

We'll select the sites and the users they cover using the idea of the Set-Cover greedy algorithm. If a site s is used to cover the subset U_s of users, then the average user cost is $(f_s + \sum_{u \in U_s} d_{us})/|U_s|$. The idea behind the greedy algorithm is to select the site s with a subset U_s that minimizes this quantity. First we need to argue that this minimum can be found.

(1) *Given a set R of uncovered users, and a site possible s , one can find the subset $U_s \subset R$ that minimizes the average cost $(f_s + \sum_{u \in U_s} d_{us})/|U_s|$ in polynomial time.*

Proof. Sort the users by increasing distance d_{us} from site s . The set U_s will be an initial set of this sorted sequence: $U_s = \{u \in R : d_{su} \leq \alpha\}$ for some value α . ■

Now the algorithm will be analogous to the Set Cover greedy algorithm. We select sites s with subsets U_s by the above greedy rule: selecting the site and the set that minimizes the average cost of covering a new user. There is one more option to consider. Suppose T is the subset of sites already selected. For a site $s \in T$ we can add a new node $u \in R$ to U_s , covering the new user u at the cost of d_{us} . In the algorithm given below, we will also save the cost c_u at which user u got covered by the algorithm. These values will be used by the analysis.

```

Start with  $R = U$  and  $T = \emptyset$ .
While  $R$  is not empty
  Let  $c = \min_{u \in R, s \in T} d_{us}$ 
  Select  $s \in S - T$ , and set  $U_s \subset R$  that minimizes
     $c' = (f_s + \sum_{u \in U_s} d_{us})/|U_s|$ .
  If  $c' \leq c$  then
    Select the site  $s$  and set  $U_s$  used to obtain  $c'$  above.
    Add  $s$  to  $T$ , and delete  $U_s$  from  $R$ .
    Set  $c_u = c'$  for all  $u \in U_s$ .
  Else
    Select  $s$  and  $u$  obtaining the first minimum.
    Add  $u$  to  $U_s$ ,
    Set  $c_u = c$ .
Endwhile

```

First, note that if we select the set of sites T , and have each site $s \in T$ cover the users in U_s then we get a solution to the problem with total cost $\sum_{u \in U} c_u$. Also, the algorithm runs in polynomial time. It remains to show that this is an $H(n)$ approximation algorithm.

The proof of the approximation ratio follows very closely the proof for the set cover algorithm. Consider an optimum solution. Assume it contains a subset T^* of sites, and $s \in T^*$ is used to cover a set U_s^* of users. The cost of using s to cover U_s^* is $f_s + \sum_{u \in U_s^*} d_{us}$. We will want to compare the optimum's cost, and $\sum_{u \in U_s^*} c_u$, which is the cost our greedy algorithm paid for the users in U_s^* .

¹ex37.588.671

(2) Using the notation introduced above, and the costs defined by the algorithm, we have that $\sum_{u \in U_s^*} c_u \leq H(d)(f_s + \sum_{u \in U_s^*} d_{us})$, where $d = |U_s^*|$.

Proof. For notational simplicity, let $C = f_s + \sum_{u \in U_s^*} d_{us}$. Consider the elements in U_s^* in the order the algorithm covered them. Assume they are u_1, u_2, \dots, u_d . Consider the moment the algorithm covers the i th node u_i from U_s^* . There are two cases to consider.

Case 1 At this point of the algorithm $s \notin T$.

Case 2 At this point of the algorithm $s \in T$.

When the algorithm covered u_i it selected the smallest average cost. In Case 1 this implies that the cost c_{u_i} is at most the cost of selecting cite s with the set $U_s^* \cap R$, which is at most $c_{u_i} \leq C/(d - i + 1)$ (as $i - 1$ previously covered nodes are no longer in the set). In Case 2, this implies that $c_{u_i} \leq d_{us}$. Assume that Case 1 applies when the first k nodes are covered, and after that Case 2 applies (k may be equal to d). Now summing all costs in U_s^* we get that

$$\sum_{u \in U_s^*} c_u \leq C/d + C/(d - 1) + \dots C/(d - k + 1) + \sum_{i > d} d_{u_i, s}.$$

Now if $d = k$ then the upper bound on the cost is $H(d)C$ as claimed. If $k < d$ then note that the costs $\sum_{i > d} d_{u_i, s}$ is bounded by C , and so we also can bound the total cost by $H(d)C$. ■

Now we are ready to prove that the algorithm is an $H(n)$ approximation algorithm. Let T^* and U_s^* be the optimal solution. The total cost of the solution is $\sum_{s \in T^*} (f_s + \sum_{u \in U_s^*} d_{us})$. We use the above Lemma to bound each term of the cost, and upper bound $H(d)$ by $H(n)$ for each set U_s^* in the optimum, to get the following.

$$OPT - \sum_{s \in T^*} (f_s + \sum_{u \in U_s^*} d_{us}) \leq \sum_{s \in T^*} H(n) \sum_{u \in U_s^*} c_u - H(n) \sum_{u \in U} c_u,$$

where the last sum is the algorithm's cost as claimed by the first Lemma.