventional methods: one study showed AR exercises led to 21% higher game scores and faster movement times than similar tasks on a 2D screen <sup>37</sup> . Therapists in such studies appreciated that AR kept patients more cognitively engaged and provided an immersive practice without isolating them from the real environment <sup>47</sup> <sup>48</sup> .

- **VR and MR for Hand Rehabilitation – stepping stones:** While our focus is AR, it’s worth noting some VR-based products that inform AR approaches. **Rewellio**, an Austrian company, uses the Meta Quest VR headset for stroke hand rehabilitation, building on mirror therapy principles <sup>49</sup> . In VR, patients see a virtual arm that they control with their affected hand (via hand tracking or EMG input), performing games that encourage finger and wrist movement. Rewellio’s success – patients find the games motivating and it increases therapy time – suggests that similar games in AR would also be effective, with the added benefit of seeing one’s actual limb. Another example is the **RAPAE Smart Glove** (by Neofect), a sensorized glove with mobile games (tablet-based). It’s not AR or VR, but it “gamified” hand therapy for stroke and TBI patients through games like virtual tennis or whack-a-mole controlled by hand motions. Widespread adoption of the Smart Glove showed that patients of all ages enjoyed game-based therapy at home, leading to measurable improvements in hand function. The lessons from these systems (importance of ease-of-use, fun games, and data tracking) are being incorporated into newer AR solutions.
- **HoloLens 2 for Shoulder & Arm Rehab (Bimanual Training):** A 2024 pilot study integrated the HoloLens 2 with a robotic exoskeleton for post-stroke shoulder rehabilitation <sup>50</sup> <sup>51</sup> . Patients wore the HoloLens 2, which displayed gamified tasks – for example, a game where the patient uses both arms to control a virtual object, encouraging bilateral coordination. The impaired arm was assisted by the exoskeleton (only as needed), while AR provided goals and feedback (targets to reach, visual alignment aids) <sup>33</sup> <sup>52</sup> . Twenty-one adults tested the system and reported high usability and low cognitive load <sup>36</sup> . The AR environment made the exercise more engaging, and importantly, the HoloLens tracking of hand movement was accurate enough to derive meaningful kinematic metrics (comparable to a lab motion-capture system) <sup>34</sup> . This case is a glimpse into *hybrid therapies*: using AR for engagement and measurement, combined with traditional rehab devices for physical assistance. It underscores that AR can slot into existing therapy paradigms to enhance them rather than replace everything. Therapists in the study liked that AR gave patients more freedom to perform functional movements in a 3D space (versus being constrained by the robot alone) <sup>53</sup> . This points to a future where AR is a standard complement in clinics – even when fancy robotics or tools are used, AR adds the game layer and patient-facing feedback.
- **Emerging Tech – Mid-Air Haptics & Ultrasound Feedback:** Innovative projects are exploring ultrasound-based mid-air haptics in AR to provide tactile feedback for hand rehab <sup>9</sup> <sup>54</sup> . One pilot with mild carpal tunnel patients used an Ultraleap (Ultrahaptics) device to create the sensation of

touch in mid-air, combined with a mixed reality exercise program <sup>9</sup> <sup>55</sup>. Patients would “feel” virtual objects with no physical glove on, as focused ultrasound waves stimulated their skin. The system measured their hand movements in AR and provided haptic feedback when they did an exercise correctly. While experimental, it showed high usability (SUS scores above acceptable threshold) and a strong correlation between patients’ performance in the game and their usability ratings <sup>56</sup>. This suggests that adding tactile feedback in AR could further improve the experience, especially for tasks that require force or contact (e.g. sensing when you’ve “touched” a virtual ball). Such technology could benefit patients with sensory deficits as well – giving additional sensory input to help retrain the hand’s feeling and movement coordination.

- **Patient Experiences:** Across these case studies, patient feedback is very positive. Many report that doing therapy with AR/VR “doesn’t feel like exercise” – instead it feels like playing a game or achieving a goal, which makes them forget the effort or pain involved <sup>57</sup>. For example, a patient with a fractured hand who used a VR/AR game noted that the immersive game distracted from the pain of movement, functioning as a form of analgesia during therapy <sup>58</sup> <sup>5</sup>. This aligns with research showing immersive games can activate neural pathways that reduce pain perception <sup>5</sup>. Such qualitative outcomes (reduced pain, increased enjoyment) are important alongside the quantitative improvements (more range of motion, strength, etc.). Therapists also appreciate gamified systems because patients often do more repetitions than they would in a standard setting – one study observed patients voluntarily exceeded prescribed exercise time by 63% when using a gamified VR hand rehab program, simply because they were engaged and wanted to keep playing <sup>59</sup> <sup>60</sup>.

