- (a) Change x_4 to 2 in the given example. Then this algorithm would activate the EMP at times 2 and 4, for a total of 4 destroyed; but activating at times 3 and 4 as before still gets 5.
- (b) Let OPT(j) be the maximum number of robots that can be destroyed for the instance of the problem just on x_1, \ldots, x_j . Clearly if the input ends at x_j , there is no reason not to activate the EMP then (you're not saving it for anything), so the choice is just when to last activate it before step j. Thus OPT(j) is the best of these choices over all i:

$$OPT(j) = \max_{0 \le i \le j} [OPT(i) + \min(x_j, f(j-i))],$$

where OPT(0) = 0. The full algorithm is just

```
Set OPT(0)=0

For i=1,2,\ldots,n

Compute OPT(j) using the recurrence

Endfor

Return OPT(n).
```

The running time is O(n) per iteration, for a total of $O(n^2)$.

An alternate solution would define OPT'(j, k) to be the best solution for steps j through n, given that the EMP in step j has already been charging for k steps. The optimal way to solve this sub-problem would be to either activate the EMP in step j or not, and OPT'(j, k) is just the better of these two choices:

$$OPT'(j, k) = \max(\min(x_j, f(k)) + OPT'(j+1, 1), OPT'(j+1, k+1)).$$

We initialize $OPT'(n, k) = \min(x_n, f(k))$ for all k, and the full algorithm is

```
Set OPT'(n,k) = \min(x_n,f(k)) for all k.

For j=n-1,n-2,\ldots,1

For k=1,2,\ldots,j

Compute OPT'(j,k) using the recurrence

Endfor

Endfor

Return OPT'(1,1).
```

The running time is O(1) per entry of OPT', for a total of $O(n^2)$.

 $^{^{1}}$ ex249.233.474