The NULL value

Dr Paolo Guagliardo

dbs-lecturer@ed.ac.uk



Fall 2018

NULL: all-purpose marker to represent incomplete information Main source of problems and inconsistencies

"...this topic cannot be described in a manner that is simultaneously both comprehensive and comprehensible"

"Those SQL features are ... fundamentally at odds with the way the world behaves"

— C. Date & H. Darwen, A Guide to SQL Standard

What does NULL mean?

Depending on the context:

Missing value – there is a value, but it is currently **unknown**Non-applicable – there is no value (**undefined**)

But it also behaves as:

Constant – like any other value

Unknown – a truth-value in addition to True and False

Meta-incompleteness

We never really know what **NULL** means because the meaning is ultimately defined by the application

But we must know how **NULL behaves** according to the Standard and this behavior depends on the context in which it is used

Missing value vs. Non-applicable

Person

<u>ID</u>	Name	Phone	
1	Jane	NULL	Does Jane have a phone?
2	John	NULL	Does John have a phone?

There is no way of knowing whether a **NULL** here means that

- there is a currently unknown value for phone (missing value) or
- there is no value for phone (non-applicable value)

NULL and schema design

Person

<u>ID</u>	Name	HasPhone	Phone	
1	Jane	Yes	NULL	← missing
2	John	No	NULL	\leftarrow non-applicable

What if we want **Phone** to be **NOT NULL** when **HasPhone** is Yes?

- ▶ we cannot just declare **Phone** as **NOT NULL**
- we need to use a **CHECK** constraint

We also want to check that **Phone** is **NULL** when **HasPhone** is No

NULL and schema design

Person

ID	Name	HasPhone	Phone
1	Jane	NULL	NULL
2	John	NULL	12341

We don't know whether John and Jane have a Phone

- ▶ NULL in column HasPhone represents a missing value
- What does NULL in column Phone mean?

What about the value 12341 for John's Phone?

- ► Rule out these cases with a **CHECK** constraint
- ▶ Declare HasPhone to be NOT NULL (we cannot say we don't know whether a person has a Phone)

NULL and schema design

Getting rid of non-applicable values

Р	erson	PersonWithPhone				
<u>ID</u>	Name	<u>ID</u>	Name	Phone		
2	John	1	Jane	NULL		

Pros:

- ▶ **NULL**s in **Phone** represent missing values
- ▶ Phone can be declared **NOT NULL** if needs be

Cons:

- ▶ Need to make sure there is **no overlap** (assertion? trigger?)
- ► How do we say "I don't know whether John has a Phone"? (we could add a column HasPhone to Person...)

NULL and schema design

Getting rid of non-applicable values

Person		PersonV	VithPhone	_
<u>ID</u>	Name	<u>ID</u>	Phone	-
1	Jane	1	NULL	-
2	John		Phone(ID) REF	- ERENCES Person(ID

Pros:

- ▶ NULLs in Phone represent missing values
- ▶ Phone can be declared **NOT NULL** if needs be

Cons:

► How do we say "I don't know whether John has a Phone"? (we could add a column HasPhone to Person...)

Limitations of SQL's NULL as missing values

Person		
Name	Age	
Jane John Mary Carl	NULL NULL 27 NULL	Age of Jane, John and Carl is unknown We know Jane and John have the same age

Marked nulls (not part of SQL)

- Each missing value has an identifier
- ► Allow **cross-referencing** of missing values

NULL and constraints

Nulls are not allowed in primary keys

```
CREATE TABLE R ( A INT PRIMARY KEY );
INSERT INTO R VALUES (NULL);
ERROR: null value in column "a" violates not-null constraint
```

Nulls seem to behave as (distinct) missing values with **UNIQUE**

```
CREATE TABLE R ( A INT UNIQUE );
INSERT INTO R VALUES (NULL);
INSERT INTO R VALUES (NULL);
NULL
```

but in fact this is simply because NULLs are ignored

NULL and constraints

R		_		S	
<u>A</u>	<u>B</u>	_	Α	В	S(A,B) REFERENCES R(A,B)
1	1	_	1	NULL	S(A,D) REFERENCES N(A,D)
			2	NULL	

Is **NULL** treated as a missing value here? **Not really!**

The above instance is legal w.r.t. the FK constraint

NULL and arithmetic operations

Every arithmetic operation that involves a NULL results in NULL

Observe that **SELECT NULL**/0 also returns **NULL** instead of throwing a DIVISION BY ZERO error!