In conclusion, gamified AR therapy for hand rehabilitation is an exciting convergence of healthcare and interactive technology. It tailors rehabilitation to a wide range of conditions – from neurological injuries like stroke to musculoskeletal issues like arthritis – by adapting the game design to each need. AR glasses and mixed reality headsets provide the platform to deliver these interventions both in clinics and at home, with each environment contributing to a comprehensive rehab strategy. The synergy of **game mechanics (motivation)**, **AR hardware (tracking and immersion)**, and **therapeutic science (exercise physiology)** produces a potent tool: one that not only improves hand function but does so in a way that patients genuinely enjoy. Real-world implementations are already demonstrating improved adherence, faster recovery times, and high patient satisfaction <sup>32</sup> <sup>59</sup>. As AR technology continues to evolve (becoming lighter, cheaper, and more ubiquitous), we can expect gamified hand therapy to become a mainstream component of rehabilitation – effectively turning healing into an engaging, empowering journey.

#### Sources:

1. Pereira et al. “*Application of AR and VR in hand rehabilitation: A systematic review.*” *J. Biomedical Informatics* 111 (2020): Highlights the motivators of AR/VR therapy success, such as real-time feedback and adaptive challenge <sup>42</sup> <sup>4</sup>.
2. Luo et al. “*Integration of augmented reality and assistive devices for post-stroke hand opening rehabilitation.*” *Conf. IEEE Eng. Med. Biol. Soc.* (2005): Early AR rehab using a head-mounted display and hand orthosis for stroke patients, showing user acceptance and potential benefits <sup>1</sup> <sup>3</sup>.

3. Xceptional Learning Blog (Francis, 2024). *"Smart Glasses: A Game-Changer for Virtual Therapy in Occupational Rehabilitation."* – Discusses how AR smart glasses provide interactive, gamified therapy with instant feedback, personalization, and remote monitoring 39 40 .
4. Garcia-Hernandez et al. *"Assessment of gamified mixed reality environments for upper limb robotic rehabilitation: pilot study on healthy adults."* *Virtual Reality* 28, 164 (2024): Used Microsoft HoloLens 2 with an exoskeleton for shoulder rehab, reporting excellent usability and reliable hand-motion tracking data 33 36 .
5. Microsoft Customer Story (2023). *"Stroll leverages Microsoft Azure and HoloLens to improve neurological rehabilitation."* – Case study of Stroll's AR therapy for Parkinson's: AR exercises with HoloLens 2/ Magic Leap increased patient motivation, allowed 7x more sessions at home, and achieved 100% adherence in a pilot 32 44 .
6. Baranyi et al. *"Virtual Reality-Powered Wrist Therapy..."* *Appl. Sciences* 14(11) (2024): Developed a Quest 2/3 VR rehab game for wrist injuries. Summarizes related rehab games (including AR with data gloves) and shows the feasibility of hand-tracking on Quest for therapy 26 27 .
7. Farsi et al. *"Emerging Applications of Augmented and Mixed Reality in Motor Rehabilitation: A Scoping Review."* *Sensors* 23(8) (2023): Reviews 100+ studies; notes AR/MR used frequently for stroke and Parkinson's, and that AR systems improved motor learning and engagement via visuomotor integration 37 61 .
8. Hönning et al. *"Immersive virtual reality for functional hand and finger rehabilitation: RCT in 150 patients after traumatic hand injuries."* (2025, in press) 58 59 – Large trial showing gamified VR (with optical hand tracking) yielded greater ROM gains and higher adherence than standard therapy, with patients willingly training beyond required amounts.
9. Akdağ et al. *"Measuring tactile sensitivity and MR-assisted exercise for carpal tunnel syndrome by ultrasound mid-air haptics."* *Front. Neurosci.* 18 (2024): Explores mid-air haptic feedback in mixed reality for CTS exercises; found high usability and suggests such tech can enable gamified rehab with tactile feedback 54 10 .
10. da Gama et al. *"Gamification in musculoskeletal rehabilitation."* *Physiotherapy Theory & Practice* 39(5) (2023): Reports that gamified exercise (e.g. Kinect or Wii-based) can match or improve outcomes in various musculoskeletal conditions (shoulder surgery, fibromyalgia) while increasing patient engagement 7 8 .

