Let the sequence S consist of s_1, \ldots, s_n and the sequence S' consist of s'_1, \ldots, s'_m . We give a greedy algorithm that finds the first event in S that is the same as s'_1 , matches these two events, then finds the first event after this that is the same as s'_2 , and so on. We will use k_1, k_2, \ldots to denote the match have we found so far, i to denote the current position in S', and j the current position in S'.

```
Initially i=j=1 While i\leq n and j\leq m If s_i is the same as s_j', then let k_j=i let i=i+1 and j=j+1 otherwise let i-i+1 EndWhile If j=m+1 return the subsequence found: k_1,\ldots,k_m Else return that "S' is not a subsequence of S"
```

The running time is O(n): one iteration through the while look takes O(1) time, and each iteration increments i, so there can be at most n iterations.

It is also clear that the algorithm finds a correct match if it finds anything. It is harder to show that if the algorithm fails to find a match, then no match exists. Assume that S' is the same as the subsequence s_{l_1}, \ldots, s_{l_m} of S. We prove by induction that the algorithm will succeed in finding a match and will have $k_j \leq l_j$ for all $j = 1, \ldots, m$. This is analogous to the proof in class that the greedy algorithm finds the optimal solution for the interval scheduling problem: we prove that the greedy algorithm is always ahead.

• For each j = 1, ..., m the algorithm finds a match k_j and has $k_j \leq l_j$.

Proof. The proof is by induction on j. First consider j = 1. The algorithm lets k_1 be the first event that is the same as s'_1 , so we must have that $k_1 \leq l_1$.

Now consider a case when j > 1. Assume that j - 1 < m and assume by the induction hypothesis that the algorithm found the match k_{j-1} and has $k_{j-1} \le l_{j-1}$. The algorithm lets k_j be the first event after k_{j-1} that is the same as s'_j if such an event exists. We know that l_j is such an event and $l_j > l_{j-1} \ge k_{j-1}$. So $s_{l_j} = s'_j$, and $l_j > k_{j-1}$. The algorithm finds the first such index, so we get that $k_j \le l_j$.

 $^{^{1}}$ ex876.936.4