Here, **NULL** is treated as an **undefined** value

NULL and aggregation (1)

0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0.5

NULL and aggregation (2)

Exception:

(1 row)

```
SELECT COUNT(*) FROM R ;

count
-----
4
(1 row)
```

NULL and aggregation

Aggregation (except **COUNT**) on an empty bag results in **NULL** Consider $R = \{0, 1, \textbf{NULL}\}$ on attribute A

The semantics of these nulls is that of undefined values

NULL and set operations

What is the answer to

```
Q_1 \colon \mathbf{SELECT} \ \star \ \mathbf{FROM} \ \mathsf{R} \ \mathbf{UNION} \qquad \mathbf{SELECT} \ \star \ \mathbf{FROM} \ \mathsf{S} Q_2 \colon \mathbf{SELECT} \ \star \ \mathbf{FROM} \ \mathsf{R} \ \mathbf{INTERSECT} \ \mathbf{SELECT} \ \star \ \mathbf{FROM} \ \mathsf{S} Q_3 \colon \mathbf{SELECT} \ \star \ \mathbf{FROM} \ \mathsf{R} \ \mathbf{EXCEPT} \qquad \mathbf{SELECT} \ \star \ \mathbf{FROM} \ \mathsf{S} when R = \{1, \mathbf{NULL}, \mathbf{NULL}\} \ \mathsf{and} \ S = \{\mathbf{NULL}\}?
```

- ▶ Answer to Q_1 : $\{1, \textbf{NULL}\}$
- ▶ Answer to Q_2 : {**NULL**}
- ▶ Answer to Q_3 : $\{1\}$

In set operations **NULL** is treated like any other value

NULL and set operations

What is the answer to

```
Q_1\colon \mathbf{SELECT} \ * \ \mathbf{FROM} \ \mathbb{R} \ \mathbf{UNION} \ \mathbf{ALL} \qquad \mathbf{SELECT} \ * \ \mathbf{FROM} \ \mathbb{S} Q_2\colon \mathbf{SELECT} \ * \ \mathbf{FROM} \ \mathbb{R} \ \mathbf{INTERSECT} \ \mathbf{ALL} \ \mathbf{SELECT} \ * \ \mathbf{FROM} \ \mathbb{S} Q_3\colon \mathbf{SELECT} \ * \ \mathbf{FROM} \ \mathbb{R} \ \mathbf{EXCEPT} \ \mathbf{ALL} \qquad \mathbf{SELECT} \ * \ \mathbf{FROM} \ \mathbb{S} when R = \{1, \mathbf{NULL}, \mathbf{NULL}\} \ \mathrm{and} \ S = \{\mathbf{NULL}\}?
```

- ▶ Answer to Q_1 : $\{1, \text{NULL}, \text{NULL}, \text{NULL}\}$
- ightharpoonup Answer to Q_2 : {**NULL**}
- ▶ Answer to Q_3 : $\{1, \textbf{NULL}\}$

NULL in selection conditions (1)

What is the answer to

$$Q_1: \begin{subarray}{lll} SELECT & FROM R, & SWHERE R.A & = S.A \\ Q_2: \begin{subarray}{lll} SELECT & FROM R, & SWHERE R.A & <> S.A \\ Q_3: \begin{subarray}{lll} SELECT & FROM R, & SWHERE R.A & = S.A OR R.A & <> S.A \\ when $R=\{1, \mathbf{NULL}\}$ and $S=\{\mathbf{NULL}\}$? \\ \end{subarray}$$

R.A	×	S.A	=	R.A	S.A
1		NULL		1	NULL
NULL			I	NULL	NULL

Answer to all three queries: {}

NULL and comparisons

```
SELECT 1=NULL AS result;

result
-----
NULL
(1 row)

This is not an undefined value - it is a truth-value: unknown
SELECT 1=NULL OR TRUE AS result;

result
-----
t
(1 row)
```

Try: SELECT NULL/1 OR TRUE AS result;

