

Path Selection is in NP, since we can be shown a set of k paths from among P_1, \dots, P_c and check in polynomial time that no two of them share any nodes.

Now, we claim that $3\text{-Dimensional Matching} \leq_P \text{Path Selection}$. For consider an instance of $3\text{-Dimensional Matching}$ with sets X , Y , and Z , each of size n , and ordered triples T_1, \dots, T_m from $X \times Y \times Z$. We construct a directed graph $G = (V, E)$ on the node set $X \cup Y \cup Z$. For each triple $T_i = (x_i, y_j, z_k)$, we add edges (x_i, y_j) and (y_j, z_k) to G . Finally, for each $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$, we define a path P_i that passes through the nodes $\{x_i, y_j, z_k\}$, where again $T_i = (x_i, y_j, z_k)$. Note that by our definition of the edges, each P_i is a valid path in G . Also, the reduction takes polynomial time.

Now we claim that there are n paths among P_1, \dots, P_m sharing no nodes if and only if there exist n disjoint triples among T_1, \dots, T_m . For if there do exist n paths sharing no nodes, then the corresponding triples must each contain a different element from X , a different element from Y , and a different element from Z — they form a perfect three-dimensional matching. Conversely, if there exist n disjoint triples, then the corresponding paths will have no nodes in common.

Since *Path Selection* is in NP, and we can reduce an NP-complete problem to it, it must be NP-complete.

(Other direct reductions are from Set Packing and from Independent Set.)

¹ex529.979.546