

We first do this under the assumption that all edge costs are distinct. In this case, we can solve (a) as follows. Let  $e = (v, w)$  be the new edge being added. We represent  $T$  using an adjacency list, and we find the  $v$ - $w$  path  $P$  in  $T$  in time linear in the number of nodes and edges of  $T$ , which is  $O(|V|)$ . If every node on this path in  $T$  has cost less than  $c$ , then the Cycle Property implies that the new edge  $e = (v, w)$  is not in the minimum spanning tree, since it is the most expensive edge on the cycle  $C$  formed from  $P$  and  $e$ , so the minimum spanning tree has not changed. On the other hand, if some edge on this path has cost greater than  $c$ , then the Cycle Property implies that the most expensive such edge  $f$  cannot be in the minimum spanning tree, and so  $T$  is no longer the minimum spanning tree.

For (b), we replace the heaviest edge on the  $v$ - $w$  path  $P$  in  $T$  with the edge  $e = (v, w)$ , obtaining a new spanning tree  $T'$ . We claim that  $T'$  is a minimum spanning tree. To prove this, we consider any edge  $e'$  not in  $T'$ , and show that we can apply the Cycle Property to conclude that  $e'$  is not in any minimum spanning tree. So let  $e' = (v', w')$ . Adding  $e'$  to  $T'$  gives us a cycle  $C'$  consisting of the  $v'$ - $w'$  path  $P'$  in  $T'$ , plus  $e'$ . If we can show  $e'$  is the most expensive edge on  $C'$ , we are done.

To do this, we consider one further cycle: the cycle  $K$  formed by adding  $e'$  to  $T$ . By the Cycle Property,  $e'$  is the most expensive edge on  $K$ . So now there are three cycles to think about:  $C$ ,  $C'$ , and  $K$ . Edge  $f$  is the most expensive edge on  $C$ , and edge  $e'$  is the most expensive edge on  $K$ . Now, if the new edge  $e$  does not belong to  $C'$ , then  $C' = K$ , and so  $e'$  is the most expensive edge on  $C'$ . Otherwise, the cycle  $K$  includes  $f$  (since  $C'$  needed to use  $e$  instead), and  $C'$  uses a portion of  $C$  (including  $e$ ) and a portion of  $K$ . In this case,  $e'$  is more expensive than  $f$  (since  $f$  lies on  $K$ ), and hence it is more expensive than everything on  $C$  (since  $f$  is the most expensive edge on  $C$ ). It is also more expensive than everything else on  $K$ , and so it is the most expensive edge on  $C'$ , as desired.

Now, if the edge costs are not all distinct, we apply the approach in the chapter: we first perturb all edge costs by extremely small amounts so they become distinct. Moreover, we do this so we add a very small quantity  $\epsilon$  to the new edge  $e$ , and we perturb the costs of all other edges  $f$  by even much smaller, distinct, quantities  $\delta_f$ . For a tree  $T$ , let  $c(T)$  denote its real (original) cost, and let  $c'(T)$  denote its perturbed cost.

Now we use the above solution with distinct edge costs. Our perturbation has the following two properties.

- (i) First, for trees  $T_1$  and  $T_2$ , if  $c'(T_2) < c'(T_1)$ , then  $c(T_2) \leq c(T_1)$ .
- (ii) Second, if  $c(T_1) = c(T_2)$ , and  $T_2$  contains  $e$  but  $T_1$  doesn't, then  $c(T_2) > c(T_1)$ .

It follows from these two properties that our conclusion in (a) is correct: since  $c'(T') < c'(T)$ , and  $T'$  contains  $e$  but  $T$  doesn't, property (i) implies  $c(T') \leq c(T)$ , and then property (ii) implies  $c(T') < c(T)$ . Now, in (b), we compute a minimum spanning tree with respect to the perturbed costs which, by property (i), is also one of (possibly several) minimum spanning trees with respect to the real costs.

---

<sup>1</sup>ex833.93.54