Normal Forms

Dr Paolo Guagliardo

dbs-lecturer@ed.ac.uk

Fall 2018

This page is intentionally left blank

Example of bad design

BAD

Title	Director	Theatre	Address	Time	Price
Inferno	Ron Howard	Vue	Omni Centre	20:00	11.50
Inferno	Ron Howard	Vue	Omni Centre	22:30	10.50
Inferno	Ron Howard	Odeon	Lothian Rd	20:00	10.00
Inferno	Ron Howard	Cineworld	Fountain Park	18:20	9.50
Inferno	Ron Howard	Cineworld	Fountain Park	21:00	11.00
Trolls	Mike Mitchell	Vue	Omni Centre	16:10	9.50
Trolls	Mike Mitchell	Vue	Omni Centre	19:30	10.00
Trolls	Mike Mitchell	Odeon	Lothian Rd	15:00	8.50
Trolls	Mike Mitchell	Cineworld	Fountain Park	17:15	9.00

$\{ \text{Title} \rightarrow \text{Director},\ \text{Theatre,Title,Time} \rightarrow \text{Price},\ \text{Theatre} \rightarrow \text{Address} \}$

Why is BAD bad?

Redundancy

Many facts are repeated

- For every showing we list both director and title
- For every movie playing we repeat the address

Update anomalies

- Address must be changed for all movies and showtimes
- If a movie stops playing, association title-director is lost
- Cannot add a movie before it starts playing

Good design

Movies: Title → Director

Title	**Director**
Inferno	Ron Howard
Trolls	Mike Mitchell

Theatres: Theatre → Address

Theatre	**Address**
Vue	Omni Centre
Odeon	Lothian Rd
Cineworld	Fountain Park

Showings: Theatre, Title, Time → Price

Theatre	**Title**	**Time**	**Price**
Vue	Inferno	20:00	11.50
Vue	Inferno	22:30	10.50
Odeon	Inferno	20:00	10.00
Cineworld	Inferno	18:20	9.50
Cineworld	Inferno	21:00	11.00
Vue	Trolls	16:10	9.50
Vue	Trolls	19:30	10.00
Odeon	Trolls	15:00	8.50
Cineworld	Trolls	17:15	9.00

Why is GOOD good?

No redundancy

Every FD defines a key

No information loss

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Movies} &= \pi_{\text{Title,Director}}(\text{BAD}) \\ \text{Theatres} &= \pi_{\text{Theatre,Address}}(\text{BAD}) \\ \text{Showings} &= \pi_{\text{Theatre,Title,Time,Price}}(\text{BAD}) \\ \text{BAD} &= \text{Movies} \bowtie \text{Theatres} \bowtie \text{Showings} \end{aligned}$$

No constraints are lost

All of the original FDs appear as constraints in the new tables

Boyce-Codd Normal Form (BCNF)

Problems with bad designs are caused by FDs $X \to Y$ where X **is not a key**

> A relation with FDs F is in BCNF if for every $X \to Y$ in F
> - $Y \subseteq X$ (the FD is trivial), or
> - X is a key

A database is in BCNF if all relations are in BCNF

Decompositions

Given a set of attributes U and a set of FDs F, a decomposition of (U, F) is a set

$$(U_1, F_1), \ldots, (U_n, F_n)$$

such that $U = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} U_i$ and F_i is a set of FDs over U_i

BCNF decomposition if each (U_i, F_i) is in BCNF

Criteria for good decompositions

Losslessness: no information is lost

Dependency preservation: no constraints are lost

Good decompositions

A decomposition of (U, F) into $(U_1, F_1), \ldots, (U_n, F_n)$ is

Lossless if for every relation R over U that satisfies F

- each $\pi_{U_i}(R)$ satisfies F_i, and
- $R = \pi_{U_1}(R) \bowtie \cdots \bowtie \pi_{U_n}(R)$

Dependency preserving if F and $\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} F_i$ are equivalent
(that is, they have the same closure)

Projection of FDs

Let F be a set of FDs over attributes U

The **projection** of F on $V \subseteq U$

$$\pi_V(F) = \{X \to Y \mid X, Y \subseteq V,\ Y \subseteq C_F(X)\}$$

is the set of all FDs over V that are implied by F

Can be often represented compactly as a set of FDs F' over V s.t.

$$\forall X, Y \subseteq V \quad F' \models X \to Y \iff F \models X \to Y$$

BCNF decomposition algorithm

Input: A set of attributes U and a set of FDs F

Output: A database schema S

1. $S := \{(U, F)\}$
2. While there is $(U_i, F_i) \in S$ not in BCNF:
   Replace (U_i, F_i) by **decompose**(U_i, F_i)
3. Remove any (U_i, F_i) for which there is (U_j, F_j) with $U_i \subseteq U_j$
4. Return S

Subprocedure **decompose**(U, F):

