

Logic, Computability and Incompleteness

Recursive Functions

Introduction

- Recursive Functions constitute a very broad class, expressed explicitly in terms mathematical equations.
- Functions in this class include members of the familiar series addition, multiplication, exponentiation...
- Indeed, the class is so broad it seems intuitively plausible that **all *effectively computable functions*** are recursive.
- We will return to recursive functions again when we look at basic number theory formalized in first order logic.
- We'll first define this class of functions, and then provide further evidence in support of the **Church-Turing Thesis** by showing that all recursive functions are Abacus computable, i.e. $\mathbf{R} \subseteq \mathbf{A}$

Primitive Recursive Functions

- We begin by defining the proper subclass of Primitive Recursive Functions.
- First we specify an initial stock of **basic functions** belonging to the class of primitive recursive functions, and then define **2 types of operation** which yield members of that class when applied to members of that class.
- There are 3 distinct categories of **basic functions**:
 - 1) **zero function**
 - 2) **successor function**
 - 3) **projection functions**

Basic Functions

1) zero function, for all natural numbers x ,

$$\mathbf{z}(x) = 0.$$

2) successor function, for all natural numbers x ,

$\mathbf{s}(x)$ = the natural number which is the successor of x

3) projection (or identity) functions, come in assorted arities:

$$\mathbf{id}^1_1(x) = x, \mathbf{id}^2_1(x, y) = x, \mathbf{id}^2_2(x, y) = y$$

In general $\mathbf{id}^n_i(x_1, \dots, x_i, \dots, x_n) = x_i$

- All such basic functions are **primitive recursive**.

Operations

- From the basic functions we can form new primitive recursive functions through the operations of **composition** and **primitive recursion**.
- Composition: if f is a function of m arguments and g_1, \dots, g_m are functions of n arguments, then the composition h is the function of n arguments such that

$$h^n(x_1, \dots, x_n) = f^m(g_1(x_1, \dots, x_n), \dots, g_m(x_1, \dots, x_n))$$

- So if f and the g 's are primitive recursive, then so is the composition h , written $h = \mathbf{Cn}[f, g_1, \dots, g_m]$

Examples

- Want to define 1-place **p.r.** function h^1 such that $h^1(x) = x + 3$.

$h^1 = \mathbf{Cn}[s, \mathbf{Cn}[s, s]]$ where $\mathbf{Cn}[s, s] = s(s(x))$ and so

$$\mathbf{Cn}[s, \mathbf{Cn}[s, s]] = s(s(s(x)))$$

- Want to define 3-place **p.r.** function h^3 such that

$$h^3(x_1, x_2, x_3) = x_2 + 3$$

$$h^3 = \mathbf{Cn}[h^1, \mathbf{id}^3_2] = \mathbf{Cn}[\mathbf{Cn}[s, \mathbf{Cn}[s, s]], \mathbf{id}^3_2]$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{Cn}[h^1, \mathbf{id}^3_2](x_1, x_2, x_3) &= h^1(\mathbf{id}^3_2(x_1, x_2, x_3)) \\ &= h^1(x_2) = s(s(s(x_2))) = x_2 + 3 \end{aligned}$$

Operations

- Primitive recursion: will first specify in terms of a **schema** for defining a 2-place function $h(x,y)$ in terms of a 1-place function f and a 3-place function g .

$$h(x, 0) = f(x)$$

$$h(x, s(y)) = g(x, y, h(x,y))$$

- So, given **p.r.** functions f and g , this definition will recursively generate all values of h for a given argument x , starting with $y = 0$ and then using the previous value to define the next one.
- First yields $h(x, 0)$ then $h(x, 1)$, $h(x, 2)$, ...
- So given any pair of numbers x, y this procedure will compute the value $h(x, y)$ in $y + 1$ iterations.