---

1 2 3 45 46 Integration of augmented reality and assistive devices for post-stroke hand opening rehabilitation - PubMed

<https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/17281849/>

4 42 Application of AR and VR in hand rehabilitation: A systematic review - ScienceDirect

<https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1532046420302136>

- 5 28 58 59 60 Immersive virtual reality for functional hand and finger rehabilitation: results from a randomized controlled trial in 150 patients after traumatic hand injuries - PMC  
<https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC12749975/>
- 6 26 27 Virtual Reality-Powered Wrist Therapy: Developing a Therapist-Driven Exit-the-Room Serious Game with Hand Gesture Interactions  
<https://www.mdpi.com/2076-3417/14/11/4780>
- 7 8 Gamification in Musculoskeletal Rehabilitation - PMC  
<https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC9789284/>
- 9 10 41 54 55 56 Frontiers | Measuring tactile sensitivity and mixed-reality-assisted exercise for carpal tunnel syndrome by ultrasound mid-air haptics  
<https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/neuroscience/articles/10.3389/fnins.2024.1319965/full>
- 11 12 13 JMIR Serious Games - Playfulness and New Technologies in Hand Therapy for Children With Cerebral Palsy: Scoping Review  
<https://games.jmir.org/2023/1/e44904/>
- 14 21 32 38 43 44 StrollI leverages Microsoft Azure and HoloLens to improve neurological rehabilitation delivery for patients | Microsoft Customer Stories  
<https://www.microsoft.com/en/customers/story/25510-strollI-azure>
- 15 Here's why the skinnier Quest 3 weighs more than the Quest 2  
<https://finance.yahoo.com/news/heres-why-skinier-quest-3-210553727.html>
- 16 17 18 19 20 Evaluation of HoloLens 2 for Hand Tracking and Kinematic Features Assessment  
<https://www.mdpi.com/2813-2084/4/3/31>
- 22 [PDF] Magic Leap 2 - TD Synnex  
[https://www.tdsynnex.com/na/us/magic-leap/wp-content/uploads/sites/85/2024/05/Magic-Leap-2\\_Full-Technology-Specifications\\_190224\\_English\\_5.9.pdf](https://www.tdsynnex.com/na/us/magic-leap/wp-content/uploads/sites/85/2024/05/Magic-Leap-2_Full-Technology-Specifications_190224_English_5.9.pdf)
- 23 Magic Leap 2 vs Microsoft HoloLens 2: Key differences  
<https://vr.vr-expert.com/magic-leap-2-vs-microsoft-hololens-2-key-differences/>
- 24 Elevating Spatial Computing. Examining Technological Feats of ...  
<https://www.qualium-systems.com/blog/ar-vr/elevating-spatial-computing-examining-technological-feats-of-apple-vision-pro-magic-leap-meta-quest-pro-and-microsoft-hololens/>
- 25 Magic Leap 2 V HoloLens 2 - Blogs - Expand Reality  
<https://blogs.expandreality.io/magic-leap-2-v-hololens-2>
- 29 37 47 48 53 61 Emerging Applications of Augmented and Mixed Reality Technologies in Motor Rehabilitation: A Scoping Review - PMC  
<https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC11991573/>
- 30 31 39 40 57 Smart Glasses: A Game-Changer for Virtual Therapy in Occupational Rehabilitation  
<https://xceptionallearning.com/occupational-therapy/smart-glasses-a-game-changer-for-virtual-therapy-in-occupational-rehabilitation/>
- 33 34 35 36 50 51 52 Assessment of gamified mixed reality environments for upper limb robotic rehabilitation: pilot study on healthy adults | Virtual Reality | Springer Nature Link  
<https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s10055-024-01059-z>



49 Startup Spotlight: Rewellio + Oculus Quest for virtual reality rehabilitation – healthiAR+VR+MR+XR  
<https://healthiar.com/startup-spotlight-rewellio-oculus-quest-for-virtual-reality-rehabilitation>