Evaluation of selection conditions

SQL uses three truth values: true (t), false (f), unknown (u)

- 1. Every comparison (except **IS** [**NOT**] **NULL** and **EXISTS**) where one of the arguments is **NULL** evaluates to unknown
- 2. The truth values assigned to each comparison are propagated using the following tables:

AND	t	f	u	OR	t	f	u		NOT
t	t	f	u	t	t	t	t	t	f
f	f	f	f	f	t	f	u	f	t
u	u	f	u	u	t	u	u	u	u

3. The rows for which the condition evaluates to true are returned

NULL in selection conditions (2)

What is the answer to

```
Q_1: SELECT * FROM R, S WHERE R.A = S.A Q_2: SELECT * FROM R, S WHERE R.A <> S.A Q_3: SELECT * FROM R, S WHERE R.A = S.A OR R.A <> S.A when R = \{1, \text{NULL}\} and S = \{\text{NULL}\}?
```

R.A	S.A
1	NULL
NULL	NULL

θ_1	
u	
u	

$$egin{array}{c} heta_2 \ ext{u} \ ext{u} \end{array}$$

$$heta_3$$
 u

NULL and query equivalence (1)

```
Q_1 Q_2 SELECT R.A FROM R SELECT DISTINCT R.A INTERSECT FROM R, S SELECT S.A FROM S WHERE R.A = S.A
```

On databases without nulls, Q_1 and Q_2 give the same answers

On databases with nulls, they do not

For example, when $R = S = \{ NULL \}$

- $ightharpoonup Q_1$ returns $\{
 m NULL \}$
- $ightharpoonup Q_2$ returns $\{\}$

NULL and query equivalence (2)

Consider $R = \{1, \textbf{NULL}\}$ and $S = \{\textbf{NULL}\}$

```
SELECT R.A FROM R
                                      Answer: \{1\}
Q_1:
       EXCEPT
       SELECT S.A FROM S ;
       SELECT DISTINCT R.A
       FROM
               R
       WHERE
               NOT EXISTS (
                                      Answer: \{1, \mathbf{NULL}\}
Q_2:
               SELECT
               FROM
               WHERE
                       S.A=R.A);
       SELECT DISTINCT R.A
       FROM
               R
                                      Answer: {}
Q_3:
       WHERE
               R.A NOT IN (
               SELECT S.A
               FROM
                       S );
```

Inner joins

3

1

3

2

F	?	_		S							
A	В		С	D							
1	3	-	4								
2	2		3	2							
SELI	ECT	* I	ROM	R []	[NNER]	JOIN	S	ON	R.B =	s.C	;
A	В	С	D								

Outer joins (1)

R		S	
Α	В	С	D
1	3	4	1
2	2	3	2

SELECT * FROM R LEFT [OUTER] JOIN S ON R.B = S.C ;

Α	В	С	D
1	3	3	2
2	2		

Same as

Outer joins (2)

R		S	
Α	В	С	D
1	3	4	1
2	2	3	2

SELECT * FROM R RIGHT [OUTER] JOIN S ON R.B = S.C ;

Α	В	С	D
		4	1
1	3	3	2

Same as

```
SELECT * FROM R JOIN S ON R.B = S.C
UNION ALL
SELECT NULL, NULL, S.* FROM S
WHERE NOT EXISTS (
    SELECT * FROM R WHERE R.B = S.C )
```

Outer joins (3)

F	?		5
Α	В	С	D
1	3	4	1
2	2	3	2

SELECT * FROM R FULL [OUTER] JOIN S ON R.B = S.C ;

Α	В	С	D
1	3	3	2
2	2		
		4	1

Same as

```
SELECT * FROM R JOIN S ON R.B = S.C
UNION ALL
SELECT R.*, NULL, NULL FROM R
WHERE NOT EXISTS (
    SELECT * FROM S WHERE R.B = S.C )
UNION ALL
SELECT NULL, NULL, S.* FROM S
WHERE NOT EXISTS (
    SELECT * FROM R WHERE R.B = S.C )
```

Coalescing null values

```
Syntax: COALESCE(expr1, expr2)
```

Same as

```
CASE WHEN expr1 IS NULL
THEN expr2
ELSE expr1
END
```

Example