Primitive Recursion

- Notation: $h = \mathbf{Pr}[f, g]$
- Example: *informal* recursive definition of ‘+’ in terms of s

$$x + 0 = x$$

$$x + s(y) = s(x + y)$$

Need to put in *official* format

$$\mathbf{sum}(x, 0) = f(x)$$

$$\mathbf{sum}(x, s(y)) = g(x, y, \mathbf{sum}(x, y))$$

So let $f = \mathbf{id}_1^1$ and $g = \mathbf{Cn}[s, \mathbf{id}_3^3]$

Then $\mathbf{sum}(x, 0) = \mathbf{id}_1^1(x)$

$$\mathbf{sum}(x, s(y)) = \mathbf{Cn}[s, \mathbf{id}_3^3](x, y, \mathbf{sum}(x, y))$$

Examples

- Given our formal recursive specification of **sum** as

$$(i) \text{ sum } (x, 0) = \text{id}^1_1 (x)$$

$$(ii) \text{ sum } (x, s(y)) = \text{Cn}[s, \text{id}^3_3] (x, y, \text{sum } (x, y))$$

We can see that

$$(i) \text{ sum } (x, 0) = \text{id}^1_1 (x) = x \quad \text{and}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (ii) \text{ sum } (x, s(y)) &= \text{Cn}[s, \text{id}^3_3] (x, y, \text{sum } (x, y)) \\ &= s(\text{id}^3_3 (x, y, \text{sum } (x, y))) \\ &= s(\text{sum } (x, y)) \end{aligned}$$

so that $\text{sum } (x, 0) = x$ and $\text{sum } (x, s(y)) = s(\text{sum } (x, y))$

Officially: $\text{sum} = \text{Pr}[\text{id}^1_1, \text{Cn}[s, \text{id}^3_3]]$

Sample (**informal**) Computation with **sum**

- Recursively compute the value $2+3$, *i.e.* **sum** (2, 3):

$$\text{sum } (2, 0) = \text{id}_1^1 (2) = 2$$

$$\text{sum } (2, \text{s}(0)) = \text{s}(\text{sum}(2, 0)) = \text{s}(2) = 3$$

$$\text{sum } (2, \text{s}(\text{s}(0))) = \text{s}(\text{sum}(2, \text{s}(0))) = \text{s}(3) = 4$$

$$\text{sum } (2, \text{s}(\text{s}(\text{s}(0)))) = \text{s}(\text{sum}(2, \text{s}(\text{s}(0)))) = \text{s}(4) = 5$$

- It's mechanical!

Examples

- Product expressed recursively in terms of **sum**.
- Informally:

$$x \cdot 0 = 0$$

$$x \cdot s(y) = x + (x \cdot y)$$

Need to put in *official* format using **p.r.** functions f and g

$$\mathbf{prod}(x, 0) = f(x)$$

$$\mathbf{prod}(x, s(y)) = g(x, y, \mathbf{prod}(x, y))$$

So let $f = \mathbf{z}$ and $g = \mathbf{Cn}[\mathbf{sum}, \mathbf{id}^3_1, \mathbf{id}^3_3]$

Then $\mathbf{prod}(x, 0) = \mathbf{z}(x)$

$$\mathbf{prod}(x, s(y)) = \mathbf{Cn}[\mathbf{sum}, \mathbf{id}^3_1, \mathbf{id}^3_3](x, y, \mathbf{prod}(x, y))$$

Product Defined Recursively in Terms of **sum**

- Given our formal recursive specification of **prod** as

$$(i) \text{ prod } (x, 0) = \mathbf{z}(x)$$

$$(ii) \text{ prod } (x, \mathbf{s}(y)) = \mathbf{Cn}[\mathbf{sum}, \mathbf{id}^3_1, \mathbf{id}^3_3] (x, y, \text{prod } (x, y))$$

We can see that

$$(i) \text{ prod } (x, 0) = \mathbf{z}(x) = 0 \quad \text{and}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (ii) \text{ prod } (x, \mathbf{s}(y)) &= \mathbf{Cn}[\mathbf{sum}, \mathbf{id}^3_1, \mathbf{id}^3_3] (x, y, \text{prod } (x, y)) \\ &= \mathbf{sum}(\mathbf{id}^3_1 (x, y, \text{prod } (x, y)), \mathbf{id}^3_3(x, y, \text{prod } (x, y))) \\ &= \mathbf{sum} (x, \text{prod}(x, y)) \end{aligned}$$

so that $\text{prod}(x, 0) = 0$ and $\text{prod } (x, \mathbf{s}(y)) = \mathbf{sum}(x, \text{prod}(x, y))$.