1. Choose $(X \to Y) \in F$ that violates BCNF
2. Set $V := C_F(X)$ and $Z := U - V$
3. Return $\big(V, \pi_V(F)\big)$ and $\big(XZ, \pi_{XZ}(F)\big)$

Properties of the BCNF algorithm

- The decomposed schema is in **BCNF** and **lossless-join**
- The output depends on the FDs chosen to decompose
- Dependency preservation is **not guaranteed**

Example

Apply the BCNF algorithm to the BAD schema (blackboard)

BCNF and dependency preservation

Take the relation Lectures : **C**lass, **P**rofessor, **T**ime
with FDs $F = \{C \to P, PT \to C\}$

(CPT, F) is **not in BCNF**: $(C \to P) \in F$, but C is not a key

If we decompose using the BCNF algorithm we get

$$(CP, C \to P) \quad \text{and} \quad (CT, \varnothing)$$

We lose the constraint $PT \to C$

Third Normal Form (3NF)

(U, F) is in 3NF if for every FD $X \to Y$ in F
one of the following holds:

- $Y \subseteq X$ (the FD is trivial)
- X is a key
- all of the attributes in Y are prime

Intuition: in 3NF FDs where the l.h.s. is not a key are allowed as long as the **r.h.s. consists only of prime attributes**

Every schema in BCNF is also in 3NF

3NF and redundancy

Consider again the relation Lectures : **C**lass, **P**rofessor, **T**ime
with FDs $F = \{C \to P, PT \to C\}$

(CPT, F) is in 3NF: PT is a candidate key, so P is prime

More redundancy than in BCNF

- each time a class appears in a tuple,
  professor's name is repeated
- we tolerate this because there is no BCNF decomposition
  that preserves dependencies

Minimal covers

Let F and G be sets of FDs

G is a cover of F if $G^+ = F^+$

Minimal if

- Each FD in G has the form $X \to A$
- No proper subset of G is a cover
  (we cannot remove FDs without losing equivalence to F)
- For $(X \to A) \in G$ and $X' \subset X$, $\quad A \notin C_F(X')$
  (we cannot remove attributes from the LHS of FDs in G)

Intuition: G is a small representation of all FDs in F

Finding minimal covers

1. Put the FDs in standard form: only one attribute on RHS
   Use Armstrong's decomposition axiom

   $X \to A_1 \cdots A_n$ is split into n FDs: $X \to A_1, \ldots, X \to A_n$

2. Minimize the LHS of each FD
   Check whether attributes in the LHS can be removed

   For $(X \to A) \in F$ and $X' \subset X$ check whether $A \in C_F(X')$
   If yes, replace $X \to A$ by $X' \to A$ and repeat

3. Delete redundant FDs
   $(X \to A) \in F$, check whether $F - \{X \to A\} \models X \to A$

Finding minimal covers: Example

Consider the FDs $\{ABCD \to E, E \to D, A \to B, AC \to D\}$

1. Already in standard form

   $$\{ABCD \to E, E \to D, A \to B, AC \to D\}$$

2. The LHS of $ABCD \to E$ can be replaced by AC

   $$\{AC \to E, E \to D, A \to B, AC \to D\}$$

3. The last FD is redundant (implied by the first two)

   $$\{AC \to E, E \to D, A \to B\}$$

3NF synthesis algorithm

Input : A set of attributes U and a set of FDs F

Output : A database schema S

1. $S := \varnothing$
2. Find a minimal cover G of F
3. Replace all FDs $X \to A_1, \ldots, X \to A_n$ in G by $X \to A_1 \cdots A_n$ (note that the FDs have the same l.h.s.)
4. For each FD $(X \to Y) \in G$, add $(XY, X \to Y)$ to S
5. If no (U_i, F_i) in S is such that U_i is key for (U, F), find a key K for (U, F) and add $(K, \varnothing)$ to S
6. If S contains (U_i, F_i) and (U_j, F_j) with $U_i \subseteq U_j$, replace them by $(U_j, F_i \cup F_j)$
7. Output S

Properties of the 3NF algorithm

The synthetized schema is

- in **3NF**
- **lossless-join**
- **dependency-preserving**

Example

Apply the 3NF algorithm to the Lectures schema (blackboard)
(that schema is already in 3NF, but let's do it anyway)

3NF synthesis: another example

Example

Input : $\big(ABCD, \{A \to B, C \to B, BD \to A\}\big)$
Not in 3NF (the only candidate key is CD)

The given set of FDs is already minimal

Ouput : $\{\ (CB, \{C \to B\}),$
$(ABD, \{BD \to A, A \to B\}),$
$(CD, \varnothing)\ \}$

Schema design: Summary

Given the set of attributes U and the set of FDs F

Find a **lossless**, **dependency-preserving** decomposition into:

BCNF if it exists

3NF if BCNF decomposition cannot be found

In some cases, DBAs **de-normalize** tables to reduce number of joins