- Officially:** $\text{prod} = \mathbf{Pr}[\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{Cn}[\mathbf{sum}, \mathbf{id}^3_1, \mathbf{id}^3_3]]$

Different Arities

- The **p.r.** schema has been given in terms of defining a 2-place function, but we can generalize to cover functions of any arity.
- For example, a **3-place** function $h(x_1, x_2, y)$ can be defined in terms of a **2-place** function f and a **4-place** function g such that

$$h(x_1, x_2, 0) = f(x_1, x_2)$$

$$h(x_1, x_2, s(y)) = g(x_1, x_2, y, h(x_1, x_2, y))$$

- And a **1-place** function $h(y)$ can be defined in terms of a constant c (i.e. a **0-place** function) and a **2-place** function $g(y, x)$ such that

$$h(0) = c$$

$$h(s(y)) = g(y, h(y))$$

Different Arities

- **So in the general case:**

an n -place function h^n is defined in terms of

an $n-1$ place function f^{n-1} and

an $n+1$ place function g^{n+1} ,

such that f^{n-1} and g^{n+1} are both **primitive recursive** and

$$h^n(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, 0) = f^{n-1}(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})$$

$$h^n(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, s(y)) = g^{n+1}(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, y, h^n(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, y))$$

written: $h = \mathbf{Pr}[f, g]$

Recursive Functions

- Now we will expand to the wider class of **recursive functions**:
retain the same set of **base functions**, and all functions obtainable through finite applications of **composition** and **primitive recursion**
plus the new operation of **minimization**.
- Minimization, when applied to a function f of $n+1$ arguments, yields the n -place function $\mathbf{Mn}[f]$ such that:
$$\mathbf{Mn}[f](x_1, \dots, x_n) = \{\text{the least } y \text{ for which } f(x_1, \dots, x_n, y) = 0$$
$$= \{\text{undefined if } f(x_1, \dots, x_n, y) \neq 0 \text{ for no } y$$

Minimization

All **p.r.** functions are **total**, but **Mn** can yield **partial functions**.

Mn[sum] is a **partial** function:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{Mn}[\mathbf{sum}](x) &= \{0, \text{ if } x = 0 \\ &= \{\text{undefined otherwise}\end{aligned}$$

In effect, **Mn** allows **unbounded search** – can't necessarily tell in a finite number of steps whether or not **Mn**[*f*] is defined on a given input.

If it is, then value will be computed in a finite number of steps.

If it is not, then computation won't halt.

Hence bounded **Minimization** is a **p.r.** operation (as we'll see a bit later).

More Primitive Recursive Functions

- We need recursive functions as defined through the operation of minimization in order to characterize the entire class of **computable functions**.
- However, the proper subclass of **p.r. functions** is quite vast and we will now continue investigating its members.
- Basic strategy is to use previously defined **p.r. functions** as ingredients for constructing progressively more complex **p.r. functions**.
- We've seen **sum** defined as **iterated** successor and **prod** defined as **iterated sum**. Can in turn define **exponentiation**, **exp**, as **iterated prod**:

More Primitive Recursive Functions

- Intuitively, $\text{exp}(x, y) = x^y$

which corresponds to the informal recursive specification:

$$x^0 = 1$$

$$x^{y+1} = x \cdot x^y$$

Or more officially $\text{exp}(x, 0) = 1$

$$\text{exp}(x, s(y)) = x \cdot \text{exp}(x, y)$$

Need *fully official* format using **p.r.** functions f and g

$$\text{exp}(x, 0) = f(x)$$

$$\text{exp}(x, s(y)) = g(x, y, \text{exp}(x, y))$$

More Primitive Recursive Functions

let $f = \mathbf{Cn}[s, z]$ and $g = \mathbf{Cn}[\mathbf{prod}, \mathbf{id}^3_1, \mathbf{id}^3_3]$

Then $\mathbf{exp}(x, 0) = \mathbf{Cn}[s, z](x)$

$\mathbf{exp}(x, s(y)) = \mathbf{Cn}[\mathbf{prod}, \mathbf{id}^3_1, \mathbf{id}^3_3](x, y, \mathbf{exp}(x, y))$

Officially: $\mathbf{exp} = \mathbf{Pr}[\mathbf{Cn}[s, z], \mathbf{Cn}[\mathbf{prod}, \mathbf{id}^3_1, \mathbf{id}^3_3]]$

- The **predecessor** of x , written $\mathbf{pred}(x)$, is the number immediately preceding it (except we let $\mathbf{pred}(0) = 0$).

Informally, $\mathbf{pred}(0) = 0$, $\mathbf{pred}(s(y)) = y$

So $\mathbf{pred}(0) = 0$

$\mathbf{pred}(s(y)) = \mathbf{id}^2_1(y, \mathbf{pred}(y))$

Officially: $\mathbf{pred} = \mathbf{Pr}[0, \mathbf{id}^2_1]$

More Primitive Recursive Functions

- The **arithmetical difference** between x and y , written **dif**(x,y) (and abbreviated as $x \dot{-} y$) is defined as $x - y$ if $x \geq y$ and 0 otherwise.

So, in abbreviated format $x \dot{-} 0 = x$,

$$x \dot{-} s(y) = \mathbf{pred}(x \dot{-} y)$$

More formally, **dif**($x,0$) = **id**¹₁(x)

$$\mathbf{dif}(x, s(y)) = \mathbf{Cn}[\mathbf{pred}, \mathbf{id}^3_3] (x, y, \mathbf{dif}(x,y))$$

Officially: **dif** = **Pr**[**id**¹₁, **Cn**[**pred**, **id**³₃]]

- The 1-place function **signum** is such that **signum**(0) = 0 and **signum**(y) = 1 otherwise.

Expressed **informally** (as a *composition*) **sg**(y) = $1 \dot{-} (1 \dot{-} y)$

More Primitive Recursive Functions

- The **reverse signum** function $\underline{\mathbf{sg}}(y) = 1 \dot{-} y$
- **Definition by cases**. Suppose f is defined in the form:

$$\begin{aligned} f(x,y) &= \{ \mathbf{g}_1(x,y) \quad \text{if } C_1 \\ &\quad \vdots \\ &= \{ \mathbf{g}_n(x,y) \quad \text{if } C_n \end{aligned}$$

where C_1, \dots, C_n are mutually exclusive, collectively exhaustive conditions on x, y and $\mathbf{g}_1, \dots, \mathbf{g}_n$ are **p.r.**

- The **characteristic function** of a condition C_i on x, y is a function c_i which takes the value 1 for argument pairs (x, y) which satisfy the condition, and the value 0 for all other argument pairs.

More Primitive Recursive Functions

- If the characteristic functions c_1, \dots, c_n of the conditions C_1, \dots, C_n in the foregoing definition are **p.r.** then so is the function f ,

for it can be defined by composition out of the g s and c s as follows:

$$f(x,y) = g_1(x,y) \cdot c_1(x,y) + \dots + g_n(x,y) \cdot c_n(x,y)$$

cool.....

- **Example of definition by cases:** $\mathbf{max}(x,y)$ = the larger of x,y

$$\begin{aligned}\text{So } \mathbf{max}(x,y) &= \{x \text{ if } x \geq y \\ &= \{y \text{ if } x < y\end{aligned}$$

In this case $g_1(x,y) = \mathbf{id}_1^2$,

$$g_2(x,y) = \mathbf{id}_2^2,$$

More Primitive Recursive Functions

$$c_1(x,y) = \underline{\mathbf{sg}}(y \dot{-} x) = 1 \text{ if } x \geq y \text{ and } 0 \text{ otherwise}$$

$$c_2(x,y) = \mathbf{sg}(y \dot{-} x) = 1 \text{ if } x < y \text{ and } 0 \text{ otherwise}$$

Putting these ingredients together:

$$\mathbf{max}(x,y) = \mathbf{id}^2_1(x,y) \cdot \underline{\mathbf{sg}}(y \dot{-} x) + \mathbf{id}^2_2(x,y) \cdot \mathbf{sg}(y \dot{-} x)$$

- **Example:** $\mathbf{max}(1,2) =$

$$\mathbf{id}^2_1(1,2) \cdot \underline{\mathbf{sg}}(2 \dot{-} 1) + \mathbf{id}^2_2(1,2) \cdot \mathbf{sg}(2 \dot{-} 1)$$

$$= 1 \cdot \underline{\mathbf{sg}}(1) + 2 \cdot \mathbf{sg}(1)$$

$$= 1 \cdot 0 + 2 \cdot 1$$

$$= 0 + 2$$

$$= 2$$

More Primitive Recursive Functions

- **General sum:** $g(x_1, \dots, x_n, y) = \sum_{i=0}^y f(x_1, \dots, x_n, i)$

Recursive definition (with $f(x_1, \dots, x_n, y)$ p.r.)

$$g(x_1, \dots, x_n, 0) = f(x_1, \dots, x_n, 0)$$

$$g(x_1, \dots, x_n, s(y)) = g(x_1, \dots, x_n, y) + f(x_1, \dots, x_n, s(y))$$

- **General product:** $g(x_1, \dots, x_n, y) = \prod_{i=0}^y f(x_1, \dots, x_n, i)$

Recursive definition (with $f(x_1, \dots, x_n, y)$ p.r.)

$$g(x_1, \dots, x_n, 0) = f(x_1, \dots, x_n, 0)$$

$$g(x_1, \dots, x_n, s(y)) = g(x_1, \dots, x_n, y) \cdot f(x_1, \dots, x_n, s(y))$$

More Primitive Recursive Functions

- Logical composition of conditions:
- Negation

If $c(x,y)$ is the characteristic function for condition C
then $\underline{c}(x,y) = \underline{\mathbf{sg}}(c(x,y))$ is the characteristic function for $\neg C$

- Conjunction

The characteristic function for $C_1 \wedge \dots \wedge C_n$ is

$$c_1(x,y) \cdot \dots \cdot c_n(x,y) \quad [= 0 \text{ if any of the terms are } 0]$$

Since $\{\neg, \wedge\}$ is a truth-functionally adequate set of logical connectives,

the above is sufficient to express **all** truth functional combinations of conditions.

More Primitive Recursive Functions

- For example, **Disjunction**

$$C_1 \vee C_2 \equiv \neg (\neg C_1 \wedge \neg C_2)$$

So the characteristic function of the disjunction of two conditions is

$$c_d(x,y) = \underline{\text{sg}}(\underline{\text{sg}}(c_1(x,y)) \cdot \underline{\text{sg}}(c_2(x,y)))$$

- **Bounded quantification:**
- **Universal** $\forall_i (i \leq y \rightarrow c(x, i))$

Characteristic function: $u(x,y) = {}_{i=0}^y \Pi c(x,i)$

- **Existential** $\exists_i (i \leq y \wedge c(x, i))$

Characteristic function: $e(x,y) = \mathbf{sg}({}_{i=0}^y \Sigma c(x,i))$

More Primitive Recursive Functions

- **Bounded minimization**: with $f(x_1, \dots, x_n, y)$ **p.r.**

$\mathbf{Mn}_w[f] = \text{least } y \text{ such that } 0 \leq y \leq w \text{ and}$

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n, y) = 0$$

Definition by cases:

$$\mathbf{Mn}_w[f](x_1, \dots, x_n)$$

$$= \{0 \text{ if } \forall y (y \leq w \rightarrow f(x_1, \dots, x_n, y) \neq 0)$$

$$= \{_{i=0}^w \Sigma \mathbf{sg}(_{k=0}^i \Pi f(x_1, \dots, x_n, k)) \text{ otherwise.}$$